1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO NORMAN M. ASPIS (BAR NO. 313466) ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com naspis@allenmatkins.com	. 239015)				
8	Attorneys for Receiver GEOFF WINKLER					
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT				
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	WESTERN	DIVISION				
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM				
13	COMMISSION,  Plaintiff,  SECOND INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR FURTHER					
14	V.	INSTRUCTIONS OF RECEIVER, GEOFF WINKLER				
15	RALPH T. IANNELLI and ESSEX	Date: September 19, 2019				
16	CAPITAL CORP.,	Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 6D				
17	Defendants.	Judge Hon. Fernando M. Olguin				
18						
19	TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIE	ES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF				
20	RECORD, AND THIS HONORABLE	COURT:				
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT	, in accordance with this Court's				
22	December 21, 2018 Order Regarding Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of a					
23	Permanent Receiver (ECF No. 66) (the "Appointment Order") and its February 1,					
24	2019 Order in Aid of Receivership (ECF No. 69) (the "Order in Aid"), Geoff					
25	Winkler (the "Receiver"), the Court-appointed permanent receiver for Defendant					
26	Essex Capital Corporation ("Essex") and i	ts subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively,				
27	the "Receivership Entities" or "Entities"), hereby submits the following Second					
28						
Gamble LLP						

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "Report") for the period from April 1, 2019 through June 30, 2019 (the "Reporting Period").

### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.

As initially discussed in the Receiver's First Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "First Report") (ECF No. 78), filed on April 30, 2019, and as further detailed below, the Receiver has made, and continues to make, substantial progress in his efforts to identify, marshal, and administer the available assets of the Receivership Entities ("Receivership Assets" or "Assets"), and has now completed his preliminary analysis of the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities. In addition, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver continued to perform the duties required to protect and preserve the value of the Entities and their Assets, as provided for in the Appointment Order, and to operate the viable portion of the Entities' business as a going concern. While the Receiver's work is ongoing, the status of his efforts and the preliminary conclusions<sup>1</sup> developed from his review and analysis of materials recovered to-date are appropriate for presentation in this Report.

By way of summary, since submitting the First Report, and during the Reporting Period, the Receiver has, among other things:

- Continued to monitor all known, pre-receivership litigation in California state courts involving or implicating the Receivership Entities or their Assets, and advised those courts of the litigation stay and self-help bar contained within the Appointment Order, as necessary;
- Continued to prosecute Essex's claims in the action styled Essex Capital Corp. v. Garipalli, et al., S.D.N.Y. Case No. 17-cv-06347 (the

27 28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

-2-

Due to the volume and nature of the information recovered, the complexity of the matters and transactions to which they relate, and the potential need for further investigation and analysis, the conclusions presented herein are preliminary. The Receiver may modify his conclusions after additional investigation or analysis.

"Garipalli Action"), and participated in an initial settlement conference in connection with the fraud claims alleged by Essex in the Garipalli Action;

- Completed a preliminary analysis of the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities, including in connection with his efforts to identify and marshal additional Receivership Assets;
- Completed a preliminary inventory of known Receivership Assets, including cash on-hand, investments, receivables (including revenue from pending equipment leases), and known claims against third parties;
- Continued to execute his plan for recovering and maximizing the value of available Receivership Assets;
- Prepared a preliminary accounting, based upon his document review and analysis as it stood in late March 2019, in connection with, and participated in, a mediation of the plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission's (the "Commission") claims against defendant Ralph Iannelli, which mediation occurred on April 4, 2019. The mediation resulted in a judgment later entered by this Court;
- Obtained significant volumes of additional documents relating to the business and financial activities of the Entities, culminating in a review of more than 455,000 pages of materials, reflecting hundreds of thousands of individual transactions;
- Completed a preliminary, global accounting, with a particular emphasis on those Receivership Entity transactions: (1) believed to relate to recoverable Assets; and (2) reflecting funds raised from and paid out to investors in, and other creditors of, the Receivership Entities, and

which should enable the Receiver to conduct an efficient and expedient claims process later in the case<sup>2</sup>;

- Commenced a review and analysis of prospective claims against third parties, including prospective disgorgement claims against parties believed to be in wrongful possession of Receivership Assets; and
- Recovered a gross total of approximately \$2,211,780.02, in cash (with a June 30, 2019 balance of cash on-hand of \$2,205,350.02), and continued to administer other, non-cash Assets valued at approximately \$7.4 million, for the benefit and administration of the Receivership Entities.

As reflected in the Appointment Order, the Receiver is vested with exclusive authority and control over the Receivership Entities and all Receivership Assets, and has been authorized, empowered, and directed to, among other things: (1) take exclusive authority and control over all Receivership Assets; (2) conduct such investigation and discovery as necessary to identify and locate outstanding Receivership Assets; (3) preserve and prevent the dissipation of Receivership Assets; and (4) provide an accounting to the Court and the Commission regarding the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities. The Receiver, having diligently pursued these goals since the inception of the receivership, including during the Reporting Period, hereby presents his efforts, analysis, preliminary conclusions, and recommendations, in detail, below.

