Amaurosis in infancy due to craniopharyngioma: a not-exceptional but often misdiagnosed symptom
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Since children may not be able to complain of progressive reduction in optic acuity, visual assessment in infancy may present practical difficulties. The authors report a case of craniopharyngioma, which led a young child to early blindness before the correct diagnosis could be made. Similar to other reported cases, the authors found that surgery did not substantially modify the preoperative visual deficit. They conclude that minimal improvement in visual acuity can be expected despite successful microsurgical removal of the tumor. (DOI: 10.3171/2010.1.FOCUS09262)
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Craniopharyngiomas are rare brain tumors that cause visual impairments and symptoms in almost all cases. Visual disturbances are notoriously misleading in infancy since ophthalmological symptoms are difficult to detect, and they commonly result in incorrect differential diagnoses. Furthermore, it is not easy to determine the indication for neuroradiological assessment in children whose visual symptoms are unclear.

Although rarely, pediatric patients may undergo neurosurgical evaluation when amaurosis is already present. The reported case illustrates how the rare but insidious craniopharyngioma can cause amaurosis to be misdiagnosed as more benign visual impairments.

Case Report

This 2-year-old girl presented with a 9-month history of progressive divergent strabismus, which began initially in the left eye, and then progressed to bilateral strabismus. The pediatric ophthalmologist, diagnosing the patient with congenital strabismus, prescribed the usual occlusion therapy to avoid amblyopia and continued with patient follow-up. After some months, however, the child’s relatives noted some gait uncertainty, with disequilibrium, frequent falls, and difficulty in evaluating the distances of objects. The little girl walked up the stairs, touching the steps one by one; when the floor changed color, she stopped walking, squatted down, and felt her way along the floor to determine if there was a step in front of her. This behavior frightened her parents who brought their daughter to our hospital for an urgent evaluation. At admission, the child’s hypothalamus-pituitary axis function was unimpaired, but fundus oculi examination revealed an atrophic papilla (Fig. 1). Magnetic resonance imaging showed the presence of an expansive sellar lesion of 3.8-cm maximum diameter, with severe compression of the optic chiasm, suggesting a diagnosis of craniopharyngioma (Fig. 2). Visual evoked potentials demonstrated a complete absence of cortical signal (Fig. 3).

The tumor, found to be adherent to the optic nerves, was radically removed through a frontobasal interhemispheric approach with preservation of the pituitary stalk. Pathological examination confirmed the diagnosis of adamantinomatous craniopharyngioma (WHO Grade I). Aside from transient polydipsia, the patient’s postoperative recovery was uneventful, although she continues to experience subtotal visual deficit and unstable gait. An MR imaging examination 1 year after surgery confirmed complete removal of the tumor (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The child whose case is reported above developed visual disturbances without anyone noticing it until an almost complete amaurosis: the ophthalmologist focused on the strabismus, disregarding the progressive loss of visual acuity. It is surprising that in this era a benign tumor like craniopharyngioma could be detected only after such serious damage to the optic nerves.

Despite the vast amount of literature on craniophar-
Craniopharyngiomas, the incidence of amaurosis due to this benign tumor in the pediatric population has not yet been established. We reviewed the literature to identify all cases of craniopharyngiomas causing blindness in children and found an incidence of amaurosis ranging from 0 to 33% (Table 1). It is remarkable that the highest incidences often correspond to series of patients from developing countries,2,16 or from specific subpopulations such as giant craniopharyngiomas.3 It is likely that amaurosis is not that unusual a symptom in infants with craniopharyngioma, but its prevalence is probably underestimated. The high variability in reported incidence rates may be due to difficulty in assessing visual acuity in very young children, who are usually unable to communicate these important visual changes. The reported frequency of visual disturbances due to craniopharyngioma is higher in the adult population than in children,26 probably because of frequent misdiagnosis in pediatric patients. Furthermore, young age at diagnosis and the presence of visual symptoms are unfavorable predictors of visual outcome since

**Fig. 1.** Fundus oculi revealing an atrophic papilla.

**Fig. 2.** a–d: Preoperative T1-weighted sagittal and coronal MR images, without (a and b) and with (c and d) gadolinium, demonstrating the presence of an expansive sellar lesion of 3.8-cm maximum diameter, with considerable compression of the optic chiasm. e and f: Postoperative Gd-enhanced T1-weighted sagittal and coronal MR images obtained 1 year after surgery confirming complete removal of the tumor and absence of any recurrence.
impairment of the optic pathways occurs more rapidly in children under 3 years of age. It has been demonstrated that visual acuity deficits seldom improve even after surgical decompression of optic nerves; in fact, the deficit often remains unchanged or continues to worsen. For patients suffering from amaurosis, it is suggested that preoperative visual loss is strongly predictive of persistent postoperative visual deficits.

