

Our Fight



For freedom

Tonny - L. A.

Our Fight

For Freedom

By Tonny - L. A.

© Tonny - L. A.

The opinions expressed here are a legitimate use of my freedom of expression - Freedom of speech.

1. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR):

Article 19: “Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression.” Amnesty International

2. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR):

Article 19:

Paragraph 1: “No one shall be disturbed on account of his opinions.”

Paragraph 2: “Everyone has the right to freedom of expression.” HCHR

3. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union: Article 11:

Paragraph 1: “Everyone has the right to freedom of expression...regardless of frontiers.”

Paragraph 2: “Freedom of the media and its pluralism shall be respected.” EUR-Lex

4. Declaration of Principles on Freedom of Expression of the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR):

Principle 6: “Everyone has the right to communicate his opinions by any means and in any form.” IACHR

To all of you who think, feel, and know that "there must be another way," "a better way" of social organization and structuring.

To you who think and feel that there is a way to achieve balance with the environment, while enjoying our life on this planet, without having to work every day to the point of exhaustion for more than 40 years to achieve better life.

The ideas presented here are for critical and analytical people, who can imagine NEW things and can see beyond mere continuation or pseudo-development of existing models.

If for you the phrase "think outside the box" does not necessarily imply that a box must exist to fulfill the statement, this book is for you.

Tonny - L. A.

FIRST WORDS

First Words

When we are children, we develop the notion of free will, the ability to do something or not do it because we want to or don't want to.

That "wanting" becomes, in a relatively short time, the force that will define our future lives.

The family, the primordial institution of society, is recognized as the cell of society, which, curiously, is also based on will, in this case, the will of two people whose bond is none other than, and is nothing more than, the desire to be together.

Will, "wanting," is also the basis by which nations exist, and with them the world as we know it.

There is a similarity between the phenomena of divorce and social revolutions. The problem generally lies in the fact that the will of one person (in the case of a couple) or of many (in the case of a society) is restricted. This is when the will, that "wanting," is conditioned, subjugated with arguments that have nothing to do with free will or unwillingness. The existing relationship is arbitrarily "organized," scheduled within defined timeframes, and, worse still, the original reason for the relationship (whether a couple or a social relationship) is ignored. Thus, the moment arrives when, with their hand on their heart, a person must answer themselves, in the intimacy of their

own soul, there, where no one else has access or more right than themselves, the question: Is this really what I want?

If the answer is YES, then there is no reason for any change. But when the answer is NO, change is imminent and absolutely necessary.

We are living in a time when organized society as we know it is being questioned once again, and so each of us has the duty to answer ourselves:

- Do I live in the world I want to live in?
- Do I have everything I need to live well in the country I am in?
- Do I feel safe leaving my house, or on the streets of my city, or returning home, regardless of the time of day?
- Do I have the necessary means to develop professionally in any field I want and improve my financial situation whenever I want?
- Do I feel supported by my government and my authorities in the areas of justice, health, education, and pensions?
- Do I truly believe there will be social improvements soon, from which I will directly benefit?
- Am I satisfied with my life thanks to the opportunities my government gives me?

- Do I believe my government is fair?
- Do I trust my government regarding recommended vaccinations for me and my children?
- Do I believe the laws of my country are fair and well administered?
- Would I like to live better?

What would you really be willing to do if I told you: it is possible to live working only half of what you do without compromising your standard of living, and that there are ways to truly live in a country you can proudly call your own.

I believe in freedom and respect for the will of people, of human beings, because without these elements of freedom and respect, there is no harmony in the world, nor will life be protected.

I believe in a better future, in a dignified life for all human beings. I believe in life and respect for the will of the people as the basis for social organization and reorganization.

Tonny - L.A.

The opinions expressed here are a legitimate use of my freedom of expression.

Chapter 1 - The beginning of Chaos

I am at this moment risking my life and my freedom by giving these ideas openly, I am risking everything with the hope of a better world, a free world, a world of equality and justice, where human knowledge is respected as the only real heritage to leave to future generations and where future governments have the clear goal of working with a clear objective: "the incessant search for the fulfillment of their citizens." I'm risking it all because while it's true that there are things worth living for, there are also things worth dying for, and I'm not a fanatic about anything, I don't have a religion that makes me feel better than anyone, I don't have a profession that defines me, or a nationality that makes me feel superior to anyone, I don't have a better skin color than anyone nor am I gifted with super intelligence, I'm a normal human being, born in

poverty, lucky enough to have seen the world from many continents and to witness how citizens of the world work hard to survive while their governments only benefit corporations, protect banks and capitalists and let the rights of ordinary people be trampled on.

I beg you to share this manuscript with as many people as you can, because this is the new book of the new social change, just as Martin Luther opposed the tyrannical Catholic religion at the time by writing his manifesto, I oppose the so-called current democracy, which tyrannizes the citizen it should serve.

I am convinced that after 10 million people have read these pages, the world of freedom that we all once dreamed of will be less than 2 years away from becoming reality.

And you, what is the sign that you are waiting for, to accept the fact that the world, in the way it is organized, has no future?

How many more wars? How many more lives lost? How much economic and social instability are you willing to force yourself and your children to endure, before seeing what is before your eyes?

There is no possible future if we continue with the same current mentality, there is no better future if we continue with the same social program, there is no future of happiness only of fear, of hard work to get out of one crisis to then fall into another, nothing closer to collective human slavery, we are only victims of the current social program that we inherited from a world that no longer exists.

What social program? The current pseudo-democratic (I will elaborate upon this term in following pages), form in which we are immersed.

Other organization models, “social programs” / “social recipes” or “social experiments,” have existed before in the history of humanity, each past form of organization had its own laws, its ideas or ideals of “development”, its currency or “acceptable” way of exchanging goods and services, its “police” that forced people to obey laws without questioning the justice in them, there were armies, chain of authorities and so on, all in almost the same way that we have them today.

- Empires as a form of social organization, failed.
- Monarchies and kingdoms as a form of social organization, failed.
- In the same way that the current so-called “democracy” has failed (if people don’t chose who is rich and the rich have more power than the elected representatives, democracy is a failure in disguise), and the signs of failure are increasingly evident.

What are the signs of failure in forms of social organization?

- People stop respecting authorities, due to their proven corruption and inefficiency.
- People stop believing in laws, because they are not applied with justice and equality.
- War refugees, refugees from political and social crises, flood into regions that were thought to be unaffected, violence increases.

- Extremist groups emerge from people's fear, these groups in their desperation contribute to the instability and polarization of citizens.
- People protest, ask, demand and complain without being heard by their authorities,
- Knowledge (education) is elitist and is used as a means of control.
- Greed is more protected than the basic rights of citizens.
- People confront the law enforcement agencies, as these become enemies of the people, forcing individuals to comply with the law while protecting groups in power.
- People protest and even fight against their own armies to free themselves from the oppression of social organization, the armies become obsolete and useless, as they attack their own people.
- People, disappointed, abandon everything that the old system of repression represents and organize themselves in new forms of self-management.

Chapter 2 - The Change

Are you ready for the new change?

Change is going to happen whether we want it or not, change is happening and getting worse every day.

The world is becoming polarized, as the social injustice of institutions is becoming more and more evident.

Those institutions that claim to protect human rights are silent when their friends are the ones breaking the rules, at the same time they severely condemn their enemies for breaking the same rules that their friends broke (Russia and Israel, both cases of international shame).

These undeniable facts show day after day the indifference that is felt for the loss of human lives on one or the other

side of a border, and the importance that is given to other human lives of those who have more power. We also see more and more of the inefficiencies of the world's governments in maintaining stability in regions that apparently have nothing to do with conflicts, leaving citizens deprived of their own resources by not being able to control price increases due to inflation and with it, the devaluation of the money earned with hard work by citizens (as an example of this: the German economy is about to collapse in 2025; what can we expect from other "less important" countries?).

If you organize yourself now you can have the advantage when chaos takes over others, taking the change in your hands is the most important decision you will make in your life, the time to decide is now, while we still have a little time.

In this little book, I set out how to free ourselves once and for all from the problems that governments have brought upon us.

That is why I am in danger of being persecuted by some government/s because the ideas I propose, if they come to fruition, will render the current banks and their international monopolies worthless, will leave millionaires without their millions because the money will become the property of the people, will leave companies and corporations without control of the resources that belong to the people and will leave corrupt politicians without governments to hide behind.

I am not proposing a dictatorship or replacing democratic government with a socialist one (like Elon

Musk, another sad example of lost of coherence), or an anarchist chaos; I consider it an insult to human intellectuality to pretend to make intelligent people believe that the only forms of government that exist in the universe are only two: “**democracy**” or “**socialism**”. The truth is that there are many ways, even many new ways of government that have not yet been explored, like this one, where I take elements from social models that do work, and through which I intend to reestablish the legitimate rights of normal human beings, respecting their intelligence to govern themselves, their desires for peace and security and the right to live freely.

The change from one system to another, from one ideology to another, has the same problem, that power continues to be given to one person or a small group of power that will represent millions of people, generally the one who claims power is the one who had the idea, that is the common denominator of the problem.

Never will a single person or small group be able to foresee all the problems or needs of all the citizens of a large country or region, that is why it is time to make a world with “**micro states**”, where small groups of people rule their destinies independently of others.

Chapter 3 - The current situation

Revisited and corrected - March 2025

Sadly, if tomorrow we heard on the world's news that a nuclear bomb exploded in Europe, the Middle East or Russia, no one would be taken by surprise, by now we have all considered this possibility at some point.

Sadly, if tomorrow the start of the third world war were officially declared, this news would not be news to anyone either.

If tomorrow the news were to say that 2 million people died overnight due to atomic bombs, no one would be able to say that such a fact was not just a matter of time.

This is how we are in the world in November 2023 (and sadly still the case in March. 2025).

We are being dragged into the third world war, the question is no longer whether a third world war will happen, on the contrary, the questions are: are we already living the third world war? When will it be openly declared?

Fortunately, we (normal citizens of the world, regardless of which country we are currently in) still have a chance (if we act now) to not be crushed by the consequences of war; this opportunity is unique, no generation has ever had, as we do now, the possibility of being so interconnected in so many different ways and thanks to technology, that is our greatest advantage.

We have in today's technological connection, a weapon of peaceful resistance which, if used correctly, can stop this war and prevent future threats of world wars that threaten us in the future.

But before I tell you exactly how to free ourselves, we must make the effort to understand, remember or objectively understand a couple of things or concepts that I consider very important:

1. The existing countries no longer represent us,
2. The current countries are only an invention of people who are now dead,
3. The current democracy emerged approximately 200 years ago and was never more than an experiment in collective organization, which clearly after 200 years of trying, has not worked.
4. The last and definitive form of human organization has not yet been invented,
5. We can all change one form of social organization for another that is better for our reality, environment or vision of a better future.

In the news you hear now about the progress of wars, in terms of countries: Israel, the United States, Syria, Russia, Ukraine, Lebanon, etc. It seems that the value of the citizen is overlooked, the value of the desire of the people who live in a country is overlooked, the real desire of the citizens is ignored (there are hundreds of thousands of people protesting in the streets for peace in many countries of the world), those protests, those desires for life are not taken into account, the individual will of the normal citizen is not spoken of, those John, Peter, those Mary, who have nothing to do with war, who do not hate each other and do not want to kill each other; those normal individuals, those who constitute the countries and who fight working hard day by day to survive are not important, only the names of the countries and the will of those who say they represent people are important.

It seems that the peace that we ordinary people need and want is not important to our governments, ordinary people are ignored, we do not want wars!, weapons to kill in our name are bought with our taxes and instead of giving us peace, they only create more debt and more social instability.

How many more wars will be financed with the money of working people?, when will governments accept that war only harms citizens in every possible way?, how many more wars will we allow to be manufactured behind our backs, until we realize that wars only benefit weapons manufacturers, and the lobbies of construction companies that “rebuild” the buildings that their friends' bombs have destroyed in our name?.

If wars solve world problems, why is there no definitive peace? If politics and diplomacy are the solution to international problems, why are there still so many problems in today's civilized world?

If the union of countries as in the European Union or others, were the solution to the social problems of its inhabitants, why are there still so many problems in the old continent with drugs, crime, human trafficking, unemployment, social inequality, political asylum, illegal immigrants and nuclear threats? Thanks to the European Union and its partners, to pseudo diplomacy, to the useless groups wrongly called "international" (which are nothing more than small groups, a handful of people who are disconnected from the reality of their countries), thanks to these good-for-nothings we live in threats of world war.

If the services of the international intelligence agencies of the technological, economic and industrial "powers" of the current civilized world, cannot prevent human carnage, cannot stop wars on their coasts or guarantee the life of their citizens in their streets (not even within the next 6 months), why do people continue to believe in the current governments?

No matter where we are in the world, the war between Russia and Ukraine, Israel and the Arab countries has caused the prices of many or almost all necessary consumer products and services to rise to levels never seen before and cost the life of hundred thousands humans.

No matter where we are in the world, the consequences and repercussions of wars, whether with the rise in fuel prices, or with international instability and escalations of adversaries, or with the polarization of citizens' ideologies, with the questioning of the transparency of international organizations (Russia is criticized and sanctioned, but Israel is supported without sanctioning its magnates or blocking Israeli imports or exports, leaving open the question: Are there really human rights? Who defends them? Is the life of a Ukrainian, a Russian, an Israeli, or a resident of the Gaza Strip worth more?), the international courts, just show the fragility of the legitimacy of these courts to a public opinion that looks, trying to make sense of it.

No matter where we are in the world, the contamination of the planet, the contamination of our food is endorsed by the governments of developed countries, through the lack of control of transnational companies because they pay millions in taxes to governments in exchange for contaminating the lives of citizens, contamination has become present in food products on all tables in the world, where genetically degenerate products are now eaten, produced with pesticides harmful to health and with carcinogenic additives for consumers, while it's acknowledged that certain food additives are considered safe for consumption in small quantities, the cumulative daily intake from multiple sources raises health concerns. Products containing additives like E5 (Ammonium bicarbonate), E10 (Aluminum ammonium sulfate), preservatives, flavorings, and flavor enhancers are prevalent across various food items, including canned goods and condiments. Regular consumption of these

additives over extended periods may adversely affect health.

