```
DAVID R. ZARO (BAR NO. 124334)
 1
   JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO (BAR NO. 239015)
   MATTHEW D. PHAM (BAR NO. 287704)
    ALLEN MATKINS LEČK GAMBLE
     MALLORY & NATSIS LLP
    865 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2800
   Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
Phone: (213) 622-5555
Fax: (213) 620-8816
E-Mail: dzaro@allenmatkins.com
 4
 5
           jdelcastillo@allenmatkins.com
 6
           mpham@allenmatkins.com
 7
    Attorneys for Receiver
    GEOFF WINKLER
 8
 9
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                               WESTERN DIVISION
    SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
                                          Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM
12
    COMMISSION,
                                          RECEIVER'S TENTH INTERIM
13
               Plaintiff,
                                          REPORT AND PETITION FOR
                                          FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS
14
         VS.
                                          Date: April 28, 2022
15
    RALPH T. IANNELLI and ESSEX
                                          Time: 10:00 a.m.
                                          Ctrm: 6D
    CAPITAL CORP.,
16
                                                 350 W. 1st Street, 6th Floor
               Defendants.
                                                Los Angeles, CA 90012
17
                                          Judge Hon. Fernando M. Olguin
18
19
   ///
20
   ///
21
   ///
22
   ///
23
   ///
24
   ///
25
   ///
26
   ///
27
   ///
28
   ///
```

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

LAW OFFICES

Geoff Winkler (the "Receiver"), the Court-appointed permanent receiver for defendant Essex Capital Corporation ("Essex") and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively, with Essex, the "Receivership Entities" or "Entities"), hereby submits the following tenth interim report and petition for further instructions (the "Report") for the period from October 1, 2021, through December 31, 2021 (the "Reporting Period"), in accordance with Rule 66-6 of the Local Civil Rules of the United States District Court for the Central District of California and the Court's (a) *Order Regarding Preliminary Injunction and Appointment of a Permanent Receiver* (the "Appointment Order") entered on December 21, 2018, ECF No. 66, (b) *Order in Aid of Receivership* (the "Order in Aid") entered on February 1, 2019, ECF No. 69, and (c) *Order Regarding Permanent Injunction* (the "Permanent Injunction Order") entered on September 9, 2019, ECF No. 113.

I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.</u>

As reflected in the Receiver's previously submitted interim reports, the Receiver has had substantial success in his efforts to identify, marshal, and administer the available assets of the Receivership Entities (the "Receivership Assets" or "Assets"), and, as set forth in this Report, the Receiver has continued to do so throughout the Reporting Period. During the Reporting Period, in addition to handling matters of ordinary estate administration for the receivership estate (the "Estate"), the Receiver largely focused his efforts on two categories: (a) continuing his efforts to recover Receivership Assets from profiting investors and others in possession of such Assets, including via the continuation of litigation brought on behalf of the Receivership Entities, and (b) completing his review of all timely investor claims and finalizing his recommendations regarding the treatment of such

While this Report generally covers the Reporting Period, it also addresses developments prior to and following the Reporting Period to the extent they are relevant to the subjects addressed herein, as necessary.

claims and an associated, proposed plan for distribution on any claims ultimately deemed allowed by the Court.

Most notably, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver took the following actions:

- Continued the prosecution of, and efforts to settle, the Asset-recovery action styled *Winkler v. 915 Elm Avenue CVL*, *LLC* and bearing Case No. 2:21-cv-00869-FMO-AFM (the "CVL Action"), in which he seeks to recover no less than \$2.5 million from 915 Elm Avenue CVL, LLC ("CVL") on claims for avoidance of fraudulent transfers, breach of contract, and unjust enrichment;
- Continued the prosecution of nine pending disgorgement actions against alleged
 profiting investors, altogether seeking to recover over \$8 million in fictitious
 profits received by those investors;
- Resolved another prospective disgorgement claim against a profiting investor,
 without the need to commence formal litigation;
 - Began preparing a new complaint (which has since been filed) against William S. Reyner, Jr. ("Reyner"), the manager of CVL and an alleged profiting investor already subject to a disgorgement action, for fraud and breach of fiduciary duty relating to certain actions taken by Reyner as member and manager of CVL, which actions were discovered or further illuminated as a result of the Receiver's discovery efforts in the CVL Action;
 - Prepared and filed his *Motion for Order: (1) Approving Proposed Distribution Plan; (2) Approving Recommended Treatment of Claims; and (3) Authorizing Distributions on Allowed Claims* (the "Claims Motion"), see ECF No. 220, after completing his analysis of all submitted claims and finalizing his proposed plan for distribution, and recommended treatment of claims.

