

VMW

removed/retired by JQC for altering transcripts. Homeowner even wrote in filing this is perfect opportunity to prove the Petitioners deserve a Bill of Peace if evidence is not there and for trial court to finally avail justice is evidence is in the original unaltered transcripts. The denial of access is another of litany of illegal, unethical acts by trial court against Homeowner who was never late nor missed a payment so JQC replaced due to history of violating 100% legally right Homeowner's Georgia Constitutional right for a jury trial, refused to correct clear clerical error, improperly vacated the second TPO for proven illegal reason, issued an illegal supersedeas bond, refused to uphold federal court jurisdiction, etc. Based on Petitioners' Renewed Motion, the true exhibits thereto, and other matters of public record referred to in this case, including at the hearing on August 14, 2025, of which the Court takes judicial notice, *see Perry v. Emory Healthcare Servs. Mgmt., LLC*, 374 Ga. App. 41, 45 (2025) (“[U]nder Georgia’s current Evidence Code, courts may take judicial notice of filings and other matters of public record.”), the Court finds and concludes as set forth below.

BACKGROUND AND FINDINGS OF FACT

Since 2014, Mr. Hunt has initiated five cases (including one appeal from a decision of the Magistrate Court of DeKalb County) against one or both Petitioners in this Court relating to the nonjudicial foreclosure of property known as 1920 Anastasia Lane, Atlanta, Georgia (the “Property”) and the related debt. All of these cases resolved against Mr. Hunt. They are:

- *Hunt v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, No. 14-CV-8532-2 (DeKalb Cty. Super. Ct. 2014), removed to the Northern District of Georgia and assigned case number 1:14-cv-03649-RWS-AJB, and dismissed on August 19, 2015 due to proven fraud on court. Case was originally granted a TPO subsequently proven 100% valid by Supreme Court *JESINOSKI* and DCMDGreenbelt *ROBINSON* but Petitioners do not care about laws or court orders

and trial court allowed Petitioners to violate Fed. Ct. 28 U.S. Code §1446 and illegally violate the first standing TPO that was never vacated but just ignored!!! Petitioners proven defaulted on service of lawsuit and only by proven fraud on state federal courts prevailed.

CONCERNING ALL THESE OTHER CASES THAT WERE PURELY DEFENSIVE AND MANDATED BY PETITIONERS ILLEGAL, CONTEMPTUOUS ACTS SEE EXHIBIT 1 TRUE TIMELINE AND EVENTS PROVING PETITIONERS' PROPOSED ORDER IS ANOTHER BLATANT ACT OF FRAUD ON THE COURT.

- *Hunt v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, No. 17CV4916 (DeKalb Cty. Super. Ct. 2017), removed to the Northern District of Georgia and assigned case number 1:17-cv-02294-RWS, and dismissed on March 29, 2018. See Exhibit 1 timeline
- *Hunt v. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas*, No. 18CV4005 (DeKalb Cty. Super. Ct. (2018), dismissed on March 27, 2019. See Exhibit 1 timeline
- *Hunt v. Nationstar*, No. 18CV4742-1 (DeKalb Cty. Super. Ct. 2018), an appeal from the Magistrate Court of DeKalb County, resolved by entry of judgment in favor of Deutsche Bank on February 28, 2019. See Exhibit 1 timeline
- *Hunt v. Nationstar Mortgage, LLC*, No. 20CV3778 (DeKalb Cty. Super. Ct. 2020), removed to the Northern District of Georgia and assigned case number 1:20-cv-02359-TWT, and dismissed on February 2, 2021. See Exhibit 1 timeline

Consistently unsuccessful at the trial court as would be a black man in 1800s per Dred Scott, forced against desires pro se Mr. Hunt has also initiated numerous appeals in the state and federal systems, that resulted in one defrauded DCNGA judge recusing himself, the USCA11 issuing an order that Petitioners breached the contract thereby invoking DCMiddleGA *MALONE* the Petitioners have no legal right to enforce any part of the contract until they cure their first breach

of the contract! But trial court does not case about federal court jurisdiction or court orders proven by Exhibit 1 timeline.

