1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334) JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO MATTHEW D. PHAM (BAR NO. 28770 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP 865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Phone: (213) 622-5555 Fax: (213) 620-8816 E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com mpham@allenmatkins.com	. 239015)							
8	Attorneys for Receiver GEOFF WINKLER								
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT							
10	CENTRAL DISTRIC	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
11	WESTERN	DIVISION							
12	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,	Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM							
13 14	Plaintiff,	NINTH INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS OF RECEIVER, GEOFF WINKLER							
15	V.	, and the second							
16	RALPH T. IANNELLI and ESSEX CAPITAL CORP.,	Date: January 13, 2022 Time: 10:00 a.m. Ctrm: 6D							
17	Defendants.	Judge Hon. Fernando M. Olguin							
18									
19	TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIE	ES, THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD,							
20	AND THIS HONORABLE COURT:								
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT	, in accordance with this Court's							
22	December 21, 2018 Order Regarding Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of a								
23	Permanent Receiver (the "Appointment O	rder") (ECF No. 66), its February 1, 2019							
24	Order in Aid of Receivership (the "Order in Aid") (ECF No. 69), and its September								
25	9, 2019 Order Regarding Permanent Injun	ection (the "Permanent Injunction") (ECF							
26	No. 113), Geoff Winkler (the "Receiver")	, the Court-appointed permanent receiver							
27	for Defendant Essex Capital Corporation	("Essex") and its subsidiaries and affiliates							
28	(collectively, the "Receivership Entities" of	or "Entities"), hereby submits the							
amble									

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

following Eighth Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "Report")

for the period from July 1, 2021 through September 30, 2021 (the "Reporting"

Period").1

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.</u>

As reflected in the Receiver's First Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "First Report") (ECF No. 78); Second Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "Second Report") (ECF No. 103); Third Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions (the "Third Report") (ECF No. 123); Fourth Interim Report and Petition for Instructions (the "Fourth Report") (ECF No. 149); Fifth Interim Report and Petition for Instructions and Supplement to Fifth Interim Report and Petition for Instructions (collectively, the "Fifth Report") (ECF Nos. 174) and 185); Sixth Interim Report and Petition for Instructions (the "Sixth Report") (ECF No. 197); Seventh Interim Report and Petition for Instructions Report (the "Seventh Report") (ECF No. 206); and Eighth Interim Report Interim Report and Petition for Instructions (the "Eighth Report") (ECF No. 210), the Receiver continues to make substantial progress in his efforts to identify, marshal, and administer the available assets of the Receivership Entities ("Receivership Assets" or "Assets"). During the Reporting Period, and in addition to ordinary receivership estate ("Estate") administration matters, the Receiver has largely focused on two categories of efforts: (1) continuing efforts to recover Receivership Assets from profiting investors and others in possession of Receivership Assets, including via the commencement of litigation brought on behalf of the Receivership Entities; and (2) completing his review of all timely investor claims and finalizing his recommendations regarding the treatment of claims and an associated, proposed plan for distribution on any claims ultimately deemed allowed by the Court.

27

While the instant Report generally covers the Reporting Period, it also addresses developments prior to and after the Reporting Period to the extent they are relevant to the subjects addressed herein, as necessary.

Most notably, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver:

- Continued his successful efforts to settle prospective disgorgement claims against profiting investors, bringing total gross recoveries, as of the submission of this Report, to more than \$2 million;
- Commenced the prosecution of three (3) additional disgorgement actions against profiting investors, seeking to recover, in the aggregate, more than \$2.5 million in apparent profits paid to these investors, bringing the total number of disgorgement actions initiated by the Receiver to ten (10)²;
- Resolved prospective disgorgement claims against six (6) charities and similar institutions confirmed to have received voidable or recoverable transfers of investor funds wrongfully diverted from the Receivership Entities, bringing the total anticipated gross recoveries from these efforts to \$225,700;
- Continued the prosecution of the Asset recovery action styled <u>Winkler</u>
 v. 915 Elm Avenue CVL, LLC, USDC, C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-00869-FMO-AFM (the "CVL Action"); and
- Virtually completed his review of timely claims submitted in accordance with the Court-approved claims procedures and finalized a plan for distribution on allowed claims for submission to this Court.
 The Receiver is currently in the process of preparing a motion to this Court to approve his proposed distribution plan and recommended treatment of claims.

