IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY, FLORIDA CASE NO. CRC89-11425CFANO-B

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KEVIN RICHARD HERRICK,

Defendant.



BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE BRANDT C. DOWNEY III

Circuit Judge

REPORTED BY:

CARLA JESSAL, NOTARY PUBLIC

State of Florida at Large

Deputy Official Court Reporter

Sixth Judicial Circuit

PLACE:

In Chambers

Criminal Courts Complex Clearwater, FL 34620

DATE:

JANUARY 4, 1991

TIME:

Commencing at 9:00 A.M.

SENTENCING

Pages (1 - 26)

ROBERT A. DEMPSTER & ASSOCIATES
Pinellas County Courthouse
Room 3, 315 Court Street
Clearwater, Florida 34616
(813)462-4858



APPEARANCES

2

3

4

5

6

1

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: JOSEPH BULONE, ESQUIRE

WILLIAM LOUGHERY, ESQUIRE ASSISTANT STATE ATTORNEYS Criminal Courts complex 5100 - 144th Avenue North

Suite B-200

Clearwater, FL 34620

7 ||

FOR THE DEFENDANT: ED LEINSTER, ESQUIRE 219 North Brown Street

Orlando, FL 32801

9

8

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: What do you have?
3	MR. LEINSTER: Herrick.
4	MR. LOUGHERY: That's set for the afternoon.
5	Joe's handling that.
6	THE COURT: Morning or afternoon?
7	MR. LEINSTER: I was told 8:30 this morning.
8	THE CLERK: It's set for 1:30.
9	MR. LEINSTER: I have a minor problem with that
10	because we had it scheduled for 8:30 and I've got
11	depositions on an out-of-state witness that is flying
12	in at 2:00 in Osceola County on a rape case.
13	THE COURT: I've got it set for 1:30. We'll take
14	it up this morning. All right?
15	MR. LOUGHERY: We have to get someone to relieve
16	Joe because no one else will be able to handle
17	sentencing and the motion to vacate and all the other
18	stuff on it.
19	THE COURT: Get someone else. You may leave and
20	make a call.
21	* * * * * *
22	THE COURT: Do we have Mr. Herrick?
23	THE BAILIFF: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Is there anybody else that wants to

25

come here?

MR. LEINSTER: The family thought it was 1:30, 1 2 too, but I can proffer what they would say. THE COURT: I'll allow that. By the way, I just 3 received another letter from Mr. Herrick indicating he 4 was still attempting to try to have set aside some old 5 judgments and such that would, if set aside, take him 6 7 out of the life --8 MR. LEINSTER: That's what we're doing here today. 9 10 THE COURT: I thought we were doing the 11 sentencing today. 12 MR. LEINSTER: We are. He will get life or 13 something substantially close to it. 14 THE COURT: All right. We're not talking about 15 the old judgments just this case; is that right? MR. LEINSTER: We are talking about old judgments 16 17 THE COURT: Let's go off the record. 18 (THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD OFF THE RECORD.) Back on the record. We're here on 19 THE COURT: case number 89-11425CFANO, State vs. Kevin Herrick. 20 21 It's set for a sentencing today and also a Motion To 22 Vacate Judgment and Conviction of Sentence. 23 Mr. Leinster, it's your motion. Go ahead. The way I read the score sheet 24 MR. LEINSTER: going down to prior record, there are three second 25

degree felonies and three third degree felonies. Of those, one of the second degrees and one of the third degrees was a result of a plea that was entered in front of Judge Ware on September 27th, 1985. At that time Mr. Herrick was on probation for some other offenses, which have also been counted, but I'm primarily directing my attention to the substantive case that he was being tried for at that time, that involved the burglary to his parents residence and the taking of a gun.

2.2

So there was a burglary of a dwelling which, I'm assuming, through plea negotiations was reduced to a second degree felony and a grand theft of the gun itself. Now, if those two are subtracted, if one of the second degree felonies is subtracted and one of the third degree felonies is subtracted, i.e. the two that I'm talking about, you would be subtracting 110 points which would place him at 582 points which is the exact end of the recommended range of thirty as opposed to life.

My legal position is that in going to the transcript of the proceedings that took place at that time, this was a plea of accommodation that, by itself, is not particularly odious, but there is a statement in here by the public defender on page

eight.

I think the Court should be aware that when Kevin Herrick was initially arrested his parents called and said the only reason they pressed a portion of the charge is they wanted him to get help. They called numerous times with that same statement of concern that they thought he needed intervention, he needed counseling, he needed help and, thereafter, they brought the police into it.

Then further on after the judge has agreed not to go outside of the guidelines, but to limit the sentence to a youthful offender sentence of two-and-a-half years. Then Mr. Herrick is brought in and at that point in time the State indicated that on the 20th of June 1985 he entered the dwelling on Northeast Coachman Road, the property of his father, without his father's permission and took a firearm.

