

Regis Grice
Plaintiff

V.

Case NO: 18-CV-0923

TAPIO, ET AL.,
Defendants

Plaintiff Reply to Defendants Response to his proposed Finding Of Fact.

Plaintiff Grice Submits this reply to defendants Response to his proposed Finding
Of Fact. Plaintiff Reference to Proposed Finding of Fact will be (PFOF)

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 11)

Plaintiff HSR dated 5-3-18, 5-8-18, 5-15-18 and 5-30-18 are all Request Asking to be seen for
New medication. From the First until Plaintiff Visit 6-20-18 ~~based~~ Show waiting 40 days to be seen.
Further more Jeanpierre was not Provider At this time. (R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, Page 11, 12)

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 12)

~~Plaintiff received new medication 7-3-18~~

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 20)

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 23)

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time.

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 24)

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time.

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 25)

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time.

(Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 26)

Jeanpierre ~~disputed~~ was not my Provider At this time

Defendant disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 28)

Jeanpierre is not A special needs committee member.

Case: 2:18-cv-00923-slc Document #: 120 Filed: 08/17/20 Page 2 of 3
When Plaintiff stated around this time in (PFOF # 35) Plaintiff was making a Statement of Fact. Plaintiff Statement of Fact, Came From Plaintiff HSR Dated 11-21-18 and PT physical therapy Form Which is Supported Evidence. Plaintiff Evidence Support Date 11-21-18 and Says nothing about 11-7-18 Which is the date I was given new Provider Jeanpierre (R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 23, 22, 34, 35).

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 38)

Jeanpierre is not A Special needs Committee member, ~~therefore~~.

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 60)

Jeanpierre is not A Special needs Committee member.

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 62)

Jeanpierre is not A Special needs Committee member.

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 63)

Jeanpierre is not A Special needs Committee member

(Defendants Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 64)

Plaintiff PFOF # 64 is A Statement of Fact and is not hearsay since Plaintiff is commenting on his interaction with defendants. Further more Jeanpierre keeps trying to take credit for Plaintiff Hip replacement. Plaintiff Evidence Support that it was ACP Moore who Scheduled Plaintiff Surgery. (R. Grice 11-30-19 Ex 55, 57) 55)

(Defendants Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 72)

Plaintiff had A visit with Dr Jeanpierre 6-10-19 and Jeanpierre never order medication (Naproxen) The Record Support Plaintiff Never Refused the Alleged Naproxen but the Record support that Plaintiff Complained about Never receiving any medication and still waiting for Alleged order Medication. Plaintiff submits Evidence in support of his Claims that No order for Naproxen written 6-10-2019. (R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 56, 54 PARG 72) Also R. Grice Affidavit August 15, 2020 Ex A)

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 73) and 74

Plaintiff PFOF # 73, 74, are not his own opinions of his condition This ~~info~~ information come From A trusted name in medicine (MAYO Clinic Family Health Book, Fourth Edition Pg 970-973) Plaintiff Cites Such information to show the Seriousness of his condition.
R. Grice Decl 11-30-18 Ex 73-75 PARG 74, 75)

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 75)

Plaintiff Evidence Support his Claims that he would write A specific person and At times some One other then the Specific person would answer the HSR or Request slip. If such a thing happen Where Plaintiff Request the Specific person be held liable the Courts will dismiss because the Specific person wasn't the person that Answer the slip Ruling them Unliable to Face Prosecution. Plaintiff Evidence Support he wrote staff and sent to Specific Named person and Someone else would Answer. (R. Grice Decl 11-30-19) R. Grice Affidavit August 15, 2020 Ex B)

Jeanpierre was not my Provider At this time.

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 83)

When plaintiff wrote this Statement 11-30-19 he didnt have Surgery yet. Plaintiff RECEIVED Surgery Dec 4, 2019, R. Grice 11-30-19 Ex 71, 72

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 85)

Plaintiff PFOF # 85 IS A Statement of Fact. Plaintiff Evidence and From the full record ^{show} ~~is~~ plenty support solely through HSR and Request Slips that Plaintiff put stuff on Notice about Pain and needing new medication.
(R. Grice 11-30-19 Ex 1-76)

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 87)

Plaintiff Submitted Lavan Motley Declaration under A Existing Exhibit Number so he had to Change Exhibit Number From 60 to 68. This is the Same Declaration Just changed Exhibit Number.
(R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 68 Par 87, 88)

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 88)

October 23, 2019 Come before Surgery date Dec 4, 2019 Which mean ~~plait~~ plaintiff was on many medications on October 23, 2019 and Have nothing to do with Surgery
R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 67 Par 89

(Defendant Disputed Plaintiff PFOF # 92)

Plaintiff evidence was Cited out of (Mayo Clinic Family Health Book, Fourth Edition pg 976-973)
(R. Grice Decl 11-30-19 Ex 73 - 75)

Regis Grice

Regis Grice 515725

Dated This 12 day of August 2020