# II. <u>RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY.</u>

The Receiver invites the Court and all interested parties to review the following materials<sup>3</sup> for a general summary of the relevant facts and procedural

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

-4-

1170820.05/LA

The Receiver anticipates submitting an accounting report prior to or concurrently with his next interim report.

These materials, and others, are available on the Receiver's website, www.essex-receivership.com.

background underlying the above-captioned case, including the Receiver's 1 2 appointment and the activities of the Receiver and his professionals: 3 The Commission's Complaint, filed on June 5, 2018 (ECF No. 1); 4 Intervenors' Notice of Motion and Motion to Intervene and Appoint 5 Monitor, filed on July 26, 2018 (ECF No. 32); 6 Essex's Answer to the Complaint, filed on August 1, 2018 (ECF 7 No. 37); 8 Order Regarding Preliminary Injunction, entered on October 1, 2018 (ECF No. 53); 9 10 Report of Preliminary Accounting of Defendant Essex Capital 11 Corporation and Recommendations of Court-Appointed Monitor Geoff 12 Winkler, filed on December 6, 2018 (ECF No. 60-1); 13 The Appointment Order, entered on December 21, 2018 (ECF No. 66); 14 Motion for Order in Aid of Receivership, filed on January 9, 2019 15 (ECF Nos. 67 and 67-1); Order in Aid, entered on February 1, 2019 (ECF No. 69); 16 17 Mediation Report, filed on April 5, 2019 (ECF No. 74); First Report, filed on April 30, 2019 (ECF No. 78); 18 19 Consent of Defendant Ralph T. Iannelli, filed on May 30, 2019 (ECF 20 No. 90); 21 Final Judgment as to Defendant Ralph T. Iannelli, entered on June 5, 22 2019 (ECF No. 93); 23 Abstract of Judgment Regarding Disgorgement, entered on June 20, 2019 (ECF No. 94); 24 25 Abstract of Judgment Regarding Civil Penalty, entered on June 20, 2019 (ECF No. 95); and 26 27 Order On First Interim Report, entered on July 26, 2019 (ECF No. 97). 28

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA

# III. RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES AND EFFORTS SINCE THE FIRST REPORT.

Of the tasks identified above, the Receiver's most critical undertakings during the Reporting Period include:

# A. Administration of Pre-Receivership Litigation Involving The Receivership Entities.

Since filing the First Report, at which time the Receiver had compiled an inventory of all known and active state and federal actions implicating the Receivership Entities, and filed Notices of Pending Receivership in those matters where the Entities' Assets appeared to be implicated, the Receiver has continued to participate in those actions, as appropriate and in accordance with his reasonable business judgment.

# 1. Pending California State Court Litigation.

There are presently two matters pending before the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, both of which are stayed pursuant to Article XIV(A) of the Appointment Order: (1) <u>Gabler v. Essex Capital Corp., et al., Santa Barbara Super. Ct. Case No. 18CV03423 (the "Gabler Action"); and (2) <u>Dennis, et al. v. Iannelli, et al., Santa Barbara Super. Ct. Case No. 18CV03317 (the "Dennis Action").</u></u>

The plaintiff in the Gabler Action alleges that defendants Melissa Iannelli, Ralph Iannelli, and Essex breached the terms of a promissory note, pursuant to which the plaintiff allegedly loaned \$2.2 million to Essex. The plaintiff in the Dennis Action alleges that defendants Ralph Iannelli and Essex operated a fraudulent investment scheme, and seeks relief upon the following causes of action: (1) negligence; (2) violation of California security laws; (3) negligence per se; (4) fraud; and (5) financial elder abuse.

The Receiver and his counsel of record, Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP ("Allen Matkins"), have closely monitored the Gabler Action and the

Dennis Action, and have informed the courts presiding over both actions of the litigation stay imposed by the Appointment Order, in order to protect the estate of the Receivership Entities (the "Estate") from diminution. The Receiver will continue to monitor the Gabler Action and the Dennis Action, and keep the courts presiding over those actions abreast of developments in the instant action, as appropriate.

## 2. The Garipalli Action.

At the time of the Receiver's appointment, Essex was already prosecuting the Garipalli Action, in which, among other things, Essex alleges that Sequoia Healthcare Services, LLC ("Sequoia") and its principals, including defendant Vivek Garipalli, made fraudulent financial misrepresentations to Essex in order to induce Essex into entering numerous commercial lease agreements, and later to surrender certain rights in connection with defaults on those leases, all of which resulted in millions of dollars in losses to Essex.