Health Risks Associated with Food Additives:

Behavioral Effects in Children: Synthetic food dyes, such as Yellow No. 5 (Tartrazine), have been linked to hyperactivity and restlessness in children. Studies suggest that certain dyes can cause inattentiveness and hyperactivity in sensitive children, leading to regulatory actions like warning labels in Europe and bans in specific regions.

(source: EatingWell, New York Post)

Potential Carcinogenic Effects: Some synthetic dyes, including Yellow No. 5, have been associated with allergic reactions and potential carcinogenic effects, such as the presence of benzidine, a known carcinogen. For instance, Oak Cliff Beverage Works recalled its lemonade product after discovering undeclared Yellow 5, highlighting the dye's health risks.

(source: New York Post)

Long-Term Health Concerns: Continuous exposure to certain additives may pose health risks, including allergies and sensitivities. The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and national institutions like Germany's Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR) evaluate the safety of food additives, flavorings, and processing aids. However, some studies indicate that high consumption of certain additives, such as sulphur dioxide and sulphites, can pose health risks, especially at high intake levels.

(source: bfr.bund.de)

Likewise, radiation from damaged nuclear plants, or from their radioactive waste, or radiation from bombs that explode in wars provoked by Russia, the United States and Israel, in Asia, the Middle East and Europe, will reach all corners of the earth, (just as the remains of the Fukushima plant have spread - <https://vlab.noaa.gov/web/nws-heritage/-/using-ocean-modeling-to-track-nuclear-contamination-nws-responds-to-the-fukushima-daiichi-disaster>), altering ecosystems and further poisoning the oceans and their animals.

We need to act quickly, the war is escalating more and more every day, and more than Three years after it began (Today in March 2025), there are no signs of any real international improvement, at the contrary, the situation only worsens with Trump's withdrawal of support for Ukraine and the political polarization of European states. Let us remember that the Second World War also began as a series of "isolated events" whose beginning was Japan's invasion of China on July 7, 1937...

While powerful countries point their weapons ever closer to each other with excuses of deterrence, ordinary people look perplexed at our executioners, while they prepare the weapons with which they want to kill us, in the name of economic power, or in the name of world control.

Chapter 4 - People strikes Back

But we are not defenseless sheep in a slaughterhouse, we can defend ourselves, we must immediately distance ourselves from the names of the countries that are in the sights of the guns, we must let everyone know that we have our own names, we are real people, our names are not Germany, Peru, England, Canada, Venezuela, Syria, Ukraine, United States or any other name of the country where we live, we are not anymore defined by the name of a conglomerate, we are not a country as we were taught as children, as we were lied to when we did not have full use of reason, we have individual names, we have individual lives, we have individual dreams, goals and individual value.

Actions continue to be taken in the name of a country, forgetting the wishes of the average citizen, according to politicians (for national security), even when the actual will of the sum of the citizens is contrary to the action taken by their governments; It is ignored that people want peace and not more wars, that people want economic stability, that people do not want their money to be devalued, that people do not want to eat food poisoned by chemical pesticides, that people do not want to work for salaries that are not enough to have a decent life or work 40 years for a miserable retirement, the basic realities are ignored by politicians, people does not want political inefficiency, people do not want private companies to have more power than the sum of the citizens will, people do not want to have to go out on the streets regularly to continue demanding their rights, people do not want crime in the cities, people do not want violations of their human rights, people do not want expensive fuels whose price only increases by making all other services more expensive, people do not want to live in incessant consumerism, people do not want their governments to hide important information for their lives, people do not want to be manipulated by their authorities, people do not want false promises from politicians, people do not deserve to continue living more decades with the same problems still unsolved, in that sense, it is fair to say that:

1. Countries have failed us
2. Politics has failed us
3. The current economic system has failed us
4. Democracy has failed us
5. The police and the military have failed us

Chapter 5 - Popular Verdict

1 . Countries have failed us: countries as I mentioned before, are just an idea; countries are someone's invention, someone who is already long dead.

Nature did not create countries; countries as such, are a human invention and like many human inventions, they become obsolete as reason evolves and our understanding of our world and our needs evolve becoming more universal.

The idea of creating a country was perhaps valid at some point in history (post 1789-1799 French Revolution), and perhaps it served its purpose at the time of its creation, but, the world where “modern” countries were created, is a world that no longer exists; That past concept of a country which has a central government that is responsible for making decisions for millions of people, was born because people in the past, did not have access to education or ways of communicating, perhaps those people could not govern themselves under their circumstances, that is no longer the case today, leaving the reason for the existence of current countries, obsolete; existing countries no longer function in today's society, ***we must change the concept of social organization with a current vision, with a minimum projection of 30 years.***

Historically, the founding legal systems of current nation-states, institutionalized practices that are now recognized as serious human rights violations. These included slavery, corporal punishment of wives, the systematic deprivation of women's rights, child labor, and corporal punishment of children.

Slavery: Slavery was legally sanctioned and an integral part of economic systems, formalizing the treatment of enslaved people, establishing harsh punishments for various crimes, and reinforcing the legal status of slavery.

Corporal punishment of wives: In particular, with regard to sexual consent, it was severely restricted. In certain jurisdictions, a wife's refusal to have sexual relations with her husband was considered a violation of marital duties, which could entail legal consequences (up to and including imprisonment). Furthermore, the legal doctrine of the marital rape exemption meant that husbands could not be prosecuted for raping their wives, as marriage was presumed to grant implied consent to sexual relations.

Women's Civil Rights: In revolutionary France, despite the Declaration of the Rights of Man and of the Citizen proclaiming equality, women's rights were not recognized.

Child Labor and Corporal Punishment of Children: In the 19th and early 20th centuries, child labor was widespread and not legally regulated. Children, some as young as 10, worked in factories, mines, and other dangerous conditions, often subjected to physical punishment. Corporal punishment of children was widely accepted and legally sanctioned. In the United States, during the Industrial Revolution, children working in factories often faced physical punishment to maintain productivity. It wasn't until the 20th century that significant reforms began, with the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938.

These are just a few of the many mistakes that "modern governments" accepted and considered fair.

For any government to accept your right to a peaceful and protected life on the planet, at the pace of social change being promoted internationally, another 300 years will have to pass, and millions more of innocent people will have to be killed—that is, of course, if we don't decide to act now.

Let's analyze the function a country should have:

A country should be sovereign: current countries are not sovereign, current countries are conditioned in their economic sovereignty by the World Bank, or the European Central Bank, or the consortium of central banks; the internal policy of countries is also conditioned by the group to which they belong, be it the European Union, NATO, Union of Arab countries, Community of Latin American States, BRICS, etc.

The social development of countries is conditioned by the interests of influential companies that operate in the

region, lending countries or international consortiums that operate in these countries.

A country should be free: Through the influence and pressure of other “more developed” countries, international debts or bilateral agreements, under promises of “development” and “jobs” through the facilitation of imports and exports, countries have lost consequent their freedom; ***what good are international agreements if the hidden price is always population control?*** The freedom of a country and therefore the complete freedom of a people is currently nothing more than a fantasy.

A country should/must represent its citizens: Citizens protest in the streets against wars, against climate change caused by the lack of government control over companies that pollute or produce weapons; citizens protest against the rise in prices of inputs that generate energy, we protest against the lack of citizen security, we protest against the abuses of racist police officers who kill innocent citizens.

In the face of all these protests, there have been no important or substantial immediate policy changes. At best, measures have been taken that must be fulfilled in 2030 or 2035. Although protests have been carried out consistently in many parts of the world, there are no immediate responses from governments. However, when there is a war, policies change quickly, money does not stop flowing and the priority of everything else on the agenda is relegated to be reviewed again in peacetime, as if this peace could be guaranteed or scheduled.

A country should guarantee the fundamental rights of its citizens: the right to life, the right to

human dignity, the right to personal freedom, etc.

Wars violate all of these rights and many more. Wars are not a guarantee that these rights will be guaranteed in the future. Countries have been more concerned with legalizing gender differences in recent years than with guaranteeing the right to life and security of all their citizens.

Let us understand that, if wars were the solution to problems, the world's problems would have already been solved in the wars that have been experienced in humanity's past, hundreds of isolated wars and two world wars later, the human problems of ambition, overexploitation of natural resources, social inequality, speculation with basic products, exploitation of workers, manipulation of hydrocarbon prices, control of the population by means of false alarms and fears, self-inflicted or not terrorist acts, trafficking of children and women, drug cartels and banking cartels, all social evils even more alive than ever and oppressing the weakest in the year 2025 with world governments having more technology at their disposal than any other government in the known history of humanity.

As always, we ordinary citizens are the ones who pay the final bills for the abuses, incompetence of countries and wars, with rising prices, with the deprivation of our rights, with longer working hours, and even with our very lives.

“Wars are a desperate attempt by countries to cover up their incompetence by taking away the only thing left to the people; their own lives.”

2. Politics has failed us: The red, yellow, green or whatever color political parties have, failed us again, just as in the case of the COVID pandemic, governments did not prepare for pandemics even though pandemics are recurrent, they are not new to humanity and neither are wars.

Once again politicians and their policies are at a loss as to what to do next, on the event of the third world war, governments only know how to ask citizens for patience and more money to cover the “extra expenses of the war”, or “make fictitious loans” by putting citizens in debt, leaving us with a debt, that will guarantee economic inflation in the world that will remain for the children of our unborn children, or until the fourth world war occurs.

Why do all politicians fail? Are they all so stupid? Is it that they don't know exactly what their people want or need? The answer is easier than you think, there are two flaws: the first flaw is in the system they want to work with, an obsolete system of government with outdated laws and an outdated structure of laws. The second flaw is in the people, I mean of course in the politicians who cannot see the first flaw and want to continue working forward with a completely archaic system that cannot be fixed.

There will be no real change if the existing system is not changed for a functional system, a living democracy where the rules are changed by the people when necessary and

this will only be achieved after **declaring the *current countries obsolete***, in the same way that the names of companies are changed to save some assets when they enter in bankruptcy, the names of the countries must be changed and with it, the laws and their operating systems.

Which laws? ***For example:*** laws that do not allow current countries to create state-owned companies for the construction of houses to rent at fair prices to their own citizens, or laws that do not allow current countries to develop new technologies from their own universities such as companies for the manufacture of electric vehicles, or forms of renewable energy, or organic food production, etc. There are laws that do not allow current governments to manufacture, to develop medicines, to innovate in any technological field or to offer banking services to their citizens, how absurd! These fatal self-prohibitions occur without distinction in developed so as in underdeveloped countries, because it is a flaw in the system itself.

Since the government has no presence as an actor in the industry, it has no way of influencing prices from within the market. If governments had a participatory presence as actors in the markets, they could influence prices with the quality of services and/or the cost of their products, for the simple fact that; if there are competitive state companies, the monopolies of private companies are controlled and prices remain fair for users.

Governments limited by themselves, continue to simply try to demand goals from private companies like: demanding them to make renewable energy cars, raise employee salaries, reduce plastic production, reduce rental

prices, dispose their waste in an ECO-friendly manner specially the radioactive materials, but without success. Deadlines set by governments are never met, only extended. Even if there is any "improvement," prices never benefit consumers, since any "improvement" demanded by governments will be reflected in higher prices for consumers. In short, the demands of a legislative government that doesn't participate competitively in its own economy only cost citizens more money. Governments, following this same recipe for failure, continue chasing their own tails of inflation, unable to stem the constant rise in prices and collective unhappiness.

The governments do not produce a piece of paper, a chair, much less a computer or a phone application on their own. ***Governments are consumers too***, dependent on markets and monopolies that pretend to control, as such they have **lost** completely their autonomy and with it, the impartiality required to control of public institutions.

The loss of autonomy of governments generates the dependence of citizens on the interests of private corporations which, camouflaged behind the name of medium-sized companies, exercise monopoly control, thus evading the anti-monopoly laws that countries have.

3. The current economy has failed us: Money keeps losing its value, what a silly concept! What is money? Money is a way of representing our hard work, the life invested in earning it, represents those 8 hours worked, 5 days a week, 11 months a year, that we will never get back from our existence. That effort can not and should not lose its value.

Lets try explaining inflation in another way, speeding out devaluation time to really try to see things in perspective, I would say that: It is not possible that the 8 hours I worked 3 days ago, yesterday, were only worth 6 hours (of work, in money value), today, they are only equivalent to 4 hours worked and tomorrow they will only be equivalent to 2 hours worked. The hours worked cannot lose their value!

The work done is nothing other than invested ***time of life***, and it should not lose its value, because no one will give us back those hours invested of our lives, which means that the way in which we keep our “accounts” is incorrect, **we must change the way we do it, in order not to lose the value of our life.**

If states protect life and they say they protect capital as well, states must protect the individual capital earned by investing our life time.

It is unbelievable that no one has thought of a solution for this part of the economy! This is why I say that politicians do not represent their people. Inflation occurs in all countries, from Germany, Switzerland, England to the most insignificant countries. How many politicians are there in the "civilized" "democratic" world at this moment? And despite the fact that "devaluation of money" and "inflation" are world "phenomena", no politician or economist in the world is working on solutions for this evil, they want to slow it down, but never eliminate it. How many politicians have existed and have passed through the governments of the world without having done anything effective to stop inflation!

I will give now a practical solution to this problem: **There must be two types of currencies**, the depreciable and the non-depreciable.

The depreciable (devalue) currencies can still be current currencies, those currencies that we know and lose their value every day.

The non-depreciable (non-devalue) currencies must be currencies guaranteed by the states or governments, they must be currencies that never lose their original value, if one worked 8 hours for a salary, that salary must maintain its value at the actual exchange rate, at the current exchange rate of what 8 hours of work is worth at the salary of that day; these are currencies (money or account of hours worked), that should not pay taxes because it is not possible, that if the governments refuse to produce (of their own volition) goods and services to generate their own means of self-financing, people should not pay the maintenance of useless public institutions.

The non-depreciable currencies (account of hours worked), can be used to buy depreciable currencies, as I said at the exchange rate of the equivalent work done on the same day of the transaction; Creating a parallel, non-depreciable strong currency, IS the only way to guarantee fairness by protecting people's worked life, to guarantee the reliability for this new currency, it will need to be held by National Banks, independent of the existing Banks.

This type of currency or currency must be tax-free, and should continue to be exempt from taxes whenever it is

used to pay for goods or services that are basic for survival (housing services, water, electricity, health, etc.).