In addition to the above, the Receiver has continued to administer the remaining Assets of the Estate, and, as of the date of his standardized fund accounting report for the Reporting Period (the "SFAR"), he held approximately

\$4,185,938.54 in cash on hand, for the administration and benefit of the 1 Receivership Entities. 2 RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY. II. The Receiver invites the Court and all interested parties to review the 4 following filings² for the relevant factual and procedural background relating to the 5 subjects addressed in this Report: 6 7 Plaintiff the Securities and Exchange Commission's *Complaint*, filed on 8 June 5, 2018, ECF No. 1; Defendants' Answer to Complaint and Affirmative Defenses, filed on 9 August 1, 2018, ECF No. 37; 10 11 The Appointment Order, entered on December 21, 2018, ECF No. 66; 12 The Order in Aid, entered on February 1, 2019, ECF No. 69; 13 The *Mediation Report*, filed on April 5, 2019, ECF No. 74; 14 The First Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver, Geoff 15 Winkler, filed on April 30, 2019, ECF No. 78; 16 The Final Judgment as to Defendant Ralph T. Iannelli, entered on June 5, 2019, 17 ECF No. 93; The Second Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver, 18 19 Geoff Winkler, filed on August 14, 2019, ECF No. 103; 20 The Judgment Against Defendant Essex Capital Corporation, entered on 21 September 9, 2019, ECF No. 110; 22 The Permanent Injunction Order, entered on September 9, 2019, ECF No. 113; 23 The Third Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, filed on November 18, 2019, ECF No. 123; 24 25 26

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

27

28

4860-5144-9355.2

-4-

These filings, as well as others, are available on the Receiver's website, https://essex-receivership.com/.

- 1 The Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Authority to Pursue Litigation
- 2 Against 915 Elm Avenue CVL, LLC, filed on December 5, 2019, ECF No. 125,
- and the order thereon, entered on July 29, 2020, ECF No. 177;
- 4 The Fourth Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver,
- 5 Geoff Winkler, filed on March 2, 2020, ECF No. 149, and the supplement
- 6 thereto, filed on March 4, 2020, ECF No. 153;
- 7 The Stipulation for Order: (1) Establishing Claims Procedures; and (2) Setting
- 8 Claims Bar Date, filed on April 20, 2020, ECF No. 168, and the order thereon,
- 9 entered on July 31, 2020, ECF No. 179;
- 10 The Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Order Approving and Authorizing
- 11 Performance of Settlement Agreement, filed on June 11, 2020, ECF No. 172, and
- the order thereon, entered on July 29, 2020, ECF No. 178;
- 13 The Fifth Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver, Geoff
- Winkler, filed on July 24, 2020, ECF No. 174, and the supplement thereto, filed
- 15 on August 12, 2020, ECF No. 185;
- 16 The Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Authority to Prosecute Claims
- 17 Against Seed Mackall LLP, filed on August 10, 2020, ECF No. 184, and the
- order thereon, entered on September 2, 2020, ECF No. 186;
- 19 The Stipulation to Authorize Receiver's Sale of Leased Assets, Free and Clear of
- 20 Liens and Encumbrances, filed on September 23, 2020, ECF No. 188, and the
- 21 order thereon, entered on October 6, 2020, ECF No. 189;
- 22 The Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Authority to Establish Disgorgement
- 23 *Procedures and Undertake Disgorgement Efforts*, filed on October 13, 2020,
- ECF No. 190, and the order thereon, entered on November 12, 2020, ECF
- 25 No. 195;
- 26 The Sixth Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver, Geoff

-5-

Winkler, filed on December 8, 2020, ECF No. 197;

