The Doctrine of the Dietary Laws

All Scriptures are from the English Standard Version unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

Most churches teach that the dietary laws given through Moses ended with the coming of Christ, but many Christians believe that these laws remain valid for God's people today. This debate reflects broader questions about the permanence of God's Law, the authority of Scripture, and the nature of the new covenant.

The controversy rests on several key points. Some insist that dietary laws were never moral in nature but belonged to a temporary ceremonial code for Israel until Christ fulfilled the Law. Others appeal to certain New Testament passages (like Mark 7, Acts 10, or Colossians 2) as evidence that all distinctions between foods have been abolished.

Those who uphold the dietary laws emphasize the enduring moral character of God's instructions, God's call for His people to be holy, and the prophetic revelation that these laws will again be observed in a future, messianic kingdom.

So, the issue of food laws is a gateway into larger questions of covenants, holiness, and obedience. What is at stake is not merely what believers may eat, but whether God's Word contains permanent commands or only temporary guidelines later set aside.

This treatise will examine the biblical teaching about the dietary laws. It will consider their origin in the Torah, the testimony of the Prophets, and the teaching of Jesus and the apostles in the New Testament. By examining each piece of biblical evidence in its proper context, a coherent position emerges about this question.

Dietary Laws in the Torah

The Torah lays the foundation for understanding the biblical dietary laws. God's commands are not arbitrary. They are tied to creation, covenant, sacrifice, and holiness. To see their full meaning, we must walk through the progression of revelation, beginning in Genesis and continuing through the Mosaic law.

Plants and Trees with Seeds

At creation, God gave humanity food directly from the earth: "And God said, "Behold, I have given you every plant yielding seed that is on the face of all the earth, and every tree with seed in its fruit. You shall have them for food. And to every beast of the earth and to every bird of the heavens and to everything that creeps on the earth, everything that has the breath of life, I have given every green plant for food." And it was so" (Genesis 1:29–30).

This first instruction set the pattern for mankind's diet. Humanity was originally vegetarian, relying on seed-bearing plants and fruit trees. Even the animals were assigned a plant-based

diet. Food was abundant and pure, reflecting the perfection of creation before sin entered the world.

God, not man, determined what was to be eaten. From the very beginning, food was not merely a matter of survival or preference but of divine order. This introduces a key theme: eating is a spiritual act. What is consumed is governed by God's will, and human flourishing depends on obedience to His command.

Clean and Unclean before the Flood

Even before the giving of the Mosaic Law, Scripture reveals an important distinction among the animals. Prior to the Flood, God said to Noah: "Take with you seven pairs of all clean animals, the male and his mate, and a pair of the animals that are not clean, the male and his mate" (Genesis 7:2).

This passage shows that categories of "clean" and "unclean" animals already existed long before Sinai. Noah was a man of faith who "walked with God," and God expected him to understand this distinction. The fact that Noah took more clean animals aboard suggests their role in both food and sacrifice after the flood. When Noah left the ark, he offered burnt offerings from the clean animals and clean birds (Gen 8:20).

The implication is important: the distinction between clean and unclean animals did not originate arbitrarily with Israel. It reflects an older principle rooted in God's creation order. These categories were embedded into the life of the faithful in the earliest generations. Holiness in sacrifice led to holiness in diet, reinforcing the notion that what was placed on the altar and what was placed on the table both mattered to God.

Meat without Blood

After the flood, God allowed people to eat meat, but He set a limit. God told Noah: "Every moving thing that lives shall be food for you. And as I gave you the green plants, I give you everything. But you shall not eat flesh with its life, that is, its blood" (Genesis 9:3–4).

This command establishes a universal principle: life is in the blood, and therefore blood is sacred. It was not to be consumed as common food. This principle carried forward into Israel's sacrificial system, where blood was the means of atonement upon the altar. By forbidding blood in ordinary meals, God impressed upon His people that life belongs to Him alone. Eating flesh without draining its blood would treat life as cheap and common.

Here again we see the link between food and worship. The table was not divorced from the altar. The same reverence required in sacrifice was to be practiced in daily meals. By separating flesh from blood, God preserved the sacred symbolism that life is His gift, and atonement comes through blood alone.