In addition to the above, the Receiver has continued to administer the remaining Assets of the Estate, and, as of the date of his Standardized Fund

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

-3-

4853-9598-2850.1

Since the commencement of these actions, the Receiver has settled with one (1) of these investors and is in ongoing settlement discussions with certain other investors.

1 Accounting Report for the Reporting Period ("SFAR"), held approximately

2 \$4,522,135.41, in cash, for the administration and benefit of the Receivership

3 Entities.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II. RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY.

The Receiver invites the Court and all interested parties to review the following materials³ for a general summary of the relevant facts and procedural background relating to the subjects addressed in this Report:

- Securities and Exchange Commission's Complaint, filed on June 5,
 2018 (ECF No. 1);
- Essex's Answer to the Complaint, filed on August 1, 2018 (ECF No. 37);
- The Appointment Order, entered on December 21, 2018 (ECF No. 66);
- The Order in Aid, entered on February 1, 2019 (ECF No. 69);
- Mediation Report, filed on April 5, 2019 (ECF No. 74);
 - First Report, filed on April 30, 2019 (ECF No. 78);
 - Final Judgment as to Defendant Ralph T. Iannelli, entered on June 5, 2019 (ECF No. 93);
 - Second Report, filed on August 14, 2019 (ECF No. 103);
 - Judgment Against Defendant Essex, entered on September 9, 2019
 (ECF No. 110);
 - Permanent Injunction, entered on September 9, 2019 (ECF No. 113);
 - Third Report, filed on November 18, 2019 (ECF No. 123);
 - Motion for Authority to Pursue Litigation Against 915 Elm Avenue
 CVL, LLC (ECF No. 125);
 - Fourth Report, filed on March 2, 2020 (EFC No. 149);

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

-4-

4853-9598-2850.1

These materials, and others, are available on the Receiver's website, www.essex-receivership.com.

1 Stipulation for Order: (1) Establishing Claims Procedures; and 2 (2) Setting Claims Bar Date, filed on April 20, 2020 (ECF No. 168) and 3 Order therein (ECF No. 179); Motion for Order Approving and Authorizing Performance of 4 5 Settlement Agreement, filed on June 11, 2020 (ECF No. 172), and Order therein (ECF No. 178); 6 7 Fifth Report, filed on July 24, 2020, with a supplement filed on 8 August 12, 2020 (ECF Nos. 174, 185); 9 Motion for Authority to Prosecute Claims Against Seed Mackall LLP, 10 filed on August 10, 2020) (ECF No. 184) and Order thereon (ECF 11 No. 186); 12 Stipulation to Authorize Receiver's Sale of Leased Assets, Free and 13 Clear of Liens and Encumbrances, filed on September 23, 2020) (ECF 14 No. 188) and Order therein (ECF No. 188); Motion for Authority to Establish Disgorgement Procedures and 15 Undertake Disgorgement Efforts, filed on October 13, 2020 (ECF 16 17 No. 190) and Order thereon (ECF No. 195); 18 Sixth Report, filed on December 8, 2020 (ECF No. 197); 19 Seventh Report, filed on May 19, 2021 (ECF No. 206); and Eighth Report, filed on August 24, 2021 (ECF No. 210). 20 21 III. RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES AND EFFORTS SINCE THE EIGHTH 22 REPORT. 23 Of the tasks identified above, the Receiver's most critical undertakings during the Reporting Period include: 24 25 Asset Identification, Administration, Recovery, And Monetization. As reflected in his most recent SFAR, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, at the end 26 27 of the Reporting Period, the Receiver held a total of approximately \$4,522,135.41, in cash, for the administration and benefit of the Receivership Entities. In addition, 28

he continues to hold and administer non-cash Assets, the value of which he has estimated at as much as \$10 million⁴, inclusive of prospective third-party recoveries. In addition to the cash and non-cash Assets already in the Receiver's possession and which he believes are recoverable, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver undertook the following efforts to recover additional Assets, in accordance with his authority under the Permanent Injunction and this Court's subsequent Orders:

Settlement of Prospective Disgorgement Claims Against
 Profiting Receivership Entity Investors.