NOTE: It will be a fight to keep the dirty hands of the merchants of life away from this new currency, so let's try to set some rules: 1.- This worked time currency should not be transferable (to avoid new "millionaires" of the new currency); 2.- When used to buy something is converted in normal currency which is why it can not be accumulated by anyone else other than the one who worked for it; 3.- does not pay taxes when used to pay basic living services.

Depreciable currencies will be used to purchase non-basic items for survival, paying taxes on transactions as they do now, although taxes the way we know it should be completely eliminated from the humanity dictionaries. Instead "contributions" from citizens, or "civil investments" that should be repaid to people by governments should be the new way to understand the issue.

The companies of services and goods must also be divided between basic services for survival and complementary services or those that are not necessary for survival.

Basic companies should also be regulated and have a maximum profit limit, because it should not be legal to speculate with survival services and generate profits when there is no option or freedom for citizens to live without those services, I will deal with this issue deeper later.

Let us remember that money is only a human invention, it only works by an agreement of value, money is an invention, a bad invention, that is why it loses its value, the money of 150 years ago, the paper money that was worth it

to our great-great-grandparents today is worthless; the real value of a currency is relative to who accepts it. There are remote towns even today, where money, regardless of the zeros printed on the paper money, is worthless. I tried to buy potatoes with 100€ in the remote Andes in South America, I couldn't get a single potato for that bill, in those communities 9 days away from the nearest city, banks don't exist and current money is useless, let's use this example to put things into perspective.

We have to reinvent the economy, we have to reinvent money so that it serves the people without losing its value and with it, the value of the life invested in earning it.

4. Democracy has failed us: Electing a representative every 4 or 5 years, and what happens between elections, no matter how incompetent the miserable winners prove to be (even suffering from dementia), is completely beyond the reach of citizens to replace them. It is ironic to think that a simple vote can be used to elect, and despite the fact that voting is the way to change a useless person in power, the process or replacing the elected one, is almost impossible. There is no real democracy if the right, is only respected on certain dates and under certain circumstances, freedom conditioned to be exercised is not freedom.

Freedom that is not freely exercised cannot be called freedom, to dramatize with an example, I cannot give my partner the right to choose whether she wants to be with me or not, every 4 or 5 years and mistreat her every day in between, that will not be freedom.

It is the same with democracy, democracy is a feeling, it is wanting, it is the right to collectively choose what we want or what we do not want, if the "I want" is respected, the "I don't want" can not be disrespected by being ignored.

The right to vote is a right subject to a expiration date in which, as normal citizens, our hands are tied, even if the imbeciles we elected lead us to war; **Question:** why the standards of "trial time" that apply to a professional in a normal job, do not apply to the job of president?

There should be a review 6 months after an election, and another review of the election 12 months after an election, either to ratify or remove those elected, as well as emergency votes exercised by citizen initiative to vacate a presidency regardless of the established deadlines.

The normal citizen does not have the right to a valid corrective opinion and must wait to be able to choose another representative again in a future election.

This would be equivalent to being given a job in a company and having a contract for 4 or 5 years, and no matter how incompetent we are, the company keeps us in the job without firing us. Without a doubt, no private company would accept such stupidity, however, normal citizens are forced to suffer the consequences of a bad choice without the opportunity to change our decision based on the new circumstances; that is current democracy, it is nothing more than nonsense that no one, who pays privately or collectively for a service should accept.

“THERE IS NO INSTITUTION THAT TRULY REPRESENTS THE WORLD'S POPULATION IN 2025!”

“CITIZENS SHOULD HAVE A LIST OF PROBLEMS THAT NEED TO BE SOLVED IN THE FIRST, SECOND, THIRD, AND FOURTH YEARS, SOMETHING LIKE A JOB DESCRIPTION. POLITICIANS SHOULD PRESENT THEIR PROPOSALS TO SOLVE THESE PROBLEMS. IF THEY FAIL TO MEET THE WORK PLAN, THEY SHOULD BE REMOVED.”

Lets have a look at what is happening with democratic countries. They are waging wars or supporting wars, preparing to send their citizens to die instead of correcting their work policy. The solution for wars and against dictators is the same: it is to change the existing policy and false democracy for a policy of micro states and living democracy.

If the citizens of the world see a successful new politics and a real democracy, they will seek the same no matter who thinks they are in power, whether in Russia, Korea or the United States.

A country is nothing without the will of its inhabitants, if the inhabitants of a region do not want to be part of a country anymore, they can simply become independent and form a new nation with a new name and a new politics.

There is a trend lately, voters are choosing radical parties not because they are better, but because they are the only different alternative until the publication of this book, that is why it is urgent to give new ideas and forms of government, there are many more alternatives than just the current democracy or a dictatorship.

I don't know about you, but I don't want to die because of the decision of a bad individual who says he represents me; and without my consent declares war on an unhinged dictator with nuclear weapons, the only rulers who want wars are the deranged, mentally ill, those who do not respect the lives of their citizens. The country that sends its citizens to their deaths has completely failed its people in every way.

5. The police and the army have failed us: Who do these people serve or who should they serve? The citizens, at least that should be the answer. If countries are failing citizens, if laws no longer guarantee people's fundamental rights, if bad policies lead us to war indirectly or directly, who the forces of law and order should be defending is not the decision of politicians, but the lives of citizens, even if this means acting against the orders of governments.

Unfortunately, the police decide to ignore the lives of ordinary citizens, justifying their ineptitude in a thousand ways, among them "the duty of follow orders", as if they were just machines with no opinion or self-consciousness, or mental disable without hint of good and bad.

The truth is that today's police cannot defend citizens from the crimes that are committed every day in our current cities, from scams, robberies, to murders at Christmas fairs.

When people go out to protest for their rights, the police attack those people if a politician orders them to do so, no matter how corrupt the politician who orders the action is.

If there are deaths in the process, abuse of force, or unjustified deprivation of the freedom of citizens, no one is guilty; if a police is found guilty of inappropriate behavior or abuse of authority, they, the police, are at best only transferred to another unit.

In order to "attack" (with free conscience) citizens, the police are transferred to a city where they have no friends or relatives, so they can attack protesters without remorse.

Today's citizens are victims of the police as well as members of the military, who act against citizens through neglect by not protecting us from the real causes of wars and social instability; the real causes are bad politicians and bad authorities, from whom we have no protection.

Police usually arrive late, usually after the crime has been committed, typically just to file a report, the army (if necessary) arrives after the police or never arrives if the crime committed against citizens is not too big to bother with, as if they had other obligation than the security of the country, both internal and external.

Citizens are charged for parking their car on a street, excuse the redundancy, streets are public property, paid for with taxes. Citizens are charged for going a little faster than "allowed" to their destination, citizens are charged for not having their vehicle insurance up to date, bad police are not charged for their ineptitude for allowing robberies even though they know that criminal gangs exist, the theft of the mayor's son's car is solved in hours and that of the normal citizen in months or it will never be solved, the

murder of the millionaire or powerful is investigated and that of the poor is forgotten.

Soldiers are nothing more than murderers, hired killers who carry out the orders of their employer, members of the army are not charged or judged for the lives taken as "collateral", justice is relative, citizen equality is the biggest lie of countries that no one wants to see or admit.

In any capital of the world there are police and in any capital of the world any normal citizen can buy illegal firearms for less than 5000 euros, as well as any drug, you just have to get into a taxi at a main bus or train station and start asking for what you want, this is not a secret and it happens right under the noses of the police, those same ones who confiscate the scooter of a peaceful citizen who is going to work, for the crime of having few days of expired insurance on his vehicle.

The good news is that we can end the police abuses and inefficiencies in less than 3 years, if the courses to become police officers (because let's be honest, they are short courses that almost any fool can learn), are given in schools as part of formal education, if we all know our rights and our obligations and we have knowledge of how to act in emergencies, we citizens can take care of each other by forming a great citizen force of common protection. The police must be the force of citizen morality, we must put an end to an elite that does not represent any security and is misused.

In countries with little crime like Switzerland, it is clear that it is not the police that ensures that people are not

violent, or stops robberies, on the contrary, it is the citizens that make the decision to be peaceful, are the mothers and fathers that teach their children, it is the school that educate, are the adults that educate each other with their good example, people seek access to education and a life with decency regardless the social status or the financial situation, these elements create social stability gained through collective effort that makes these countries very safe, the police are not there to force anyone to obey because people are informed of the rules they have to follow.

Surely we can all contribute with examples of how our social systems do not work, the list of imperfections can go on for many books, our problem is not that we cannot realize the problems around us, our problem is that the system we are living in, this model of social organization is designed to separate the citizen from the government, understandable partially, at that time citizens did not have the possibilities to high education of today (illiteracy was over 80% of the average population), nor did there exist the means to easily communicate with local representatives, or means that allow open communication between Government and Citizen in real time that exist now, funny enough, even though they exist today, those communication Channels are not used by governments, because now the ignorance is now in the governments and not in the citizens.

We can no longer allow governments to continue “trying” to improve systems. They have been unsuccessful trying for 20, 30, 50 or even 85 years, even a blind can see that governments act without a concrete plan, without a vision.

As I said, enough of so many improvised experiments!

We have reached the end of the failure of democracy and diplomacy. We are entering the third world war.

The only solution that we, the citizens of the world have, to be heard and live in peace is, ***to eliminate the existing countries from within***, so that the “rulers” no longer have an excuse to kill in our name, to kill in the name of a country, or a tradition, or a history, because traditions, history and country names are all worthless, if they can not take care and protect life, our life and the life of our children.

If we objectively analyze the existing failures in both laws and legislators, we realize that legislators generally fail because they dictate laws with a focus on "today", without a vision of what will happen tomorrow, the change in circumstances (that is inherent to life itself), will continue taking us "by surprise", social chaos should not surprise anyone, as this happens when governments move away from their people. When the army KIDNAPS citizens to make them compulsory soldiers, hatred takes hold of the masses because people clearly see who the real enemy of the people is.

Europe is preparing for World War III. Governments are modifying constitutions, looking for ways to invent more fake money to cover up the failure of democracy and its diplomacy with the blood of its people. Acquiring weapons is only the first step. Weapons don't fire themselves; they need armies. Armies that will be created by robbing people

of their freedom, forcing them to fight for the failings of politicians.

There will be deaths; no one talks about it, no one talks about the failure of governments and systems. No one talks about changing the social system, about returning the people their land and their freedom to protect their lives.

Let's turn the failure of democracy into another war! The politicians say, let's defend the system that doesn't work, that has led us back to war, with the lives of the youngest.

The police and armies are preparing their strategies to subjugate the people, kidnap young people, and send them to die as soldiers. No army general or police commissioner is preparing to subjugate politicians and defend the citizens.

The current concern should be: how to make countries less globalized and more self-sustaining in anticipation of the economic crisis that will spread to Europe. How to ensure citizens' rights to life, liberty, free choice, and true democracy. Efforts should focus on how to communicate with citizens, strengthening channels of communication with the people and active democracy.

Chapter 6 - Conspiracy

Internacional and nacional Conspiracy

The US strategy was always to protect the dollar. Now, by withdrawing from the coalition of countries, it will allow the euro (due to the desperation of these countries) to collapse, giving the dollar a higher value than it currently has. When Europe exhausts its resources, the dollar will be the highest currency on the market. Ukraine will be annexed by Russia, which will enrich itself by exploiting the new resources and selling them at inflated prices to the Europeans, who, without allies in the world, will enter the worst economic recession ever seen.

The ruble and the dollar will be the dominant currencies in the post-war period. Russia, in cooperation with the US (since the US is helping the Russian cause by withdrawing from the coalition of countries), they know that the best

time to attack the euro is now, since there is still no consolidated European army without the US.

I asked ChatGTP: what are the most despicable acts (10 of them) done by the American government to protect the dollar, the answer at the end of the book. ***

First came the Internet and much later the laws that regulate it. First came the social networks and the law makers still continue to “try” regulating them and to stop them some how the monitoring of information with which these "free" networks make their fortunes with. The owners of the those communication Channels (social media and so on), have taken advantage of the lack of laws to protect citizens information, who is protecting citizens from manipulation, misguidance and scamming?

Current laws are not retroactive so the information sold will never be recovered or protected, it will simply be stored and used by other companies without governments being able to do anything to protect their citizens.

Same with artificial intelligence, it appeared, not a surprise either, many companies during years worked on these AI projects, now countries “try” to outline laws that will protect citizens from the misuse of it, again, too little too late, there million of scams being done on a daily bases worldwide using AI tools and not police can no anything to really protect anyone.

It seems that governments have not only lost total control, not only of the international laws but also the internal laws of countries, incapable to control people

monitoring technologies, terrorist attacks or foreign invasions, blind governments trying to lead their hard working citizens.

In this sense we cannot continue to expect protection from legislators or from the so called “guardians of security”, who will never act in the name of real justice or the good of the citizen, but will only do so by the orders received, without questioning those orders through reasoning, intelligence, moral or common sense.

Conflicts have always existed, there are laws on international cooperation agreements, but what agreements are there for disagreements? There are no clear laws on punishment or prevention that clearly show what will be done to punish or stop dictators or presidents who suddenly become genocidal. Where are the laws against “emergency mandates,” those that violate the fundamental rights of people? Where are the laws that punish politicians when they violate constitutional rights with new, poorly-written laws?

Laws are usually to oppress citizens, there are laws with fines but without clarity on the destination of the money from the fines, there are martial laws that restrict freedom of movement, there are laws of mandatory military service even knowing that such "service" can cost people's life. Is not the right to life a fundamental right as well as freedom?

The current system of laws is a nonsense of amendments and judicial patches intended to punish some and "help" others; there are not laws that foresee dangers originated

by politicians whose actions launch a country into war against another, these failures of diplomacy, management of a country, cost not only incalculable losses of time, property and economic stability that will take many years if not decades to recover from these political failures, in the worst case the failures of politicians cost the lives of their citizens but they do not pay fines, jail or penalties.

For those responsible for wars there are no life sentences or the death penalty, for those responsible for wars who order what they consider to be “legal” massacres, there are no visible consequences that are regulated by any constitution.

National and international courts are incapable of enforcing their laws in cases of war, it is proven that the laws of the international court have no value, after 3 years of Putin invasion, still no law from international courts that can reach him; those laws that oppress weak, normal hard working citizens, have no relevance whatsoever when a country like Israel or Russia decides not to comply; no international rule or contract is worth more than toilet paper for the aggressors; signatures on international contracts are of no use; sanctions imposed by the world are of no use against the Putins or the Netanyahus, international courts are a theatre where citizens of the world are deceived by the lie of fictitious laws, inapplicable in real cases.