Eat No Fat

As the covenant with Israel was established at Sinai, dietary instructions became more specific. The book of Leviticus gives clear commands concerning what parts of an animal could or could

not be eaten. In Leviticus 7:23–25, God said to Moses: “You shall eat no fat, of ox or sheep or goat. The fat of an animal that dies of itself and the fat of one that is torn by beasts may be put to any other use, but on no account shall you eat it. For every person who eats of the fat of an animal of which a food offering may be made to the LORD shall be cut off from his people.”

This instruction highlights the connection between diet and sacrifice. The fat of sacrificial animals was reserved for the altar, not for the table. To eat the fat was to rob God of what belonged to Him. This reinforced the principle that meals were sacred, and eating was a spiritual act tied to covenant worship.

This law also distinguished Israel from the surrounding nations. In Egyptian and Canaanite rituals, fat was often consumed as part of feasts to their gods, symbolizing indulgence and prosperity. By contrast, Israel was called to restraint. Their diet proclaimed their separation from idolatrous practices and their devotion to the holy God of Israel. It also had a health benefit of reducing the amount of saturated fat in their diet.

Don’t Eat Blood or Carrion

The Torah repeated and expanded the earlier prohibition about blood, linking it directly to the sacrificial system. In Leviticus 17:10–11, God said: “If any one of the house of Israel or of the strangers who sojourn among them eats any blood, I will set my face against that person who eats blood and will cut him off from among his people. For the life of the flesh is in the blood, and I have given it for you on the altar to make atonement for your souls, for it is the blood that makes atonement by the life.” Note that this command applied to the Israelites and the resident aliens who lived among them, showing the universal nature of this command.

In Leviticus 17:15, Moses also wrote: “And every person who eats what dies of itself or what is torn by beasts, whether he is a native or a sojourner, shall wash his clothes and bathe himself in water and be unclean until the evening; then he shall be clean.”

Deuteronomy 14:21 also says: “You shall not eat anything that has died naturally. You may give it to the sojourner who is within your towns, that he may eat it, or you may sell it to a foreigner. For you are a people holy to the LORD your God.”

These commands reinforced the idea that holiness extends into the daily act of eating. Blood belonged to God for atonement, not for common use. Dead animals, whether carrion or torn by beasts, were also forbidden, for they had not been properly slaughtered and drained. Eating such flesh was to blur the line between clean and unclean, life and death.

God’s people were to reject these practices completely. By doing so, their diet became a continual reminder of their distinct identity as a holy nation. It also had a health benefit of protecting them from blood-borne diseases.

The Dietary Laws

Leviticus 11 and Deuteronomy 14 contain the most comprehensive discussion of dietary laws. These chapters provide detailed lists of clean and unclean animals, including land creatures,

sea creatures, birds, and insects.

In Leviticus 11:2–3, God said: “These are the living things that you may eat among all the animals that are on the earth. Whatever parts the hoof and is cloven-footed and chews the cud, among the animals, you may eat.”

Verse 9 also says: “These you may eat, of all that are in the waters. Everything in the waters that has fins and scales, whether in the seas or in the rivers, you may eat.”

God designated predatory birds and scavengers as unclean (Lev 11:13–19); such animals would have been exposed to raw meat, blood, and deadly bacteria on a regular basis.

God also designated every kind of winged insect or swarming creature as “detestable” except for grasshoppers, locusts, and crickets (Lev 11:20–23). The Bible says that John the Baptist lived in the wilderness, where he ate locusts and wild honey (Matt 3:4).

These instructions not only distinguished Israel from pagan nations but also from unclean creatures that were associated with death, decay, or impurity. Animals that did not fit the clean patterns were excluded from the diet of God’s people. The distinctions were often symbolic: scavengers, predators, and other loathsome creatures represented disorder and corruption, while clean animals reflected order and wholeness.

This system constantly reminded Israel that they were set apart. Their meals proclaimed holiness, just as their sacrifices did. Even at the family table, they were bearing witness to their identity as God’s covenant people.

