	Case 2:21-cv-00869	Document 5	Filed 01/2	29/21	Page 1 of 2	Page ID #:34
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO. 239015) NORMAN M. ASPIS (BAR NO. 313466) ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com naspis@allenmatkins.com Attorneys for Plaintiff GEOFF WINKLER, RECEIVER					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	GEOFF WINKLEF	R, RECEIVEI	<b></b> ,	Case	No. 2:21-cv	-00869
13	Plainti	ff,				ATED CASES LOCAL RULE 83-1.3.1
14	V.					
15	5 915 ELM AVENUE CVL, LLC,					
16	Defendant.					
17						
18	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this action is related and ancillary to an					
19	action currently pending before this Court entitled SEC v. Iannelli, et al., filed in the					
20	U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-					
21	FMO-AFM, the Honorable Fernando M. Olguin presiding (the "SEC Action").					
22	These cases are related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-1.3.1 in that					
23	(a) this action is brought by Geoff Winkler, the Court-appointed permanent receiver					
24	(the "Receiver") for one of the entity defendants in the SEC Action; and (b) the					
25	Court presiding over the SEC Action is already familiar with the background facts					
26	and circumstances relevant to this action. Furthermore, this action and the SEC					
27	Action arise from closely related transactions, such that the cases call for a					
28						

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

determination of related questions of law and fact. Finally, this Court in the SEC 1 Action specifically authorized the filing of the Receiver's Complaint in this action. 2 3 Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully submits that assignment of this action to the Court presiding over the SEC Action will promote the efficient use of judicial 4 resources. Having these actions heard by different judges would entail a substantial 5 duplication of judicial effort and potentially result in inconsistent rulings. 6 7 Dated: January 29, 2021 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 8 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO 9 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO 10 NORMAN M. ASPIS 11 /s/ David R. Zaro By: 12 DAVID R. ZARO Attorneys for Plaintiff GEOFF WINKLER, RECEIVER 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

LAW OFFICES