In addition to the sheer volume of cases, Mr. Hunt has, as the Court has lied in earlier orders to slander Homeowner as “frivolous and legally insufficient motions,” “repeat[ing] the same allegations against Petitioners and the Court he has unsuccessfully made again and again in his lawsuits.” Because Petitioners are incapable of producing any evidence the Homeowner was ever late on a payment, ever missed a payment, they have abided by federal court jurisdiction and orders to pay \$Hundreds of Millions in fines with two settlement agreements and three years probation that has more stringent than law requirements because Petitioners are so incorrigibly corrupt!

In light of the long history of Mr. Hunt’s lawsuits that have only been overcome by blatant violations to Rule 3.3 Candor to Tribunal, fraud on courts, altering transcripts, and enabling court prejudiced against pro se, and in desperation due to the accumulation of illegal acts and proving they lies about lies perjury to courts, the Petitioners filed their Petition for Bill of Peace, Injunction, and Attorney’s Fees (the “Petition”) on October 16, 2019. Due to delays caused by Mr. Hunt’s attempted appeal of the denial of a motion to recuse and the COVID-19 pandemic, the Petition did not come on for hearing until December 18, 2023. At the hearing, Mr. Hunt contended that a pending petition for rehearing on a denial of a petition for certiorari to the Supreme Court of the United States arising from Case No. 18CV4742, as well as a pending petition for writ of certiorari before the Supreme Court of Georgia arising from the same case,¹ would resolve his cases.

On January 19, 2024, in light of the presentations at the hearing concerning Mr. Hunt’s litigation against Petitioners, this Court entered its order (the “Preliminary Order”) finding “Mr.

¹ Mr. Hunt attempted to remove Case No. 18CV4742 to federal court, but the case was remanded. Nevertheless, Mr. Hunt continued to appeal in both the federal and state systems because the fed courts would not interfere with state and Petitioners and state are proven corrupt would not honor federal court, so Hunt took case to Supreme Court U.S.!

Hunt's repeated attempts to litigate the same issues with Petitioners to be oppressive and an abuse of the judicial system, justifying the award of a bill of peace and an entry of an injunction against him." This is trial court's way to protect itself misusing its judicial position to create a lie nullity order hoping the public will believe it – judge says $2+2=5$ therefore it is and if you don't like it then court threatens contempt and even more horrendous orders. Preliminary Order at 3. However, in light of Mr. Hunt's representations concerning his then-pending petitions with the Supreme Court of the United States and the Supreme Court of Georgia, the Court ordered that the relief granted to Petitioners would "remain in effect pending the resolutions of Mr. Hunt's petitions for rehearing and for a writ of certiorari, and any proceedings resulting from a grant of either petition." *Id.* at 4. The Court further provided "that, upon entry of orders denying Mr. Hunt's petitions for rehearing and for a writ of certiorari, or the issuance of opinions affirming the decisions from which Mr. Hunt is attempting to appeal, Petitioners may...motion this Court for an order making the injunction entered...permanent." *Id.*

Those petitions have now resolved against Mr. Hunt. On December 11, 2023, the Supreme Court of the United States denied Mr. Hunt's petition for rehearing. On February 20, 2024, the Supreme Court of the United States denied another of Mr. Hunt's petitions for certiorari, and Mr. Hunt did not timely file a petition for rehearing. On February 6, 2024, the Supreme Court of Georgia denied Mr. Hunt's petition for certiorari in that court and denied Mr. Hunt's subsequent motion for reconsideration on March 5, 2024. Mr. Hunt filed a petition for certiorari with the United States Supreme Court, which was denied on October 21, 2024. Mr. Hunt filed a petition for rehearing, which was denied on December 16, 2024. The Supreme Court of US only takes <5% of all cases and of those less than 5% are pro se. All five of Mr. Hunt's cases have resolved in favor of Petitioners due proven fraud on courts, violations of Rule 3.3 and court's horrific prejudice

against pro se. Petitioners filed the instant Renewed Motion requesting the Court grant permanent injunction against Mr. Hunt confident that after trial court denied access to the original transcript recordings and other illegal acts they have a judge who will participate in their criminal acts and misuse and dishonor the court to give them whatever they need to prevail so they can sell their company for \$9.4Billion and the CEO Mr. Bray who hypocritically claims on company website to be a trustworthy Christian so naïve homeowners can make him a partner in homeownership while he does many illegal acts to steal a ministers' \$1+M home with \$500,000+ equity.