28

4860-5144-9355.2

1	• The Seventh Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver,					
2	Geoff Winkler, filed on May 19, 2021, ECF No. 206;					
3	• The Eighth Interim Report and Petition for Further Instructions of Receiver,					
4	Geoff Winkler, filed on August 24, 2021, ECF No. 210;					
5	• The Ninth Interim Report and Petition for Instructions of Receiver, Geoff					
6	Winkler, filed on November 22, 2021, ECF No. 215; and					
7	• The Claims Motion, filed on December 21, 2021, ECF No. 220.					
8	III. THE RECEIVER'S ACTIVITIES AND EFFORTS DURING THE					
9	REPORTING PERIOD.					
10	Of the tasks identified above, the Receiver's most critical undertakings during					
11	the Reporting Period include the following:					
12	A. Asset Identification, Administration, Recovery, and Monetization.					
13	As reflected in his most recent SFAR, attached hereto as Exhibit 1 , at the end					
14	of the Reporting Period, the Receiver held a total of approximately \$4,185,938.54 in					
15	cash for the administration and benefit of the Receivership Entities. In addition, he					
16	continues to hold and administer non-cash Assets, the value of which he has					
17	estimated at as much as \$10 million, ³ inclusive of prospective third-party recoveries.					
18	In addition to the cash and non-cash Assets already in the Receiver's possession and					
19	which he believes are recoverable, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver					
20	undertook the following efforts to recover additional Assets, in accordance with his					
21	authority under the Permanent Injunction Order and this Court's subsequent orders:					
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	3 In previous interim reports the Receiver presented a higher estimate of the					
27	Entities' non-cash Assets. He has since reduced this estimate based on					
28	accounting refinements completed, and additional information obtained,					

thereafter.

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

4860-5144-9355.2

Continued Settlement of Prospective Disgorgement Claims
 Against Profiting Investors.

On November 12, 2020, this Court entered its *Order Granting Motion of Receiver, Geoff Winkler, for Authority to Establish Disgorgement Procedures and Undertake Disgorgement Efforts* (the "Disgorgement Procedures Order"). *See* ECF No. 195. The Disgorgement Procedures Order authorized the Receiver to commence disgorgement efforts against those investors in the Receivership Entities whom he determined, on the basis of his forensic accounting, had received more in payments from the Entities than they invested in or contributed to the Entities. The Disgorgement Procedures Order also authorized the Receiver to settle his prospective disgorgement claims without further order of the Court, provided any settlements he negotiated fell within the parameters established by the Court.

As reflected in prior reports, the Receiver commenced issuing disgorgement demands, paired with settlement proposals consistent with the parameters of the Disgorgement Procedures Order, to suspected profiting investors in early 2021. The Receiver has issued dozens of disgorgement demands and settlement proposals to suspected profiting investors.

Thereafter, the Receiver entered into negotiations with a number of profiting investors, through their representatives (often counsel) or directly, to settle his claims without resorting to litigation. This negotiation and settlement process has been very successful to date, with the Receiver's efforts culminating in the recovery of Assets exceeding \$2.3 million. Though the substantial majority of the Receiver's settlements with suspected profiting investors were negotiated and finalized in the prior reporting periods, the Receiver reached one more settlement with a profiting investor during the Reporting Period (with the investor wiring the \$50,000 settlement payment a few days following the end of the Reporting Period). The Receiver remains in discussions with a very small number of additional suspected

profiting investors and is optimistic that additional recoveries may be made without resorting to litigation.

2. Continued Prosecution of the CVL Action.

On July 29, 2020, the Court entered a minute order authorizing the Receiver to prosecute claims against CVL, a limited liability company created in November 2015, by and between defendant Ralph Iannelli ("<u>Iannelli</u>") and Reyner, to purchase, own, and operate a lumber-yard business (the "<u>Lumber Yard</u>").

The Receiver has concluded that CVL's purchase of the Lumber Yard from James Gally ("Gally") and his affiliated entities Carpinteria Valley Lumber Co. and J&G Clay Properties, LLC (collectively, with Gally, the "Gally Entities") was funded, in large part, by hundreds of thousands of dollars from Essex, as well as a \$1.5-million seller-carryback loan from Gally, which Essex—who has never held an interest in CVL—had agreed to repay to Gally pursuant to a promissory note (the "Essex-to-Gally Note"). Contemporaneously with Essex's execution of the Essex-to-Gally Note, CVL executed a companion note (the "CVL-to-Essex Note"), agreeing to repay \$1.5 million to Essex for its agreement to repay the seller-carryback loan to Gally.

