The Doctrine of Military Service

All Scriptures are from the English Standard Version unless otherwise indicated.

Introduction

From the first century until today, Christians have wrestled with the question of military service. The early church fathers, such as Tertullian, Origen, and Hippolytus, warned believers against taking up arms for the Roman Empire. Their concern was not political but theological; the kingdoms of this world are driven by values that are incompatible with the kingdom of God. A soldier might be commanded to worship Caesar, participate in state violence, or execute judgments that violated God's law. Because loyalty to Christ was absolute, many early Christians rejected military enlistment altogether.

Centuries later, after governments were nominally "Christianized," theologians like Augustine and Aquinas developed a concept called Just War Theory, arguing that Christians could fight in certain wars deemed righteous by civil and ecclesiastical authorities. Even then, believers disagreed about the limits of loyalty to the state and the danger of shedding blood unjustly.

In the last five hundred years, new divisions emerged. Anabaptists, Mennonites, the Church of the Brethren, the Church of God (Seventh Day), and other Sabbatarian bodies concluded that Christians should not participate in armed conflict. They argued that Christ's kingdom is spiritual, that believers wage war with the gospel rather than swords, and that military service creates unavoidable conflicts of conscience. Other churches insisted that service in the armed forces is honorable and even required as an expression of love toward one's neighbor.

Because this disagreement continues today, and because modern militaries exercise broad authority over those who enlist, Christians must approach the question with careful attention to Scripture, not tradition, emotion, or political ideology.

This treatise will examine what the Bible does and does not teach about Christian military service. The goal is to understand the relationship between the kingdoms of this world and the kingdom of Christ and to determine how a Christian should live.

The guiding principle is that Christians are citizens of the kingdom of God, so their conduct must reflect the character, mission, and commands of their King. This conviction shapes how the church interprets Scripture, evaluates earthly powers, and determines the believer's role in matters of war and peace.

Commonly Misused Texts

Debates about Christian military service often begin with a handful of biblical passages that are repeatedly cited yet rarely studied in their original context. A careful reading shows that these texts have been misunderstood and misapplied.

Deuteronomy 20 — Israel's War Laws

Some point to the laws that Moses gave to ancient Israel in Deuteronomy 20 regulating Israel's conduct during warfare as support for Christian participation in warfare today. They argue that because God gave Israel's army detailed laws for waging war that modern believers may also engage in warfare under the authority of their nation.

But this passage does not apply to Christians today. Deuteronomy 20 addresses the unique covenant relationship between God and ancient Israel as a theocratic nation. God ruled Israel. He judged their enemies, chose their battles, and guaranteed their victories. These commands governed a holy nation under direct divine rule; this does not apply to the church, which is scattered among many different nations.

The New Testament makes this distinction clear. Christians do not exist as a distinct nation with territorial boundaries or a standing army. Their mission concerns the gospel, not the conquest of land. Deuteronomy 20 cannot serve as a precedent for Christian enlistment in secular armies. It demonstrates what God required of ancient Israel as His covenant nation, not what He requires of the church scattered among the nations of this world.

Matthew 5:38–41 — Turning the Other Cheek

Another commonly cited text comes from the Sermon on the Mount. Jesus said: "You have heard that it was said, 'An eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth.' But I say to you, Do not resist the one who is evil. But if anyone slaps you on the right cheek, turn to him the other also. And if anyone would sue you and take your tunic, let him have your cloak as well. And if anyone forces you to go one mile, go with him two miles" (Matthew 5:38–41).

These instructions concern personal discipleship, not civil government, or military policy. Jesus contrasted the behavior expected of individual disciples within the legal framework of Israel's judicial system. His teaching calls on believers to practice mercy, humility, and love toward enemies in personal relationships.

But governments operate under a different mandate. Scripture distinguishes between personal ethics and civil authority (as discussed in Romans 13). The Sermon on the Mount does not address the responsibilities of nations in matters of defense, nor does it discuss military enlistment for believers. This passage tells Christians how to respond to personal offenses, not how to participate in armed conflict.

Further clarification comes from the Torah itself, which explicitly affirms the right of self-defense. In Exodus 22:2, the law addresses a nighttime home invasion and says: "If a thief is found breaking in and is struck so that he dies, there shall be no bloodguilt for him."