Purpose of the Dietary Laws

So, the dietary laws served several purposes:

First, they reminded Israel daily of God’s call to holiness. In Leviticus 11:44–45, God said: “For I am the LORD your God. Consecrate yourselves therefore, and be holy, for I am holy. You shall not defile yourselves with any swarming thing that crawls on the ground. For I am the LORD who brought you up out of the land of Egypt to be your God. You shall therefore be holy, for I am holy.”

Leviticus 20:25–26 also says: “You shall therefore separate the clean beast from the unclean, and the unclean bird from the clean. You shall not make yourselves detestable by beast or by bird or by anything with which the ground crawls, which I have set apart for you to hold unclean. You shall be holy to me, for I the LORD am holy and have separated you from the peoples, that you should be mine.” These laws marked Israel as distinct from surrounding nations, shaping every meal into an act of obedience and covenant loyalty.

Second, they had practical health benefits. In Leviticus 18:5, God said: “You shall therefore keep my statutes and my rules; if a person does them, he shall live by them: I am the LORD.”

And in Deuteronomy 4:6, Moses reminded the people: “Keep them and do them, for that will be your wisdom and your understanding in the sight of the peoples, who, when they hear all these statutes, will say, ‘Surely this great nation is a wise and understanding people.’”

According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, many of the animals listed in Scripture as unclean are known to spread serious diseases. For example, pork and its byproducts can transmit trichinosis and tapeworms when the meat is undercooked. These parasites live in the muscles of pigs and can cause painful swelling, fever, and long-term illness in humans. Although food safety has improved, outbreaks still occur around the world where pork is not thoroughly cooked.

Rabbits and other rodents can carry tularemia, sometimes called “rabbit fever.” This disease can spread to people who handle or eat infected animals. It can cause high fever, skin sores, and even pneumonia. Health officials note that these animals often die in large numbers during outbreaks, showing how easily the disease can spread.

Shellfish, such as oysters and clams, can cause another set of problems. Because they filter the water around them, they can collect dangerous bacteria and viruses. Eating raw or undercooked shellfish can lead to vibriosis, norovirus, or hepatitis A. The CDC estimates that tens of thousands of people in the United States get sick each year from these infections, and the risk is much higher for people with weak immune systems.

These examples show that God’s distinction between clean and unclean animals was not only spiritual but also practical. The animals He told His people to avoid are often those most likely to carry disease. Far from being arbitrary, the dietary laws protected Israel’s health and still reveal divine wisdom that modern science continues to confirm.

So, the Torah’s dietary laws were not temporary regulations tacked onto Israel’s covenant. They were woven into the fabric of creation, reinforced before Sinai, tied to the sacrificial system, and repeated as a daily call to holiness. These instructions distinguished Israel from pagan nations, guarded them from idolatrous practices, and impressed on them that life belongs to God. Every meal was a reminder of covenant identity. Obedience was an act of worship, and disobedience was an act of desecration.

The Old Testament Prophets

The Torah laid the foundation for the dietary laws, but the prophets of the Old Testament revealed how these commands fit within the broader story of redemption. These Scriptures point beyond Israel’s present situation to a future kingdom where holiness and obedience are fully restored. Far from being temporary, the dietary laws appear in these prophecies as part of God’s vision for His people in the age to come.

Holiness of God’s People Pictured in Isaiah

The prophet Isaiah condemned the idolatrous practices of his day, many of which involved eating what God had forbidden. In Isaiah 65:2–4, God condemned the people who defiled themselves by partaking of pagan sacrifices: “I spread out my hands all the day to a rebellious people, who walk in a way that is not good, following their own devices; a people who provoke me to my face continually, sacrificing in gardens and making offerings on bricks; who sit in tombs, and spend the night in secret places; who eat pig’s flesh, and broth of tainted meat is in

their vessels”

Isaiah pointed to two violations of the dietary laws. First, eating swine’s flesh, and second, consuming unclean broth made from improper meat. These practices were not neutral matters of taste; they were bound up with idolatry and necromancy, carried out “in tombs” and “secret places.” This was specifically related to pagan rituals.