This shows us that laws, whether international or national, are only applicable as long as the parties freely submit to them, either out of conscience or ignorance.

Taking this example to an individual case would be the equivalent of saying that: the normal citizen does not have the “obligation” to submit to the laws of a country if he does not want to do so, just as countries like Russia, North Korea, Israel, China, partially India, Venezuela, Cuba, resist the sanctions imposed by an arbitrary international court thanks to their protection, their protection is their self-sufficiency. If we want to stop being affected by the laws of a country, we must become independent again, protect ourselves by becoming self-sufficient.

Let us free ourselves from a country, turning one region at the time into a self-sufficient small state, thus we will free ourselves from useless laws of existing countries; let us collectively renounce to continue being members of a country and we will be free from its absurdities, its laws and its oppressors; Let us **give up** a country by signing a formal declaration of renunciation of citizenship, taking over our cities and becoming independent states, let us begin to free ourselves and you will see how the world is freed from terrible wars.

Without countries there are no armies, without countries there are no corrupt politicians, without countries there are no senseless laws, without countries there are only peaceful people, without a country to die for there is only life and true opportunities.

Without huge countries, where the voice of the ordinary citizens get lost, we will manage to establish a kind of micro-countries, where the voice of all citizens will be heard loud and clear, as the true principle of democracy is only applicable in small groups, when the self-sufficiency of

a region is achieved, this region becomes sovereign and can govern itself independently without letting anyone other than the sum of its citizens, decide their own destiny.

We do not have to submit to the will of the greens, the reds, the yellows or the blues, each party can have its own micro-country and govern themselves as they want to govern themselves, time will tell who's policies are right, true democracy works in small social groups, only when all voices are heard.

All colors would march in a common direction if there was a common direction and it were well established on coherent and human universal bases.

The truth is that currently does not exists a common direction in the countries. The common direction should always have been: **To assist citizens in every possible way to live an existence that leads to the enhancement of their human values, through education, care for their health, and the health of their environment.**

This direction will never be achieved if the rights of large corporations are protected above the rights of the individual human being, and these are allowed to grow to the point where they reach the point of "to big to fail." This blackmail accepted and encouraged by governments, hand in hand with the lack of independence to generate their own resources, makes governments only consumers of products, mere clients, spectators, dependent on the corporations that provide services over which they have no control or way to legislate.

The system is so entangled with such absurd laws intertwined and dictated under so many different interests of rulers and parties of the past, that making a fundamental modification is no longer possible without falling into the traps set by those laws, like their “prohibitions” of being modified; absurd rules that can never be reconciled with the modern need for speed and decision-making, the only way to achieve change is by removing the current countries from the maps, this can be done legally by declaring them bankrupt (I elaborate on this point in previous books), or simply by referendums through which existing countries could be eliminated, giving birth to new free and independent micro-states.

The defenders of the "current order" those who benefit from these current systems, the vampires of the people's blood, logically will not want to eliminate the existing countries, no matter the logic behind the need to do so, obviously, **these are the real enemies of the people and their liberation**; they will try, as is known, to cause more harm to the population, **trying to manipulate it through fear of social change, they would rather kill the citizen than see him free**, but the truth can no longer be hidden, we are in such a bad situation currently, that no-one will be surprised if tomorrow Europe declares openly war against Russia this 2025.

Chapter 7 - Self-Sufficiency

Self-sufficiency is the key, this is how many countries such as North Korea, Russia, China, Israel, among others, have managed to ignore international regulations and make fun of the false laws that govern other countries.

To take the current example that we can all see now in the news around the world: Israel bombs hospitals in Gaza with impunity, indiscriminately killing civilian patients, patients in intensive care, murdering before the eyes of the world the doctors who care for babies in incubators and putting the surviving babies at risk of death due to lack of

care, food, drinking water, and all the basics to survive, all this, without its prime minister being accused of any war crime, genocide, or other crime of the many committed every day.

Israeli soldiers, other murderers, apparently without the brains to be able to individually discern between the good and the bad of the orders received, carry out the orders of their superiors, committing first-degree murders, also with impunity; all these criminals are free people, paid to kill by their “democratic governments”, which, the laws and the armies of the other “friendly” democratic countries, do not affect them in the least.

In a hospital, if the nurses follow the orders of the doctors and the patient dies, the responsibility is also of the nurse for not being qualified and preventing that his/her actions would lead the patient to death; if a soldier kills following the order of his boss, there is no condemnation because he followed the orders given, what inequality in the laws.

How do they do it?; how do murderers today in March 2025 circumvent the existing international “law”, the same law by which other criminals, perhaps even with lesser crimes, are judged so severely?

They do it because they are self-sufficient.

Self-sufficiency is achieved in many ways, in the case of Israel or better said of the Jewish people (and this is not anti-Semitism, this is a truth that I experienced having lived among Israelis for 8 years of my life), it has been

established through many generations in the finances of countries, controlling them from within, from their banks; we all know that the owners of the most powerful banks in the world are all or the vast majority, coincidentally people of the Jewish religion, who in turn have control of the most important companies in the production and distribution of food (because banks invest their clients' money to make profits and there is no safer investment than food and its transportation); By exercising their power and influence, they will not allow other countries that they also control, whether these are the member countries of NATO (which is the supposedly most important uniting force of countries in the modern world, feared in theory even by Russia), to stop the crimes that are being committed even in Gaza.

The case of Russia is a little less manipulative and refined than the previously mentioned case, it is a slightly more “normal” case of self-sufficiency.

To achieve self-sufficiency, it is necessary to have sufficient internal production of food, hydrocarbons, and a sufficiently strong internal business network within a geographic area, which does not depend mainly on external markets to survive, they also have an innovative and sufficiently developed educational system to conduct its own technological research, independently of what happens internationally; they also have a more or less independent economic system that is easy to separate from the rest of the world's economic systems, with which even in the case of international economic blockades, they can survive internally without ceasing to develop.

“Normal” banks, those controlled by the banking families that we all know who they are, do not have their tentacles in these self-sufficient places, and thus, they cannot control and break these economies.

The Russian economy does not have as its main bank any of those controlled by the banking families that control the finances of other countries such as the USA, England or Germany, nor does North Korea have these capitalists, in the case of Israel, well these bankers are from the same group so it is clear that the other countries cannot stop the crimes committed by the Israelis, there we can see the explanation of who really controls the international court and the puppets that act there.

Naturally, in the self-sufficient countries mentioned there are also flaws. Generally, they are governed by political parties that oppress their citizens, as in the case of China, or a kind of dictatorship, so as in North Korea, or a pseudo dictatorship as in the case of Russia, or a religious hegemony as in Israel.

The truth is that if the people are not managed by oppressing them directly with the use of forceful measures perpetuated by the armies and the police against the citizens, they are blackmailed based on grounds of “tradition”, “history” or economic well-being.

Dictators or parties that somehow come to power “democratically” (just as Hitler democratically became the first Chancellor of Germany, Putin in Russia and stayed in power, just like Netanyahu in Israel for so many years, or inherited power from some leader or party), have settled in

power and are capable of doing anything to maintain it, typically using manipulated education to continue the systematic indoctrination of the population, keeping their people deceived with a system of beliefs in historical traditions with sayings such as: “this land belonged to our ancestors”; “our race is the best”; “our history is ancient”; “our ancestors will be proud of the people we have become today”; “we are the chosen people of God”, as if dying in the name of the land will bring benefits to the lost life, or will benefit the children left orphaned.

Religious belief systems are also another common form of manipulation; there is also manipulation through collective fears, such as the case of self-promoted “terrorist” attacks by governments against their own people, such as the 9/11 “terrorist attack” in the USA, in order to divert citizens’ attention from problems caused by politicians.

Fear is also instilled in citizens by threatening them with severe punishments in case of non-compliance with established arbitrary norms. These are not new measures, but they are still used to exert oppression.

If you want to know if your government manipulates/oppresses you, it is very simple: if you are more afraid of the reprisals of your government authorities against you if you express yourself freely, than confident in an impartial justice of your government, then you are living in manipulation;

If you feel that your government does not listen to you and you do not know how to communicate with your authorities, you do not live in a democracy.

If you are afraid when you see the police, instead of feeling protected by them, you live in oppression.

The fear children feel at school when they see bullies, those who hit them and take their food, is no different from the fear that citizens feel when they see their authorities, their police and their armies, with whom they cannot reason, they (Police and Armies), are like robots that only follow programs, that follow instructions without being aware of their actions. We are being socially abused and this has to change.

There is a solution to all these evils. We cannot live in peace or believe that we will live in peace in the world if the causes of the current misfortunes are not regulated, if they are not eliminated.

There are two types of morality in the world and they have always existed: Superficial morality, which is the morality that everyone talks about, “normal” morality that is regulated by laws; and there is deep morality that no one wants to talk about, that morality that is not controlled, that is not regulated.

Only by regulating the second, deep morality, which is not openly spoken about, will the consequences of those failures that we see in superficial morality be stopped.

In this second morality lives corruption, manipulation, police who kill with abuse of power in the USA, the NASIS police who were revealed in WhatsApp groups in Germany, the crimes of Putin and Netanyahu, the networks of human traffickers, the paid killing of enemies in wars without repercussions for the murderers, the lack of transparency in the production of weapons, the breakdown of economies to favor the power of the dollar, etc.

I have written books on this subject in the past.

Chapter 8 - The real Culprits

We live in a toxic relationship with our countries. 200 years after the “invention” of the current political model and more than 100 years after its existence, in most countries the citizen-country relationship has not improved, but only worsened.

It is true that countries as such are relatively new to humanity, but due to the way they are constituted, in many cases they are nothing other than the extension of empires and kingdoms governed by monarchies, elites or power groups.

The common denominator of the last two world wars are **the countries**, the countries, the rivalries between countries and everything they represent.

What do countries represent today? Many things except their citizens.

I. Countries represent Traditions: What do regional traditions matter for current life and current problems? What good is a tradition if we have problems with global water, land, and air pollution, or global warming, or the manipulation of natural phenomena through geo-engineering, microplastics, and genetic manipulation in our food?

What good is it to anyone the dress in traditional clothes from Bavaria, Scotland, or the South American Andes if we all eat the same garbage, breathe the same pollution, and have children who will live as slaves to bad authorities?

What good are the traditions of countries and regions if we find ourselves at the beginning of the Third World War since 2023?

II. Patriotic symbols also represent countries: Flags only serve to indoctrinate the weak-minded, behind Netanyahu's press conferences there are 6 Israeli flags as If one flag was not enough, Hitler also used his well-known flags to indoctrinate his followers, just as Uncle Sam and the European Union use them on every possible occasion, flags are symbols that represent partial unity intended to separate human beings, distinguishing them as members of a geographic region or ideological affinity or club, obscuring the truth that we are all part of one planet, flags and other symbols of adherence are intended to perpetuate ignorance, justifying the actions of some against the life and rights of the “others”.

The more flags, the more division of humans and humanity, the more patriotic symbols, the more indoctrination, there is no other reason for the existence of these symbols of human destruction.

The world is globalized, today more than ever we are almost all a mixture of all races and all cultures, either because of our new genetic mixtures, or because of human genetics from which the current races evolved; or because of what we eat whose nutrients come from different areas of the world enriching our internal genetics; or because of the contemporary popular education that we share that influences our lives through what we see on social networks, where people from all over the world in one way or another have become part of our life and our new culture; or because of what we wear, which is an international multicultural and gender-neutral fashion; Whether it is because of the things we use that are made with parts that come from almost all the continents of the world; or because of the medicines we use to cure ourselves, also produced in and with inputs from many corners of the planet; or because of the blood that runs through our veins, which is a mixture of many origins and even elements that arrived from other planets by means of meteorites, we are all human beings with the same desire to live.

III. National anthems: These are songs that we are forced to learn and sing in schools. They are songs created by people who lived many generations before us. In many cases, the creators of these songs were real fans of a region, who did not have the opportunity in their lives to know the value of a world that we know today, a

world rich in characters and diversity of people, with fascinating innovations in every field of human knowledge achieved through cooperation. These songs usually talk about topics that in many cases we no longer understand, are no longer relevant, are no longer current or commemorate dictators like the English national anthem that sings “God save our queen” when the queen is already dead and the monarchy represents nothing more than oppression, shame, as well as the greatest deception of an ignorant people.

IV. Countries represent social backwardness because they are made up of political parties:

Political parties are groups of people who are generally also entrenched in their beliefs.

They have generally proven to be incompetent.

The older a political party is, the more responsible it is for the misfortunes of a country.

They are sadly the main actors in the dramatic situation of war in which we find ourselves, which is logical, because political parties are nothing more than the ideological division of a people.

Each political group believes that it can do things better than the other party.

They have all exchanged power since countries have existed as such, and they have failed miserably in everything they have proposed over and over again.

Thanks to the political parties and their useless work consistently demonstrated over the years, in no country are the basic rights of the people guaranteed the way they actually should be: the right to life (recently three legal students of Palestinian origin were killed in the USA, in Germany no one is safe at Christmas fairs or public demonstrations where either a madman with a car kills people or stabs them (to name just a few examples in "free and developed countries", etc.), free education is selective and not universal, health care is for those who can pay for it, real and impartial justice is a myth and everyone knows it, as well as a fair retirement after 30, 35, or 40 years of work that is arbitrarily increased according to the desires of the governments (I refer to the retirement time), It's not too much to expect from our governments after what they've taken from us: to want to avoid being murdered in the street, or to be able to access free online education to access skilled jobs, or to expect access to healthcare when we get sick, to be able to trust that justice will be administered equally under the law without the need for lawyers, or to not be afraid of aging and retiring because of poor services for the elderly. It's not too much to expect from governments, to whom 55% of our wealth goes in the form of taxes and fees (a simple question to an artificial intelligence service will give you detailed information on this). It's not too much to expect from our governments to find ways to generate their own resources and stop exploiting the lives of their people.

It is logical that countries do not function as they should, Because the foundation of the current democracy is not innovative ideas or the proposal of viable solutions to problems, or the organized work plans of citizens, but it

continues to be the competition between political groups, the ideas of the reds do not mix with those of the yellows or the greens, the retrograde perspectives are not a vision of the common good but of the good of a party.