This statute recognizes the moral legitimacy of using force to protect one's household when an immediate threat exists. The defender does not commit murder, because the motive is to stop violence, not to seek personal revenge.

This principle clarifies the limits of Jesus' teaching in Matthew 5:38–41. Turning the other cheek

addresses personal insults and violent retaliation, not robbery or homicide.

Jesus corrected a misuse of the law that justified vengeance for personal offense, but He did not overturn the Torah's recognition of self-defense. Reading Matthew 5 as a prohibition against protecting one's home or family creates a contradiction between Jesus and Moses that the Bible does not support.

This distinction matters. God never commanded His people to surrender innocent life to violent wrongdoing. Personal restraint in the face of insult is fundamentally different than responding to a home invasion. Exodus 22:2 shows that defending one's life and household does not violate God's law, while Matthew 5 governs the believer's response to personal insult and humiliation. Confusing these categories leads to an unbiblical form of pacifism that neither the Torah nor Jesus taught.

Matthew 26:52 — "Put Your Sword Back Into Its Place"

Opponents of Christian participation in warfare often appeal to Jesus' words during His arrest. When Peter attempted to defend Jesus with a sword, Jesus said to Peter, "Put your sword back into its place. For all who take the sword will perish by the sword" (Matthew 26:52).

At first glance, this statement may seem to condemn all use of force, but the context restricts Jesus' meaning to the immediate situation. Millions of people have served in the military across the millennia without ever seeing combat let alone die in battle, and the leading cause of death in most wars is disease, not injuries suffered in battle, so Jesus was not saying that literally every soldier in history would die by the sword.

Earlier that same evening, Jesus warned the disciples that their lives would soon change and that they should be prepared for hardship and danger in the future: "And he said to them, 'When I sent you out with no moneybag or knapsack or sandals, did you lack anything?' They said, 'Nothing.' He said to them, 'But now let the one who has a moneybag take it, and likewise a knapsack. And let the one who has no sword sell his cloak and buy one'" (Luke 22:35–36).

This passage shows that Matthew 26:52 was not a universal prohibition against carrying weapons; it was specifically limited to the arrest of Jesus, which was central to God's plan of salvation. Peter's attempt to prevent Jesus from being arrested directly opposed the very reason that Jesus was born.

So, Matthew 26:52 does not address military service. Jesus simply told His disciples not to fight that night because the soldiers would have killed them.

Romans 12:17–21 — Overcoming Evil with Good

Many turn to Romans 12:17–21 to support a pacifist position; in this passage, Paul said: "Repay no one evil for evil, but give thought to do what is honorable in the sight of all. If possible, so far as it depends on you, live peaceably with all. Beloved, never avenge yourselves, but leave it to the wrath of God, for it is written, 'Vengeance is mine, I will repay, says the Lord.' To the contrary, 'if your enemy is hungry, feed him; if he is thirsty, give him something to drink; for by so doing you will heap burning coals on his head.' Do not be overcome by evil, but overcome evil

with good."

Like Matthew 5, this passage addressed individual conduct. The emphasis is on rejecting personal vengeance, not the behavior of the state or Christian obligations to the state.

Immediately after this passage, in Romans 13, Paul addressed the role of civil government. He explained that God has authorized the state to wield the sword to restrain evil and punish wrongdoing.

From the beginning, God gave civil authority the right to take life in response to murder. In Genesis 9:6, God declared, "Whoever sheds the blood of man, by man shall his blood be shed," establishing capital punishment as a legitimate function of human government. The Mosaic law reaffirmed this principle by requiring the death penalty for premeditated murder (Exodus 21:12–14).

These passages show that God does not regard all taking of life as murder. Civil authorities may lawfully use lethal force to punish criminals and protect the lives of their citizens, which by extension includes military action against hostile forces that threaten a nation.

Romans 12 deals with personal conduct, individual ethics, and life within the Christian community; it does not address whether Christians should become agents of the state.

Paul told believers to reject personal vengeance, to endure mistreatment without retaliation, and to overcome evil with good. He did not discuss questions of national defense, military enlistment, or the moral obligations of soldiers.

Using Romans 12:17–21 to argue that Christians may never serve in the military extends this passage beyond its original, intended scope. Paul distinguished between the church, which does not bear the sword, and the state, which does. Romans 12 governs Christian character; it does not resolve the separate question of whether Christians should participate in the coercive powers of the state.