Later Isaiah gave a prophetic warning about God’s judgment on humanity: “For behold, the LORD will come in fire, and his chariots like the whirlwind, to render his anger in fury, and his rebuke with flames of fire. For by fire will the LORD enter into judgment, and by his sword, with all flesh; and those slain by the LORD shall be many. Those who sanctify and purify themselves to go into the gardens, following one in the midst, eating pig’s flesh and the abomination and mice, shall come to an end together, declares the LORD” (Isaiah 66:15–17).

This passage looks forward to the day of the Lord, when God will judge His enemies. Among those condemned are people who persist in eating what God has declared unclean. The inclusion of “pig’s flesh” and “mice” shows that the dietary laws are not dismissed as irrelevant in the last days. Instead, they are used as a measure of disobedience and idolatry.

Isaiah’s testimony shows that God’s call to holiness in diet was not temporary. It was a moral issue bound up with worship, purity, and covenant loyalty. By portraying the eating of unclean foods as an abomination even at the end of the age, Isaiah shows that the distinction between clean and unclean remains meaningful in God’s plan of salvation.

Purity in Ezekiel’s Temple Vision

Ezekiel received a detailed vision of a restored temple, a vision widely understood to anticipate the Messianic kingdom. In that vision, God made this prediction about the Levitical priests: “They shall teach my people the difference between the holy and the common, and show them how to distinguish between the unclean and the clean” (Ezekiel 44:23).

Here the priests of the restored temple are charged with teaching humanity the same distinctions found in the Torah. Far from being abolished, the categories of clean and unclean are reinforced as part of temple worship for all humanity in the future age.

This vision shows continuity between the Torah and the Messianic kingdom. Holiness remains the central principle, and the dietary distinctions still function as a means of instruction. The temple priests will guide the people to recognize that even daily meals fall under the authority of God’s law.

This prophecy undercuts the claim that the dietary laws were merely temporary cultural markers. Ezekiel’s vision places them in the very heart of God’s restored worship. If they are to be observed in the kingdom of God, they cannot be dismissed as obsolete today.

Continuity of Holiness

Isaiah and Ezekiel show that the dietary laws point forward as much as they look back. They were not just ancient restrictions suited to a desert nation. They express God’s enduring call for

His people to be holy in body and spirit.

Isaiah warned of judgment for those who defy God by eating unclean things, and Ezekiel promised the restoration of Levitical priests who will again teach the difference between holy and unholy. The message is consistent: what God calls unclean remains unclean, and what He calls holy must be treated as holy.

The prophetic vision of the Messianic kingdom is one of continuity. The holiness that defined Israel in the Torah will continue to define God's people in the age to come. Just as Israel was called to be distinct from Egypt and Canaan, so in the kingdom the redeemed will show their distinction from the world through obedience, even in matters of food.

Jesus and the Apostolic Church

The teaching of Jesus and the early church form a crucial part of this discussion. Many assume that Christ abolished the dietary laws or that the apostles set them aside. Yet the New Testament evidence tells a different story. When read carefully, it shows that Jesus upheld the dietary commands, the apostles practiced them, and the early church taught Gentiles to keep them. The distinction between clean and unclean was still in force, not only as a matter of diet but as a mark of holiness.

Jesus Observed the Dietary Laws

Jesus gave one of His clearest statements about the Law in the Sermon on the Mount: "Do not think that I have come to abolish the Law or the Prophets; I have not come to abolish them but to fulfill them. For truly, I say to you, until heaven and earth pass away, not an iota, not a dot, will pass from the Law until all is accomplished. Therefore whoever relaxes one of the least of these commandments and teaches others to do the same will be called least in the kingdom of heaven, but whoever does them and teaches them will be called great in the kingdom of heaven" (Matthew 5:17–19).

This is one of the most direct statements Christ ever made about His relationship to the Law of Moses:

First, He made it clear that His mission was not to abolish the Law. That means the dietary distinctions were not swept away by His teaching.

Second, He said He came to fulfill the Law. Fulfillment means bringing something to its full meaning, not erasing it. The dietary commands pointed toward holiness, and Jesus embodied that holiness perfectly. But His fulfillment does not nullify God's Law.

Third, Jesus declared that not even the smallest part of the Law would pass away until all is accomplished. Heaven and earth remain, and the final accomplishment of God's plan has not yet come, so the commandments remain, including those concerning food.