No one addressed Mr. Herrick at all as to the statement of facts as to whether they were true or not. The public defender stipulated the State could prove a prima facie case without agreeing to the facts in total. What she said was, "We stipulate as to the violations which were the probation violations on the new charges. We stipulate the State could prove a prima facie case without agreeing to the facts in

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know what that equivocation means.

The Court does not address Mr. Herrick at all at that point but says he finds that the plea is freely, voluntarily entered. There is no mention of maximum sentences involved or the consequences, and so on, and he was then sentenced to two-and-a-half years as a youthful offender.

Mr. Herrick, Sr. advised me recently that Kevin had their permission to go in the place and that, in fact, the only reason that this ever reached the stage that it did is because he had been showing sort of a pattern of misbehavior that they wanted to address and it seemed this was the most convenient way for him to address it in, which would have been a good idea at the time, but the way this thing scores out, that would be a rather bad decision.

So in terms of the factual basis for the burglary and possibly the grand theft, the only thing that was elicited in the record is simply the bare statement of the State without any agreement from Mr. Herrick, an equivocal statement by the public defender that they agreed to an extent but not totally. And then to add further confusion to it, what the judge says is, "I will adjudicate you guilty as charged and find you're in violation of the terms and conditions of your

probation and sentence you to two-and-a-half years in the Department of Corrections as a youthful offender.

The sentence is to run concurrent."

I'm assuming that the judge is saying I'll adjudicate you guilty of the substantive offense and also find you in violation of the terms and conditions of your violation charges, but that's not what it says, and the sentence has to be a definite statement of the terms involved. "I will adjudicate you guilty as charged and find you are in violation of the terms and conditions of your probation. The way I read that is he found him in violation of probation, guilty as charged, but never sentenced him whatsoever or found him guilty of the underlying offenses.

I would cite <u>Williams v. State</u>, 546 So.2d 56,

June 1989. Defendant was entitled to evidentiary
hearing on the issue of whether his guilty plea was
voluntarily made with the understanding of the maximum
possible penalties where the transcript of the plea
proceedings revealed the word maximum was never
mentioned. During the defendant's colloquy it was
never in this particular case.

I would cite to you 14 FLW 976, April 1989, which, of course, is hardbound by now. J. C. vs. State. In accepting a guilty plea the trial court

must determine that the plea is freely and intelligently entered with a full understanding of the rights being waived. There is no mention whatsoever in this transcript of any rights that the Defendant is giving up, the rights to a trial by jury, the right to confront witnesses, subpoena witnesses, remain silent, none of that.

THE COURT: Was there a written plea form entered?

MR. LEINSTER: That I don't know.

THE COURT: Joe, do you know that?

MR. BULONE: I certainly would assume so. I don't know.

MR. LEINSTER: I will also cite -- it's going to be tough to cite. Mounds v. State of Florida

(phonetic), a Fourth District opinion filed June 29th of 1988. In any event -- let's see. It is error to without conducting a colloquy with the Defendant to determine if there is a factual basis for the plea and whether the plea is voluntarily entered with the understanding of it's consequences. It said, We reverse and remand because the defendant's guilty plea was accepted in violation of rule 3.172 Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The record does not demonstrate at the time the

trial court accepted guilty plea the Court conducted a full colloquy with the Defendant to determine whether there was a factual basis for the plea. Rule 3.172 requires the trial court to determine whether the guilty plea is freely and voluntarily entered and whether the Defendant understands the consequences of his plea. The operative language there, however, being there was no colloquy with the Defendant to determine a factual basis.

Citing 13 FLW 2712, <u>Williams v. State</u>. a Fourth District opinion. Where the defendant during the plea colloquy with the trial court raised the possibility of a defense in the course of his response to the trial court's questions, potential prejudice is apparent and further inquiry by the trial court into a factual basis by the court Is necessary.

What happened here is the Court was conducting its colloquy with the Defendant, not simply asking for a stipulation on the record by counsel. He was charged with willfully and knowingly resisting an officer in the performance of his duty and he pled guilty to that. And the Court said, Also you resisted arrest with violence. You unlawfully, willfully, knowingly by offering or doing violence to him by fighting with a duly qualified law enforcement officer

-- blah, blah, blah. The defendant said I didn't fight. So he sort of -- he didn't say he didn't do it because he was charged with opposing, obstructing, offering or doing violence by fighting and he said I didn't fight. The Court said, That's what you're admitting to by pleading guilty; do you understand that? He answered, Yes, sir, I do.

That was reversed on the same basis that is

That was reversed on the same basis that is tantamount to what happened in this plea where the public defender, not even the Defendant, says we agree that they can establish a prima facie case, but we don't agree with the facts. I don't know what that kind of equivocation means.

Those are the cases that I have for the Court's benefit. I would ask Mr. Herrick be sworn.

THE COURT: Do you want the State to respond first?