In addition, the Receiver's analysis has further indicated that another \$250,000 from the Receivership Entities was transferred to CVL, which was apparently intended to be a loan to CVL that would allow it to pay off an inventory loan owed to the Gally Entities. In connection with CVL's receipt of those funds from Essex, the Receiver's records reflect that CVL executed a promissory note agreeing to repay \$125,000 to Iannelli (the "Iannelli Inventory Note") and a promissory note agreeing to repay \$125,000 to Essex (the "Essex Inventory Note").

Both of the promissory notes from CVL to Essex (i.e., the CVL-to-Essex Note and the Essex Inventory Note) have since matured and are now in default. CVL, however, has contested its repayment obligations to Essex. Over and above the payment obligation incurred by Essex under the Essex-to-Gally Note, and

Essex's attendant right to be repaid by CVL under the CVL-to-Essex Note and the Essex Inventory Note, the Receiver further confirmed that an estimated \$1.1 million was diverted from Essex's accounts and transferred to, or for the benefit of, CVL. In total, over \$2,100,000 in Essex funds and obligations were used and incurred in connection with CVL's formation and the purchase of the Lumber Yard.

On January 29, 2021, the Receiver filed his complaint against CVL, commencing the CVL Action, in which he asserts claims for avoidance of fraudulent transfers, breach of contract, and unjust enrichment based on the above-described facts. Prior to the Reporting Period, the pleadings had closed, and fact discovery was already under way.

During the Reporting Period, the Receiver engaged in significant discovery efforts, including propounding written discovery requests on CVL and taking the depositions of four witnesses, including Reyner. From those efforts, the Receiver learned, among other things, that Reyner did not believe that the CVL-to-Essex Note was enforceable at the time that he executed the note on behalf of CVL, that Reyner had no intention of binding CVL to the contractual obligations set forth in the CVL-to-Essex Note, and that Reyner had made false or misleading statements regarding when and why the CVL-to-Essex Note came into existence. These discoveries led the Receiver to prepare a new complaint against Reyner, in his individual capacity, for fraud and breach of fiduciary duty, which was ultimately filed on February 4, 2022.

During the Reporting Period, the Receiver also participated in a mandatory settlement conference with CVL before the Honorable Suzanne H. Segal (ret.). Though the conference ended without the parties reaching a settlement, extensive settlement discussions continued thereafter, initially facilitated by Judge Segal. The Receiver continues to engage in settlement discussions with CVL, now directly, in the hope that the disputes relating to and arising from CVL's formation and purchase of the Lumber Yard can be resolved.

4860-5144-9355.2

-9-

3. Continued Prosecution of Disgorgement Actions. 1 2 In accordance with his authority under the Disgorgement Procedures Order, as of the date of this Report, the Receiver has commenced a total of ten disgorgement actions against profiting investors (one of which has since been 4 5 dismissed following a settlement) before this Court. Those actions are styled as follows: 6 7 Winkler v. Fazio, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-02987-FMO-AFM (the "Fazio 8 Action"); 9 Winkler v. WLD Davis Holdings, LLC, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-03209-FMO-10 AFM:⁴ 11 Winkler v. Siemens, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-04515-FMO-AFM; 12 Winkler v. Fead, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-04519-FMO-AFM; 13 Winkler v. Largura, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-04534-FMO-AFM; 14 Winkler v. Reyner, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-05730-FMO-AFM; 15 Winkler v. McCloskey, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-05757-FMO-AFM; 16 Winkler v. Hopen Life Science Ventures, et al., Cal. Case No. 2:21-cv-06049-17 FMO-AFM; 18 Winkler v. Nicholson, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-07458-FMO-AFM; and 19 Winkler v. Emmons, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-07267-FMO-AFM. 20 While the Receiver is confident in the claims alleged in the above-identified 21 actions and is prepared to take those matters to trial, if necessary, he remains 22 amenable to negotiating reasonable settlements with the profiting investors. With 23 respect to the six pending disgorgement actions in which scheduling orders have already been issued, this Court has scheduled deadlines in March 2022 and beyond 24 25 for the parties to attend mandatory settlement conferences before the Honorable 26 27

This disgorgement action was dismissed by the Receiver, with prejudice, following the parties' settlement of all claims relating to the Receiver's complaint and the payment of the settlement amount due to the Receiver under terms of the settlement.