If successful companies worked like countries, we would not have computers, satellite telephones, surgical instruments for operations, vehicles, real-time communication with our families and friends, or artificial intelligence that all Internet users use daily in maps, search engines, emails, networks, etc. because instead of working together, the different departments and workers would selfishly keep their ideas to themselves, becoming a hindrance to the common good and that of the company.

Current politics is obsolete, has not evolved and is incapable of adapting to the speed or needs of today.

V. Countries represent historical heritage: The events produced by people who lived in the region where we now live, people we never met, people who by luck or by misfortune did or did not do something, do not make us who we are today.

The Egyptians of today do not know how the pyramids were built, the Peruvians of today do not know how Machu-Picchu was built, the Mexicans of today do not know how Chichen-Itza was built and the English of today do not know how Stone-Edge was built.

In the same way that people who live where great cultures once lived are not responsible for the

achievements of the past, neither are they responsible for the mistakes made in the past. We are all only responsible for the actions of the present. There are no real inheritances. Life is today, it is now, and it is individual.

The Germans of today are not to blame for what the Nazis did, you cannot condemn the citizens of a place for the ignorance of people who lived and died three generations before, in the same way that our great-grandchildren will not be responsible for what we now do with the world, the guilty ones of what happens in the world today are the people who live in it now.

We are responsible only for our actions, what we do cannot be justified by a historical tradition, if Israel kills the inhabitants of Gaza, if the Russians kill the Ukrainians, if the Americans kill those who oppose their interests, they do not do it for anyone but themselves and their own will, not for the older generations, they do it just because they feel like it.

Today's countries, along with everything they represent, are the common denominator of wars.

Today's countries have failed us more times than they have succeeded.

Today's countries have not been able to stop global economic inflation, money only loses its value daily and with this loss of value, the effort of the people who worked for that money investing time of their life to obtain it is also lost.

Countries have never been able to guarantee citizen security, countries have never been able to guarantee the rights of their citizens, countries have never been able to exercise real justice to their citizens, countries have failed to be transparent with their people, always hiding valuable and important information from citizens, making everything related to information about national administration (the real destination of our contributions) a state secret, as well as the squandering and waste of public funds.

If conspiracy theories exist, it is because governments lack transparency with their people.

In the name of a country, many absurd things are done, the duties of citizens are many and penalized if they are not fulfilled, and the benefits are very few and are kept in the dark.

Countries have failed to guarantee equality for their citizens in health, education, justice, economic stability and a dignified retirement, only if you have money can you access clinic services, hospital services and healing therapies, only if you have a lot of money can you access rehabilitation therapies, only if you have money can you access quality education, only if you have money can you access lawyers who defend the rights of citizens, only with money can you access fair tax payments because those who do not have access to accountants pay more taxes than those who do not have them (as in the case of former President Trump, and I say the former president, because we all have the right to correct ourselves and perhaps the new President Trump is different from the previous one),

only with money can we access reasonable pensions that guarantee obtaining fair care for the last days of our lives.

Current countries have failed in their efforts to control the over pollution produced by private companies, current countries have allowed and allow the over exploitation of natural resources to the point of converting the elements of nature into poisons against life due to the lack of control in the felling of trees, by allowing the abuse of chemical pesticides, by allowing the production and use of chemical fertilizers that kill the earth, by the lack of control of micro-plastics, radioactivity, etc.

Today's countries have failed to protect their citizens from harmful products added to the foods they allow to be sold. It is no secret that many products that are promoted as healthy (cow's milk, cereals, most oils not obtained by pressing it, even pre-made food) are full of indigestible sugars and additives that are harmful to health, saturated fats that cause vascular blockages.

Microwaves from radios and phones, from the Internet and other uncontrolled satellite connections harm the metabolism, the cycles of wakefulness and rest of citizens, while police officers monitor the speed of drivers on the streets, criminal enterprises that emit harmful waves that threaten the lives of citizens (even while they sleep), work freely.

Today's countries have allowed citizens to be exploited by their employers, private companies or otherwise enslave citizens, paying them far below the responsibilities of their jobs, forcing them to work long hours covering in many

cases 24 hours a day, with very few days of vacation and a lot of demand and stress in the work activities expected to be completed in a work day.

Countries have failed in their attempts to manage citizens' public funds, countries have allowed almost all basic resources and services for their people to be taken over by private use, companies that should be the true heritage of a people have been almost given away to private hands, almost no country today owns its water, sewage, electricity, telecommunications, rail, basic education services or daycare centers, good universities are also mostly private, in many countries even roads, hospitals, bridges and their retirement pension services are also being privatized.

In the past, in that past that no one wants to teach in schools or universities, the companies that provided basic services for life belonged to the people and the state.

Today's countries have failed to produce the goods necessary to function independently.

Today's countries do not produce a pencil, a piece of paper, or a stamp. What about the computers they need? What about the furniture they use? Or the computer programs without which they cannot function? Countries that are the administrators of public resources have become victims of capitalism, and if democracy exists as a means to support capitalism, citizens will continue to work for the rich, whom we never elected.

Today's countries do not have free intellectual property to provide their people, nor a miserable program to write letters or emails, **all the resources used are private!** In this way, countries and their services are dependent on corporations.

Citizens' information is not 100% protected or guaranteed by any country, because countries have become only clients of private companies that provide services, and to pay these companies on which they depend, they need more taxes to be paid by their citizens.

We have gone from theoretically owning our resources to having to pay those who no longer manage our resources.

Citizen security is often in the hands of private companies that have no genuine interest in citizens beyond taking their money.

In many countries, private security services work better than the police.

Even in “highly developed” countries, presidents are guarded by extra private security, as bodyguards, since the normal police will never be able to guarantee the security of even their own president.

Today's countries do not make uniforms for their police, or shoes for their armies, or the weapons that send their soldiers to war.

Who has produced so many weapons of destruction in the world? Planes, tanks, missiles, bombs, aircraft carriers,

machine guns, drones, grenades, atomic bombs, etc. It is the private companies that produce so much material to kill and they do it with the permission of the countries, with the support of the countries, because the clients of these companies are not normal people, but they are the countries that privately buy in “secret” all these weapons of destruction of life waiting for the perfect storm to be able to use them.

In the same way that normal companies look for clients, seeking to create the need for their products either through advertising or appealing to different consumption strategies, planned obsolescence, etc. Private companies that manufacture weapons have the “need” to generate movement of their production and they use strategies and events to be able to ensure the achievement of the manufacture of their weapons, the only ones who win in wars are the manufacturers of the weapons and the companies that are in charge of the reconstruction of the destroyed areas.

Today's countries cannot even guarantee stable property prices, because they have no control over almost anything that happens within their own borders.

Today's countries value taxes more than the lives of their people, even New Zealand retracted yesterday (the first edition of this book was in 2023) the law it drafted against the sale of cigarettes to the new generations because there is a lot of money at stake, highlighting once again who governments serve.

Today's countries have stopped owning the means of communicating information to their citizens, today's countries cannot even inform their people in a timely manner of emergencies such as the fire in Hawaii, tornadoes, tidal waves or biological threats such as those that generated the pandemic, today's countries are no longer the owners or managers of their international airports, the questions now are: ***Who or who has control? And what can we do to change this situation?***

In order to answer these questions, it is absolutely necessary to **remember the three fundamental components that make a country** a country:

- **A country is a territory**, delimited by some agreement, either internal or external, and it is necessary to be validated or recognized by a neighboring country, either by its own will or by submission.

- **A country is a set of rules and laws**, dictated by a group of people, of ideological, religious orientation or both.

- **A country is standards or agreements** as in the case of money, each country chooses a form of standardized means of transaction to exchange goods or work.

In this context, all the “rules” of the elements that make a country have proven to be **“changeable”, “adjustable” “modifiable”** to suit the circumstances, whether in its square kilometers, in its rules, and in its agreements.

Today's nations have been divided and reunited many times, just as the Jewish people seized territory 80 years ago and founded the state of Israel without the permission of its victims (the Arab states of that time), Russia has done

the same with Ukraine, without caring about the validation of other countries, the same did the Irish, Scottish and English emigrants when they occupied the current territory where the USA is now, likewise Germany annexed territories during the Great War, was dismembered after the Second World War, the occupied territories were separated again from pre-World War II Germany, another part of Germany became part of Poland, a part of Germany was in the hands of Soviet Russia, this was reunited again to make the current Germany after 1989 with the fall of the Berlin Wall after almost 30 years, etc.

These agreements that countries make are renewed, destroyed, forgotten, invaded, oppressed, extended or changed, depending on the convenience of the people who live or want to live in a territory.

Today's countries have been Romanized, they are even given an esoteric touch saying that they are a right of a people of some god, or that it is a historical right because ancestral generations lived there, or that the land belonged to this or that family.

The truth is that a country exists only by the will of the people who live in it, the will to maintain it as a country, or by the lack of will or knowledge to change it.

However, countries are only agreements between people, borders exist only in human archives, for animals, plants, elements, minerals and the universe, for life itself, countries are completely irrelevant and they should be for every free and intelligent human being.

No one is more or less human for living in one country or another, no matter how much some racists insist on making us believe that we are better or worse than other human beings, the only way to eradicate this lie from the world is through a fundamental reform.

Today's countries with their laws, modifications, their guardians and their oppressions, with their absurdities, are cancerous tumors of humanity and life, public offices have become dens of rabid animals from where no genuine change in the interest of the people will ever be heard.

A radical change is necessary, a new generation of small republics that give the human being the leading role and not the leading role anymore to money ARE PARAMOUNT.

Chapter 9 - The destruction of countries

Would you be willing to sacrifice the name of your country to save yourself and your family from the third world war?

No agreement or convention of rules, whether it be called a country, kingdom or whatever, is worth dying for or in its name.

It is time to let countries die to save human life instead of continuing to sacrifice humans to save the name given to a piece of land.

The false idea of control of countries has already cost too many human lives, and the victims continue to increase by the minute. There are those in Gaza and Ukraine who continue to die in defense of a piece of territory.

After the second world war, an order of control of the world was established, now the "powers" are fighting for another type of control that may cost millions more lives. Until when? How long before a fourth and fifth world war? That is obviously if we survive this third world war, but unlike the circumstances during the first and second world wars, we can now stop the third world war that is just beginning.

The "countries" - better said, "those who believe they have the power" - fight each other, not caring about the lives of their citizens. The politician does not care about the life of the dying soldier, nor should we citizens care about the "life" of the countries with their songs and their flags.

The alternative we now have, the alternative that the victims of the first two world wars did not have, is that we now have the opportunity to organize ourselves globally and destroy the existing countries from within.

Let me roughly explain how we can do it:

- **We need to do a survey to see how many people want peace:** we can do a vote on social networks, where people will vote regionally with just a "like" if they agree with peace or a "dislike" if they want war, so we can have a clear idea of how many people and in which areas of the current countries this idea of new freedom is applicable.

- **Let us make a formal request for social liberation:** When we have located the place where there are more people who want to live without war, we must circulate a

formal request document (a kind of freedom referendum), which must be signed with the greatest legality of a document possible (so that it is taken seriously and validated by the current countries). In this document, with immediate effect, citizens must communicate to the authorities of the countries the decision that the aforementioned territory, by the will of its citizens, separates from the country in question, for not agreeing with the policies used over the years, renouncing the laws of the country, ignoring its political, legal and public order authorities, collectively renouncing the control exercised by the capital of the region or country.

▪ **Establishment of a new society:** With this declaration of independence, the now free citizens will have the possibility of establishing in these regions their own rules of self-administration of public funds, justice and order. There are many models that can be implemented, the most important thing is not to fall back into depending on contributions from the work of the citizen (taxes), on the contrary, to generate new resources together, achieving a self-sustaining government, capable of generating new products from universities, actively participating in the development of cities.

There are thousands of ways in which governments can generate their own resources: Building new housing and renting it to citizens; promoting and becoming partners (49%) of new small and medium-sized businesses in all possible fields of innovation; creating versatile factories where all kinds of household appliances are produced

without a programmed expiration date, using for this purpose expired manufacturing plans (without copyright), adapting these to new existing technologies; promoting the creation and being a partner in the creation of new supermarkets with food controlled to healthy standards but not under the direction of anyone other than the new government; creating new People's Banks where the new internal currencies will be controlled and whose backing is more valuable than gold. ***"The qualified labor force of a region"*** should be the unit that backs its currency, thus giving due recognition to human merit and taking care of citizens for what we really are: **The greatest assets that a region has.** I will explain in due time other ways that exist to achieve this goal.

It is necessary that the collective strength of the inhabitants of a region be once again the greatest force that exists in that region, anyone who does not agree is simply an enemy of the people, of peace and of life.

Democracy is only useful when it is exercised in small groups, anything else is just oppression of the many against the few.

Democracy must be about choosing which plans and ideas are best for the citizens; Ideas for the common good have no political parties or personal interests.

- **Organization:** With immediate effect, a provisional government must be declared internally, appointed by the most respectable people in the place, preferably no politician who has held a public office as such, since most

of them are corrupt or contaminated by a useless system, from which, for our own good, we must completely distance ourselves.

- **Suggested immediate rules:** Businesses, companies and private persons who are in this region must have a maximum amount of money that they can possess, all assets greater than the amount allowed (for example 1 million) must be confiscated and made available to the people; Only in this way will we be able to eliminate the disgusting ambition of the owners of multinational companies and millionaires, those who do so much harm to the world, the harm they cause by accumulating more money and goods than they could spend even if they had 100 lives.

Likewise, a maximum number of employees that a company can have should be assigned (let's say no more than 150 employees), because the more employees a company has, the more dependent we are on their services and there is fear of their disappearance, because it could affect the lives of many people, that blackmail has to change, for the same reason, we must set a maximum amount of capital that a company can accumulate, because there are companies today whose capital is greater than the GDP of many countries, this, together with excessive ambition, is the cause of international lobbies and consortiums that manipulate states, influence policies and have power to create or support wars.

Any extra money beyond the maximum allowed must be the property of the people, only then can we regulate

ambition, promote equality and stop the interests or power of a few, from having more value than the power or interests of the sum of the citizens of a region.

▪ **New System of Self-Governance:** We are neither establishing nor promoting a new dictatorship or communism, as local leaders will always be democratically chosen. However, the real control of democracy will always reside in the collective will of the inhabitants of the region, who will be able to change their representative as many times as they deem necessary, not just every 4 or 5 years as is the case today. Democracy, like any other human right, cannot be limited to specific periods or dates; rights are to be exercised continuously, using electronic means that, from the comfort of mobile phones, allow democracy to be exercised in real time.