MR. LEINSTER: That's fine.

MR. BULONE: I have not been provided with that transcript at all, if I could just take a look at it. If you want to take the defendants statement now, that's fine.

THE COURT: Mr. Herrick, raise your right hand.

1 THEREUPON, 2 KEVIN HERRICK Was called as a witness and after having been first duly 3 sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: 4 5 THE COURT: Proceed, Mr. Leinster. DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. LEINSTER: 7 8 Q. At the time that you entered this plea, what was the motive for doing so? 9 10 The lawyer told me it was the best thing I could do, I suppose. 11 12 0. Had your parents told you you could not come into their residence? 13 14 Α. No, they never told me I couldn't. 15 Have you discussed with your parents at all those Q. events since that time? 16 17 Yeah. Α. And would you have had their permission to go in 18 Q. 19 their residence at that time? 20 Α. Yes. Your parents were apparently attempting to put 21 Q. you in line; is that your understanding? 22 23 Α. Yes, sir. And you also had access to a firearm when you 24

went in their residence?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Would you have had their permission to do that as
3	well?
4	A. I believe so. I was never told that I couldn't
5	use the guns.
6	MR. LEINSTER: That's all I have.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. BULONE:
9	Q. You stated that your lawyer told you that it was
10	in your best interest to change your plea; is that true?
11	A. She said I should plead guilty.
12	Q. So you discussed the case with her?
13	A. Not really. I had seen her one time in the
14	months that I had been there.
15	Q. So you discussed the case with her, though,
16	didn't you?
17	A. No. To an extent, yes, but not into detail.
18	Q. You discussed all of your options as far as going
19	to trial and that sort of thing?
20	A. No.
21	Q. She told you that you could go to trial, right,
22	and you knew that right?
23	A. She said that I should plead guilty. That going
24	to trial was never discussed between us.
25	Q. But you knew you had the option to go to trial,

didn't you? 1 I suppose that would be my right, I quess. 2 Α. So you knew that, right? Q. 3 (No verbal response). 4 Α. And you changed your plea at that time because 5 0. you thought it was in your best interest as your lawyer 6 did? 7 Under the advice of her I did, yes. 8 Α. So you weren't forced into changing your plea, 9 right, you just did it because you thought it was in your 10 best interest? 11 Probably because I was scared. 12 Α. You were scared of the consequences if you didn't 13 Q. plead guilty, right? 14 15 Α. Yep. 16 Q. Because you might get a deal that was worse then the two-and-a-half years? 17 I wasn't aware of what kind of time I was facing. 18 Α. 19 Q. So you changed your plea because you thought it would be in your best interest? 20 According to my lawyer, yes. 21 Α. And it was voluntary, nobody forced you or 22 Q. anything like that? 23

MR. BULONE: Nothing else.

Α.

No.

24

MR. LEINSTER: No further questions. 1 THE COURT: Further witness testimony? 2 No, just the part --MR. LEINSTER: 3 THE COURT: With regard to what the parents say? 4 MR. LEINSTER: Ves. 5 THE COURT: Joe, do you want a few more minutes 6 to look through the transcript? 7 if I could. MR. BULONE: 8 THE COURT: We'll stand at ease to give 9 10 Mr. Bulone an opportunity to look at the transcript. I would feel comfortable in taking a look at the 11 court file because there might be some documentation 12 13 in there. I have a copy of the judgment and sentence 14 that you presented me to show the priors, but I would 15 feel more comfortable in taking a look at the court file and, hopefully, we can get someone over to 16 17 storage to get it. Hopefully. Please take Mr. Herrick back to the holding cell 18 19 and we'll get back with you, Kevin, as soon as we can. 20 We'll continue the sentencing and the other motion to 21 vacate until such time as we get the Court file. 22 THE COURT: We're reconvening on Mr. Herrick's 23 situation. I understand the old court file from 1985 24 25 has been retrieved from across the street.

had a chance to review it Mr. Bulone?

MR. BULONE: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Joe, go ahead.

MR. BULONE: I do not see a plea form in the file.

THE COURT: The only thing I saw in the file was the fact that the judge signed the plea form and the judge indicated, which may or may not have been the procedure back then, but Judge Ware signed a form entitled "Change of Plea" wherein he indicated that the former plea of not guilty was withdrawn and entered a plea of guilty to burg residence and grand theft as charged. The Court having inquired as to if there are any inducements or promises to influence him to enter the plea replied that there were not and this plea is voluntarily and freely made, that he is guilty as charged.

In looking at the transcript, what happened before and what happened afterwards, it would appear that, unless the public defender was doing a good job of acting, she had spent some time talking to Mr. Herrick about this. She had some concerns with regards to his family situation and his emotional problem. I know that, Kevin, I heard what you had to say with how much time she spent with you, but it does

appear that she spent some amount of time with you to
get to know you on this situation and to explain to
the Court, you know, at least enough to get him to
sentence you within the guidelines and as a youthful

offender. Do you have anything you want to say on

that?