Finally, Jesus warned that relaxing even the least of the commandments risks being called least in the kingdom. That warning refutes the idea that the food laws are irrelevant or trivial. Instead,

Jesus honored them and urged His disciples to do the same.

His own life reflected that practice. He lived a sinless life, so He never ate unclean animals (Heb 4:15). There is no suggestion in the Gospels that He disregarded the Law at any point in His diet. Even when accused by the Pharisees of breaking tradition, His disputes were about their man-made regulations, not with the commandments of God. Jesus upheld the dietary distinctions given through Moses.

Gentile Believers Instructed Regarding Food

The question of Gentile believers arose when the gospel spread beyond Israel. Some Jewish believers, who belonged to the party of the Pharisees, argued that Gentiles must keep the whole Law of Moses to be saved. In Acts 15, the apostles gathered in Jerusalem to settle this matter.

After much discussion, James, the brother of Jesus, gave his recommendation: “Therefore my judgment is that we should not trouble those of the Gentiles who turn to God, but should write to them to abstain from the things polluted by idols, and from sexual immorality, and from what has been strangled, and from blood. For from ancient generations Moses has had in every city those who proclaim him, for he is read every Sabbath in the synagogues” (Acts 15:19–21).

The apostles required Gentile believers to abstain from three things related to diet: food sacrificed to idols, meat from strangled animals, and blood. These instructions go back to Leviticus 17, which required the Israelites and the resident aliens to keep these same commands. That means these were universal laws for all people, not just for Jews.

The significance of this decision cannot be overstated. The apostles did not discard the food laws as obsolete. Instead, they gave Gentiles a starting point: abstain from idolatry, avoid blood, and eat only properly slaughtered meat. James added that Moses was still read every Sabbath in the synagogues, meaning that Gentile believers would hear the full Law and continue to learn from it. The ruling of Acts 15 shows remarkable continuity with the Torah, not its cancellation.

The Apostles and Early Jewish Believers Observed the Law

The book of Acts also records that Jewish believers remained devoted to the Law. When Paul returned to Jerusalem, the leaders of the church said to him: “You see, brother, how many thousands there are among the Jews of those who have believed. They are all zealous for the law” (Acts 21:20).

This verse shows that faith in Christ did not mean abandonment of the dietary commands or other aspects of the Law. These Jewish Christians continued to observe God’s Law with zeal.

To quiet rumors that Paul taught Jewish converts to forsake the Law, the leaders advised him to purify himself in the temple along with others under a vow. Paul agreed, showing that he lived in obedience to the Torah, which included the food laws. If Paul had openly eaten unclean animals, his actions could never have been seen as faithful observance. Instead, Paul’s life and teaching aligned with the holiness commanded in the Law.

So, the early church was not divided into two groups, with Jews abandoning the Law and Gentiles free to live as they pleased. Rather, Jewish believers continued to observe the Law, and Gentile believers were instructed to honor its most basic requirements.

The Call to Separation

Paul's letters also reflect the ongoing call to holiness that included the dietary laws. In 2 Corinthians 6:17, Paul wrote: "Therefore go out from their midst, and be separate from them, says the Lord, and touch no unclean thing; then I will welcome you."

Paul quoted from Isaiah 52:11, applying it to Jewish and Gentile believers. The command is twofold: separation from the world's corrupt practices and avoidance of what is unclean. In the Old Testament, uncleanness was often related to diet, especially in the distinction between clean and unclean animals. Paul did not dismiss that language. Instead, he affirmed it as part of the believer's calling to live distinctly.

Holiness means separation, and separation includes what one eats. Just as Israel was distinguished from the nations by their diet, so believers are called to live apart from practices that defile them. Paul's use of the term "unclean" shows continuity with the Torah and supports the ongoing validity of God's dietary commands.

The Works of the Flesh

Paul again confirmed that the call to holiness, including separation from uncleanness, remains binding upon believers. In Galatians 5:19–21, he wrote: "Now the works of the flesh are evident: sexual immorality, impurity, sensuality… those who do such things will not inherit the kingdom of God."