DISCUSSION

I. Jurisdiction

At the outset, the Court addresses its jurisdiction to resolve Petitioners' request for permanent relief, as ~~Mr. Hunt has filed numerous papers arguing there are pending appeals and a federal case in Texas that deprive the Court of jurisdiction to enter an order granting permanent injunctive relief. That is not the case. That Mr. Hunt has filed a case in Texas does nothing to deprive this Court of jurisdiction to resolve a case in front of it.~~ God damned lie as Homeowner has never filed anything about Texas case depriving Georgia trial court of jurisdiction. This is how Silloway frauds courts and then gets slanderous orders. If Balch with Silloway and Gram do not repent and start obeying their oaths as officers of court and to Bar they should be Castle doctrine disbarred. And there are no pending appeals that deprive this Court of jurisdiction. Texas and Georgia are completely separate cases but the evidence illegally removed from court hearing transcript adversely affects Texas case that is about matters the evidence proves!

Since the entry of the Preliminary Order, Mr. Hunt has attempted to initiate three appeals: an appeal of the Preliminary nullity crime enabling Order, an appeal of the denial of a motion for reconsideration related to trial court refusing to grant access to the original unaltered transcript of the December 19, 2023, hearing (the "Reconsideration Appeal"), and an appeal of the denial of a

motion for pauper status related to the Reconsideration Appeal (the “Pauper Appeal”) because trial court so prejudiced and corrupted that even though not care to vacated the illegal, contemptuous eviction would forewarned cause irreparable harm the court now misused the Petitioners and court caused pauper status to prevent truth from getting to the appeals courts – after Homeowner paid \$1,200+ for transcript but case transcript kept growing! All of these appeals deprive the Court of jurisdiction to resolve the Renewed Motion because the appeals directly effect Petitioners’ standing as if there is one judge who will break from the corrupted, prejudiced judicial oligarchy and demand the Petitioner show evidence the Homeowner ever missed a payment and all the filed interrogatories and questions EXHIBIT 2 that no court has made Petitioners answer proving what current certiorari appeal to Supreme Court U.S. that a pro se has no more legal rights than Dred Scott had in 1800s! Consequently, the Court has no jurisdiction to address the Renewed Motion. But the trial court rubber stamps like a DEI house slave to Balch proven by Petitioners five versions of illegal nullity supersedeas before finally signing one that was still illegal but back dated thirty days so impossible to pay if could!

II. Permanent Relief

Addressing the Renewed Motion, the Court has finally comes to its senses and in respect to God and upholding the court’s honor and Canons mandate for public’s confidence in judiciary it refuse to grant the Petitioners’ abomination of slanderous injustice.

With those principles in mind, along with the findings set forth above, and having reviewed the evidence presented in Exhibits 1 and two of instant filing and posted with people’s photos on www.MrCooperCorrupt.com and www.SupremeCourtOfGeorgia.us and taking judicial notice of the glut of public records related to Mr. Hunt’s numerous expert lawsuits against Petitioners, the Court finds Mr. Hunt’s repeated attempts to litigate the same issues with Petitioners until truth and

Spirit and intent of law with evidence of all Petitioners illegal, contemptuous acts arising from Case No. 18CV4742, the entry of permanent relief is denied.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Petitioners must produce evidence the Homeowner has missed a payment, the Petitioners cured the USCA11 ruled first breach, Homeowner with Attorney Generals are granted access to the unaltered original recordings of transcripts and Zoom hearing, and must answer for a first time all matters in Exhibit 2 relating to the foreclosure of the Property or the underlying debt; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **Clerk of this Court is ORDERED** to vacate all previous nullity orders for cases 18cv4742 and 19cv10619 and the Court will fulfill the Georgia State Constitution right for a jury trial and reinstate the improperly vacated and ignored two previous TPOs against eviction and allow Homeowner back in his home with \$50,000 initial damages for costs and thefts by eviction crews who witnessed were instructed to steal all Homeowner's home based office computers files and best home furnishings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2025.