MR. BULONE: I have reviewed that transcript now and there was a great deal of discussion about the case with Mr. Herrick being there, the facts of the case and the facts of his other cases, as well as there was definitely a factual basis as to this particular case. It was never said in there that the defendant was not guilty or possibly not guilty of the offense. The only thing that was cited in there was that his parents wanted to prosecute to give him some sort of help. That does not mean that they wanted to prosecute him when he was not guilty and give him some sort of help.

There is no indication by Mr. Herrick or anyone else that he was not guilty of that or the crimes that were alleged, but that was the reason that his parents wanted to prosecute and there is a long discussion on what he did with the guns after he did steal them from his father. So it was a rather lengthy discussion in the presence of Mr. Herrick as far as what the facts

•

of this particular case and all of the subsequent actions.

THE COURT: It does appear that there is some discussion on page 12 of the sentencing between the Court and Mr. Herrick. He asked him his name and asked if he was entering his change of plea freely and voluntarily. State indicated they were relying on the arrest affidavit and the VOP, and on the new charge that he did enter the dwelling and take the weapons and the public defender stipulated as to the violation and stipulated to a prima facie case in the presence of Mr. Herrick.

It appears if Mr. Herrick didn't agree to that, he knew what he was going to be sentenced to because in his presence his the public defender on page 12 indicated he'd be sentenced to two-and-a-half years Department of Corrections on all of the cases running concurrent with each other and there were new cases in the violation statement Mr. Herrick entered. I see no reason for setting aside --

MR. LEINSTER: One other point, Mr. Herrick never pled to the substantive charges. If you look at page 12, he was sworn in and the Court said you're charged with violation of probation. How do you plead?

Guilty. Is that plea entered freely, voluntarily?

What says the State as to the violation and probation?

They would rely on the warrant and affidavit and as to the new charge. The State would show the following — the Court says stipulate to the statement of facts?

PD stipulated to the violation and, as I say, "on the new charges we stipulate the State could prove a prima facie without agreeing to the facts in total," but he never pled guilty to the substantive charge. He was asked if he pled guilty to violation of probation.

THE COURT: Let's go off the record.

(THEREUPON, A DISCUSSION WAS HELD OFF THE RECORD.)

THE COURT: Back on the record.

MR. BULONE: One thing for the record I did want to mention, even if we discount the grand theft and the burglary he's still going to score life and the reason is under the rules victim injury will be scored for each victim injured during a criminal episode or transaction. There were two victims in this case and I had originally omitted that there are two victims.

One of them, of course, is the victim who was raped and the other one was the victim who was stabbed. So if you add both of those in, even if you take out the burglary and the grand theft, he is still going to have the 620 points and he will still score life.

I'm not conceding at all that the burglary and

1 |

grand theft ought to be dismissed or vacated.

MR. LEINSTER: If you read the transcript, he never pled guilty.

MR. BULONE: I think it's obvious that he did because they were talking about that one case the whole time. The State made a factual basis about that whole case and Jocelyn Leete did agree there was a factual basis. She would not agree to each specific fact, but she did agree there was a factual basis.

It's obvious he did plead guilty.

THE COURT: Based on the fact that Mr. Herrick while, obviously, admittedly, scared at the time of the sentencing because he was looking at prison, it appears to me from looking at the Pre-Sentence Investigation that's been prepared on this that this is not the first time Mr. Herrick had been in trouble. He's been in juvenile court a number of times and on April the 15th of 1985 he was in criminal court again on a criminal mischief charge, two different case numbers, and was adjudicated guilty and was placed on probation.

So it's not like this was the first time, Kevin, that you have been in court. It's the first time you were looking at prison and I'm sure that scared you, but it's not like the formality of the situation was

in any way totally foreign to you. You did agree to something. I think you are smart enough, based on what I have seen anyway, smart enough to have known you could say, wait a minute, I didn't agree to that.

I'm not saying everything Judge Ware did was right. He could have asked some more questions on that, possibly. However, it also appears to me, based on what Mr. Bulone is saying, at this point even if we take those away, when we add in victim injury, 40 points times two, they were still looking at a life situation, even if we take it out.

I would point out that even though the motion to vacate the judgment and conviction sentence has been filed in this original case, it seems to me that my recollection of the rules is it must be done -- the motion must be accompanied by a sworn affidavit and that the motion has to be heard in front of the original sentencing judge, neither of which were complied with. Be that as it may, I think it's kind of a moot point.

I'm going to deny the motion to vacate, not because I don't feel it has merit but because I think it doesn't matter, even if we take it out. When we add in the 80 points from the penetration or slight injury on the two victims, and we can score both

ROBERT A. DEMPSTER & ASSOCIATES

victims legally, that he still, you know, is 40 some or 30 some odd points within the life -- this does not carry a minimum mandatory?