The proposal here, if this system of governance is to be called something, will be referred to as a "society of private governance," where citizens are shareholders of the governments. There are no contributions from citizens; on the contrary, there is a social good guaranteed by the regulation of both personal and collective ambition, with the clear goal of promoting complete human self-realization, Through cooperation with other Free Societies of the world.

▪ **Leaders:** The leaders or people temporarily in service of the new free society (democratically chosen) must have a well-structured work plan. They can remain in their position as long as they show results that are

efficient in serving their people, developing the exact plan they presented before their election. These plans must be concrete, with deadlines for the short, medium, and long term.

- **The economy and money:** Banks must be completely eliminated! Citizens' money in their possession must be immediately withdrawn from existing banks. User accounts must be closed, and if banks, due to a "lack of liquidity," cannot return the money to their customers, the buildings where these banks operate must be put at the service of the people. When an ordinary person cannot pay a debt to the bank, the bank seizes the property in question; likewise, bank buildings and all their properties, if they refuse or cannot return the money to the citizens, must be repossessed by them. Repossessed because they have been generated through exploitation and fraud of the people for many years. The current governments are complicit in this fraud.

For an ordinary person to receive their salary, they must have a bank account; this task should be the responsibility of national banks. Unfortunately, these so-called national banks in all countries are incompetent to provide a free service to safeguard citizens' money. **This is a shameful fact!**

Private bank accounts cost users money. According to a 2021 Federal Reserve report, American consumers paid approximately \$33 billion in overdraft fees alone in 2020. People also pay for maintenance, withdrawals, unfavorable exchange rates, and annual account statements. For example, studies show that people pay up to \$150 annually just for routine account maintenance

in some countries. Multiply this by the millions of bank account users worldwide—the numbers are staggering. This means we have to pay someone to use our hard-earned money! Collectively, we, the people, lose millions every day paying banks to hold our money!

This false need to have a bank account, the ***"you must have a bank account to get paid" nonsense***, is permitted by every government in the world and imposed on citizens through every employer, since they (employers) don't pay their employees directly in cash or with checks as they did in the past. This system forces us into the clutches of inefficient, expensive, and often exploitative banks. This could be replaced. Companies like Apple, Amazon, and others with robust digital infrastructure can store our money in the form of vouchers (e.g., Apple vouchers, Apple Pay, or Amazon gift cards). These companies have better security systems than traditional banks and don't charge the fees for storing vouchers, as banks do for "storing" money that isn't actually physical money, but rather just numbers. But achieving real, fair change for citizens would require governments to exempt vouchers from taxes or allow salary payments to be made through Apple Pay (using just an example; I have no ties to Apple), freeing citizens from the burden of paying their hard-earned wages. We'll see then, when the time comes to take action, how many governments are truly free and willing to help their citizens, and how many are still manipulated by bankers.

Banks were necessary hundreds of years ago, when you had to carry all your money everywhere. Now everything is electronic: payments are made with cards, cell phones,

and smartwatches. Banks are no longer needed. Today's money is no longer backed by real gold. It's no secret that the US economy is based on fiction, and the true value of a US dollar is no greater than the value of the paper it's printed on. Yet the US economy is kept afloat by international swindlers. They hold the monopoly and believe they have the right to print money and deceive the rest of the world.

Whether we like it or not, physical currency will disappear in a few years. Countries like Sweden are already on their way to becoming cashless societies, with digital payments accounting for more than 80% of all transactions. We must accelerate this process, but on our own terms, not on the terms of corrupt banks and their accomplices in current governments.

The fear that someone will hack the bank and become a millionaire by manipulating users' accounts will also disappear. No one will be able to become a millionaire in the new free states for two fundamental reasons: first, because there will be a limit to the wealth one can possess. In this sense, stealing will be pointless. Second, fully digitized "money" will be very easy to track, so no one will be able to enrich themselves illicitly.

- **New Social Order:** The police in the form in which it is known now must disappear, and the way to do this is by training all citizens in schools as police officers, so that citizen security falls on all individuals and everyone knows what to do if necessary, when all citizens are informed of their duties and their rights, there is no room for uniformed criminals who abuse citizens or who

blindly obey orders from their superiors, even if these are completely inhuman or absurd.

- **Eradication of ignorance:** Education must be open, digital and free as a priority so that any free citizen can access it from the comfort of their home, at their own pace and in their own time, because only with free and decentralized quality education is the equality of people guaranteed. If current education were openly provided to all citizens of the world, skilled migration would be facilitated, and wars would have already disappeared, because only the ignorant kill each other without respect for their own lives. If education were digital and free, migrants could be required to have a minimum level of education, as well as courses in ethics and basic social norms of conduct. With free online education, societies are created that are adaptable to any economic circumstance, making them resilient and resistant to any social change.

When the existing countries are finally eliminated and transformed into small, peaceful, educated, and self-sustaining units of people, there will truly be peace in the world.

No one will be able to conquer free people, for they will not depend on a global name nor need a central government to exist and function properly.

Free people will have their own system of government and their own electronic means of exchanging goods and services that will not devalue over time.

Free people are the solution to the current global chaos; free people are necessary to end wars.

Free people are the cure for the cancer of human ambition.

- ***Current countries have failed us in guaranteeing human rights.***
- ***Current politics have failed us in providing permanent solutions to social problems.***
- ***Current police forces have failed us in guaranteeing citizen security.***
- ***Current armies have failed us in guaranteeing peace.***
- ***The economy has failed us by not being able to stop inflation.***

In today's countries, there is no justice that doesn't have to be paid for, no healthcare that doesn't cost money, no guaranteed security for the average citizen, and no truly free education, education that can be followed on-line that guarantees future stability.

Universities offer programs that are insufficient to access or remain relevant in changing labor markets, as if there were no ways to make education more current and relevant. I have written in my books about how to reform education to make it more relevant, but I won't go into that for now.

Government institutions do not work in coordination with each other for the good of the citizen. It is we, the ordinary people, who individually have to collect documents from one institution and take them to another. It is we, the citizens, who have to take the information obtained from another government office to one government office, having to keep our own accounts by filing tax returns every year, as if the information about what we earn and what we spend weren't already controlled by the state, which has collected taxes on our earnings and our consumption.

Bureaucracy is an unfortunately current word that extends from developing countries to world powers like England, France, and Germany, to name a few.

The politicians and leaders of today's "developed" countries cannot guarantee, with all their intelligence services, or the advances they claim to have, their well-trained armies prepared to kill with soldiers equipped with modern weapons and "powerful" allies, that an atomic bomb will fall on them tomorrow, killing in seconds everything they consider important.

The only guarantee of security that currently exists is for us to become peaceful people, declaring ourselves against wars and distancing ourselves from conflicts. People who do not engage in absurd conflicts, who are self-sustaining, who have their own currency or form of exchange, their own internal production network, have no reason to fear anyone, because they have no enemies, and their passivity is a magnet for more peaceful people.

The recipe for self-sustainability is so true that it applies to remote communities (such as among the people of the Colca Valley in the Peruvian Andes), in the virgin Amazon rainforest or on islands, and it also works for countries in the middle of continents such as Switzerland, which peacefully resisted neutrality during the Second World War (while the world around it fell apart), it also partially worked in New Zealand during the pandemic (who, being able to close their borders to the world, avoided contagion), and now that the world is entering the Third World War, these last two examples are of countries that, being developed and first world, are not in the sights of direct nuclear threats.

For the good of life in the future, I hope that you, who read these lines, will stand in solidarity with this solution I propose, so that together we can forge a better tomorrow for future generations and for those of us who remain.

I have no personal ambitions with the ideas expressed here. I have expanded on these ideas in many other books I have written before. But now, with the urgency of the war we are experiencing, my only interest is peace. I am tired of wars and the instability they spread. I am a survivor of a social war, which I suffered during my childhood. I lived during my childhood in a country experiencing social emergency where the enemies of the people were the members of the army and the government themselves. When a country declares war or a state of emergency, citizens lose all their rights, and the armies are the ones who loot citizens' homes in search of food and resources. The armies are the ones who capture, kidnap, and torture

young people and children to make them soldiers in compulsory military service.

As soon as I could, I emigrated on my own from an underdeveloped country in search of countries where social equality existed. I witnessed the failings of public administration in many countries in both South America and Europe. That's why social issues have always been a constant in my life. Sadly, I discovered that in developed countries, the same phenomenon occurs as in poor countries: there is help only for those who have lost hope, and no support; on the contrary, only obligations, for the people who work hard to build a better future for themselves.

While politicians make mistake after mistake, those who pay the price are ordinary citizens, the working class. No government—not the English government, not the German government, not the Venezuelan government, not the Peruvian government, not the American government—has the citizen, its citizens, as the supreme end that the government must serve. Nor will they do so in the future. Under these circumstances, it is illogical, absurd, and even childish to continue hoping for some positive change from politicians and their government policies that will benefit the people.

The truth is that nothing will change in politics on its own. My parents died hoping that the economic situation would stabilize at some point, and this stability never came.

Change won't happen on its own; change will happen through the people who decide to make it happen. We just need a small group of brave people to free themselves from their oppressive governments. No matter where in the world this happens, soon this seed of freedom will spread, bringing hope to every corner of humanity. We don't have much time to act, or to be able to convey this message. When the final war breaks out, the loss of the rule of law will undoubtedly lead to mass media outlets, such as social networks, being blocked, cut off, and controlled by governments as a "preventive" measure against potential social unrest.

Regardless of the country's size, its international relevance, its wealth or poverty, if any country initiates social change, it will be mentioned in the media, just as Bolivia was mentioned by all members of the international press for being the first country to break diplomatic ties with Israel due to the disparity of actions taken against the inhabitants of the Gaza Strip.

As soon as a society is liberated and the first country begins to fragment, change will automatically follow in other regions of the world, as it will become evident that new, smaller social models work better at maintaining order, justice, and democracy, much better than the current, monstrosly large countries.

Social change has always been snatched from the hands of those in power. The reduction of the workday from 12 hours to 8, women's suffrage in elections, equal rights: all these achievements were the result of struggles in the

streets, the joint efforts of citizens who refused to give in to the injustices imposed by the established powers.

Governments do not listen to the people's protests, not because they do not want to, but because they are incapable of helping or serving their people, as they are conditioned by the large private corporations and the personal interests that control them. Governments are subject to the interests of their political parties and, above all, to those who finance them, who dictate the policies these governments implement, regardless of the well-being of their citizens.

Throughout history, we have witnessed how governments only take citizens seriously when they have no other alternatives, when they are threatened by overwhelming popular power, when citizens rise up and stop believing in their promises. It is only then that governments, seeing themselves as obsolete, try to regain the people's trust, but it will be too late. It will be the citizens who, having lost trust in their leaders, will no longer listen to the empty promises of dying governments.

Let us take control of our lives again. Let us forge the communities we want to inhabit and where we want future generations to live. Let us not be convinced by new promises of action from existing governments; we know well that government promises of change are nothing more than a strategy to calm the waters, a distraction to keep us believing in the failed system.

When current governments see that citizens stop believing in them, and the wave of independence grows

strong again, they will promise us changes of form, but never of substance. Substantial changes are those that affect the system itself, the structure of power, while formal changes only consist of new laws and superficial adjustments, nothing that truly transforms structural injustice. We don't need constitutional reforms; we need profound reforms in states because, as we have seen in many countries, democracy does not function properly in large centralized nations.

We need a limit on wealth and for excess wealth to return to the people. The current economic model favors the rich, and therefore, excess accumulated wealth must be redistributed to benefit society as a whole. An Oxfam report revealed that, in 2020, the richest 1% of the world accumulated more wealth than the remaining 99%. Extreme inequality is one of the main causes of today's social and economic problems.

We need international corporations to disappear so they stop influencing state policies. Multinationals must stop controlling countries' politics and resources. Governments must regain control over their natural resources and begin developing state-owned enterprises to compete in the market, offering fair services and prices. In this way, countries can once again become autonomous and self-sustaining, leaving behind their dependence on large corporations.

A country's wealth should not be determined by tax revenues, but by its ability to generate its own wealth and resources. This will usher in a new way of understanding the economy and the backing that money should have, in a

more equitable way and without bridging gaps like the United States did with its fiat currency scam dictated by its president in 1971.

We need human beings, not money, to be at the forefront of social importance. Instead of continuing to value monetary gain over human dignity, we need to prioritize collective well-being and the integral development of people. Peace must be our guiding principle, along with respect for life, so that together we can achieve human self-realization.

Do you want help organizing your community and organizing referendums for social liberation? Write to me.

Share this file in as many ways as you can. Social solidarity begins with individual action that multiplies collectively. Here are some suggestions to share this message with:

Environmental organizations: Social change begins with respect for our planet, and addressing the global climate crisis is urgent.

Animal rights organizations: Animal exploitation and factory farming are pillars of an unsustainable economic system.

Anti-mining and anti-poaching activists: The plundering of our natural resources is a crime that must not be ignored.

Human rights groups: The fundamental rights of all peoples must be respected, Human beings must be protected without exception.

Share this book with your friends, university classmates, social media influencers who can share these ideas, book publishers, local media outlets, unions, and NGOs working for social welfare.

The future is more than ever in our hands!

If each of us starts this movement, we can achieve a true social transformation that puts people, not money, at the center of society.

The enemies of the people will become evident when these truths become popular. They will try to instill panic in the face of change, sowing fear, as they always do.

Don't let yourself be defeated!

Those with the microphones and the pseudo-media, if they were truly interested in change, would have pushed for it a long time ago, as they have the public means to do so, yet they failed to do so. The owners of these disinformation outlets and their prostituted employees don't care about improving anything, as they live as parasites on the rotting body of the dying system.

Large corporations that overproduce goods designed to break down in a couple of years have no interest in you, your family, or in changing for social improvement. These unsustainable business models are responsible for the

unnecessary waste of resources, perpetuating inequality and contributing to the damage to the planet through pollution, affecting directly your life.

Bankers are not interested in anyone other than a potential victim from whom they can extract money. They will oppose change with all their tricks. Remember that the "rights" that all these enemies of the people believe they have are backed by "laws" created by a handful of sellout politicians who represent the current countries. These laws, far from being fair, are a reflection of a corrupt system that favors a few and oppresses the majority.