As noted in the First Report, the Receiver has reviewed the key pleadings filed to-date in the Garipalli Action, including Essex's original and amended complaints, along with materials provided by Essex's special litigation counsel for the matter, Locke Lord LLP ("Locke Lord"), as well as documents supporting Essex's claims, and he believes the causes of action alleged by Essex in the Garipalli Action to be meritorious, and worth continued prosecution. The Receiver understands and believes that, if successful on summary judgment or at trial, Essex could recover significant damages.

To that end, and as reflected in his request for Court approval of his retention of Locke Lord as special counsel in the Garipalli Action, as presented in the First Report, the Receiver has authorized Locke Lord to take those steps necessary to preserve and prosecute Essex's claims in the Garipalli Action. Specifically, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver reviewed additional documents provided by Locke Lord that bolster his belief that the allegations in the Garipalli Action are

meritorious, and warrant continued prosecution. In addition, the Receiver instructed Locke Lord to propound written discovery upon the defendants in the Garipalli Action as part of his litigation effort.

Perhaps more importantly, while in-town, the Receiver attended a settlement conference in New York on June 19, 2019. Notwithstanding the fact that the settlement conference took place at Mr. Garipalli's request, Mr. Garipalli failed to attend; only his counsel and another individual defendant to the Garipalli Action (who lacked authority to settle on Mr. Garipalli's behalf) attended. Nonetheless, and despite Mr. Garipalli's personal failure to attend or otherwise participate at the settlement conference, the Receiver remains optimistic that a near-term settlement of the matter is possible, and could result in a significant recovery for the Receivership Entities.

The Receiver therefore respectfully recommends that he be authorized to continue the prosecution of the Garipalli Action, including through summary judgment or trial, if necessary, subject to periodic reports to this Court, which reports will address the status of the Garipalli Action and the fees and costs incurred by Locke Lord, which, like those of Allen Matkins, will be subject to application to this Court. Given his belief that the claims alleged in the matter are meritorious, the Receiver anticipates submitting a formal motion for an order approving a litigation budget for the Garipalli Action under separate cover.

#### **B.** Communications With Investors.

In accordance with the Order in Aid, the Receiver continues to maintain a receivership website for this matter (<a href="www.essex-receivership.com">www.essex-receivership.com</a>), which, among other things, he is using as a means of communicating with Receivership Entity investors. Specifically, the Receiver is posting all of his filings to the website, which also includes a registration portal through which investors and other interested parties may register to receive email notice of such filings. The Receiver will post additional updates to the website as they become relevant and available.

1170820.05/LA -8-

# C. Asset Identification, Administration, Recovery, And Monetization.

#### 1. 915 Elm Avenue CVL, LLC.

During the Reporting Period, the Receiver continued to review transactions relating to 915 Elm Avenue CVL, LLC ("CVL"), which was created on November 23, 2015, by and between Mr. Iannelli and another individual, in order to purchase, own, and operate a hardware and building materials store in Carpinteria, California. By way of background, and as noted in the First Report, the CVL acquisition was partly financed by the seller, via an unsecured note in the amount of \$1.5 million, issued by Essex, not CVL, despite the fact that Essex took no ownership interest in CVL. Based upon his review of additional documents obtained during the Reporting Period, the Receiver has confirmed that Essex incurred approximately \$1.75 million in debt in connection with the purchase and sale of CVL, apparently exclusively for the benefit of Mr. Iannelli and CVL, given that Essex took no interest in CVL, while Mr. Iannelli's interest was taken in his individual capacity.

In addition to causing Essex to incur debts to acquire assets in which it took no interest, the records obtained and reviewed by the Receiver further suggest that Mr. Iannelli funded what purported to be his personal capital contribution to CVL with funds drawn from at least one Essex bank account. In other words, Essex incurred a repayment obligation of at least \$1.75 million in connection with the CVL purchase, and funded what purported to be Mr. Iannelli's personal contribution to CVL, yet it took no interest in CVL. The Receiver has consequently made a demand that, in accordance with the turnover provisions of the Appointment Order, Mr. Iannelli assign to the Receivership Entities his interest in CVL. Mr. Iannelli has, through counsel, indicated that he is amenable to this request, and the Receiver is presently in negotiations regarding the requested assignment.

Concurrently with the timing of the CVL purchase, CVL also borrowed approximately \$1.6 million from Essex, memorialized by two promissory notes, each of which is presently in default. CVL has, through counsel, recently

1170820.05/LA -9-

disclaimed its repayment obligation to Essex. Based on his review of the available materials, including the relevant promissory notes and Essex's file for the CVL transactions, the Receiver intends to enforce the notes against CVL. Accordingly, unless CVL promptly pays the notes, the Receiver intends to pursue an action against CVL (and potentially others affiliated with CVL) to enforce the CVL notes.