MR. BULONE: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Leinster, anything else you'd like to say before sentencing is imposed?

MR. LEINSTER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Herrick, anything you'd like to say before sentencing is imposed?

THE DEFENDANT: That will do it.

THE COURT: I understand that you're maintaining your innocence.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That is in the PSI. You certainly maintained your innocence during the trial and I understand that.

THE DEFENDANT: One thing I'd like to ask Your Honor is I'd like to ask your opinion of the evidence that you heard in the case.

THE COURT: That would be inappropriate at this point, Kevin. I heard it just like the jury did. I might not have interpreted the facts the same way they did, but in a jury trial situation that's not my job. My job is to make sure that based on the evidence that came in that you got a fair trial. I haven't been

asked to rule on whether you got a fair trial yet, 1 that comes after the sentencing, if your attorney 2 files a motion for a new trial and that isn't filed until after the sentence is imposed. After he -- if in fact one is filed and he states reasons as to why

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that point and then my opinions might come into play.

he feels you should get a new trial, I'll review it at

I'm not trying to dodge the issue because I don't do that, but at this point it doesn't matter in my mind whether or not -- for purposes of sentencing at this time -- I feel that the jury made the right decision. The jury did make a decision and that is what I have to live by as of today. You need the distinction there.

I will -- I have already previously adjudicated Mr. Herrick guilty pursuant to the jury verdict. will hereby sentence him to a term of incarceration of life imprisonment. He certainly will receive credit for any time served. Because there is a sex offense here involved, good and gain will be modified accordingly, but I'll leave that up to the Department of Corrections to work out. As far as any release time is concerned that, again, would be up to the Department of Corrections and I don't need to discuss that at this time.

Joe, do you want to file this score sheet? 1 2 MR. BULONE: Yes. 3 I think you need to put a total down THE COURT: 4 there because I didn't total it. 5 THE CLERK: Fifteen on count three? 6 THE COURT: Yeah. 7 THE CLERK: Concurrent? 8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 THE CLERK: No parole issue or anything? 10 THE COURT: No minimum mandatory. I will No. find Mr. Herrick indigent for purposes of costs. 11 have thirty days from today in which to appeal this 12 sentence should you feel any mistakes were made from 13 14 the beginning of your arrest through today. choose to appeal and cannot afford an attorney, then 15 an attorney will be appointed to represent you. 16 17 you understand, sir? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Okay. I know that your parents were present throughout the trial and, obviously, wanted to 20 have an opportunity to see you. From my understanding 21 you will probably still be next door for a while and 22 23 your parents will be able to visit you pursuant to jail policy over there. At this point this hearing is 24

I order that the PSI be put in the Court

25

at an end.

1	file. Does Cindy seal that?
2	THE CLERK: Yes, she needs to do that.
3	(THEREUPON, THE HEARING WAS CONCLUDED.)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF PINELLAS)
4	I, CARLA JESSAL, Deputy Official in and for the
5	Sixth Judicial Circuit, Notary Public, State of Florida at
6	Large:
7	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings
8	were had at the time and place set forth in the caption
9	thereof; that I was authorized to and did stenographically
10	report the said proceedings; and that the foregoing pages,
11	1 through 26, inclusive, is a true and correct
12	transcription of my said stenographic report.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto affixed my
14	official signature this 23rd day of December, 1991, at
15	Clearwater, Pinellas County, Florida.
16 17	1 6 0
L8	CARLA JESSAL Deputy Official Court Reporter
19	
20	Sworn to and subscribed before the undersigned officer this 18th day
21	of October, 1991.
22	Notary Public / Caldina
23	State of Florida at Large.
24	My Commission Expires: 4-10-94