Judge Asha F. Jackson
DeKalb County Superior Court
DeKalb Judicial Circuit

Exhibit 1 True timeline case history vs Mortgagees fraud and contempt



The issue of Jurisdiction can be solved by the Mortgagee counsel adhering to Rule 3.3 and will prove no jurisdiction. Homeowner simply asks the Appellees to answer the following questions honestly under Rule 3.3:

1. Was the dependent foreclosure per *Mbigi v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage* No. A15A2067 done in contempt of binding superior court TRO order and violation of Federal Court jurisdictions? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
2. The Plaintiff Nationstar, represented by debt collector Albertelli (who has lost at least three federal cases as a bad acting debt collector) did the foreclosure and then Removal per Rule 28 1446(d) of the Homeowner's countersuit for wrongful foreclosure? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
3. While the jurisdiction for foreclosure was in the DCNG by Nationstar's Removal, and before Dispossessory hearing, show proof that Deutsche was properly substituted as Plaintiff per A17A1256, A17A1402. *ROGERS v. DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY* et al. with court order granting overcoming *LOCKHART V. STANCIL* 258 Ga. 634 Supreme Court of Georgia 258 Ga. 634 (Ga. 1988). Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
4. Did new Plaintiff Deutsche hire new debt collector Pite (who has lost federal lawsuit(s) as bad acting debt collector) for Dispossessory hearing? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
5. While the jurisdiction for foreclosure was in the DCNG by Nationstar's Removal, and before Dispossessory hearing, was there a binding Oct 25th

2018 DCNG jurisdiction Order putting a stay on all matters? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.

6. The Homeowner's appeal of DeKalb magistrate court error ruling against facts and law granting Dispossession was timely filed? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
7. Deutsche and Pite ex parte appeared before DeKalb magistrate and gained the Writ of Eviction. Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
8. Deutsche and Pite never gave notice of hearing, certificate of service, filed into any court or informed Homeowner of the hearing, the outcome, order for Writ of Eviction? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
9. Deutsche and Pite misrepresented the true jurisdiction and status of case jurisdiction being in the DCNG to the Dekalb Magistrate court or never per Rule 3.3 corrected magistrate's obvious error in order under appeal directly contradicting the referenced DCNG order prohibiting the Dispossession and Writ for Eviction? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.

10. The DeKalb superior court trial court accepted the appeal of Dispossession that was transferred from another superior court. The superior court that transferred the appeal had re-opened the appeal case due to clerical error without any objection by Deutsche or Pite? Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
11. The TRO against eviction was erroneously filed into the Removed 17cv4916 case because the appealed case had not been transferred from the superior court judge into the current trial court superior and the case number 18CV4742 had not been given to all parties. Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.
12. Deutsche and Pite used the argument of “no jurisdiction” to get the trial court to err and void the TRO clerical error filed into the Removed 17cv4916 case, and not transfer TRO into the 18CV4742 thereby preventing the trial court from exercising its U.S. Supreme Court jurisdiction of appeal case 18CV4742 of only correcting the magistrate error to void ab initio due “no jurisdiction” to hear and rule the Dispossession and Writ of Eviction so it came into alignment with law and court proceedings per
- “In determining whether state courts are allowed to entertain jurisdiction over federally created causes of action, the Supreme Court has applied a

presumption of concurrency. 111 U.S. 624 (1884) ROBB v. CONNOLLY. Under this presumption, state courts may exercise jurisdiction over federally created causes of action as long as Congress has not explicitly or implicitly made federal court jurisdiction exclusive. Yellow Freight System, Incorporated v. Donnelly, 494 U.S. 820, 822 (1990).”