On November 12, 2020, this Court entered its Order Granting Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Authority to Establish Disgorgement Procedures and Undertake Disgorgement Efforts (the "Disgorgement Procedures Order") (ECF No. 195). The Disgorgement Procedures Order authorized the Receiver to commence disgorgement efforts against those Receivership Entity investors whom he determined, on the basis of his forensic accounting, had received more in payments from the Entities than they invested in or contributed to the Entities. The Disgorgement Procedures Order also authorized the Receiver to settle his prospective disgorgement claims without further order of the Court, provided any settlements he negotiated fell within the parameters established by the Court.⁵

As reflected in the Seventh and Eighth Reports, the Receiver commenced issuing disgorgement demands, paired with settlement proposals consistent with the parameters of the Disgorgement Procedures Order, to suspected profiting investors in early 2021. All told, the Receiver issued a total of sixty-five (65) disgorgement demands and settlement proposals to suspected profiting investors.

Settlements outside the Court-established limits require separate motions for Court approval under certain circumstances.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

In previous Interim Reports, the Receiver presented a higher estimate of the Entities' non-cash Assets. He has reduced this estimate based on accounting refinements completed, and additional information obtained, during the Reporting Period.

Thereafter, the Receiver entered into negotiations with a number of profiting investors, through their representatives (often counsel) or directly, to settle his claims without resorting to litigation. This negotiation and settlement process has been extensive, and is proceeding even as this Report is filed. Nonetheless, the 4 Receiver continues to realize extraordinary success in connection with his settlement efforts, having recovered Assets exceeding \$2.3 million, including more than 6 \$400,000 recovered during the Reporting Period. The Receiver remains in 8 discussions with a limited number of additional suspected profiting investors, and is optimistic that additional recoveries may be made without resorting to litigation. 10 2. Prosecution of Disgorgement Claims Against Profiting Investors. 11 In accordance with his authority under the Disgorgement Procedures Order, as of the date of this Report, the Receiver has commenced a total of ten (10) Asset 12 13 recovery actions against profiting investors, including three (3) commenced during the Reporting Period. The lawsuits initiated to date are styled as follows: 14 15 Winkler v. Fazio, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-02987-FMO-AFM; 16 17 Winkler v. WLD Davis Holdings, LLC, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case 18 No. 2:21-cv-03209-FMO-AFM⁶; 19 Winkler v. Siemens, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-04515-20 FMO-AFM; Winkler v. Fead, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-04519-21 22 FMO-AFM; 23 Winkler v. Largura, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-04534-24 FMO-AFM; 25 26 27

28

1

2

5

7

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

This disgorgement action was dismissed by the Receiver, with prejudice, on July 21, 2021, following the parties' settlement of all claims and causes of action relating to the Receiver's Complaint, and the payment of the settlement amount due to the Receiver under terms of the settlement.

• Winkler v. Reyner, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-05730-FMO-AFM;

- Winkler v. McCloskey, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-05757-FMO-AFM;
- Winkler v. Hopen Life Science Ventures, et al., USDC C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-06049-FMO-AFM;
- Winkler v. Nicholson, et al., USDC, C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-07458-FMO-AFM; and
- Winkler v. Emmons, et al., USDC, C.D. Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-07267-FMO-AFM.

While the Receiver is confident in the causes of action alleged in the above-identified matters, and is prepared to take the pending matters to trial if necessary, he remains amenable to reasonable settlements. Accordingly, and notwithstanding the commencement of litigation, the Receiver is continuing his efforts to negotiate appropriate settlements in the pending matters, in the hope of avoiding further litigation expense and delay.

3. Resolution of Claims Against Charities and Similar Institutions.

As reflected in prior Reports and his Forensic and Investigative Accounting Report [ECF No. 171], in addition to confirming that certain investors profited from their investments in the Receivership Entities, the Receiver further confirmed that as much as \$800,000 in Estate funds were diverted to eighteen (18) charities and similar institutions in the years preceding the instant receivership. The Receiver has made disgorgement demands to many of these charities and similar institutions and, as of the date of this Report, has recovered approximately \$275,000, including \$129,600 paid during the Reporting Period. He also recently settled a prospective dispute, anticipated to result in additional gross recoveries in excess of \$27,000, during the next reporting period. The Receiver's efforts to recover any remaining amounts subject to disgorgement will continue, guided by the Receiver's reasonable

business judgment. As of the date of this Report, settlement discussions are ongoing and the Receiver remains optimistic that additional recoveries may be made without resorting to litigation.