## 2. Amagansett Partners LLC.

Amagansett Partners LLC ("Amagansett") was formed in 2018 as a mechanism for Essex to restructure pre-existing debt obligations to an investor who allegedly invested approximately \$9.3 million in Essex via various limited partnerships. Pursuant to Amagansett's Operating Agreement, as amended, Essex was obligated to deposit certain illiquid securities with Amagansett, and the investor was to deposit outstanding promissory notes issued to Essex. Upon their respective contributions, the notes were apparently cancelled, and Essex's Amagansett capital account was reduced to zero. Thereafter, pursuant to Amagansett's Operating Agreement, proceeds from the illiquid securities were to be split between Essex and the individual investor, with the apparent intent being the repayment of Essex's prior debt to the investor over time.

During the Reporting Period, the Receiver diligently reviewed all known transactions involving Amagansett and has, through Allen Matkins, been in regular contact with the associated investor's counsel. The Receiver is presently developing his proposed course of action regarding Amagansett and the investor in question, and will inform the Court of his recommendations as soon as they are finalized.

# 3. <u>Receivership Entity Leases.</u>

The Receivership Entities are presently parties to eight (8) active equipment leases as of the date of the filing of this Report, all of which were financed by Montecito Bank & Trust ("MBT"). Pursuant to its rights under its financing agreements, MBT is presently acting as a *de facto* servicer for the leases, collecting payments from lessees and remitting lease revenue (less funds sufficient to cover the

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -10-

Entities' loan payments to MBT) to the Receiver. During the Reporting Period, the Receiver continued to coordinate with MBT to ensure that its administrative processes for loan servicing are consistent with the fiduciary nature of the Receiver's appointment, including, but not limited to, MBT's preparation and production of monthly reconciliations, and MBT's retention of loan payments and other funds.

During the Reporting Period, the Receiver also continued to administer Essex's active equipment leases, which have accounted for approximately \$1.7 million in income since the inception of the receivership, with an outstanding value of just under an additional \$1 million. In addition, the Receiver arranged buyouts of leased Assets at the termination of a number of leases, thereby generating over \$500,000 in additional income for the administration and benefit of the Receivership Entities. The Receiver will continue to administer the Entities' active equipment leases as a going concern, and arrange buyouts, in order to collect their remaining value for the benefit of the Receivership Entities.

# D. Mediation Of The Commission's Claims Against Mr. Iannelli.

On April 4, 2019, the Receiver participated in a formal mediation of the Commission's claims against Mr. Iannelli and, in connection therewith, prepared a preliminary accounting, based on his document review and analysis as it stood in late March 2019, of the transactions involving Mr. Iannelli, in his individual capacity. The Receiver understands that his preliminary accounting for, and participation in, the formal mediation was instrumental in facilitating the parties' settlement of the Commission's claims against Mr. Iannelli.

# **E.** Preliminary Investigation And Accounting.

#### 1. Overview.

At present, the Receivership Assets generally fall into the following categories: (1) cash on-hand; (2) monthly lease revenues and associated accounts receivable; (3) leased equipment buyouts; (4) loans to third parties and their attendant collateral, if any; (5) claims for disgorgement or damages arising from

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -11-

pre-receivership transactions and services; and (6) partial interests in assets and entities owned or controlled by third parties.

Pursuant to the terms of the Appointment Order, the Receiver is charged with, among other things, marshaling and maximizing the value of available Receivership Assets. To that end, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver completed his efforts to identify available Receivership Assets, and has made meaningful progress toward recovering Receivership Assets. The Receiver also continued to resolve the administration of certain Assets that he has determined, in his reasonable business judgment, are unnecessary to, or do not represent a net benefit in connection with, the administration of the receivership estate.

### 2. Document Recovery and Review.

As of the date of this Report, the Receiver has served a total of twenty-one (21) document subpoenas, eight (8) of which were served during the Reporting Period, on third-party financial institutions believed to be in possession of documents necessary to the Receiver's accounting and analysis. As a result of his efforts, the Receiver has obtained or recovered, and completed his review of, more than 455,000 pages of materials relating to the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities, thousands of pages of which were obtained during the Reporting Period, including: (1) bank and other financial statements; (2) professional records (including client files from pre-receivership professionals); (3) real property records; (4) loan files; (5) lease agreements and associated materials; and (6) organizational and transactional documents associated with a number of subsidiary and affiliate entities used to transact Entity business or putatively to address pre-receivership Entity and individual obligations.

# 3. <u>Preliminary Accounting of the Business and Financial Activities</u> of the Receivership Entities.

On the basis of his review of the materials obtained to-date, the Receiver has developed a summary accounting for the receivership estate, which accounting

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -12-

includes details regarding the Receivership Assets and the Entities' use of funds, including funds derived from investors. The preliminary accounting is complete, but may be supplemented as to specific issues as the Receiver continues his investigation of the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities.