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

28

4860-5144-9355.2

-10-

Alexander F. MacKinnon, and it is the Receiver's hope that Judge MacKinnon can facilitate the parties' respective settlement efforts.⁵

However, in the meantime, during the Reporting Period, the Receiver has had to continue prosecuting the pending disgorgement actions. In connection with discovery, the Receiver has developed nearly universal sets of discovery requests that can be easily adapted to be used in each of the actions, and, so far, the Receiver has only had to respond to discovery requests propounded in one case—the Fazio Action. In addition, as the Receiver intends to establish the actual-fraudulent-intent element through the "Ponzi scheme presumption" when putting on his case in chief (and he is also aware that certain defendants in the disgorgement actions are planning to argue that there was no Ponzi scheme at the time of the transfers at issue), during the Reporting Period, the Receiver, with his counsel, also developed a comprehensive roadmap for how he will prove the existence of the Ponzi scheme, which will be used in each of the disgorgement actions.

B. Claims Processing and Development of Prospective Distribution Plan.

The Receiver has completed his processing of all timely submitted claims and, at this time, no claims remain unresolved, although a handful of investors have disputed the Receiver's valuation of their claims. In all, more than 94% of investors have accepted the Receiver's valuation of their claims.

Having processed all claims-related materials, the Receiver began developing a proposed plan to govern distributions on any claims allowed by the Court in the second half of 2021. During the Reporting Period, the Receiver finalized his mathematical approach governing such plan of distribution and came up with a hybrid rising-tide approach, whereby the first 50% of funds to be distributed are

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

-11-

4860-5144-9355.2 -1

Indeed, a settlement in principle has already been reached in the *Fazio* matter, after the conclusion of two Mandatory Settlement Conference meetings before Judge MacKinnon.

distributed among the least "whole" investor group and then the remaining 50% of funds are distributed on a strict pro rata basis. The Receiver's proposed plan of distribution and recommended treatment of claims were then set forth in the Claims Motion, which was filed on December 21, 2021, and has since been taken under submission by the Court.

C. Attending to Pre-Receivership Litigation Matters.

At the time of the Receiver's appointment, there were two actions against the Receivership Entities pending before the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara, both of which had been stayed pursuant to the Permanent Injunction Order: (a) the action captioned as *Gabler v. Essex Capital Corp., et al.* and bearing Case No. 18CV03423 (the "Gabler Action")⁶; and (2) the action captioned as *Dennis v. Iannelli, et al.* and bearing Court Case No. 18CV03317 (the "Dennis Action").

The plaintiff in the Gabler Action, who had alleged that defendants Melissa Iannelli, Ralph Iannelli, and Essex breached the terms of a promissory note, however, has since voluntarily dismissed his action, without prejudice. The plaintiff in the Dennis Action, which remains pending, had alleged that defendants Ralph Iannelli and Essex operated a fraudulent investment scheme and sought relief upon a number of tort claims.

The Receiver and his counsel have continued to monitor the Dennis Action and have previously informed the court presiding over that action of the litigation stay imposed by the Appointment Order and maintained by the Permanent Injunction Order, in order to protect and preserve the Estate from diminution. The Receiver will continue to monitor and, through counsel, make necessary appearances and keep the court presiding over the Dennis Action abreast of developments in the instant action, as appropriate.

4860-5144-9355.2

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble

Mallory & Natsis LLP

-12-

As previously noted, the plaintiff in the Gabler Action filed a request for dismissal of his complaint, without prejudice, on May 5, 2021.

D. Communications with Investors and Other Interested Parties.

In accordance with the Order in Aid, the Receiver continues to maintain a receivership website for this matter, which, among other things, he uses as a means of communicating with investors in the Receivership Entities. Specifically, the Receiver posts all of his filings to the website, which also includes a portal through which investors and other interested parties may register to receive email notice of such filings. The Receiver will continue to post additional updates to the website as they become relevant and available.

IV. CONCLUSION AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS.

Assuming that the Court accepts this Report and authorizes the Receiver to undertake the actions recommended herein, as well as to continue those actions provided for in the Appointment Order, Order in Aid, and Permanent Injunction Order, the Receiver proposes to submit a further interim report to this Court, addressing his progress, findings, conclusions, and additional recommendations, in approximately 90 to 120 days.