Los Serve Se

4 1991 JAN

Defendant Kelvin Richard Herrick Case Number 89-11425 Charo-B

	U	CN 0
		(As to Count OYC)
The Defendant, being o	ersonali	ly before this Court, accompanied by his attorney, Level Level Court beginning the Defendant an opportunity to be heard
204	having t	seen adjudicated quilty herein, and the Court having given the Defendant an opportunity to so was a
and to offer matters in mitig being shown.	ation of	sentence, and to show cause why he should not be sentenced as provided by law, and no cause
at a second seco		and the Court having on 10 3 90 deferred imposition of sentence until this date.
(Checkeither provision \(\) if applicable)		and the Court having placed the Defendant on probation and having subsequently revoked the Defendant's probation by separate order entered herein.
2: 2: E)		¥
IT IS THE	SENTE	NCE OF THE LAW that;
The Defendant is he	ereby co ereby co	s as the 5% surcharge required by F. S. 960.25. mmitted to the custody of the Department of Corrections mmitted to the custody of the Sheriff* of County, Florida authority to be inserted at printing, if other than Sheriff)
To be imprisoned (check o	ne; unm	arked sections are inapplicalbe)
For a teri	m of Nat m of determin	nate period of 6 ponths to years.
If "split" sentence		Followed by a period of on probation under the supervision of the Department of Corrections according to the terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein.
complete either of these two paragraphs		However, after serving a period of imprisonment in the balance of such sentence shall be suspended and the Defendant shall be placed on probation for a period of under supervision of the Department of Corrections according to ther terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein.
		SPECIAL PROVISIONS
Firearm — 3 year mandatory minimum		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum provisions of FS.775.087 (2) are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count, as the Defendant possessed a firearm
Drug Trafficking mandatory minimum		It is further ordered that the year minimum provisions of F. S.893.135 (1) () () are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
Retention of Jurisdiction		The Court pursuant to F. S. 947.16 (3) retains jurisdiction over the defendant for review of any Parole Commission release order for the period of The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Offender		The Defendant is adjudged a habitual offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in this sentence in accordance with the provisions of F. S. 775.084 (4) (a). The requisite findings by the court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Jail Credit	Þ	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of 539 dour credit for such

Defendant Kevin	Richard	Herrick
Case Number 89-	114259	Rano-F

SENTENCE

		(As to Count LCO)
The Defendant, bein	ng person	ally before this Court, accompanied by his attorney, Ed. Lewster
	TUCH HEALING	been adjudicated gullty herein, and the Court having given the Defendant an opportunity to be heard of sentence, and to show cause why he should not be sentenced as provided by law, and no cause
(Check either provision if applicable)		and the Court having on 10/30/90 deferred imposition of sentence until this date. and the Court having placed the Defendant on probation and having subsequently revoked the Defendant's probation by separate order entered herein.
IT IS T	HE SENT	ENCE OF THE LAW that
The Defendant is	s nereby c s hereby c	as the 5% surcharge required by F. S. 960.25. ommitted to the custody of the Department of Corrections ommitted to the custody of the Sheriff* of County, Florida authority to be inserted at printing, if other than Sheriff)
To be imprisoned (chec	k one; unr	narked sections are inapplicalbe)
☐ Forat ☐ Forat ☐ Foran	term of Na term of Indeterm	inate period of 6 months to years.
If "split" sentence complete etther of		Followed by a period of on probation under the supervision of the Department of Corrections according to the terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein.
these two paragraphs		However, after serving a period of imprisonment in the balance of such sentence shall be suspended and the Defendant shall be placed on probation for a period of under supervision of the Department of Corrections according to ther terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein.
		SPECIAL PROVISIONS
Firearm — 3 year mandatory minimum		It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum provisions of FS.775.087 (2) are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count, as the Defendant possessed a firearm
Drug Trafficking mandatory minimum	- 0	It is further ordered that theyear minimum provisions of F. S.893.135 (1) () are hereby imposed for the sentence specified in this count.
tention of Jurisdiction		The Court pursuant to F. S. 947.16 (3) retains jurisdiction over the defendant for review of any Parole Commission release order for the period of The requisite findings by the Court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Habitual Offender		The Defendant is adjudged a habitual offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in this sentence in accordance with the provisions of F. S. 775,084 (4) (a). The requisite findings by the court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court.
Jail Credit	$A\Box$	It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed as a 539 An IA

Defendant Kevin Richard Herrich Case Number 89-11425 Chano-B

SENTENCE

The Defendant, being personally before this Court, accompanied by his attorney, $_{\perp}$, and having been adjudicated guilty herein, and the Court having given the Defendant an opportunity to be heard and to offer matters in mitigation of sentence, and to show cause why he should not be sentenced as provided by law, and no cause being shown. until this date. (Checkeither provision... and the Court having placed the Defendant on probation and having subsequently revoked the if applicable) Defendant's probation by separate order entered herein. IT IS THE SENTENCE OF THE LAW that; ____ as the 5% surcharge required by F. S. 960.25. The Defendant pay a fine of \$ ____ The Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Department of Corrections _ County, Florida \square . The Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Sheriff* of $_{\sim}$ (Name of local corrections authority to be inserted at printing, if other than Sheriff) To be imprisoned (check one; unmarked sections are inapplicable) For an indeterminate period of 6 months to For a term of _____ Followed by a period of ______ on probation under the supervision of the Department of Corrections according to the terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein. If "split" sentence complete either of ____ imprisonment in ___ ☐ However, after serving a period of ____ these two paragraphs balance of such sentence shall be suspended and the Defendant shall be placed on probation for a __under supervision of the Department of Corrections according to ther terms and conditions of probation set forth in a separate order entered herein. SPECIAL PROVISIONS It is further ordered that the 3 year minimum provisions of FS.775.087 (2) are hereby imposed for the Firearm - 3 year sentence specified in this count, as the Defendant possessed a firearm mandatory minimum It is further ordered that the _____ year minimum provisions of F. S.893.135 (1) () () are hereby Drug Trafficking imposed for the sentence specified in this count. mandatory minimum The Court pursuant to F. S. 947.16 (3) retains jurisdiction over the defendant for review of any Parole Retention of Commission release order for the period of ______. The requisite findings by the Court are Jurisdiction set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court. The Defendant is adjudged a habitual offender and has been sentenced to an extended term in this Habitual Offender sentence in accordance with the provisions of F. S. 775.084 (4) (a). The requisite findings by the court are set forth in a separate order or stated on the record in open court, It is further ordered that the Defendant shall be allowed a total of

has been incorporated orier to imposition of this sentence. Such credit relfects the