The term impurity comes from the Greek *akatharsia*, meaning "uncleanness," which would include the Levitical distinction between the clean and unclean. Paul places this within a moral context, but its use also shows continuity with the purity laws that reflected God's holy nature. To live in uncleanness, whether moral or physical, is to rebel against the divine order that the food laws expressed.

Those who persist in such defilement will not inherit the kingdom of God. Thus, even under the new covenant, the call to purity encompasses both moral and physical aspects of life. The same God who distinguished clean from unclean in Leviticus still requires His people to walk in holiness and to avoid all forms of defilement.

Unclean Animals in Revelation

In Revelation 18:2, John described God's final judgement on the wicked city that has persecuted and martyred the saints, saying: "Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great! She has become a dwelling place for demons, a haunt for every unclean spirit, a haunt for every unclean bird, a haunt for every unclean and detestable beast."

This vision, given long after Christ's ascension, uses the language of clean and unclean to describe the corrupt world system opposed to God. The imagery only makes sense if the

categories of clean and unclean still matter. John assumed his readers understood these distinctions and saw in them a symbol of holiness versus corruption.

If the dietary laws had been abolished, this language would be meaningless. Instead, Revelation reaffirms the importance of God's categories and associates uncleanness with rebellion against Him.

The New Jerusalem

John's vision of the New Jerusalem reveals the ultimate fulfillment of God's call to holiness. In Revelation 21:26–27, he wrote that: "They will bring into it the glory and the honor of the nations. But nothing unclean will ever enter it, nor anyone who does what is detestable or false, but only those who are written in the Lamb's book of life."

Earlier in this same chapter, John said that he saw "the holy city, new Jerusalem, coming down out of heaven from God, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband" (Rev 21:2). The contrast between "holy" and "unclean" is deliberate. The New Jerusalem is entirely pure, and those who enter it must be pure as well.

This vision recalls the holiness code of Leviticus, in which God commanded Israel to "be holy, for I am holy" (Lev 11:44–45). The distinction between the clean and the unclean was not only ceremonial but moral and spiritual, teaching God's people to separate themselves from impurity in every aspect of life. The dietary laws were a daily expression of this separation. To abstain from what was unclean was to mirror God's own holiness and to live in harmony with His order.

The eternal city is a place where defilement cannot exist. Those who live in it are those who walk in purity. The statement that "nothing unclean will ever enter it" affirms the enduring reality of God's standard. Holiness was not abolished with the coming of Christ; it is the very condition for life in His kingdom.

The dietary distinctions of the Torah, which trained Israel to discern between the clean and the unclean, point forward to this final state of perfect sanctity. Those who follow God's commands now (honoring His holiness in body and spirit) anticipate the holiness of that eternal dwelling where no defilement will ever enter.

The Overall Witness

When we put all this evidence together, the picture is clear. Jesus upheld the dietary laws and warned against relaxing even the least of God's commands. The apostles instructed Gentiles to abstain from blood, strangled meat, and idolatry, showing continuity with the Torah. Jewish believers in Christ remained zealous for the Law, including the dietary laws. Paul urged separation from what is unclean, and Revelation still recognized the distinction between what is clean and unclean.

The testimony of the New Testament is consistent: the dietary laws were not abolished in the time of Jesus and the apostles. They remained as a mark of holiness and separation for God's people, pointing to the enduring call to live as a distinct, set-apart community in loyalty to the

Lord.

Misunderstood Passages

The strongest objections against keeping the biblical dietary laws comes from a handful of misunderstood New Testament passages. These are frequently quoted in sermons, Bible studies, and theological writings as if they decisively prove that God has abolished the distinction between clean and unclean foods, but a careful reading of these passages, in their proper context, does not support this conclusion. Instead, they confirm the enduring validity of God's Word.

Mark 7:19

Many people cite Mark 7:19 because some translations insert a parenthetical comment saying that Jesus "declared all foods clean." This is then interpreted to mean that He abolished the dietary laws.

The context is essential. The discussion began when the Pharisees criticized the disciples for eating without performing the ritual handwashing required by their traditions (Mark 7:1–5). Jesus responded by exposing the hypocrisy of elevating human traditions above God's commands. In Mark 7:18–19, Jesus told His disciples: "Do you not see that whatever goes into a person from outside cannot defile him, since it enters not his heart but his stomach, and is expelled?"