Accordingly, and based on the foregoing, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order:

- 1. Accepting this Report;
- 2. Authorizing the Receiver to continue to administer the Receivership Entities and their Estate in accordance with the terms of the Appointment Order, Order in Aid, and Permanent Injunction Order;
- 3. Authorizing the Receiver to undertake the recommendations presented herein; and

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 / / /

25 / / /

26 / / /

27 1 / / /

28 / / /

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4860-5144-9355.2 -13-

Case 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM Document 229 Filed 03/25/22 Page 14 of 18 Page ID #:5215

		l
1	4. Providing such other and further relief as the Court deems necessary	
2	and appropriate under the circumstances.	
3		
4		
5	Dated: March 25, 2022 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS LLP	
6	DAVID R. ZARO JOSHUA A. DEL CASTILLO	
7	MATTHEW D. PHAM	
8	By:/s/ Matthew D. Pham	
9	MATTHEW D. PHAM Attorneys for Receiver	
10	Attorneys for Receiver GEOFF WINKLER	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
S C Gamble S LLP		

LAW OFFICES

Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

4860-5144-9355.2

-14-

VERIFICATION OF GEOFF WINKLER 1 I, Geoff Winkler, verify as follows: 2 3 I am the Court-appointed permanent receiver for Essex Capital Corporation and its subsidiaries and affiliates. 4 I have read the foregoing RECEIVER'S TENTH INTERIM REPORT 5 2. AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS and know its contents. 6 7 The matters stated in the foregoing document are true to the best of my 3. knowledge, information, and belief. 8 I verify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of 9 America that the foregoing is true and correct. 10 Executed on March 25, 2022, at Salem, Oregon. 11 12 13 July, 14 15 Geoff Winkler 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

LAW OFFICES
Allen Matkins Leck Gamble
Mallory & Natsis LLP

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM

Reporting Period from 10/01/2021 to 12/31/2021

I	TING (See instructions)	Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total
Line 1 Be	ginning Balance (As of 10/01/2021):	\$ 4,522,135.41	Subtotai	Granu rotai
	creases in Fund Balance:	Ψ 4,022,100.41		
-	Business Income	\$ -		
	Cash and Securities (in transit) Interest/Dividend Income	-		
-	Business Asset Liquidation	-		
	Personal Asset Liquidation	30,775.28		
	Third-Party Litigation Income	35,717.47		
-	Miscellaneous - Other	-		
	Total Funds Available (Lines 1 - 8):		\$ 66.492.75	\$ 4,588,628.16
Do	ecreases in Fund Balance:		φ σσ, ισ2σ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ine 9	Disbursements to Investors			
	Disbursements for Receivership Operations			
	Disbursements to Receiver or Other Professionals	(402,689.62)		
	Business Asset Expenses	-		
	Personal Asset Expenses	-		
	Investment Expenses	-		
Line 10e	Third-Party Litigation Expenses	-		
	1. Attorney Fees	\$ -		
	2. Litigation Expenses	I	* (400 000 00)	
	Total Disbursements for Receivership Operations		\$ (402,689.62)	
	Tax Administrator Fees and Bonds		-	
_	Federal and State Tax Payments		<u>-</u> _	
	tal Disbursements for Receivership Operations			\$ (402,689.62
	sbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund:			
	stribution Plan Development Expenses: Fees:			
1.1	Fund Administrator	\$ -		
	Independent Distribution Consultant (IDC)	Φ -		
	Distribution Agent	1 _		
	Consultants	1		
	Legal Advisers	_		
	Tax Advisers	_		
2. /	Administrative Expenses	_		
	Miscellaneous	_		
	Total Plan Development Expenses		\$ -	
	stribution Plan Implementation Expenses:			
1. F	Fees:			
	Fund Administrator	-		
	IDC	-		
	Distribution Agent	-		
	Consultants	-		
	Legal Advisers	-		
	Tax Advisers	-		
	Administrative Expenses	-		
3.1	Investor Identification:			
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	-		
	Claimant Identification	-		
	Claims Processing Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	_		
4.5	Fund Administrator Bond	_		
	Miscellaneous	1 _		
	Federal Account for Investor Restitution			
0.1	(FAIR) Reporting Expenses	_		
Tot	tal Plan Implementation Expenses		\$ -	
	tal Disbursements for Distribution Expenses Paid by the Fund		-	\$ -
	sbursements to Court/Other:			
	Investment Expenses/Court Registry Investment System (CRIS) Fees	\$ -		
	Federal Tax Payments	-		
Tot	tal Disbursements to Court/Other:		\$ -	
Tot	tal Funds Disbursed (Lines 9 - 11):			\$ (402,689.62
	ding Balance (As of 12/31/2021):	<u> </u>		\$ 4,185,938.54
ine 14 En	ding Balance of Fund - Net Assets:			
	Cash & Cash Equivalents		4,185,938.54	
	Investments		2,555,746.29	
	Other Assets or Uncleared Funds		6,425,000.00	
I+	tal Ending Balance of Fund - Net Assets	Ī	Ī	\$ 13,166,684.83