Jail Credit

	Defendant Kellard He	ure
Consecutive/Concurrent (As to other convictions)	It is further ordered that the composite term of all sentences imposed for the counts specified in order shall run order consecutive to order shall run order sentence being served. Specific sentences:	
Judgment and Sentence. The Defendant in Open Couhis date with the Clerk of this C	nce is to the Department of Corrections, the Sheriff of Picellas d and directed to deliver the Defendant to the Department of Corrections together with a copy of this t was advised of his right to appeal from this Sentence by filing notice of appeal within thirty days from our and the Defendant's right to the assistance of counsel in taking said appeal at the expense of the once, the Court further recommends Restruction The Court further recommends	
DONE AND ORDERED in Op	Court at Purellas _County, Florida, this 4 day	

of

JUDGE

PROBATION VIOLATOR

OCT 3 1990

KARLEEN F. DO BLAKER CLERK CIRCUIT COURT Deputy Clerk

STATE OF FLORIDA

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT,
SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA
DIVISION: FELONY

CASE NUMBER CRC 89-1/425 CFANO-8

Kevin Richard Herrick Defendant 362912

JUDGMENT

The Defendant	Kevin Richard Ed Leinster	Herrick	, being person	nally before this ord, and having:
(Check Applicable Provision) COUNT	Circular a bigg of dairy to	OFFENSE STATUTE NUMBER(S)	DEGREE OF CRIME	CASE NUMBER
I Sep II Sep III Agg	nalary - PBL nal Battery; navated Battery	794.011(3)	lot Life Ind 	89-11425 89-11425 89-11425

and no cause having been shown why the Defendant should not be adjudicated guilty, IT IS ORDERED THAT the Defendant is hereby ADJUDICATED GUILTY of the above crime(s).

The Defendant is hereby ordered to pay the sum of twenty dollars (\$20.00) pursuant to F.S. 960.20 (Crimes Compensation Trust Fund). The Defendant is further ordered to pay the sum of three dollars (\$3.00) as a court cost pursuant to F. S. 943.25(4).

im	position of Se	ntence
S	tayed and With	held
	heck If Applic	able)

The Court hereby stays and withholds the imposition of sentence as to count(s) and places the Defendant on probation for a period of under the supervision of the Department of Corrections (conditions of probation set forth in separate order.)

Sentence Deferred Until Later Date (Check if Applicable) The Court hereby defers imposition of sentence until 11-2-90

(Date

The Defendant in Open Court was advised of his right to appeal from this Judgement by filing notice of appeal with the Clerk of Court within thirty days following the date sentence is imposed or probation is ordered pursuant to this adjudication. The Defendant was also advised of his right to the assistance of counsel in taking said appeal at the expense of the State upon showing of indigency.

JUDGE

FINGERPRINTS OF DEFENDANT

1. R. Thumb	ag 2. R. Index	3. R. Middle	4 R. Ring	5. R. Little
6. L Thumb	7 L Index	8 L Middle	9 L Hing	10 L Little

B.A. BAXLE BAILY 33=

(Name and Title

£62

tel 1-25-90

pull

TREEPRNY CERTT

has been to michel

Attorney 5100 144

NAPR+
WRAT
SEXT

34520 ON JANUARY

INTHE COUNTY COURTOF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff.

VA.

KEVIN RICHARD HERRICK, 362912 Defendant. CASE NO.: CRC89-11425)26,27

S CLERK CHICH STORY Clerk

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL, REQUEST FOR A COPY OF CHARGE, WAIVER OF PERSONAL APPEARANCE AT ARRAIGNMENT AND WRITTEN PLEA

ED LEINSTER, Attorney at Law, hereby enters his Notice of Appearance as counsel for the Defendant KEVIN RICHARD HERRRICK.

Pursuant to Rule 3.140(m), Fla.R.Crim.P., the Clerk of this Court is hereby requested to furnish a copy of the information, citation, notice to appear and/or arrest affidavit with endorsements thereon by mail to the undersigned legal counsel.