Yes / No with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to

be forfeiture license to practice law. Yes / No with full legal and factual

explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be forfeiture license to practice law.

13. The sixty days after the notice of Appeal of instant case was nothing more

than an attempt to thwart the appeal because in known violation of O.C.G.A.

§ 5-6-46 (a) and BARGE et al. v. ST. PAUL FIRE & MARINE

INSURANCE COMPANY Nos. A00A0363, A00A0364, 2000 Yes / No

with full legal and factual explanation and violation of Rule 3.3 to be

forfeiture license to practice law.

IN CONCLUSION the Magistrate’s ability to answer even just three of these questions, or, show sincerity for upholding the federal courts’ jurisdiction and Spirit and intent of law to prevail to make Mortgagee answer all with superior cited authorities thereby properly facilitate this honorary Court’s machinery of justice for jurisdictional intervention to void ab initio all state court orders, Dispossession, Writ Eviction, etc. until a federal final non-appealable order per Spirit and intent of law so federal courts jurisdiction is honored by parties and enforced in state courts.

THEREFORE because this Court refused to acknowledge precedent federal court jurisdiction of previous Removed by Mortgagees an appeal is mandated. The

TIMELINE CONTEMPTUOUS, ILLEGAL ACTS BY MORTGAGEES EXHIBIT A

Date

MORT = Mortgagee

H.O. = Homeowner

MOVIE: THE BIG SHORT

1999

H.O. BUILT HOME AND RAISED CHILDREN, HAS \$400,000+ EQUITY, GOOD CREDIT

H.O. TIMELY PAID MORTGAGE FOR 6+ YEARS

2005

H.O. REFINANCES HOME TO BUY OUT PARTNER AT START MORT. CAUSED GREAT RECESSION

2005

MORT. BREACHED CONTRACT PER 11TH CIRCUIT RULING, MORT. DOES NOT OBJECT

2005

H.O. FULFILLED SUPREME COURT JESINOSKI V. COUNTRYWIDE

H.O. MAILED PROPER PAYMENT, REQUEST CURE BREACH, MORT. RETURNS PAYMENT

MORT. INSTEAD COMMITS INTERSTATE & BANKING FRAUD SELLS KNOWN BREACHED LOAN

2006-10

H.O. MAILED EACH 3 MORT.S. PROPER PAYMENT, REQUEST CURE BREACH

3 MORT.S. VIOLATE LAW NOT CURE BREACH, COMMIT BANK INTERSTATE FRAUD

SELLING KNOWN BREACHED BAD MORTGAGE TO EACH OTHER, INTERSTATE BANK FRAUD!!!

MORT. NEVER TRY TO FORECLOSE, JUST GO SILENT.

2010

10cv7429 4TH MORT. AURORA SUES HOMEOWNER TO FILE LOST ORIGINAL CONTRACT!

PROVING BREAK OF TITLE - NONE FRAUD SALES ARE VALID, H.O. PROVE AFFORD

SUPERIOR COURT ACKNOWLEDGES IN ORDER HOMEOWNER FILED BREACH AND FRAUD BUT

REFUSES TO RULE ON ANYTHING BUT FILING COPY LOST PAPERWORK. H.O. APPEALS

2011

S11A0910 H.O. APPEALS, S.C. CLAIMS NO JURISDICTION TRANSFERS TO GA APPEALS DENIES

2012

H.O. PREPARES LAWSUIT TO PREVENT WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE DUE BREACH AND FRAUD

2012

CHASE 2ND MORT. SEEING BREACH & FRAUD SETTLES, H.O. PAYS OFF 2ND NOTE IN FULL.

2012

AURORA INTERSTATE FRAUD AND VIOLATES BANKING REGULATIONS SELLS BAD LOAN

IF MORT. AURORA LEGAL RIGHT, WHY NOT FORECLOSE? INTERSTATE FRAUD SELLS NOTE!