STANDARDIZED FUND ACCOUNTING REPORT for SEC v. Essex Capital Corporation Receivership; Civil Docket No. 18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM

Reporting Period from 10/01/2021 to 12/31/2021

OTHER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:					
		Detail	Subtotal	Grand Total	
Line 15	Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund:				
Line 15a	Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund				
	1. Fees:				
	Fund Administrator	\$ -			
	IDC	-			
	Distribution Agent	-			
	Consultants	-			
	Legal Advisers	-			
	Tax Advisers	-			
	2. Administrative Expenses	-			
	3. Miscellaneous				
	Total Plan Development Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -		
Line 15b	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	1. Fees:				
	Fund Administrator	\$ -			
	IDC	-			
	Distribution Agent Consultants	-			
	Legal Advisers	-			
	Tax Advisers	-			
	2. Administrative Expenses	_			
	3. Investor Identification:	_			
	Notice/Publishing Approved Plan	_			
	Claimant Identification	_			
	Claims Processing	_			
	Web Site Maintenance/Call Center	_			
	4. Fund Administrator Bond	_			
	5. Miscellaneous	_			
	6. FAIR Reporting Expenses	-			
	Total Plan Implementation Expenses Not Paid by the Fund		\$ -		
Line 15c			7		
	Total Disbursements for Plan Administration Expenses Not Paid by the Fund			-	
Line 16	Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund				
Line 16a	Investment Expenses/CRIS Fees		\$ -		
Line 16b	Federal Tax Payments		-		
	Total Disbursements to Court/Other Not Paid by the Fund:			\$ -	
Line 17	DC & State Tax Payments			\$ -	
Line 18	No. of Claims:			. •	
Line 18a	# of Claims Received This Reporting Period	0			
Line 18b	. 9	75			
Line 19	No. of Claimants/Investors:				
Line 19a	# of Claimants/Investors Paid This Reporting Period	0			
Line 19b	# of Claimants/Investors Paid Since Inception of Fund	0			

Receiver: Geoff Winkler

Geoff Winkler

(printed name)

Chief Executive Officer

American Fiduciary Services LLC

Receiver, Essex Capital Corporation, et al.

Date: January 31, 2022

1	DDOOF OF SERVICE
1	PROOF OF SERVICE Securities and Exchange Commission as Palul T. Ignualli and Eccas Capital Commission
2	Securities and Exchange Commission v. Ralph T. Iannelli and Essex Capital Corporation USDC, Central District of California – Case No. 2:18-cv-05008-FMO-AFM
3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 865 S. Figueroa Street, Suite 2800, Los Angeles, California 90017-2543.
567	On March 25, 2022, I caused to be served on all the parties to this action addressed as stated on the attached service list the document entitled: RECEIVER'S TENTH INTERIM REPORT AND PETITION FOR FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS
8 9 10	OFFICE MAIL: By placing in sealed envelope(s), which I placed for collection and mailing today following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing; such correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the same day in the ordinary course of business.
11 12 13 14	OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by express service carrier, or delivered to a courier or driver authorized by said express service carrier to receive documents, a true copy of the foregoing document(s) in sealed envelope(s) or package(s) designed by the express service carrier, addressed as indicated on the attached service list, with fees for overnight delivery paid or provided for.
15	☐ HAND DELIVERY: I caused to be hand delivered each such envelope to the office of the addressee as stated on the attached service list.
16 17	☐ ELECTRONIC MAIL : By transmitting the document by electronic mail to the electronic mail address as stated on the attached service list.
18 19	E-FILING: By causing the document to be electronically filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, which effects electronic service on counsel who are registered with the CM/ECF system.
202122	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on March 25 , 2022 at Los Angeles, California.
23	/s/ Martha Diaz
24	Martha Diaz
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4810-7184-4579.34

- 1 -