4. Continued Prosecution of the CVL Action.

On July 29, 2020, the Court entered a Minute Order authorizing the Receiver to prosecute claims against CVL, an LLC created in November 2015, by and between Defendant Ralph Iannelli and another individual, William S. Reyner, Jr., to purchase, own, and operate the Lumber Yard. The Receiver has concluded that CVL's purchase of the Lumber Yard was funded in large part by transfers from Essex and a note in the principal amount of \$1.5 million (the "Gally Note"), payable by Essex – which at the time of the purchase had no interest in CVL – to J&G Clay Properties, LLC and its principal, James Gally (collectively, "Mr. Gally"). Contemporaneously with the Gally Note, CVL executed a companion note (the "CVL Note") in the amount of \$1.5 million, payable to Essex and which was intended to repay Essex for its extension of credit and obligation to repay the Gally Note. CVL later issued Essex a second note (the "Second CVL Note") in the amount of \$125,000, along with another note in the amount of \$125,000 payable to Mr. Iannelli, and which the Receiver's analysis indicates was funded with money from the Receivership Entities.

The CVL Note matured on January 14, 2019 and is now in default. The Second CVL Note is payable on demand. CVL has contested its repayment obligations to Essex in connection with the CVL Note and the Second CVL Note, each of which is now in default. Over and above the payment obligation incurred by Essex to Mr. Gally, and Essex's attendant right to be repaid by CVL, the Receiver further confirmed that an estimated \$1.1 million was diverted from Essex accounts and transferred to, or for the benefit of, CVL. In total, over \$2,100,000 in Essex funds and obligations were used and incurred in connection with CVL's formation, and the purchase of the Lumber Yard.

The Receiver filed his Complaint in the CVL Action on January 29, 2021, asserting claims for avoidance of fraudulent transfers, breach of contract, and unjust enrichment, based on the facts described above. The parties have met their initial disclosure obligations, the Court has issued a scheduling order and two subsequent orders modify the initial schedule, and fact discovery is underway (written discovery and depositions have already commenced) in anticipation of a mandatory settlement conference to be held on or before December 17, 2021.

B. Claims Processing And Development Of Prospective Distribution Plan.

As reflected in the Eighth Report, the Receiver has completed his processing of the overwhelming majority of all timely claims and, at this time, no claims remain unresolved, although a handful of investors have disputed the Receiver's valuation of their claims. In all, more than 94% of investors have accepted the Receiver's valuation of their claims.

The Receiver has also developed a proposed distribution plan to govern distributions on any claims allowed by the Court, and he is in the process of finalizing the mathematical approach that will govern the plan. Once that effort is completed, the Receiver will promptly move this Court for an order approving the distribution plan and accepting his recommended treatment of claims, after which any objecting claimants can have their claims addressed. While his initial claims timeline was delayed as a result of the discovery of additional information relating to a handful of claims and claimants, the Receiver anticipates filing such a motion no later than thirty (30) days after the submission of this Report.

C. Attending To Pre-Receivership Litigation Matters.

During the Reporting Period, there were two (2) matters pending before the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, both of which were stayed pursuant to the Permanent Injunction: (1) <u>Gabler v. Essex Capital Corp., et al.</u>,

Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 18CV03423 (the "Gabler Action")⁷; and (2) <u>Dennis v. Iannelli, et al.</u>, Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 18CV03317 (the "Dennis Action").

The plaintiff in the Gabler Action had alleged that defendants Melissa Iannelli, Ralph Iannelli, and Essex breached the terms of a promissory note, pursuant to which the plaintiff allegedly loaned \$2.2 million to Essex. The plaintiff in the Dennis Action alleges that defendants Ralph Iannelli and Essex operated a fraudulent investment scheme, and seeks relief upon a number of tort claims.

The Receiver and his counsel of record, Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP, have continued to monitor the Gabler Action and the Dennis Action, and have informed the courts presiding over both actions of the litigation stay imposed by the Appointment Order and maintained by the Permanent Injunction, in order to protect and preserve the Estate from diminution. The Receiver will continue to monitor and, through counsel, make necessary appearances, and keep the courts presiding over those actions abreast of developments in the instant action, as appropriate.