Pursuant to Rule 3.170(a), Fla. R. Crim.P., the defendant, through the undersigned attorney, hereby enters this written plea of not guilty to the charges contained in the aforementioned charging document and waives personal appearance before the Court for arraignment proceedings, subject to this Court's authorizing defense counsel to file timely motions otherwise due at the time of arraignment, within ten-(10) days after receipt of a copy of the requested charging document filed in this cause.

I DES

IHEREBY CERTIFY that a true andcorrect copy of the foregoing has been furnished by hand/maildelivery to the Office of theState Attorney,5100 144 N. Avenue, Clearwater, Florida 34620, on January 31, 1990.

ED LEINSTER
Attorney for Defendant
219 North Brown Street
Orlando, Florida 32801
(407) 422-3937

CIRCUIT/COUNTY COURT, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CRIMINAL DIVISION

	FE NO. CRC89-11425CFANO-B				
STATE OF F					
vs.	007 0 3 1990				
KEVIN RICH	HARD HERRICK KARLEEN F. Do BLAKER COUNT I				
	CLERK CIRCUIT COURT Aum Burglary Burglary				
	per per la				
W	We, the Jury, find as follows, as to Count I of the charge:				
((check only one as to this count)				
X _A .	The defendant is guilty of Burglary of a Structure while				
	armed with a deadly weapon, as charged.				
_ \ В.	The defendant is quilty of Burglary of a Structure with an				
	assault or a battery, a lesser included offense.				
□ c.	The defendant is guilty of Burglary of a Structure which wa	15			
	a dwelling without an assault or battery, a lesser included	<u>1</u>			
	offense.				
	The defendant is guilty of Burglary of a Structure with a l	<u>ıuman</u>			
	being in the structure but with no assault or battery and t	vas_			
	not armed, a lesser included offense.				
E.	The defendant is guilty of Burglary with no aggravating				
	circumstances, a lesser included offense.				
F.	The defendant is not guilty.				
	SO SAY WE ALL.				
	Nou Well				
	FOREPERSON OF JURY				
	10-3-90				
(VF-88)	DATE				

CIRCUIT/COUNTY COURT, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CRIMINAL DIVISION

CRC89-11425CFANO-B

STATE OF F		0.2.4000				
		0 3 1990		i i		
KEVIN RICH	ARD HERRICK KARLEEN	F. De BLAKER ROUIT COURT	COUNT II	**		
	Karen By	Dabrith Clock	SEXUAL BATTERY			
We, the Jury, find as follows as to Count II of the charge:						
(check only one as to this count)						
A. The defendant is quilty of Sexual Battery involving a deadly						
weapon, as charged.						
B. The defendant is guilty of Sexual Battery involving special						
circumstances, a lesser included offense.						
c.						
	included offense.			rr u resser		
D.	The defendant is gu	uilty of Aggrav	rated Assault, a la	95507		
	included offense.			<u>ssser</u>		
E	The defendant is gu	ilty of Simple	Pathana			
	offense.		bactery, a lesser	<u>included</u>		
☐ F.		L				
	<u>The defendant is no</u>	t quilty.				
				0		
		SO SAY	the Wh	——————————————————————————————————————		
			FOR PERSON OF JUR	Y		
	-		10.3-90			
VF-88)			DATE			

CIRCUIT/ COUNTY COURT, PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA CRIMINAL DIVISION

STATE OF FLORIDA	CASE NO. CRC89-11425CFANO-B				
KEVIN RICHARD HERRICK	T 0 3 1990 COUNT III				
CLERI	(CIRCUIT COURT AGGRAVATED BATTERY				
We, the Jury, find as follows as to Count III of the charge:					
(check only one as					
\ 3	s guilty of Aggravated Battery, as charged.				
B. The defendant i	s quilty of Simple Battery, a lesser included				
offense.					
C. The defendant is	s not guilty.				
2					
9					
	SO SAY WE ALL.				
	FOREDERSON OF JURY				
VF-88)	DATE				

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR PINELLAS COUNTY, FLORIDA.

CASE NO: CRC89-11425,26,27 CFANO-B

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

vs

KEVIN HERRICK

Defendant. 3629/2

GRIMINAL COURT RECORDS

90 OCT 25 PM 1: 14

KARLEEN & DEBLAKER
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT

MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

COMES NOW, the Defendant KEVIN HERRICK, by and through below signed counsel hereby moves this Court for a new trial and as grounds therefore would show:

- 1. That the verdict is contrary to the weight of the evidence in this case.
- 2. That the conduct of law enforcement in this case renders the prosecution fatally defective as a denial of due process.

WHEREFORE the Defendant, KEVIN HERRICK, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court grant unto him a new trial in this matter for the reasons set forth above.

ED LEINSTER, Esquire

1302 E. Robinson Street Orlando, Florida 32801

(407) 422-3937

Attorney for Defendant

ED LEINSTER, P.A.
ATTORNEY AT LAW
1302 E COBINSON STREET
DRL4 LORIDA 32801
(407) 422-3937