TIMELINE CONTEMPTUOUS, ILLEGAL ACTS BY MORTGAGEES

Date MORT = Mortgagee H.O. = Homeowner *MOVIE: THE BIG SHORT*

2016 16-12832 H.O. APPEAL DCNG ORDER BALCH KNOWS APPEALED BEFORE FORECLOSURE

2017 MORT. FORECLOSE IN KNOWN CONTEMPT 11TH CIRCUIT JURISDICTION 16-12832 & 18-12593
MORT. EX PARTE INTERFERE PRESIDING JUDGE "NO SERVICE" DENY TRO DESPITE
PROOF CONTEMPT, CASE HISTORY, BINDING DEKALB TRO

2017 17CV4916 H.O. SUES MORT. WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE CONTEMPT 11TH JURISDICTION
MORT. AGAIN DEFAULT SERVICE NO CONSENT, NO UNAMITY, NO JURIDICITION

2017 1:17CV2294 MORT. IMPROPERLY REMOVES CLOSED DEFAULT CASE 17CV4916 TO DCNG

2017 1:17CV2294 MORT. LYING ABOUT LIES EXPOSES FRAUD COURTS PROVING H.O. CLAIMS
H.O. SERVICE PROVEN PROPER! ONLY FRAUD UPON COURTS PREVENT JUSTICE IN COURTS
DCNG & 11TH RULINGS "IF SOS SERVICE PROPER THEN REMAND NO JURISDUCTION"

2017 1:14CV3649 DCNG H.O. PROPERLY REOPENS ORIGINAL CASE DUE FRAUD, SHAM, RULE 60.

2017 MORT. DESPERATE! IMPROPER SUBSTITUTE PLAINTIFF DEUTSCHE REPLACES NATIONSTAR
(APPELLEE BRIEF 12593 P.4 DCNG "NOT ASCERTAIN HOW DEUTSCHE IS CONNECTED LOAN")
MORT. CONTEMPT 1:17CV2294 & 1:14CV3649 ILLEGALLY TRY WIN DESTROY H.O.

2017 17MA1165 MORT. DEUTSCHE CONTEMPT 11TH & DCNG MISREPRESENTS JURISDICTION

2017 17D25385 MORT. EX PARTE MISREPRESENTS JURISDICTION DCNG ORDER TO MAGISTRATE

2017 DEKALB MAGISTRATE WRIT EVICTION CONTRADICT DCNG ORDER DUE PITE FRAUD COURT
DCNG DENIES REOPEN CASE SO H.O. APPEALS TO 11TH CIRCUIT. CASE JURISDICTION 11TH

TIMELINE CONTEMPTUOUS, ILLEGAL ACTS BY MORTGAGEES

Date MORT = Mortgagee H.O. = Homeowner *MOVIE: THE BIG SHORT*

2016 16-12832 H.O. APPEAL DCNG ORDER BALCH KNOWS APPEALED BEFORE FORECLOSURE

2017 MORT. FORECLOSE IN KNOWN CONTEMPT 11TH CIRCUIT JURISDICTION 16-12832 & 18-12593
MORT. EX PARTE INTERFERE PRESIDING JUDGE "NO SERVICE" DENY TRO DESPITE
PROOF CONTEMPT, CASE HISTORY, BINDING DEKALB TRO

2017 17CV4916 H.O. SUES MORT. WRONGFUL FORECLOSURE CONTEMPT 11TH JURISDICTION
MORT. AGAIN DEFAULT SERVICE NO CONSENT, NO UNAMITY, NO JURIDICITION

2017 1:17CV2294 MORT. IMPROPERLY REMOVES CLOSED DEFAULT CASE 17CV4916 TO DCNG

2017 1:17CV2294 MORT. LYING ABOUT LIES EXPOSES FRAUD COURTS PROVING H.O. CLAIMS
H.O. SERVICE PROVEN PROPER! ONLY FRAUD UPON COURTS PREVENT JUSTICE IN COURTS
DCNG & 11TH RULINGS "IF SOS SERVICE PROPER THEN REMAND NO JURISDICTION"

2017 1:14CV3649 DCNG H.O. PROPERLY REOPENS ORIGINAL CASE DUE FRAUD, SHAM, RULE 60.