D. Communications With Investors And Other Interested Parties.

In accordance with the Order in Aid, the Receiver continues to maintain a receivership website for this matter, which, among other things, he uses as a means of communicating with Receivership Entity investors. Specifically, the Receiver posts all of his filings to the website, which also includes a portal through which investors and other interested parties may register to receive email notice of such filings. The Receiver will continue to post additional updates to the website as they become relevant and available.

As previously noted, the plaintiff in the Gabler Action filed a Request for Dismissal of his complaint, without prejudice, on May 5, 2021.

IV. CONCLUSION AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS. 1 2 Assuming the Court approves this Report and authorizes the Receiver to undertake the actions recommended herein, as well as to continue those actions provided for in the Appointment Order, the Order in Aid, and the Permanent 4 5 Injunction, the Receiver proposes to submit a further interim report to this Court, addressing his progress, findings, conclusions, and additional recommendations, in 6 approximately 90-120 days. 7 8 Accordingly, and based on the foregoing, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: 10 1. Accepting this Report; Authorizing the Receiver to continue to administer the Receivership 11 2. Entities and their Estate in accordance with the terms of the Appointment Order, the 12 Order in Aid, and the Permanent Injunction; and 13 14 3. Providing such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary 15 and appropriate. 16 Dated: November 22, 2021 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE 17 MALLORY & NATSIS LLP DAVID R. ZARO 18 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO MATTHEW D. PHAM 19 20 By: /s/ Joshua A. del Castillo 21 JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO Attorneys for Receiver GEOFF WINKLER 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4853-9598-2850.1 -12-

VERIFICATION I have read the foregoing NINTH INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS OF RECEIVER, GEOFF WINKLER, and know its contents. I am the Receiver appointed in the above-entitled action. I believe the matters stated in the foregoing document are true, to the best of my knowledge. Executed on November 19, 2021, at Salem, Oregon. sh Wh Geoff Winkler, Receiver

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4853-9598-2850.1

-13-

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM

Reporting Period from 07/01/2021 to 09/30/2021

	UNTING (See instructions)		Detail	I	Subtotal	(Grand Total
Line 1	Beginning Balance (As of 04/01/2021):	\$ 4.	,524,402.51			<u> </u>	
	Increases in Fund Balance:						
Line 2	Business Income	\$	_				
Line 2	Cash and Securities (in transit)	Φ	19,867.43				
Line 3	Interest/Dividend Income		19,007.43				
Line 5	Business Asset Liquidation		_				
Line 6	Personal Asset Liquidation		_				
Line 7	Third-Party Litigation Income		411,723.29				
Line 8	Miscellaneous - Other		, -				
	Total Funds Available (Lines 1 - 8):			\$	431,590.72	\$	4,955,993.23
	Decreases in Fund Balance:			ľ	,	*	.,,
Line 9	Disbursements to Investors						
Line 10	Disbursements for Receivership Operations						
Line 10	Disbursements to Receiver or Other Professionals		(433,160.82)				
Line 10b	Business Asset Expenses	`	(697.00)				
Line 10c	Personal Asset Expenses		-				
Line 10d	Investment Expenses		_				
Line 10e	Third-Party Litigation Expenses		_				
	1. Attorney Fees	\$	_				
	2. Litigation Expenses		-				
	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations			\$	(433,857.82)		
Line 10f	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds			Ė	-		
Line 10g	Federal and State Tax Payments						
	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations					\$	(433,857.82)
Line 11	Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund:						, , ,
Line 11a	Distribution Plan Development Expenses:						
	1. Fees:						
	Fund Administrator	\$	-				
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)		-				
	Distribution Agent		-				
	Consultants		-				
	Legal Advisers		-				
	Tax Advisers		-				
	2. Administrative Expenses		-				
	3. Miscellaneous			_			
Line 11b	Total Plan Development Expenses Distribution Plan Implementation Expenses:			\$			
Line 115	1. Fees:						
	Fund Administrator		_				
	IDC		_				
	Distribution Agent		_				
	Consultants		-				
	Legal Advisers		-				
	Tax Advisers		-				
	2. Administrative Expenses		-				
	3. Investor Identification:						
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan		-				
	Claimant Identification		-				
	Claims Processing		-				
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center		-				
	4. Fund Administrator Bond		-				
	5. Miscellaneous		-				
	6. Federal Account for Investor Restitution						
	(FAIR) Reporting Expenses	I —		\$			
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses Total Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund			Φ	-	\$	
Line 12	Disbursements to Court/Other:	1		 		۳	<u> </u>
Line 12 Line 12a	Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) Fees	\$	_				
Line 12b	Federal Tax Payments	ľ	_				
	Total Disbursements to Court/Other:			\$	-		
	Total Funds Disbursed (Lines 9 - 11):					\$	(433,857.82)
Line 13	Ending Balance (As of 06/30/2021):					\$	4,522,135.41
Line 14	Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets:						
Line 14a	Cash & Cash Equivalents				4,522,135.41		
Line 14b	Investments				2,586,521.57		
Line 14c	Other Assets or Uncleared Funds				7,011,519.18	Ī	
LIIIC 140	Total Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets						14,120,176.16