James 4:1–2 — "Your Passions Are at War Within You"

Some argue that James condemned all warfare when he said: "What causes quarrels and what causes fights among you? Is it not this, that your passions are at war within you? You desire and do not have, so you murder. You covet and cannot obtain, so you fight and quarrel. You do not have, because you do not ask" (James 4:1–2).

Here, the word war is used figuratively. James was explaining the root cause of personal strife among individuals, not the legitimacy of national defense. The context deals with internal desires, not international conflict, or the believer's role in civic affairs.

None of these commonly cited passages settles the question of Christian military service. Each addresses a different topic like ancient Israel, personal ethics, or the arrest of Jesus. Misinterpreting these verses leads to conclusions outside their original, intended scope.

To understand this issue properly, the analysis must move beyond isolated proof-texts and consider the larger theological framework of the Bible starting with the question of who presently

rules the kingdoms of this world.

The Kingdoms of This World

A proper understanding of Christian involvement with earthly military power must begin with a sober assessment of the kingdoms of this world. Since the Fall of Adam and Eve, the world has been under the influence of a demonic spiritual power, one who works through political structures, violence, and deception.

All the Kingdoms of the World

Luke described Satan as possessing real authority over the nations during this age. During the temptation in the wilderness, Satan offered all earthly kingdoms to Christ. Luke 4:5–6 says: “And the devil took him up and showed him all the kingdoms of the world in a moment of time, and said to him, ‘To you I will give all this authority and their glory, for it has been delivered to me, and I give it to whom I will.’” Christ did not dispute Satan’s claim. This passage reveals that all political power, in this current age, is under Satan’s jurisdiction.

This does not imply that God is not sovereign; Scripture affirms His absolute rule, but due to the Fall, God allows Satan to influence the nations of this world until Christ returns and establishes the kingdom of God over the earth. Therefore, participation in any institution of this world must be evaluated according to this unsettling biblical truth.

The Ruler of this World

Jesus admitted that Satan exercises real power over those who reject God’s law. In John 14:30, He told His disciples: “I will no longer talk much with you, for the ruler of this world is coming. He has no claim on me.”

By calling Satan “the ruler of this world,” Christ designated him as the real authority behind the present world system with its priorities, its violence, its wealth, and its political ambitions. The military actions of nations naturally flow from this same fallen order.

Spiritual Forces of Evil

Paul further clarified the nature of Satan’s control. Earthly rulers and authorities, in their fallen condition, are mere instruments of demonic powers that are in rebellion against God. In Ephesians 6:12, Paul wrote: “For we do not wrestle against flesh and blood, but against the rulers, against the authorities, against the cosmic powers over this present darkness, against the spiritual forces of evil in the heavenly places.”

Human institutions are not neutral. “Cosmic powers” and “spiritual forces of evil” operate behind the scenes. When nations wage war, they do not merely clash with each other; their conflicts are characteristic of a larger spiritual rebellion. So, any argument that Christians should participate in military activity must deal with the biblical reality that the world’s conflicts are influenced by spiritual powers opposed to the reign of Christ.

The Power of the Evil One

John plainly described the present condition of the world. In 1 John 5:19, he wrote: “We know that we are from God, and the whole world lies in the power of the evil one.”

This statement is absolute in scope. John draws a stark contrast between those who belong to God and the world order dominated by Satan. “The whole world” refers to the present system of human society in rebellion against God, a world governed by values opposed to the character and reign of Christ. This makes clear that earthly structures, including governments and the military forces they command, exist within an order influenced by the evil one.

The Dragon Empowers the Beast

The book of Revelation provides additional insight into Satan’s operational control of the world. In Revelation 13:2, John wrote: “And the beast that I saw was like a leopard; its feet were like a bear’s, and its mouth was like a lion’s mouth. And to it the dragon gave his power and his throne and great authority.”

The beast represents a political and military power that is opposed to God. Revelation 12:9 identifies the dragon as Satan, the direct source of the beast’s authority. So, earthly kingdoms participate in a system energized by demonic power. This is not merely symbolic; it explains why nations persist in violence, oppression, and war.

These passages establish a consistent biblical theme: The kingdoms of this world operate under the influence of Satan until Christ returns to claim His rightful throne.

This does not negate God’s sovereignty, nor does it deny that governments can serve limited purposes such as restraining certain forms of evil, but it does establish a biblical framework for understanding this world: all earthly power (including military force) is part of a spiritual domain that is fundamentally at odds with the kingdom of God.

