IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

STATE OF GEORGIA

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CO. AMERICAS, AS TRUSTEE MR. COOPER/NATIONSTAR	§	Case: A26A0632
	§	Related: A26A0361
Plaintiffs/Appellees	§	Related Previous: (Previous: S24A1170 misfiled Court of Appeals A25A0197)
v.	§	
CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, Sr.	§	DeKalb Cases: 19cv10619 & 18CV4742-2
Defendant/Appellant	§	

EMERGENCY MOTION TO RECALL REMITTURS DUE RESPECT TO FEDERAL COURT USCA5 26-10477 WITH MOTION TO STAY FOR REFERRAL TO A.G. CHRIS CARR AND CFPB

APPELLEES ADMIT APPELLANT NEVER MISSED A PAYEMENT, TRANSCRIPT HEARING CRIMINALLY ALTRERED, COLLATERAL ATTACK FOR FRAUD ON COURTS, O.C.G.A § 9-11-59 & 60(a) (d)(1) (2) (3)(f)(g)(h) FRAUS OMNIA CORRUMPIT.

Appellant:
Rev. Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Ph.D. Pro Se
5456 Peachtree Blvd. Ste. 410
Atlanta, GA 30341-2235
770-457-3300
1cor13cmh@gmail.com

COMES NOW Appellant “Homeowner” forced pro se due to damages from temporary CONTEMPTUOUS TO FEDERAL COURT ORDERS theft of home with $500,000+ equity unavailable to hire counsel and files this EMERGENCY MOTION TO RECALL REMITTURS DUE RESPECT TO FEDERAL COURT USCA5 26-10477 WITH MOTION TO STAY FOR REFERRAL TO A.G. CHRIS CARR AND CFPB per Wisdom AKA Lady Justice of Spirit and intent of U.S. Constitutional law and wisest judge of famous Dividing Baby/Solomon Sword case in today’s Proverbs 26, “Whoever digs a pit will fall into it, And he who rolls a stone will have it roll back on him.” and avers:

1.

EMERGENCY RECALL REMITTUR(S) IN RESPECT USCA5 26-10477

USCA5 has accepted Homeowner’s Texas case 26-10477 against white-collar Mortgagees and court dishonoring lawyers Balch whom Mortgagees are not using in Texas despite Balch having offices there! Federal Court USCA5 has jurisdiction on matters of instant case due contempt of federal court orders and probation and extrinsic fraud on courts orchestrated by corporate officers in Texas headquarters. Here is excerpt from Homeowner’s USCA5 case 26-10477 showing Remitturs must be Recalled with Stay and Referral to AG Carr in honor to federal courts with Stay and Referral to preserve Court’s honor and Canonical confidence in judiciary:

As Homeowner has informed Court the opposing counsel is withdrawing, as have no less than five opposing counsels in Georgia cases withdrew due either fired or quit law firms in conflict of conscience. Homeowner added new substitute counsel Tom Loose of Troutman Pepper Locke because Mr. Loose via email when properly asking Homeowner if he had any objection to him being substitute counsel he honorably agreed to abide by 37 CFR § 11.303 - Candor toward the Tribunal /ABA 3.3. In Georgia cases Homeowner never objected to substitute counsel but after fifth attorney withdrew and Homeowner was expecting new counsel to be court honoring, officer of court with professional behavior, but each new substitute instead maintained and perpetuated the extrinsic fraud on courts instead of honoring courts to admit and correct fraud chose to enable corrupt law firms and white-collar criminal clients! So, sixth attempted substitute Homeowner objected in filing to Georgia Court of Appeals unless proposed new counsel swore to abide by Candor to Tribunal or lose license to Bar. Result was new counsel refused to be substitute counsel! Please federal justices reread that a few times. Instant case requires Mr. Loose and Troutman with honorable Court to uphold and enforce Federal Orders, Rulings, Laws and probation that all have been violated by Mortgagees and their previous withdrawing law firm.

Additionally, instant case withdrawing counsel were professional and sent an email to Homeowner pro se asking if any opposition to their withdrawal. Homeowner replied by email he has no opposition them withdrawing as long as they includes these words to Court:

> Previous attorneys you can withdraw without opposition if you inform the court your clients have never produced for you any evidence I was late on payment, refused to make a requested payment and if they ever did any actions to help me save my home per three-year probation and RESPA Robinson settlement. All you are doing is acknowledging to court your clients gave you have no knowledge of these matters. Thanks.