As of the date of this Report, the Receiver has developed the following preliminary conclusions regarding the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities:

(a) The Receivership Entities' accounts were more extensive than initially believed.

At the time of the Receiver's appointment, the books and records made available to him were limited to hard copy files associated with thirty-nine (39) of the accounts identified in the Appointment Order and the QuickBooks files of Essex, which included operations as of January 2007. Since his appointment, and in addition to locating another eight (8) significant QuickBooks files, the Receiver identified over 125 checking and brokerage accounts relating to the Receivership Entities. The documents obtained from these accounts, along with the other materials he obtained and reviewed during the Reporting Period, enabled him to conduct an extensive review of the Receivership Entities' operations for the period from 1995 through 2018, with a focus on the period from 2010 through 2018. Of the more than 62,000 bank transactions audited, more than 43% were identified as relating to the business operations of Essex. In order to complete an accurate accounting of the Entities' operations, investments, and financing, the Receiver requested substantiating documentation from more than a dozen financial institutions, recovering thousands of additional documents in response. The materials obtained by the Receiver were used to create a standardized transaction list in order to perform the analyses and accounting described herein, and to establish the foundation for what the Receiver expects to be an expedited investor and creditor claims process.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1170820.05/LA -13-

(b) The Receivership Entities were not profitable.

The Receiver has concluded that, at least for the period from 2009 through 2017, at which point the Entities ceased aggressively soliciting new investment, the Entities operated at a loss, and their operations would likely have terminated absent their receipt of significant additional funding from new investment.

Essex's net cash from operations for the period from 2010 through 2018 is summarized in the simplified income statement attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Essex's principal business operation was generating capital equipment leases, which accounted for 78% of Essex's gross receipts during this period. Notably, Essex collected more than \$116 million for lease operations during this period, but netted only \$2,255,020 after paying its cost of goods sold, as a consequence of, among other things, substantial losses incurred in connection with multiple lessee bankruptcies, and millions in uncollectible lease receivables.

Essex's secondary business related to Mr. Iannelli's brokerage and private equity investments, which generated gross receipts of more than \$29 million, and accounted for approximately 20% of Essex's total receipts over the 2010-2018 period. In all, these investments netted approximately \$16 million over this period.

However, Essex also faced substantial costs over this period, including but not limited to: (1) nearly \$9 million in bank loan interest, paid primarily to MBT; (2) more than \$3.6 million in legal fees; and (3) approximately \$2.7 million in personnel-related expenses, including nearly \$1 million paid to Essex's then Chief Financial Officer. All told, the after-tax operating expenses and associated cash outflows during this period exceeded \$19 million.

Ultimately, and inclusive of all of its operations and financial activities, Essex operated at a net loss of over \$2.5 million for the period from 2010 through 2018.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -14-

(c) The Receivership Entities' operations were financially unsustainable.

Essex's statement of equity is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. Notably, by the end of 2009, Mr. Iannelli appears to have taken out more than \$7 million from Essex than he had put in. By the end of 2018, this figure grew by over \$8 million to more than \$15 million. When considered in the context of Essex's operational difficulties, as described above, its overall assets in excess of liabilities dropped by more than \$18 million from December 2009 to December 2016, leaving Essex at risk of being unable to repay its investors.

This deficiency would likely have rendered Essex incapable of sustaining its operations had it continued to pay out more to investors than it took in via funding from new investors, as was the case in late 2009, at which point Essex had paid out nearly \$1 million more than it had taken in. In 2010, however, this began to change and, by the end of 2016, Essex had taken in nearly \$38 million more than it paid out to investors.

Essex's simplified investor cash flows over the period from 2019 to 2018 are attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. Through 2009, Essex took in at least \$49 million in funds from investors. By the end of 2018, this figure grew to nearly \$220 million. The investments offered by Essex to its investors were typically characterized as "promissory notes" and sometimes also offered a percentage of the residual lease Asset sale proceeds at the end of an associated lease. Generally, Essex would issue notes with a 36-month term, but would not return the principal when its notes came due. Instead, the notes would be "rolled over," continuing to accrue (and sometimes pay) interest beyond their original terms. The effect of this practice was that, by the end of 2016, Essex had only paid out \$168 million to investors but had incurred investor liabilities in excess of \$334 million. Notably, Essex's balance sheet appears to have mischaracterized these liabilities. By way of example, promissory notes

payable in 2016 totaled approximately \$165 million, but Essex reported these liabilities at the substantially reduced amount of approximately \$93 million.

Based on his accounting and his present understanding of the Entities' prereceivership liabilities, the Receiver estimates that, out of the one hundred and fiftysix (156) total investors in the Entities, and in the event that the Receiver proposes (and the Court approves) an investor and creditor claims process, at least seventynine (79) investors may seek to file reimbursement claims for "benefit-of-thebargain" losses against the Estate. Of these prospective claimants, the Receiver believes that at least sixty-four (64) investors suffered an actual "money-in / moneyout"<sup>5</sup> or net cash loss from their investments in the Receivership Entities. As of the date of this Report, the Receiver believes that aggregate losses may exceed \$43 million.