This raises a serious question: Can those who belong to the kingdom of heaven serve institutions that are part of a world system governed by a hostile spiritual ruler? This question cannot be avoided if Scripture is taken seriously. Those who belong to Christ’s kingdom must understand their identity and calling.

The Kingdom of God

Scripture teaches that Christians do not belong to the kingdoms of this world. They belong to the kingdom of God. This identity must shape every duty, every allegiance, and every form of conduct.

Not of This World

Scripture presents the kingdom of God as both a present reality and a future event. Jesus announced the kingdom during His earthly ministry, but He did not establish it at His first coming. The kingdom has been inaugurated through Christ’s death, resurrection, and exaltation,

but it awaits its full manifestation when He returns in power. Confusion over this point has led many to assume that the kingdom already rules the world or that the church itself has replaced Israel and now exercises kingdom authority, but Scripture does not support these views.

At His first coming, Jesus proclaimed the gospel, called sinners to repentance, and laid down His life as a ransom for many. Then, He commissioned the church to preach repentance and forgiveness of sins while awaiting His return.

Jesus did not come to overthrow governments, conquer nations, or enforce righteousness by force; He came to announce the kingdom, not to impose it. The world is still under Satan's control, and Jesus acknowledged this reality throughout His ministry.

When the Jewish leaders handed Jesus over to the Romans for judgment, Pilate asked Jesus, "Are you the King of the Jews?" In John 18:36, Jesus answered: "My kingdom is not of this world. If my kingdom were of this world, my servants would have been fighting, that I might not be delivered over to the Jews. But my kingdom is not from the world."

Jesus did not deny the reality of His kingdom. He denied that it was part of this present world. If His kingdom had arrived in its ruling form, His followers would have fought to prevent His arrest. Instead, He submitted to death because the time for conquest and rulership had not yet come.

When Jesus returns, this will change. Scripture teaches that He will wage war, defeat His enemies, and rule the world in righteousness (Revelation 19:11–16). Until that day, Christians belong to a kingdom that has been announced but not yet imposed. This reality shapes a Christian's purpose, allegiance, and conduct in this present age.

The Kingdom of His Beloved Son

Paul taught that God has transferred Christians out of Satan's domain and placed them under Christ's authority. In Colossians 1:13–14, Paul wrote: "He has delivered us from the domain of darkness and transferred us to the kingdom of his beloved Son, in whom we have redemption, the forgiveness of sins."

This passage describes a complete change of citizenship. God removes believers from one realm and places them into another. The "domain of darkness" includes this world's political structures, values, and the violent means they use to secure power. The kingdom of the Son operates in truth, righteousness, and peace. These two domains oppose each other in nature, purpose, and character. Christians cannot live as if their citizenship remains divided.

Our Heavenly Citizenship

Paul expanded this idea when he described the Christian identity as a form of heavenly citizenship. In Philippians 3:20, he wrote: "But our citizenship is in heaven, and from it we await a Savior, the Lord Jesus Christ."

Christians have a heavenly citizenship now, not in a future age. This identity directs their loyalties and informs their conduct. Earthly kingdoms claim allegiance through oaths, through the bearing of arms, and through involvement in their conflicts, but Scripture calls on Christians

to place their allegiance in Christ and to live according to the laws and values of the heavenly kingdom.

Sojourners and Exiles

Peter also identified Christians as a distinct people who live among the nations without belonging to them. In 1 Peter 2:11, he exhorted believers: “Beloved, I urge you as sojourners and exiles to abstain from the passions of the flesh, which wage war against your soul.”

Peter called Christians “sojourners and exiles.” These terms describe people who live in a foreign country. They might obey its laws and respect its authorities, but they never forget that their true homeland lies elsewhere. Their conduct must reflect their spiritual identity, not the customs or expectations of the surrounding culture. Nations expect their citizens to fight for them. Scripture defines Christians as citizens of another kingdom.

Taken together, these passages establish a coherent biblical foundation: Christians belong to a kingdom that does not use force and does not operate according to the political structures of this age. Their citizenship, identity, and allegiance rest in Christ.

Nations train soldiers to fight their enemies. Christ trains His disciples to love their enemies. Nations command armies to conquer and destroy. Christ commands His people to forgive, serve, and reconcile. Nations rise and fall through violence and military power. Christ reigns through truth, holiness, and peace.