Honorable Court must Recall the Remittitur to prevent an extrinsic fraudulently obtained judgment conflicts federal courts and state laws and case history to become final with more irreversible harm and damages to Homeowner and further undermine the integrity of the appellate process and be proof positive Georgia once again is a rogue confederate state operating in violation to U.S.

Constitution, Spirit and intent of exhaustively cited laws and court authorities, and Federal Court orders. Hopefully after Appeals Court was corrected by Supreme Court of Georgia S09C2010 *Jackson V. The State* 1/25/2010 not granting Recall the Remitter for a convicted felon, honorable Court will use its inherit power to properly Recall for a whistle-blower to banking laws and mortgage fraud, minister, senior citizen who per Mortgagees admissions never missed a payment and Mortgagees are only prevailing by extrinsic fraud on court the Stay and Referral to AG will cure:

At the outset, we address whether the Court of Appeals had the power to recall the remittitur of its own accord, without direction from this Court. There is some case law suggesting that neither this Court nor the Court of Appeals has the power to recall a remittitur once it has been issued. See, e.g., Hagan v. Robert & Co. Assocs., 222 Ga. 469, 470 (150 SE2d 663) (1966); Zorn v. Lamar, 71 Ga. 85, 86-87 (1884). However, there is contrary authority from this Court as well, see David G. Brown, P.E., Inc. v. Kent, 274 Ga. 849, 849 (561 SE2d 89) (2002); Hawk v. Western & A.R. Co., 146 Ga. 373, 373 (91 SE 115) (1917), and 3the same power is now firmly established in the federal system, see Calderon v. Thompson, 523 U.S. 538, 549-550 (118 S. Ct. 1489, 140 L. Ed. 2d 728) (1998). Indeed, the authority of an appellate court to recall the remittitur, or mandate as it is called in the federal system, is an accepted feature of modern appellate practice. See 16 Arthur R. Miller & Edward H. Cooper, Wright & Miller's Federal Practice & Procedure – Jurisdiction & Related Matters (2d ed.) § 3938.Accordingly, we hold that this Court and the Court of Appeals have the power to recall the remittitur.

2.
STAY AND REFERRAL

Court may, in its discretion, refer apparent criminal conduct stealing home in violation to federal banking and mortgage laws, federal court orders and three-year probation more stringent than laws due abusing hundreds of thousands homeowners

less than Mortgagees have abused Homeowner, official altering transcripts misconduct, or systematic abuse of process extrinsic fraud on courts to the Attorney General Chris Carr and to the Bar. The Stay and Referral will show true objective court of equity free of bias and prejudice of a forced against desires 100% legally right Homeowner and improper favor and misuse of bias enabling court corruption. The matter implicates public confidence in the courts and will affect other cases. The Attorney General has authority to investigate and, where appropriate, prosecute or seek disciplinary action.

IN CONCLUSION The Court Recalling the Remitturs, issuing a Stay until ruling by USCA5, and referral to AG Chris Carr and Bar shows respect to federal court USCA5 authority and objectivity to allow AG Carr to uphold its $200MMs fine and enforce its three-year probation more stringent than state laws as Homeowner has shown courts in filings. This will make moot the issue of good clerks acknowledged clerical errors and allow independent investigation to preserve the Court's honor. Please look at Remitturs both being for case 19cv10619 yet opposing counsel knows that is procedurally impossible because the transfer of S25A1170 concerned only 18cv4742 – even the remitter shows the S24A1170 for 18cv4742 that AG Carr and CFPB and Bar will investigate so Spirit and intent of law prevails.

THEREFORE Homeowner prayerfully requests Court:

1. Recall the Remitturs Exhibits 1 and 2.
2. Issue a Stay pending ruling by USCA5 and results of the Referral to AG Chris Carr and Bar to preserve Court's honor, uphold and enforce court ordered three-year probation and $200MM fines that Homeowner has not received any monies but instead had home with $500+K equity stolen,
3. Referral to AG Chris Carr, CFPB whom have acknowledged receipt of reports, and Bar to investigate all matters Homeowner whistle-blower and complaints in filings.

Any and all other relief and benefit allowed by law and Court's discretion.