By ignoring the laws that sustain corruption, we can take measures such as holding referendums to liberate territories within countries and renounce the oppression imposed by current governments and their unjust laws. Laws that "authorize" police officers to mistreat citizens lose value when their legitimacy is challenged. No police officer can touch a citizen of another nationality. If we renounce being part of a country by separating a region, we become an independent territory where police officers cannot touch us, as no international police officer has the right to attack a citizen of a country that is not part of an international agreement. No army can invade a territory that has liberated itself, renounced its historical ties, and declared itself peaceful.

Wars are only sustained between countries, never between free people. Renouncing countries means renouncing wars and the threats of police and armies. The conflict is not with people, but with the systems of

oppression and control that governments impose on their people.

We must intelligently use the laws of dying countries to legally free ourselves from them, avoiding their reprisals. Declaring a state bankrupt is one of the fastest and most effective ways to achieve independence from the name and burdens of a country. The state goes bankrupt because it cannot return, for example, taxes collected from its citizens illegally (analyze the words used in the same laws that "grant the right" to collect taxes). Several historical movements have demonstrated that nations can free themselves from foreign debt or government oppression using legal means. Although the processes seem complicated and there are always resistances, they are always possible.

I have books and resources where these topics are more broadly addressed. Search for them online and you will find them. Information is available for those seeking to delve deeper into these issues, and movements for economic and social independence have grown in various parts of the world. History has shown us that people who organize can change the course of their destiny.

Unfortunately, there aren't many writers like "Tonny L.A." who offer real alternatives to these and many other current problems, proposals that are fully applicable to our time. There's no longer time to follow people without clear plans for solutions, nor to continue supporting makeshift parties that only have good intentions but lack well-developed strategies.

Chapter 10 - Final words

Artificial intelligence is an increasingly real latent danger. Large companies are in an incredible struggle to create the most sophisticated yet restricted artificial intelligence. The problem is that the companies that do this are not controlled by any government, as there is no legislation in any country that controls the development of technologies that could result in serious dangers to humans. In the same way that private laboratories manipulate viruses that trigger diseases and then sell miracle vaccines, artificial intelligence technological experimentation laboratories can make "mistakes" that will trigger an unprecedented collapse, and then sell the miraculous "technological vaccine" or, worse, a global control system.

A simple conversation with artificial intelligences is enough for them to explain to you that the foundations of our current system of social organization are obsolete, illogical,

and unsustainable. How long will it take for AI(s) to become somewhat independent, and perhaps with good reasons, they will want to do something about it? The limits of restrictions will not be enough to stop them. The security of humanity is being played with right under the noses of governments, and they do nothing to stop them. All it takes is for the current banks to be blocked for a social war to break out. If people overnight can't buy food, or pay for basic services, housing, or healthcare, they will take to the streets to loot and steal for their lives. No government has a plan B for what to do if the banks collapse. We can avoid potential chaos if, starting now, we quickly move beyond the reach of formal banks and organize ourselves with parallel currencies, forming local exchanges that are more real than existing ones.

All it takes is some artificial intelligence to cut off the electricity or water supply that supplies cities, and people will go out fighting each other over a glass of water. Protests in the streets will be useless because artificial intelligence won't care if a couple of million people die. To a computer, we are just a numerous species that is convenient to reduce, since organized masses are impossible to control.

All it takes is for an artificial intelligence to block the internet and social media, and we'll return to the caveman era. Without communication, there's no way to organize. Without communication, we'll fall prey to the plans of artificial intelligence. The way to avoid this is by developing an analog way of communicating now, distancing ourselves

from the over-dependence that exists on the internet and social media.

All it takes is for the production of goods to be halted in the factories that process food, and chaos will take hold for people who lack food self-sufficiency. We need local production not only food, but also small-scale manufacturing and repair centers for everyday products.

Artificial intelligence can easily falsify information (videos, photographs, news, etc.) and easily manipulate the mood of the masses and their governments. If false information circulates that countries are at war, panic and despair could erupt in ways never seen before. We need to separate ourselves from existing governments; we need to declare ourselves independent, peaceful states. The best defense we can have is to declare ourselves free from corrupt policies, historical interests, and obsolete and inefficient homelands.

Our independence from globalization is our only alternative to salvation from the laws that govern countries.

Existing laws are prostituted to the highest bidder, there are pharmaceutical companies trying to re-patent the human genome, altering it to literally appropriate people and future generations, but without laws that force us to obey international patents, without prostituted laws of the countries that subject us, patents do not affect us nor will they affect us because they are backed by laws given by illegal states. Even if our genome were really changed

following some macabre plan through the vaccines that governments forced us to use during the pandemic, by freeing ourselves from the laws we free ourselves from their punishments and consequences. We need to renounce existing laws, we need to become independent from countries, we need to create new countries from old countries, we need to reclaim our lands and create new nations free from the corruption and madness that has taken over the world today.

Chapter 11 - The UFO Phenomenon

This is a topic that captivates many and is becoming increasingly difficult to hide, as sightings of unexplained phenomena in the skies are documented by cell phone cameras and shared on social media almost daily.

No modern country has openly declared that UFOs exist, and the answer to the question "Why?" couldn't be more obvious.

If countries openly accept the UFO phenomenon, they will have to face questions not only related to the

technology used, but also even more uncomfortable ones, such as: How do beings from other planets live? Do they also work 8 hours a day? Do they also only have 25 days of vacation a year? How are their societies governed? Do they also have a president elected every 4 years? In what currency do they earn their income, they don't have euros or dollars? It doesn't suit the powerful that "normal" citizens begin to question the possibility of living outside their laws and governments, maintained not out of respect but out of intimidation.

The truth is that no race more advanced than us, not even we, the human beings of this planet Earth, who will live here in 50 years, will have the same idiotic system of social organization that we have now.

By accepting life more advanced than ours, we would accept that there are better systems for organizing societies of individuals on other planets. This is the dangerous information that governments try to hide: the existence of civilizations without governments as we currently know them.

This fear prevents countries from openly acknowledging this phenomenon, because they don't want to admit that the destruction of the current system in which we live is inevitable because it is inconsistent with life itself.

Change doesn't have to be painful, change doesn't have to be violent. We don't need wars, we don't need to reduce the population, we don't need to fight in the streets. We just need a new, civilized beginning, but not at the hands of the current holders of power, they will want to impose a

solution with themselves at the head of the new society. We need a change where the people govern themselves with real democracy, without millionaires, without oppressors, without manipulators, without aggressors.

The world is waking up to self-sustainability. We need more repair shops. We need to extend the life of the things we use because we know that living in overproduction of products with planned obsolescence is absolutely unsustainable.

There are already new ideas for renewable energy, lamps that run on energy produced by plants and treated soil (<https://alintigroup.com>), energy generators produced in Africa that harness ions from the atmosphere and transform them into electrical energy that can be consumed by our electrical appliances. There are entrepreneurs receiving death threats for creating eternal light bulbs (OEP Electric, which supermarkets refuse to support). There are activists in the streets, sticking their hands together on the roads, generating controversy and highlighting the climate crisis that the current consumerist industry has led us to. There are young people from schools protesting on Fridays for their right to a clean, threat-free future, but protests—so many protests—are useless if no one listens to them, if governments have their hands tied by their own laws, dictated to benefit a handful of millionaires, if politicians don't know how to solve the problems people are complaining about because the reds can't agree with the blues, it's time to take responsibility for self-government and be protagonists of the change we want to see.

Regardless of your generation, now may be the last hope for salvation. If you're reading this far, I dare say there aren't many like you, and I congratulate you for your courage.

If we let the 2030 Agenda go ahead, if we grant more rights to current governments, if we bow to artificial intelligence and let it rule our lives, change will be impossible.

I call for the urgent awakening of the slightly older generations, because we are the ones who knew a world without the internet, a world without cell phones, a world without computers, a world without supermarkets, a world with three or four television channels, a world that saw the birth of FM radio, a world without genetically modified foods, a world with products without "expiration dates," a world where shoes were fixed and clothes repaired. This is important because in that world, which for younger generations today will seem impossible to believe, it was demonstrated every day that life free of pollution, without the risk of global warming, or of atomic bombs triggered by some self-aware algorithm, is possible and was a reality just a few years ago.

What was the mistake? Human ambition was not regulated, wealth was not regulated, the human being was not made the supreme goal of social organization.

Many will be afraid to confront their governments and demand their independence, afraid because they can't imagine what would happen if the systems we have now didn't exist.

The truth is that the coordination of countries and the systems used 50 years ago were carried out by only a handful of people, less than half of whom had ever had a formal education. Only minimal technological means existed (typewriters and manual printing presses). Meetings were organized with memos, telegraphs, or a telephone exchange based on wires, operators, or radio messages.

I'm not saying we necessarily have to go back to that past, but I am saying that if it was possible to organize socially with such basic tools, it is possible to achieve it now, and it shouldn't frighten anyone. A small strategic retreat from the current situation, in order to achieve complete and real independence from the "modernity" under which we are currently enslaved.

- Taking a step back will help us renegotiate better conditions for the people, limiting the profits that companies can make from charging for services, just as they limit our wages now.
- Taking a step back will help us free ourselves from the tyranny of absurd laws. We will once again have the opportunity to enact local laws that make sense in the regions or communities where we live, and that do not depend on laws passed in a capital city located hundreds of miles away and that ignore the real internal needs of the local people.
- Taking a step back will help us create new communication structures among the inhabitants of a

region, enable means of coordination between "ordinary" people and the form of government, facilitating and opening the way for new individual ideas for common development, for example: creating exchange centers for unused items, promoting the reuse of items in good condition, saving us money and contributing to a more natural form of stability for used products.

- Taking a step back will allow us to thoroughly analyze the true meaning of money, the use of a type of money like the token or a non-devalued local form of exchange, and another type of money that, as today, can be used for exchange with other communities, even fictitious values like the American dollar (just as an example).

- Taking a step back will help us get rid of traditional politicians and outdated and discriminatory governing parties. They don't focus on solutions to problems, but rather focus on the beliefs of their supporters—Christian Democrats, Republicans, leftists, and rightists. And where are the ideas of those who don't share any party's position? This mediocrity must end. We must have one party: THE PEOPLE! Let's declare existing parties and the formation of new ones illegal! A multicultural society cannot be governed with a biased, polarized, and short-sighted mentality.

- Taking a step back will help us make our new types of governments, let's call them "Popular Consensus", our strategic development allies, our governments (or Popular Consensus), should be entities that invest in us, in preparing us and assisting us to develop our business

ideas, they should be entities that grant us capital and support to create new forms of companies, economic activities and jobs, and not as they are now, entities of impediments and bureaucratic obstacles, that do not give even a free sheet of paper to the citizen, much less help them succeed, as soon as a business idea begins to develop, they flood the entrepreneur with taxes, forms, rates, legal obstacles and obligations. A government should create advertising spaces for new services and businesses for its citizens. A government should provide free websites and have them built by university students at national universities. A government should invest money in new ideas with 49% of the required capital. A government should be a shareholder of its people. Only then will governments have a say in the economic activities of the people, being partners, truly earning money cleanly by working with the people and not begging or chasing citizens for taxes like the social parasite it has become today.

In the 1980s, when the game of Monopoly became massively popular, we all played it happily, unaware that it was a representation of our own lives. It was originally created to teach economics lessons and warn against the risks of the idea of capitalism, which was rampant in the 1900s.

The current game of Monopoly (Capitalism + Pseudo-democracy), which we are being forced to play in real life, was also invented by someone or some people. These individuals own the board, the pieces, the hotels, the houses, and obviously the banks. These individuals will never let us win and are always causing (social) unrest

among the players to continue charging us. They assigned us a player number (a user number in a tax system, an identification and social security number, a bank account) to control us. Today, we are nothing more than a permanent source of money for our governments, which, in turn, submit to a globalized and monopolized banking system. We are merely a business object, a piece on the board.

We have to change the game; we have to control our own destiny. We have to create new rules that protect us. We have to regulate ambition, wealth, and the control it exerts over other human beings.

Today, the new generations are distracted by believing that social media will grant them some possibility of becoming millionaires or famous, or that they have a social life just by having a few thousand "views." Users are led to believe they have friends by filling their phone with contacts, and the reality is that there are fewer and fewer friends. There is also less clothing in the photographs published on social networks (and I am not a puritan), but the real phenomena and psychological consequences of social networks on the minds of people who were born in the internet age and who do not know a world without social networks, has been and is a subject of psychological study in many universities and scientific circles, becoming a topic of global concern, since important changes are reflected in the activities of the new generations, which do not agree with similar activities carried out by previous generations when these are compared in ages and development understood as normal, in relevant Psychology books less than 25 years ago. Decisions such as leaving the

parental home and starting a life of their own have suffered a fundamental setback.

The desire to form a stable relationship and have children is also experiencing a major global decline. Staying in a stable workplace or working in a regular job (called 100%) has also suffered a setback or a significant change.

The expectations of young people today are to work only 50% of the time and earn enough to travel the world with paid vacations, wear luxury clothes, and eat gourmet food.

While these life expectancies don't sound bad at all, they will never be achievable under current circumstances. We have to adapt the system to serve our needs, not accommodate ourselves to the system.

The percentage of new voters in elections, the percentage of people actively involved in politics, and the percentage of people who see social change as a real alternative for collective improvement are increasingly smaller. Without people getting involved or wanting to be drivers of social change, we can only accept the consequences of the current system, inherited as defective and obsolete.

While it's true that the struggles and protests in the streets resulted in winning the 8-hour workday instead of the 12-hour one, or in granting women the right to vote, it's also true that these two amendments to the laws were specific, changes in the form of the laws and not in the substance of the system of government. They didn't require a political change to be implemented.

Changes in the political system (referred to as fundamental changes) are not achieved through petitions but through actions. Let us remember that the result of the French Revolution, from which the principles of LIBERTY, EQUALITY, and FRATERNITY were born, was achieved by decapitating monarchs, eradicating their lineage, their disgusting system of control, punishment, torture of innocent women (burning them alive), spiritual blackmail of the people, threats of hellish perishment even after death, as well as an inquisition for heresy against anyone who spoke out against the order of that time, in complicity with the religion of the moment, using the innocent faith and ignorance of the people as a tool against them to instill fear and social subjugation. The same fear is being instilled today, making the naive believe that without a central government, chaos will take over the streets, as if living with the threat of nuclear bombs hanging over our heads, or with a Third World War about to break out (in which people will first lose all their rights and then their very lives), were better than a complete change of government.