These differences do not leave room for divided allegiance. Christians must live as citizens of Christ’s kingdom, and they must consider which actions align with that kingdom and which do not.

Christian Conduct in This World

If Christians belong to the kingdom of God, then their conduct must align with the values, mission, and character of that kingdom. Scripture defines that kingdom in ways that stand in sharp contrast to the armed conflict, coercive power, and violent force that earthly nations employ. The New Testament provides consistent instruction about the actions and attitudes that characterize those who follow Jesus. These teachings reveal why military service conflicts with the mission Christ assigns to His people.

The Kingdom Comes First

Jesus identified the kingdom of God as the top priority for every believer. In Matthew 6:33, Jesus said: “But seek first the kingdom of God and his righteousness, and all these things will be added to you.”

This command places the kingdom above every earthly concern. Christ did not deny that His disciples had practical needs or civic responsibilities. He simply established a hierarchy of loyalties. The kingdom of God must stand in first place, and every other duty must take its place beneath it. That includes national identity, political interests, and civic obligations.

Christians live within earthly nations, but they pursue the righteousness of God above the goals of any state. The kingdom defines their ethic, shapes their mission, and directs their actions. If a conflict arises between the expectations of an earthly nation and the righteous demands of Christ, then the kingdom of God comes first. Obedience to Christ takes precedence over obedience to any human authority.

This priority bears directly on the question of military service. Earthly kingdoms wage war to defend borders, advance interests, or expand influence. The kingdom of God advances through truth, repentance, and transformed lives. A disciple who seeks the kingdom "first" must evaluate every earthly commitment, including military service, based on his primary loyalty to Christ.

Live Peaceably with All

The New Testament repeatedly calls on Christians to live peacefully with others. This reflects the character of the kingdom of God. In Romans 12:18–19, Paul wrote: "If possible, so far as it depends on you, live peaceably with all. Beloved, never avenge yourselves, but leave it to the wrath of God..."

However, Paul's admonition to live peaceably does not require believers to ignore credible threats to their own safety or abandon their responsibility to protect innocent life. Scripture shows that peaceful intent can coexist with prudent defense.

In Nehemiah 4:14, the citizens of Jerusalem faced violent opposition, so Nehemiah distributed weapons among the people and exhorted them with these words: "Do not be afraid of them. Remember the Lord, who is great and awesome, and fight for your brothers, your sons, your daughters, your wives, and your homes."

God permits defensive action to protect life when a violent threat arises. Nehemiah did not tell the people to seek conflict or provoke aggression. He exhorted them to remain faithful to God while defending their families against real danger. The goal was preservation, not retaliation.

This passage illustrates the legitimate right to employ reasonable security measures within church settings. A congregation can seek peace with others and still recognize the reality of criminal or terrorist threats. Maintaining a well-trained and well-armed security team does not contradict the command to live peaceably; it reflects a commitment to protect the vulnerable and preserve life.

Romans 12:18 guides the Christian's attitude toward others, while Nehemiah 4:14 affirms the responsibility to defend those entrusted to one's care. Together, these passages show that peace-loving conduct and defensive preparedness are not opposed to one another.

Not Waging War

Paul also taught that the church does not use armed conflict to establish the kingdom of God. Although believers live within the world, they must not adopt the world's methods. Until Christ returns to establish His kingdom, the church only engages in spiritual warfare. In 2 Corinthians 10:3–5, Paul said: "For though we walk in the flesh, we are not waging war according to the flesh. For the weapons of our warfare are not of the flesh but have divine power to destroy

strongholds. We destroy arguments and every lofty opinion raised against the knowledge of God, and take every thought captive to obey Christ."

Paul explicitly contrasted spiritual warfare with physical battle, showing that the church operates exclusively in the realm of truth, repentance, and obedience, not armed conflict. The church does not advance God's reign through threats, violence, or military force.

Christ alone has the power to establish the kingdom of God on earth, so the church must not seek to establish His rule through political revolution or military conflict. If it does, it abandons the weapons Christ has given and adopts the methods of the world. Such efforts confuse the mission of the church with the ambitions of earthly kingdoms.

According to Paul, the church's task is to encourage individuals to submit to Christ now while waiting for the day when Jesus will establish His kingdom in power and righteousness.

