**Taxation Consultation with the Residents of Sark**

**Responses**

**#646**

**(A) Current Tax System – Score Rating 2 – Disagree**

Aim to create a fairer tax system that does not disproportionately favour high-net-worth individuals or those avoiding higher taxes elsewhere.

**(B) Personal Capital Tax – Forfait – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

Propose a property tax rate four or five times higher than the standard for individuals who can afford to maintain multiple homes ion Sark or elsewhere

**(C) 91st Day Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(D) Personal Capital Tax – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(E) Seasonal Workers – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

No comment.

**(F) Personal Capital Tax Related to Duration of Residency on Sark – Score Rating 4 – Agree**

**(G) Derelict Houses – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

Advocate for a substantial increase in property tax for derelict or unoccupied properties. Properties left empty for 90 days or longer should be taxed at a much higher rate, as they are likely not primary residences.

**(H) Property Transfer Tax – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

**(I) Bed Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

Strongly oppose any form of sales tax (e.g., bed tax/plate tax/VAT).

**(J) Plate Tax – Score Rating 1 – Strongly Disagree**

See above.

**(K) Ring Fenced Taxation** **– Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

**(L) Sark Residency / Tax Residency Approved Scheme – Score Rating 5 – Strongly Agree**

Highlight the problem of ghost residents who claim residency but contribute minimally to the local economy. Definition of a Sark resident. Urgently introduce a registration process for new residents.

Additional Comments:

Tourism, hospitality, and retail sectors are fragile and face high operating costs with low margins; new taxes would unfairly burden these businesses further.