This 26th Day of September 2026,

//Christopher M. Hunt, Sr.// (electronic signature)
Rev. Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Ph.D.
5456 Peachtree Blvd. 410
Chamblee, GA 30341-2235
770-457-3300
1cor13cmh@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Times New Roman Font 14 Word Count: 1,312+/-

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF GEORGIA

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST CO.	§	
AMERICAS, AS TRUSTEE	§	Case: A26A0632
MR. COOPER/NATIONSTAR	§	A26A0631
	§	
Plaintiffs/Appellees	§	Related Previous:
	§	(Previous: S24A1170 misfiled
v.	§	Court of Appeals A25A0197)
	§	
CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, Sr.	§	
	§	DeKalb Case:
Defendant/Appellant	§	19cv10619 & 18CV4742-2
_____	§	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have sent a copy of this petitioner's EMERGENCY MOTION TO RECALL REMITTURS DUE RESPECT TO FEDERAL COURT USCA5 26-10477 WITH MOTION TO STAY FOR REFERRAL TO A.G. CHRIS CARR AND CFPB via email court system and I certify there is a prior agreement with Balch, Ivey, Gram, Silloway to allow documents in a .PDF format sent via email to suffice for service Rule 6 this 26th September, 2026

Dallas Ivey who cased all this by defrauding DeKalb Magistrate Court!
Aldridge, Pite, LLP divey@aldridgepite.com
Fifteen Piedmont Court 3
575 Piedmont Road NE Suite 500 Atlanta, GA 30305

Brooke Gram bgram@balch.com ?St.? Patrick Silloway silloway@balch.com
Balch and Bingham LLP
30 Ivan Allen Jr. Blvd. NW Suite 700
Atlanta, GA 30308

//Christopher M. Hunt, Sr.// (electronic signature)
Christopher M. Hunt, Sr. Pro Se
5456 Peachtree Blvd. #410
Chamblee Georgia, 30341-2235
1cor13cmh@gmail.com (770) 457-3300

EXHIBITS 1 & 2 REMITTURS TO BE RECALLED

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
330 Capitol Avenue S.E., Suite 1601
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334

***** NOTICE OF REMITTITUR *****
2026-09-25

Christopher Hunt
5456 Peachtree Blvd
#410
Chamblee, GA 30341

COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. A26A0632
CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, SR. v. NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC et al

For your information, this court's remittitur in the above styled case is being transmitted to the trial court today.

NOTICE

THIS COURT WILL DESTROY THE CASE RECORD AND TRANSCRIPTS ONE YEAR AFTER THE REMITTITUR DATE ABOVE.

The Court will maintain the record and/or transcript in the above appeal for a period of one year after the remittitur date unless one or more of the parties notifies the Court, in writing, to maintain the record for an additional six months, and the reason therefor. The requesting party must send an additional request fourteen days before the expiration of each sixth-month period to avoid the record being destroyed. You will receive no further notice before the record is destroyed.

The original record will remain in the trial court.

The Court will preserve the Briefs, Orders, Substantive Motions, Opinions, Docketing Notices, and other documents in some format that will be available for inspection at either our office or at The Georgia Department of Archives.

EXHIBITS 1 & 2 REMITTURS TO BE RECALLED

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
330 Capitol Avenue S.E., Suite 1601
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334

***** NOTICE OF REMITTITUR *****
2026-09-25

Christopher Hunt
5456 Peachtree Blvd
#410
Chamblee, GA 30341

COURT OF APPEALS CASE NO. A26A0631
CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, SR. v. NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC et al

For your information, this court's remittitur in the above styled case is being transmitted to the trial court today.

NOTICE

THIS COURT WILL DESTROY THE CASE RECORD AND TRANSCRIPTS ONE YEAR AFTER THE REMITTITUR DATE ABOVE.

The Court will maintain the record and/or transcript in the above appeal for a period of one year after the remittitur date unless one or more of the parties notifies the Court, in writing, to maintain the record for an additional six months, and the reason therefor. The requesting party must send an additional request fourteen days before the expiration of each sixth-month period to avoid the record being destroyed. You will receive no further notice before the record is destroyed.

The original record will remain in the trial court.

The Court will preserve the Briefs, Orders, Substantive Motions, Opinions, Docketing Notices, and other documents in some format that will be available for inspection at either our office or at The Georgia Department of Archives.