2017 MORT. DESPERATE! IMPROPER SUBSTITUTE PLAINTIFF DEUTSCHE REPLACES NATIONSTAR
(APPELLEE BRIEF 12593 P.4 DCNG "NOT ASCERTAIN HOW DEUTSCHE IS CONNECTED LOAN")
MORT. CONTEMPT 1:17CV2294 & 1:14CV3649 ILLEGALLY TRY WIN DESTROY H.O.

2017 17MA1165 MORT. DEUTSCHE CONTEMPT 11TH & DCNG MISREPRESENTS JURISDICTION
2017 17D25385 MORT. EX PARTE MISREPRESENTS JURISDICTION DCNG ORDER TO MAGISTRATE
DEKALB MAGISTRATE WRIT EVICTION CONTRADICT DCNG ORDER DUE PITE FRAUD COURT
2017 DCNG DENIES REOPEN CASE SO H.O. APPEALS TO 11TH CIRCUIT. CASE JURISDICTION 11TH

TIMELINE CONTEMPTUOUS, ILLEGAL ACTS BY MORTGAGEES

Date

ALL JURISDICTION IN 11TH CIRCUIT 18-12593 & 18CV12348

MOVIE: THE BIG SHORT

2017-19 ALL JURISDICTION DCNG/11TH CIRCUIT. MORT. KNOWN CONTEMPT STATE NO JURISDICTION!

2018 17D25385 H.O. APPEAL IMPROPER GAINED DISPOSSESSORY CONTEMPT NO JURISDICTION

2018 18CV4005 H.O. SUE DEUTSCHE & PITE BAD ACTING DEBT COLLECTOR, KNOWN CONTEMPT DEUTSCHE & PITE NOT REMOVE BECAUSE PROVE CONTEMPT NO JURISDICTION!!
PITE, LIKE PREVIOUS ALBERTELL, HAS LOST BAD DEBT COLLECTOR CASES IN FEDERAL COURTS

2018 MORT. DUE EX PARTE FRAUD COURTS NO JURISDICTION, NO NOTICE SURPRISE EVICTION
H.O. GETS PROPER 2ND TRO BUT CLERK ERROR FILES INTO 17CV4916 BECAUSE APPEAL OF 17D25385 NOT YET INTO SUPERIOR COURT. MORT. DESUTSCHE AND PITE CONTEMPT

2018 **MORT. APPELLEE BRIEFS 11TH CIRCUIT PROVE FRAUD COURT DEFAULT = NO JURISDICTION!**
H.O. SERVICE PROVEN VALID SO DEFAULT BINDING REMOVALS IMPROPER. H.O. WINS! BUT...

2019 11TH CIRCUIT DELAYS RULING ON OBVIOUS MORT. FRAUD COURTS, SHAM, DEFAULT SO ?? MORT. CAN ILLEGALLY MANIPULATE STATE COURTS EVICT THEN WILL TRY MOOT??

2019 17D25385 APPEAL IN SUPERIOR COURT ERROR DENY TRANSFER 4916 FILINGS INTO 4742

2019 18CV4742 H.O. APPEAL DENIED CONSTITUTIONAL JURY TRIAL, QUASH HEARING, DISMISSED

2019 18CV4005 LAWSUIT DENIED QUASH, JURY, VIOLATION RULE 3.3 JUDGE DISMISSED

2019 MORT. TRICK JUDGE ASHA JACKSON "NO JURISDICTION" TO CORRECT 17D25385 ERROR
ORDER CONTEMPT NO JURISDICTION! VACATES PROPER TRO SOLELY DUE "NO JURISDICTION TO HEAR MATTER" CONTRARY U.S. SUPREME COURT STATE ENFORCE FEDERAL JURISDICTION!
2019 H.O. APPEALS ALL STATE ERRONEOUSLY DISMISSED CASES CAUSED BY FRAUD UPON COURTS