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation

Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM Reporting Period from 07/01/2021 to 09/30/2021

OTHER SUPP	LEMENTAL INFORMATION:			
		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total
Line 15	Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:			
Line 15a	Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund			
	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	\$ -		
	IDC	-		
	Distribution Agent	-		
	Consultants	-		
	Legal Advisers	-		
	Tax Advisers	-		
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		
	3. Miscellaneous			
	Total Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -	
Line 15b	Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:			
	1. Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	\$ -		
	IDC	-		
	Distribution Agent	-		
	Consultants	-		
	Legal Advisers	-		
	Tax Advisers	-		
	2. Administrative Expenses	-		
	3. Investor Identification:	-		
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	-		
	Claimant Identification	-		
	Claims Processing	-		
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	-		
	4. Fund Administrator Bond	-		
	5. Miscellaneous	-		
	6. FAIR Reporting Expenses			
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -	
Line 15c	Tax Administrator Fees & Bonds Not Paid by the Fund			
	Total Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund			-
Line 16	Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund			
Line 16a	Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees		\$ -	
Line 16b	Federal Tax Payments			
	Total Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:			\$ -
Line 17	DC & State Tax Payments			\$ -
Line 18	No. of Claims:	-		
Line 18a	# of Claims Received This Reporting Period	0		
Line 18b	# of Claims Received Since Inception of Fund	75		
Line 19	No. of Claimants/Investors:			
Line 19a	# of Claimants/Investors Paid This Reporting Period	0		
Line 19b	, g	0		

Receiver: Geoff Winkler

Geoff Winkler

(printed name)

Chief Executive Officer

American Fiduciary Services LLC

Receiver, Essex Capital Corporation, et al.

Date: October 29, 2021

PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralph T. Iannelli and Essex Capital Corporation 2 USDC, Central District of California - Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 865 S. Figueroa Street, 4 Suite 2800, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543. 5 On November 22, 2021, I caused to be served on all the parties to this action 6 addressed as stated on the attached service list the document entitled: NINTH INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR INSTRUCTIONS OF RECEIVER, GEOFF 7 WINKLER 8 **OFFICE MAIL:** By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for collection and mailing today following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with 9 the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing; such correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in 10 the ordinary course of business. 11 **OVERNIGHT DELIVERY:** I deposited in a box or other facility regularly 12 maintained by express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing 13 document(s) in sealed envelope(s) or package(s) designed by the express service carrier, addressed as indicated on the attached service list, with fees for overnight 14 delivery paid or provided for. 15 I caused to be hand delivered each such envelope to the HAND DELIVERY: 16 office of the addressee as stated on the attached service list. **ELECTRONIC MAIL**: By transmitting the document by electronic mail to the 17 electronic mail address as stated on the attached service list. 18 X **E-FILING**: By causing the document to be electronically filed via the Court's 19 CM/ECF system, which effects electronic service on counsel who are registered with the CM/ECF system. 20 I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at 21 whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of 22 the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 22, 2021 at Los Angeles, California. 23 /s/ Martha Diaz 24 Martha Diaz 25 26 27 28 4810-7184-4579.21

- 1 -