Pediatric patients presenting with amaurosis and showing improvement in visual function after surgical treatment of craniopharyngioma are extremely rare. The complete vision recovery in a 9-year-old girl reported by Stark et al. should be considered exceptional. In fact, only a few cases of complete visual recovery after surgery have been reported in patients suffering from nontraumatic compression of the anterior visual pathway. All other pediatric patients for whom clinical evaluation at follow-up is available showed uniformly persistent visual acuity deficits. Although pediatric craniopharyngioma patients presenting with amaurosis are often included in larger series and specific visual follow-up is only occasionally available, the outcome for unilateral amaurosis does not seem to be different than that for bilateral amaurosis. No significant improvement should be expected when serious damage to one or both optic nerves is present.

For these reasons, a well-timed diagnosis of visual impairments is vital to avoid irreparable deficits in children. Special attention to any behavioral change, usually reported by parents, is sometimes the most important way to reduce the diagnostic delay. Moreover, neuroradiological assessments like MR imaging should be taken into consideration in cases of long-lasting strabismus or papillary alterations on fundus examination.

Although visual function only occasionally improves after surgery, especially in cases of amaurosis, every effort should be made to preserve the anatomical integrity of the optic nerve during the removal of the tumor. The surgical planning is important, as the pterional approach carries a not-negligible risk of postoperative visual worsening. Instead, we chose the interhemispheric approach, which allows for minimal traction on the optic structures.

Due to the excellent survival rates of these patients in the modern era, long-term consequences of treatment and overall quality of life are of utmost importance, especially when deciding on a treatment strategy. In addition to the visual deficits, endocrine balance, neurological and hypothalamic function, school performance, and behavioral and emotional status are often impaired in patients with craniopharyngioma. Therefore, these children may have a worse quality of life than patients who are blind for other causes. In the major pediatric series of surgically treated cases, the rates of diabetes insipidus and hypothalamic obesity vary between 33–100% and 15–94%, respectively, and the incidences of visual deficits and neurological morbidity vary between 0 and 41% and 0 and 29%,
Moreover, several authors reported worse outcome and a higher chance of recurrence in younger children. Age under 5 years has been reported to be a predictor of poor outcome at a long-term follow-up, higher risk of tumor progression following Gamma Knife surgery, and decreased resistance of the hypothalamus to surgical trauma. The case presented in this paper and those reviewed in the literature caution against underestimation of visual impairments in children, and demonstrate the importance of careful ophthalmological evaluation in pediatric patients who are often unable to identify and reliably report visual deficits. In order to arrive at the correct diagnosis, the physician often needs to know details of the child’s daily behavior and changes of habits, as provided by the parents of the child in our case.
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**TABLE 1: Review of amaurosis caused by craniopharyngioma in childhood**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of Pts</th>
<th>No. of Pts w/ Amaurosis (%)</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>FU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy &amp; Smith, 1975</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>up to 3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mefty et al., 1985</td>
<td>20†</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td>bilat</td>
<td>up to 3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adeloye et al., 1988</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammirati et al., 1990</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>2 wks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>al-Wahhabi et al., 1993</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rt eye: impr; lt eye: not impr</td>
<td>2 wks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams &amp; Repka, 1997</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2 (10)‡</td>
<td>8 pts‡</td>
<td>mean 6.5 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stark et al., 1999</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>impr</td>
<td>6 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duff et al., 2000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>80% poor</td>
<td>bilat</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Effenterre &amp; Boch, 2002</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>7 (24)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goncalves et al., 2004</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>14 (22)</td>
<td>6 (9)</td>
<td>median 5.1 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergas et al., 2005</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13 (15)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hukin et al., 2005</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>median 84 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena et al., 2005</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mottolese et al., 2005</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teo, 2005</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5 (14)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sainte-Rose et al., 2005</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>7 (10)</td>
<td>median 7 yrs</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuccaro, 2005</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>13 (8.5)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamid et al., 2007</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4 (33)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puget et al., 2007</td>
<td>66 (retrosp)</td>
<td>10 (15)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22 (prosp)</td>
<td>4 (18)</td>
<td>not impr</td>
<td>bilat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FU = follow-up; impr = improved; prosp = prospective; Pts = patients; retrosp = retrospective.
† Giant craniopharyngiomas.
‡ Visual acuity < 20/200.
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