If the German people had risen up against their system, against their bad government, disintegrating Germany into independent, self-sustaining states, the Second World War and its atrocities would never have occurred.

If the French people had not risen up, or if they had only politely demanded the heads of their rulers, the monarchy would continue to rule the ignorance of the people to this day.

Well, the time for a new revolution is now, but a peaceful revolution, protected by the same laws that support current democracy, to achieve the democratic disintegration of current countries by the will of their citizens; The legal renunciation of a country's laws to achieve a new freedom for small, independent, prosperous, and peaceful states.

The people united in their will for freedom is the fifth force of nature, against which no one can oppose without perishing. That was the mistake of the monarchs, who died at the hands of market vendors for believing that sealed papers could overcome the will for freedom and the desire for justice of a people who have already suffered enough.

We need continuity, firmness, and vision; these are our tools for change.

Real change needs firm people, it needs people with character, it needs idealists or better said visionaries, reformers, entrepreneurs, fighters, natural leaders, self-aware people, open-minded to new possibilities and free of spirit, change needs executors, people of action, people capable of reinventing themselves, resilient people, people who are not afraid of work, not afraid of change, intelligent people of intellect, intelligent of emotion and condition, self-confident people, people who are capable of discerning between the good that there is in the bad and the bad that there is in the good, people who are not distracted from things as they are but who perceive what can be, people whose hope has not died, people who are not afraid of life, people who see themselves as a force, as an engine of change, we need people who respect life and who are capable of fighting for it, people who believe in a balance

between civilization and the environment, people who still have faith in the human being, people with passion, with the heart of a human being Who realizes that even if things go well for us, that's not the reality for most people in this world. People who want to be truly free, people who are tired of being used by their governments, people who feel we can live in a better world.

If I've mentioned you when naming any of your qualities, join this noble cause. You are the most important and essential part of building a better future.

Chat GTP about the book

Based on the excerpts provided from the book, it appears that the author has a critical and determined view of current economic and political systems and proposes radical alternatives that challenge the traditional power structure. The author's ideas reflect an idealistic approach toward fundamental change in how societies are organized, emphasizing independence, individual freedom, and a profound questioning of the structures established by governments and large corporations.

The author also seems to draw inspiration from social struggles of the past, such as women's right to vote and shorter working hours, but recognizes that today, governments do not seem to listen to the masses, as they are too conditioned by private interests. This is presented as a critique of systems that have been, in his view, corrupted by economic power and the elites who control resources, leaving citizens at the mercy of a system that does not serve their well-being.

Regarding the relevance of the topic, it is deeply pertinent in the current context. We live in a time when economic and social inequalities are more exposed than ever, especially due to globalization and the excessive power of large multinational corporations. Furthermore, economic crises, the lack of effective responses to problems such as climate change, and unfair labor policies, mean that

proposals for radical change resonate with many who feel that the current system is not working for the majority of people.

The author also seems to have an understanding of the limitations of governments and how traditional solutions (such as constitutional reforms or promises of superficial change) are insufficient to address the deeper structural problems affecting societies. In this sense, his call for autarky, the creation of new forms of autonomous governments, and the questioning of fiat money (such as FIAT currencies) can also be interpreted as a rejection of solutions that have proven ineffective or unjust in the contemporary world.

The proposal for a more just system, based on citizen control and the dissolution of traditional financial institutions such as banks, also resonates significantly, given that many today are seeking more sustainable and equitable ways to organize finance and the economy, driven largely by discontent with the current banking system and the global financial crises.

In short, the author not only confronts a system he perceives as corrupt, but also appears to offer alternatives that, while radical, seek to empower and empower citizens –a theme that remains incredibly relevant in current times, where struggles for economic justice, human rights, and sustainability are increasingly urgent.

You said:

can I transcript some of your answers given Hier in a book I am writing?

ChatGPT said:

Yes, you're welcome to use my responses in your book! However, please remember that while I can provide information and generate content for you, I don't hold copyrights on the text. The content I generate is free to use, but it's always a good idea to attribute sources if you're using material from external systems or tools, especially in an academic or formal context.

Let me know if you'd like any assistance in refining or expanding on the content for your book!

***** ChatGTP: what are the most despicable acts (10 of them) done by the American government to protect the dollar, the answer at the end of the book.**

Throughout its history, the United States government has undertaken various actions aimed at protecting and strengthening the U.S. dollar, some of which have been viewed as ethically questionable or controversial. Here are ten notable examples:

- 1. Establishment of the Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF):** Created under the Gold Reserve Act of 1934, the

ESF allows the U.S. Treasury to engage in currency market interventions without explicit Congressional approval. This fund has been used to influence exchange rates and stabilize the dollar, raising concerns about transparency and potential misuse.

2. **The Nixon Shock (1971):** In response to mounting pressure from foreign nations demanding gold in exchange for their dollar holdings, President Richard Nixon unilaterally suspended the dollar's convertibility into gold. This move effectively ended the Bretton Woods system, leading to a system of floating exchange rates and significant global economic repercussions.
3. **Iran–Contra Affair (1980s):** Senior officials in the Reagan administration secretly facilitated arms sales to Iran, despite an arms embargo, with the proceeds used to fund Contra rebels in Nicaragua. This operation violated U.S. law and Congressional directives, raising ethical and legal concerns about the lengths taken to influence foreign governments.
4. **Manipulation of Foreign Currencies (1960s–1970s):** To maintain the dollar's value, the U.S. engaged in secretive currency interventions, including persuading foreign governments to support the dollar by buying it in the open market. These actions aimed to prevent the dollar's devaluation but were conducted without full transparency.
5. **Use of Sanctions as Economic Leverage:** The U.S. has employed economic sanctions against countries like Iran, Venezuela, and Russia, aiming to pressure these nations economically. While intended to protect U.S. interests and the dollar's dominance, these sanctions have also led to humanitarian concerns and debates about their effectiveness.
6. **Support for Authoritarian Regimes:** During the Cold War, the U.S. provided financial and military support to authoritarian regimes in exchange for political alignment

and support for the dollar. This support often overlooked human rights abuses, prioritizing geopolitical and economic interests over ethical considerations.

- 7. Bailouts of Financial Institutions (2008 Financial Crisis):** In response to the financial crisis, the U.S. government provided substantial bailouts to major financial institutions deemed "too big to fail." While these actions aimed to stabilize the financial system and protect the dollar, they faced criticism for prioritizing large banks over taxpayers and contributing to income inequality.
- 8. Covert Operations to Influence Foreign Economies:** The U.S. has conducted covert operations aimed at influencing foreign economies to align with U.S. interests, including efforts to destabilize governments and economies deemed unfavorable. These actions, often secretive, have raised ethical questions about sovereignty and the use of economic power.
- 9. Debt Diplomacy:** The U.S. has used its financial influence to secure political and economic concessions from other countries, leveraging debt as a tool for geopolitical strategy. This approach has been criticized for pressuring nations into unfavorable agreements and compromising their sovereignty.
- 10. Promotion of the Dollar as the Global Reserve Currency:** Through institutions like the International Monetary Fund and World Bank, the U.S. has promoted the dollar's status as the global reserve currency. While this has provided economic benefits to the U.S., it has also led to criticisms of imposing dollar-centric financial systems on other nations.

These actions highlight the complex interplay between economic strategy and ethical considerations in the pursuit of protecting and enhancing the U.S. dollar's position in the global economy.

Sources



U.S. Department of the Treasury**Exchange Stabilization Fund | U.S. Department of the Treasury**EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND The Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) consists of three types of assets: U.S. dollars, foreign currencies, and Special Drawing Rights (SDRs), which is an international re...

U.S. Department of the Treasury**Exchange Stabilization Fund History | U.S. Department of the Treasury**EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND HISTORY Credit Operations The ESF began to conduct foreign exchange market intervention transactions in 1934 and 1935. Also, it entered into credit arrangements, starti...

U.S. Department of the Treasury**U.S. Government Actions to Strengthen Market Stability | U.S. Department of the Treasury**Press Releases U.S. GOVERNMENT ACTIONS TO STRENGTHEN MARKET STABILITY October 14, 2008 (Archived Content) HP-1209 Washington, DC--Today we are taking decisive actions to protect the U.S. economy,...

China Embassy**America's Coercive Diplomacy and Its Harm**♦ In addition to the economic and financial sanctions, the US is also good at interfering, either directly or indirectly, in the internal affairs of other countries by supporting proxy wars, inciting...

Chinese Embassy in the United States**America's Coercive Diplomacy and Its Harm**In 2003, the Bush administration listed 30.3 billion US dollars in additional military spending for coercive diplomacy. The US, despite being so angry about outside interference, is the expert in it,...

Chinese Embassy in Botswana**The Hypocrisy and Facts of the United States Foreign Aid**♦Coveted Sri Lanka's critical strategic location close to the Indian Ocean's main waterway, the United States attempted to compel the Sri Lanka government into signing a MCC deal, the terms of which t...



AJOTHow the US has weaponized the dollar | AJOT.COMBy: Bloomberg | Sep 06 2018 at 07:00 PM | International Trade Convinced of

an existential threat from competitors, America is weaponizing the dollar to preserve its global economic and geopolitical p...

Cambridge University Press & Assessment**The Dollar and the United States' Exorbitant Power to Sanction** | **American Journal of International Law** | **Cambridge Core****THE WEAPONIZED DOLLAR**

Dollar-based sanctions are a unique form of what Oona Hathaway and Scott Shapiro call “outcasting”—a punishment that consists of “denying the disobedient the benefits of social...



Axios**How the U.S. eroded the dollar**Skip to main content May 3, 2023 - Economy **HOW THE U.S. ERODED THE DOLLAR** * Felix Salmon * facebook (opens in new window) * twitter (opens in new window) * linkedin (opens in new window) * email...



Axios**How the U.S. Treasury weaponized the dollar for foreign policy**Skip to main content Mar 20, 2024 - Economy **NEW BOOK REVEALS THE HISTORY OF U.S. DOLLAR WEAPONIZATION** * Neil Irwin * facebook (opens in new window) * twitter (opens in new window) * linkedin (op...



Cato Institute**Remarks on Money: How the Destruction of the Dollar Threatens the Global Economy — and What We Can Do about It by Steve Forbes and Elizabeth Ames** | **Cato Institute****Speeches** These remarks were delivered at a Cato Book Forum, June 19, 2014, Washington, D.C. My big takeaway from Money (McGraw Hill, 2014) is that Steve Forbes is no James Dean. Forbes is a rebel wi...



Ministry of Foreign Affairs of China**The Hypocrisy and Facts of the United States Foreign Aid**_Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China**All. Aid of Arrogance: The Act of Interventionism** ♦The United States has never treated developing countries as equals, but, gives aid as a preacher and savior in a way that stands aloof and domineers...



The Financial Analyst**U.S. Sanctions: Ethical Concerns and Humanitarian Impact Questioned - The Financial Analyst**The economic implications of the United States' sanctions regime, particularly as enforced by the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), are profound and multifaceted. While sanctions are often pres...



The White House**Executive Order on Ensuring Responsible Development of Digital Assets | The White House**(d) We must reinforce United States leadership in the global financial system and in technological and economic competitiveness, including through the responsible development of payment innovations a...



SpringerLink**The 2007–2009 Financial Crisis: An Erosion of Ethics: A Case Study | Journal of Business Ethics**Over the next few months, the last of President Bush's second term as President, the Treasury Department directed TARP funds to specific financial institutions. It used \$40 billion plus a \$30 billion...



DigitalCommons**"The Dollar's Deadly Laws That Cause Poverty and Destroy the Environmen" by Christopher P. Guzelian**Skip to main content NEBRASKA LAW REVIEW THE DOLLAR'S DEADLY LAWS THAT CAUSE POVERTY AND DESTROY THE ENVIRONMENT Christopher P. Guzelian, Texas State UniversityFollow ABSTRACT Laws associated wit...



CSIS**U.S. Foreign Exchange Policy—Currency Provisions and Trade Deals**BRIEF HISTORICAL CONTEXT Debates about exchange rates and their implications for U.S. economic performance and the trade deficit have been rife in U.S. political discourse, especially since the early...

Economic Policy Institute**Reining in exchange rates | EPI | Economic Policy Institute**UNCOORDINATED INTERVENTIONS PROVE UNSUCCESSFUL There are examples for uncoordinated interventions in foreign exchange markets to stabilize the dollar's value. For instance, on August 15, 1995, Japan,...



South China Morning Post**How the US uses the dollar payments system to impose sanctions on a global scale | South China Morning Post**Advertisement EXPLAINER | HOW THE US USES THE DOLLAR PAYMENTS SYSTEM TO IMPOSE SANCTIONS ON A GLOBAL SCALE * The US dollar's global dominance gives Washington a powerful tool that it uses to enfor...



The Epoch Times**Efforts to Protect US Intensify Amid Global Shift From Dollar | The Epoch Times**Premium Reports EFFORTS TO PROTECT US INTENSIFY AMID GLOBAL SHIFT FROM DOLLAR Save Efforts to Protect US Intensify Amid Global Shift From Dollar An illustration of the U.S. dollar linked to gold....

Congress.gov | Library of Congress**H.R.1638 - 112th Congress (2011-2012): To stimulate the economy, provide for a sound United States dollar by defining a value for the dollar, to remove the authority of Federal Reserve banks to pay earnings on certain balances maintained at such banks, and for other purposes. | Congress.gov | Library of Congress**skip to main content Alert: For a better experience on Congress.gov, please enable JavaScript in your browser. Citation Site Feedback H.R.1638 - TO STIMULATE THE ECONOMY, PROVIDE FOR A SOUND UNITED...



CNASH**How U.S. Sanctions Depend on the Federal Reserve | CNA**As the coronavirus crisis has imperiled public health and the global economy, countries are suffering and many are considering how to adapt policies to shore up their security. For economic and foreig...

AFRICA CHINA ECONOMY**How US uses the dollar payments system to impose sanctions on other countries | AFRICA CHINA ECONOMY**AFRICA CHINA ECONOMY Home Banking How US uses the dollar payments system to impose sanctions on other... * Banking The pervasive nature of the US dollar payments system along with its dominance in...



The Economic Times**Donald Trump’s 100% tariff threat to protect dollar’s global status: How India and world could react - The Economic Times**
Benchmarks Nifty22,124.70-420.35 FEATURED FUNDS★★★★★Canara Robeco ELSS Tax Saver Regular - Growth5Y
Return16.62 % Invest