Jesus was not overturning the Torah's definition of clean and unclean animals because the disciples were eating biblically clean food items. His point was that eating food with ritually unwashed hands did not defile the food or the person eating it, not that pork and shellfish were now permissible.

The Greek text does not say that Jesus abolished the dietary laws. The phrase sometimes translated "thus he declared all foods clean" should be understood as His judgment that the biblically clean food in question was undefiled.

So, Mark 7 is about the purity of the heart versus man-made commandments, not the cancellation of God's dietary commands.

Acts 10

Peter's vision of the sheet filled with animals is another misunderstood passage. Luke recorded the event in Acts 10:9–15:

> "The next day, as they were on their journey and approaching the city, Peter went up on the housetop about the sixth hour to pray. And he became hungry and wanted something to eat, but while they were preparing it, he fell into a trance and saw the heavens opened and something like a great sheet descending, being let down by its four corners upon the earth. In it were all kinds of animals and reptiles and birds of the air. And there came a voice to him: 'Rise, Peter; kill and eat.' But Peter said, 'By no means, Lord; for I have never eaten anything that is common or unclean.' And the voice came to him again a second time, 'What God has made clean, do not call common.' This

happened three times, and the thing was taken up at once to heaven."

At first glance, some assume that this vision abolished the food laws. But the narrative itself explains otherwise. Peter was perplexed about the meaning of the vision (Acts 10:17). Shortly afterward, three men summoned Peter to the house of Cornelius, a Gentile. When he entered Cornelius' house, he explained the meaning of the vision: "You yourselves know how unlawful it is for a Jew to associate with or to visit anyone of another nation, but God has shown me that I should not call any person common or unclean." (Acts 10:28).

The vision was not about food but about people. God was showing Peter that Gentiles, who were previously considered unclean by Jewish custom, were now to be welcomed into the new covenant through faith in Christ. Peter's own interpretation of the vision makes it clear; it had nothing to do with abolishing the dietary laws.

Romans 14

Paul's letter to the Romans contains another set of misunderstood passages. In Romans 14:2–3, Paul wrote: "One person believes he may eat anything, while the weak person eats only vegetables. Let not the one who eats despise the one who abstains, and let not the one who abstains pass judgment on the one who eats, for God has welcomed him." In verse 14, he added: "I know and am persuaded in the Lord Jesus that nothing is unclean in itself, but it is unclean for anyone who thinks it unclean."

Some interpret this to mean that all meat is now clean, but the context reveals that Paul was addressing disputes over food and fast days within the early church. Some believers refrained from meat altogether to avoid food sacrificed to idols or defilement in Gentile markets. Others were comfortable eating meat that was known to be clean. The issue was not the Torah's definition of clean and unclean meat but disagreements about fasting and meat offered to idols.

Paul's statement that "nothing is unclean in itself" refers to foods that God has created as clean, but some felt that if it was offered to idols was common or defiled. Paul's concern was that believers should not pass judgment over disputable matters and should act in love toward one another. He was not authorizing pork or shellfish but urging tolerance in areas of personal conscience.

Paul reinforced this elsewhere. In 1 Corinthians 10:27–28, he said: "If one of the unbelievers invites you to dinner and you are disposed to go, eat whatever is set before you without raising any question on the ground of conscience. But if someone says to you, 'This has been offered in sacrifice,' then do not eat it, for the sake of the one who informed you, and for the sake of conscience."

The issue was not clean versus unclean animals, but food associated with idolatry.

Colossians 2:16–17

Paul's instruction to the Colossians contains another misunderstood passage. In Colossians 2:16–17, Paul said: "Therefore let no one pass judgment on you in questions of food and drink, or with regard to a festival or a new moon or a Sabbath. These are a shadow of the things to

come, but the substance belongs to Christ."

This is often taken as proof that dietary laws, festivals, and Sabbaths are abolished, but Paul did not say they were abolished. He urged the Colossians not to listen to the criticism of false teachers who promoted asceticism and mystical practices (Colossians 2:18–23). They were being pressured to adopt extra regulations beyond God's Law. Paul reassured them that these human traditions were not binding.