> Some Receivership Entity investors profited on their (d)investments.

The Receiver has identified numerous Receivership Entity investors whom he believes profited from their investments in the Entities. The aggregate amount of profit actually paid out to these investors, on a net cash basis, exceeds \$41 million. Of these suspected profiting investors, the Receiver has identified fifteen (15) investors who, in the aggregate, received profits in excess of \$23 million, and whose individual net winnings were at least \$500,000. Should the Receiver determine that any portion of these payments are subject to disgorgement to the Receiver, he will petition the Court for authority to pursue disgorgement claims by way of separate motion.

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

-16-

1170820.05/LA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> "Benefit-of-the-bargain" refers to a claim methodology whereby claimants seek reimbursement for losses including principal, interest, and other promised contractual benefits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Money-in / money-out" refers to a claim valuation methodology whereby claims are limited to actual, net out-of-pocket losses, and do not include an interest, contractual, or other damages component.

# 4. Receivership Estate Accounting.

Of course, in addition to developing a detailed accounting of the business and financial activities of the Receivership Entities, the Receiver has likewise maintained a running accounting and valuation of the Assets he presently holds, or expects to recover. As reflected in his Standardized Fund Accounting Report ("SFAR") for the Reporting Period, appended hereto as **Exhibit D**, he has recovered approximately \$1 million in additional cash since the submission of his First Report.

In addition, the Receiver has updated his valuation of the other personal property Assets in his possession, summarized as follows:

<u>Asset</u>	Estimated Value
Loans Receivable	\$1,853,750
Marketable Securities (near-term)	\$120,442
Net Lease Receivables	\$962,657
Private Equity Investments	\$2,817,855
Leased Assets Sale Value (projected)	\$1,651,053
TOTAL:	\$7,405,757

In other words, inclusive of the cash on-hand reported in the SFAR, at the end of the Reporting Period, the Receiver held Assets valued at approximately \$9.6 million for the administration and benefit of the Estate and the Receivership Entities' investors and creditors, *not including* projected recoveries from the Garipalli Action, Assets subject to claw-back (to be addressed in detail in later submissions), or potential disgorgements from profiting investors.

# IV. CONCLUSION AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS.

Assuming the Court authorizes the Receiver to undertake the actions recommended herein, as well as to continue those actions provided for in the Appointment Order and the Order in Aid, the Receiver proposes to submit a further

interim report to this Court, addressing his progress, findings, final conclusions, and 1 additional recommendations, in approximately 90 days. 2 3 Accordingly, and based on the foregoing, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: 4 5 1. Accepting this Report; 2. Authorizing the Receiver to continue to administer the Receivership 6 Entities and their Estate in accordance with the terms of the Appointment Order; 7 3. Authorizing the Receiver to undertake the recommendations presented 8 9 herein: and Providing such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary 10 4. and appropriate. 11 12 Dated: August 14, 2019 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 13 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO 14 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO NORMAN M. ASPIS 15 16 By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo 17 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO Attorneys for Receiver GEOFF WINKLER 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -18-

**VERIFICATION** I have read the foregoing SECOND INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS OF RECEIVER, GEOFF WINKLER, and know its contents. I am the Receiver appointed in the above-entitled action. I believe the matters stated in the foregoing document are true, to the best of my knowledge. Executed on August 14, 2019, at Salem, Oregon. de UN Geoff Winkler, Receiver 

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

1170820.05/LA -19-

Essex Capital Corporation	
Simplified Cash Basis Income Statement (\$000's)	
Operations and Other Revenue	2010 - 2018
Lease Operations Revenue from Leases Cost of Goods Sold Net Lease Operations	116,507 (114,251) 2,255
Investment Operations Cash from Private Equity/Investments Cost of Investments Net Investment Operations	29,908 (19,538) 10,370
Other Revenue Interest Income Other Revenue Total Other Revenue	150 3,702 3,852
Total Operations and Other Revenue	16,477
Interest Expense and Other Outflows	
Bank Loans (interest Expense) Cash from Bank Loans Cash to Banks for Loans Net Bank Loans (Interest Expense)	58,005 (66,838) (8,833)
Expenses and Other Outflows Legal Employees/Contractors/Consultants Office Rent Expense Other Operating Expenses Accounting Taxes Consulting Bank Fees IT Support Other Miscellaneous Flows Expenses and Other Outflows	(3,612) (2,700) (1,451) (903) (595) (587) (150) (126) (117) 18 (10,225)
All Revenues and Outflows	(2,581)
All Novellage and Cathons	(2,001)