Ambassadors for Christ

Paul further defined Christian conduct by describing the church's mission in diplomatic terms. In 2 Corinthians 5:18–20, Paul wrote: "All this is from God, who reconciled us to himself through Christ and gave us the ministry of reconciliation: that God was reconciling the world to himself in Christ, not counting people's sins against them. And he has committed to us the message of reconciliation. We are therefore Christ's ambassadors, as though God were making his appeal through us. We implore you on Christ's behalf: Be reconciled to God" (NIV).

The church has a well-defined role and a specific mission. God reconciled believers to Himself through Christ and then entrusted them with the task of proclaiming reconciliation to others. Christians serve as ambassadors, representing Christ and His kingdom while living among nations that remain under Satan's influence.

The role of an ambassador carries clear limitations. Ambassadors do not take part in the political struggles or military conflicts of the host nation. Their authority flows from the government they represent, not from the nation in which they reside. Participation in a host nation's military would compromise their neutrality and divide their allegiance. An ambassador who bears arms for a foreign power no longer speaks with undivided authority for the one who sent him.

This is why involvement in military service conflicts with the church's mission. Christians do not represent the interests of earthly governments; they represent Christ and appeal to the world on His behalf. The ministry of reconciliation requires moral clarity, impartiality, and freedom from entanglement in national rivalries. By remaining separate from political and military affairs, believers preserve the integrity of their witness and remain faithful to the role God has assigned to the church in the present age.

Christian Duty in a Secular State

Christians live under earthly governments, yet their true allegiance rests in the kingdom of God. Scripture acknowledges this tension and instructs believers to live with faithfulness, integrity,

and discernment. The question is not whether Christians owe anything to earthly authorities, but how they should fulfill those obligations without compromising their identity as citizens of the kingdom of God.

Earthly governments do not operate by God's law; they operate according to carnal political priorities, shifting self-interests, and corrupt motives. Scripture never commands believers to help secular rulers advance those priorities by force. Instead, it tells Christians to live peaceably, honor legitimate authority, and obey the state, except when obedience would violate God's revealed will.

Submit to Governing Authorities

Paul addressed the believer's relationship to civil authority in Romans 13:1–2: "Let every person be subject to the governing authorities. For there is no authority except from God, and those that exist have been instituted by God. Therefore whoever resists the authorities resists what God has appointed, and those who resist will incur judgment."

Paul told believers to submit to civil authority in matters of lawful administration, but submission is not the same as participation. Paul described the government's role, not the Christian's vocation. The government "does not bear the sword in vain," but Paul did not tell Christians to wield that sword on behalf of the state. This passage tells believers to respect civil order, pay taxes, and live upright lives, not to enlist in the machinery of state coercion or warfare.

Obey God Rather Than Men

The apostles faced a direct conflict between God's will and the demands of the state. Their response provides a clear pattern for every Christian who must choose between obedience to God and obedience to civil authorities. When the Sanhedrin ordered the apostles to stop teaching in the name of Jesus, they responded with an unambiguous answer: "We must obey God rather than men" (Acts 5:29).

This statement establishes a precedent for all believers: loyalty to God outranks loyalty to the state. Earthly rulers possess real power, and Scripture instructs Christians to honor civil government, but when the government's command contradicts God's law, a believer cannot submit to human authority. The apostles framed their choice in absolute terms. Obedience to God stands above every earthly command, even under threat of punishment.

Since Christians must obey God rather than men, they must avoid putting themselves in a position where obedience to God is incompatible with the expectations of the state. Acts 5:29 provides a moral boundary for every disciple who seeks to remain faithful under competing claims of authority.

Bought with a Price

Paul illustrated this principle of maintaining personal freedom in 1 Corinthians 7:23: "You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men" (NKJV).

Military service is not literal slavery, but it does place a person under the binding authority of

others, removing the freedom to obey God when orders contradict Scripture. Soldiers cannot decline lawful commands from their superiors, even if those commands violate conscience.

Paul warns believers not to surrender their moral agency to institutions governed by worldly priorities. Christians must not place themselves under any authority that could require them to disobey God and violate their conscience.

Do Not Be Unequally Yoked

Paul also warned against partnerships with unbelievers in 2 Corinthians 6:14: “Do not be unequally yoked with unbelievers. For what partnership has righteousness with lawlessness? Or what fellowship has light with darkness?”