The dietary laws, Sabbaths, and festivals remain as shadows that point to Christ. A shadow is not meaningless; it reflects the reality it represents. Paul's point is that Christ is the substance to which these practices point, not that the practices themselves are worthless.

1 Timothy 4:3–5

Finally, Paul warned Timothy about apostates who would forbid certain foods: "Now the Spirit expressly says that in later times some will depart from the faith by devoting themselves to deceitful spirits and teachings of demons, through the insincerity of liars whose consciences are seared, who forbid marriage and require abstinence from foods that God created to be received with thanksgiving by those who believe and know the truth. For everything created by God is good, and nothing is to be rejected if it is received with thanksgiving, for it is made holy by the word of God and prayer." (1 Timothy 4:1–5)

This is sometimes read as if Paul declared all animals clean. But Paul specifies that the foods in question are those that "God created to be received." Which foods did God create for human consumption? The clean animals listed in Leviticus 11 and Deuteronomy 14. Those who study God's Word know the truth about which animals are sanctified. So, Paul is affirming the goodness of God's creation within the boundaries of His Law.

None of these passages abolish the dietary laws. Instead, they teach that God's Law is still valid. Misinterpretation arises when a verse is lifted out of context or when human tradition is read back into the text. A careful, consistent reading of the New Testament shows agreement with the Torah, not contradiction.

Conclusion

The issue of clean and unclean foods is not simply about diet but about holiness, obedience, and the authority of God's Word.

From the beginning, God provided food suited for His creation. Later, He distinguished between clean and unclean animals and called His people to live holy lives. These laws were not arbitrary but reminders that every meal was an act of worship.

The prophets confirmed that these distinctions remain part of God's plan. Isaiah and Ezekiel looked forward to the Messianic age when purity would still mark His people. Their visions do not point to the abolition of the food laws but to their continued existence.

Jesus did not come to abolish the Law. The apostles instructed Gentile believers to abstain from blood and unclean practices, and Jewish believers were zealous for the Law. Throughout the

New Testament, obedience in diet was assumed as part of holiness.

Passages often cited against the food laws do not actually overturn God's commands but uphold His truth. The consistent witness of Scripture is that His standard of holiness remains unchanged.

Every meal is a reminder of whom we serve. Eating is not neutral; it is an act of worship. To choose clean foods is to honor God as Creator and Redeemer and to live as citizens of His holy kingdom.

The controversy over dietary laws ultimately reveals our view of God's authority. Do we believe His Word is eternal? Do we live as those set apart for His kingdom? The call is clear: God has spoken, and His commands are for our blessing.

To follow these laws is to join the faithful who sought to honor Him in all things and to anticipate the coming kingdom when holiness will be complete. Until then, we are called to live faithfully, glorifying the One who called us to be holy as He is holy.

Bibliography

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Hepatitis A Transmitted by Food." *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 38 (2004): 705–15.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Norovirus." Updated April 24, 2024.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Tapeworm Infection." Updated June 13, 2024.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Trichinellosis (Trichinosis)." Updated September 10, 2024.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Tularemia." Updated May 15, 2024.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. "Vibriosis." Updated May 14, 2024.

Hesse, Brian, Paula Wapnish, and Jonathan S. Greer. "Scripts of Animal Sacrifice in Levantine Culture-History." In *Sacred Killing: The Archaeology of Sacrifice in the Ancient Near East*, edited by Anne Porter and Glenn M. Schwartz, 15–55. Winona Lake, IN: Eisenbrauns, 2012.

Milgrom, Jacob. *Leviticus 1–16: A New Translation*. Anchor Yale Bible 3. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1991.

Pardee, Dennis. *Ritual and Cult at Ugarit*. Writings from the Ancient World 10. Atlanta: Society of Biblical Literature, 2002.

University of Manchester, John Rylands Research Institute and Library. "Temple and Sacrifice in Ancient Israel." *John Rylands Research Institute and Library*. Accessed October 2025.

Published by Didactic Ministries

© 2024–2026 Didactic Ministries. All rights reserved.