Essex Capital Corporation Simplified Cash Basis Statement of Equity (\$000's)										
	Dec-09	Dec-10	Dec-11	Dec-12	Dec-13	Dec-14	Dec-15	Dec-16	Dec-17	Dec-18
Net Shareholder Paid-In-Capital	(7,616)	(9,219)	(10,972)	(12,828)	(11,445)	(14,224)	(15,938)	(17,868)	(16,783)	(15,853)
Retained Earnings (1995 Forward)	7,613	7,549	5,907	2,879	4,381	(3,535)	5,482	5,630	(7,617)	(3,728)
Net Income	(64)	(1,642)	(3,028)	1,502	(7,916)	9,017	148	(13,247)	3,889	4,328
Shareholder and Operations Equity	(67)	(3,312)	(8,093)	(8,448)	(14,980)	(8,743)	(10,307)	(25,485)	(20,511)	(15,253)
Investors Net MIMO Cash	(932)	2,020	7,876	7,963	17,545	14,421	32,500	37,920	14,788	2,594
Total Equity	(999)	(1,292)	(217)	(484)	2,565	5,678	22,193	12,435	(5,723)	(12,659)

#### **Essex Capital Corporation**

#### Simplified Investor Cash Flows (\$000's)

		Total	Cumulative	Year-End
	Cumulative	Investors	Paydown of	Balance of
	Investors	Payable	Payable	Payable
Date	Inflows	Liability <sup>1</sup>	Liability	Liability <sup>2</sup>
12/31/2009	49,142	79,496	(50,074)	29,422
12/31/2010	62,109	100,474	(60,090)	40,384
12/31/2011	78,983	127,770	(71,107)	56,663
12/31/2012	89,012	143,993	(81,048)	62,945
12/31/2013	107,130	173,304	(89,585)	83,718
12/31/2014	130,413	210,968	(115,992)	94,976
12/31/2015	172,705	279,384	(140,205)	139,178
12/31/2016	206,565	334,158	(168,645)	165,513
12/31/2017	217,706	352,180	(202,918)	149,262
12/31/2018	219,519	355,113	(216,925)	138,188

#### Notes

- 1: Total Investors Payable Liability is estimated using a factor of 1.618688252.

  This is composed of the average observed term of 5.3 years and interest rate of 8.5%, as well as an 1% premium to estimate the investor's share of residual asset sales.
- 2: New Investor Inflows slowed significantly in the spring of 2017 after the SEC's investigation began, resulting in a decreasing liability balance after that opint in time.

# STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation Receivership: Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM

Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM Reporting Period from 04/01/2019 to 06/30/2019

FUND ACCO	DUNTING (See instructions)						
			Detail		Subtotal	G	rand Total
Line 1	Beginning Balance (As of 04/01/2019):	\$	1,236,777.83				
	Increases in Fund Balance:						
Line 2	Business Income	\$	974,502.16				
Line 3	Cash and Securities (in transit)		-				
Line 4	Interest/Dividend Income		500.03				
Line 5	Business Asset Liquidation		-				
Line 6	Personal Asset Liquidation		-				
Line 7 Line 8	Third-Party Litigation Income Miscellaneous - Other		-				
Lille 0	Total Funds Available (Lines 1 - 8):	<u> </u>		\$	975,002.19		2 211 780 02
				Ψ	373,002.13	Ψ.	2,211,700.02
	Decreases in Fund Balance:						
Line 9	Disbursements to Investors						
Line 10	Disbursements for Receivership Operations						
Line 10a Line 10b	Disbursements to Receiver or Other Professionals		- (6.430.00)				
Line 10b	Business Asset Expenses Personal Asset Expenses		(6,430.00)				
Line 10d	Investment Expenses		-				
Line 10e	Third-Party Litigation Expenses		-				
	1. Attorney Fees	\$	-				
	2. Litigation Expenses	l_	-				
	Total Third-Party Litiqation Expenses			\$	(6,430.00)		
Line 10f	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds				-		
Line 10g	Federal and State Tax Payments			l —			(0.400.00)
Lina 44	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations			$\vdash$		\$	(6,430.00)
Line 11 Line 11a	Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund: Distribution Plan Development Expenses:						
Line I ia	1. Fees:						
	Fund Administrator	\$	-				
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)	'	-				
	Distribution Agent		-				
	Consultants		-				
	Legal Advisers		-				
	Tax Advisers		-				
	3. Miscellaneous		_				
	Total Plan Development Expenses	<u> </u>		\$	_		
Line 11b	Distribution Plan Implementation Expenses:						
	1. Fees:						
	Fund Administrator		-				
	IDC		-				
	Distribution Agent  Consultants		-				
	Legal Advisers		_				
	Tax Advisers		-				
	2. Administrative Expenses		-				
	3. Investor Identification:						
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan		-				
	Claimant Identification		-				
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center		_				
	4. Fund Administrator Bond		-				
	5. Miscellaneous		-				
	6. Federal Account for Investor Restitution						
	(FAIR) Reporting Expenses						
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses			\$	-		
1: 40	Total Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund	ı		_		\$	-
Line 12 Line 12a	Disbursements to Court/Other:  Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) Fees	\$	_				
Line 12a Line 12b	Federal Tax Payments	Þ	-				
LIIIO 120	Total Disbursements to Court/Other:	-		\$	_		
	Total Funds Disbursed (Lines 9 - 11):			Ψ	=	\$	(6,430.00)
Line 13	Ending Balance (As of 06/30/2019):					•	2,205,350.02
	Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets:						, ,
Line 14		1		1	2,205,350.02		
Line 14 Line 14a	Cash & Cash Equivalents				2,203,330.02		
Line 14a Line 14b	Investments				120,442.00		
Line 14a	•			1			7,376,851.02

#### STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation

Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM Reporting Period from 04/01/2019 to 06/30/2019

OTHER SUP	PLEMENTAL INFORMATION:			
		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total
Line 15	Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:			
Line 15a	Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund			
	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	\$ -		
	IDC	-		
	Distribution Agent	-		
	Consultants	-		
	Legal Advisers	-		
	Tax Advisers	-		
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		
	3. Miscellaneous			
	Total Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -	
Line 15b	Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:			
	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	\$ -		
	IDC	-		
	Distribution Agent	-		
	Consultants	-		
	Legal Advisers	-		
	Tax Advisers	-		
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		
	3. Investor Identification:	-		
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	-		
	Claimant Identification	-		
	Claims Processing Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	-		
		-		
	Fund Administrator Bond     Miscellaneous	-		
	6. FAIR Reporting Expenses	_		
	, , ,	<del></del>		
1145.	Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -	
Line 15c	Tax Administrator Fees & Bonds Not Paid by the Fund			
	Total Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund	1		-
Line 16	Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund			
Line 16a	Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees		\$ -	
Line 16b	Federal Tax Payments		<u> </u>	
l	Total Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:			\$ -
Line 17	DC & State Tax Payments		l	\$ -
Line 18	No. of Claims:	•		
Line 18a	# of Claims Received This Reporting Period	0		
Line 18b	# of Claims Received Since Inception of Fund	0		
Line 19	No. of Claimants/Investors:	^		
Line 19a	# of Claimants/Investors Paid This Reporting Period # of Claimants/Investors Paid Since Inception of Fund	0 0		
Line 19b	# or Graimants/investors Para Since inception or Fund	U		

Ву: _	July
	On off Windslaw

**Geoff Winkler** 

Geoff Winkler (printed name)

Managing Director, Alvarez & Marsal Disputes and Investigations, LLC Receiver, Essex Capital Corporation, et al.

Date: August 07, 2019

Receiver:

#### PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralph T. Iannelli and Essex Capital Corporation 2 USDC, Central District of California - Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 865 S. Figueroa Street, 4 Suite 2800, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543. 5 On August 14, 2019, I caused to be served the document entitled: SECOND INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS 6 RECEIVER, GEOFF WINKLER on all the parties to this action addressed as stated on 7 the attached service list. 8 $|\mathsf{X}|$ **OFFICE MAIL:** By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for collection and mailing today following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with 9 the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing; such correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in 10 the ordinary course of business. 11 **OVERNIGHT DELIVERY:** I deposited in a box or other facility regularly 12 maintained by express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing 13 document(s) in sealed envelope(s) or package(s) designed by the express service carrier, addressed as indicated on the attached service list, with fees for overnight 14 delivery paid or provided for. 15 HAND DELIVERY: I caused to be hand delivered each such envelope to the 16 office of the addressee as stated on the attached service list. **ELECTRONIC MAIL**: By transmitting the document by electronic mail to the 17 electronic mail address as stated on the attached service list. 18 X **E-FILING**: By causing the document to be electronically filed via the Court's 19 CM/ECF system, which effects electronic service on counsel who are registered with the CM/ECF system. 20 FAX: By transmitting the document by facsimile transmission. The transmission 21 was reported as complete and without error. 22 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of 23 the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 14, 24 2019 at Los Angeles, California. 25 /s/ Martha Diaz Martha Diaz 26 27 28 1153214.26/LA

-1-

# Case 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM Document 103 Filed 08/14/19 Page 26 of 26 Page ID #:2782

	1	. 32				
1	<u>SE</u>	RVICE LIST				
2	Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralph T. Iannelli and Essex Capital Corporation USDC, Central District of California – Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM					
3	USDC, Central District of California – Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM					
4	Mark Riera, Esq.	Via First Class Mail				
5	Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7° Floor					
6	Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308					
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	1152214 26/L A					
	1153214.26/LA	- 2 -				