A yoke binds two parties together under a shared burden. Military enlistment precisely fits this concept. Soldiers train together, fight together, and carry out orders as a single unit. The mission, strategy, and moral boundaries of that unit come from secular authorities, not from Christ. Joining such a system unequally yokes believers to goals they cannot control and ethical decisions they cannot refuse. An employee can quit his job, if necessary, but a soldier cannot simply quit the military.

Christ taught His people to give to Caesar what belongs to Caesar, but to give to God what belongs to God (Matthew 22:15–21). Earthly rulers may require taxes, labor, and respect, but they may not claim the Christian conscience or the Christian sword. The conscience belongs to Christ, and the sword of judgment belongs to God.

Because of these principles, Christians should seek lawful alternatives when states impose military obligations. Many countries make allowances for conscientious objectors and provide options for civilian service that allow citizens to fulfill legal responsibilities without compromising their allegiance to God’s kingdom. These roles benefit society, uphold civic order, and preserve the believer’s freedom to obey Scripture.

Christians can still honor the state, while they serve God first. Alternative civilian service provides the best path for meeting both responsibilities without contradiction.

Conclusion

Scripture presents a consistent picture of the Christian’s identity, mission, and allegiance. The kingdoms of this world operate under the dominion of Satan until Christ returns. Earthly rulers advance their interests through force, coercion, and conflict, but Christ calls His people to a different path. Believers belong to a kingdom not of this world, a kingdom established by the righteousness, truth, and sovereign rule of Jesus Christ.

Because Christians live under Christ’s authority, their actions must reflect His kingdom. The New Testament defines Christian warfare as spiritual, not political or military. God commands His people to stand firm in truth, to proclaim the gospel, and to overcome evil with good.

At the same time, Scripture affirms the legitimacy of self-defense and acknowledges that Christ Himself will wage righteous war at His return. These truths do not weaken the case against

Christian military service; they strengthen the distinction between God's righteous use of force and man's corrupt use of force. Only God employs the sword with perfect justice. Only Christ will wage war in absolute righteousness. Human governments do not and cannot.

Christians must therefore approach civic duty with discernment. They honor lawful authority. They obey the state when possible. They seek peace and pursue righteousness. But they refuse to surrender their conscience, identity, or moral agency to systems shaped by worldly power. Scripture warns against becoming the slaves of men. It warns against being unequally yoked with unbelievers. It calls believers to remain faithful witnesses of a kingdom that does not rise or fall by the fortunes of earthly wars.

For these reasons, Christians should not enlist in the armed forces of any nation. Military service binds a believer to the will, aims, and commands of secular authorities, commands that may, at any time, conflict with the revealed will of God. Alternative civilian service offers a faithful path forward. It allows Christians to honor their country, fulfill legal obligations, and contribute to the common good while maintaining a clear conscience and an undivided allegiance to Christ.

The calling of the church is not to establish God's kingdom through armed conflict. That kingdom will come when Christ returns. Until then, believers live as citizens of heaven and ambassadors of Christ, walking in obedience, maintaining holiness, and bearing witness to the Prince of Peace.

Bibliography

Bocek, Darlene N. *Grace & Peace to You: Christian Pacifism in the Early Church*.

Collins, Steven M. *Christian Faith and Military Service*. https://stevenmcollins.com.

"Early Christians for Peace." *Anabaptist World*. https://anabaptistworld.org.

"Onward Christian Soldiers? The Just War Tradition." *Christian Research Institute*. https://equip.org.

"Peace Churches." *Wikipedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org.

"Should Christians Ever Say 'Yes' to War?" *The Gospel Coalition*. https://www.thegospelcoalition.org.

"Statement of Beliefs." *Church of God (Seventh Day)*. https://cog7.org.

"The Early Church on War, Peace, and the State." *AnarchoChristian*. https://anarchochristian.com.

"The Sabbath and Military Service." *Friends of the Sabbath*. https://friendsofsabbath.org.

"The Seventh-day Adventist Church and Conscientious Objection." *Adventist Review*. https://adventistreview.org.

"What Does the Bible Say About a Christian Serving in the Military?" *GotQuestions.org*. https://www.gotquestions.org.

Published by Didactic Ministries

© 2024–2026 Didactic Ministries. All rights reserved.

www.didacticministries.org