TIMELINE CONTEMPTUOUS, ILLEGAL ACTS BY MORTGAGEES MOVIE: THE BIG SHORT

ALL JURISDICTION IN 11TH CIRCUIT 18-12593 & 18CV12348

<u>Date</u>	
2019	MORT. 45 DAYS AFTER APPEAL GETS STATE COURT ERROR GRANT SUPERSEDEAS BOND
2019	MORT. FILINGS DCNG & 11TH NO BOND HOME VALUE \$200+K > INFLATED FALSE DEBT
2019	MORT. HAS TITLE NO NEED BOND. H.O FAMILY WILL BE HOMELESS IRREPARABLY DAMAGED!!
2019	A19E0061 H.O. GA APPEALS DENY NO REASON ERROR - NO JURISDICTION OVERRULES ORDER
2019	S19C1440 H.O. WRIT CERTIORARI GA SUPREME COURT, DUE 7/2 DEADLINE H.O. REMOVES
2019	1:19CV3043 H.O. REMOVAL ALL JURISDICTION TO DCNG BEFORE 7/2 DEADLINE
2019	H.O. 7/2 REMOVAL FROM DCNG, ETC. INTO 11TH CIRCUIT ALL ISSUES IDENTICAL CONTEMPT
2019	H.O. APPEAL 1:19CV4043 DENIAL TRO & ENTIRE CASE INTO 11TH CIRCUIT JURISDICTION
2019	H.O. EMERGENCY EN BANC 11TH CIRCUIT TO UPHOLD JURISDICTION AND U.S. SUPREME CT
2019	RULINGS CONTINUITY COURTS FROM COUNTY MAGISTRATE TO STATE TO DCNG TO 11TH
	TO U.S. SUPREME COURTS. PLEASE TRO/STAY F NO WAY SHOULD AN IMPROPERLY
	SUBSTITUTED PLAINTIFF MORTGAGEE DEUTSCHE VIA A BAD ACTING DEBT COLLECTOR PITE
	IN CONTEMPT COURT ORDERS & 11TH JURISDICTIONS FILE INTO STATE VIA FRAUD &
	SHAM FILINGS TO IRREPARABLY DAMAGE EVICT 100% IN THE RIGHT HOMEOWNER WAITING
	FEDERAL COURTS TO RULE WITH NON-APPEALABLE FINAL ORDER! OFFICERS OF THE COURTS
	ARE PROVEN PERPETRATING FRAUD UPON THE COURTS. 11TH AND DCNG ARE HOLDING
	RULING ON APPEALS WHILE REFUSE TRO PROVEN ERRANT NO JURISDICTION STATE ORDER
	SUPERSEADAS BOND THAT IS BASED ON NO JURISDICTION, CONTEMPTUOUS FILINGS!

2019 18CV4742 H.O FILES EMERGENCY MOTION STAY STATE COURT ASHA JACKSON VOLUNTARILY CONFORMITY WITH FEDERAL COURTS JURISDICTION. MORT. REMOVALS DCNG IN 11TH CIRCUIT OBVIOUS MORT. FRAUD OBTAIN ALL ORDERS IN STATE COURT HAS NO JURISDICTION = STAY. MORT. CONTEMPT FEDERAL COURT. PLEASE CANONS SO TRO/STAY NO COURT CORRUPTION! PLEASE 11TH CIRCUIT HAS JURISDICTION ALL CASES SO TRO/STATE STAY UNTIL FINAL ORDER!

6. Summons into Court improperly substituted Plaintiff Deutsche and bad acting debt collector Pite and ask why they violated federal court jurisdiction, did illegal acts on state level trying to destroy Homeowner instead of properly per law wait for a non-appealable final order?

Any and all other relief and protection within this Court's discretion.

**EXHIBIT 2 A GOOD JUDGE WILL MAKE WHITE-COLLAR CRIMINALSS
AND BASTARDS TO BAR ATTORNEYS ANSWER QUESTIONS INSTEAD OF
ENABLE FELONY THEFT AND FRAUD ON COURT**

EXHIBIT A

**ADMITTED INTERROGATORIES PROVE THE MORTGAGEES ET AL
NEVER CURED THE BREACHED CONTRACT SO NEVER HAD LEGAL
STANDING TO FORECLOSE, MORTGAGEES ET AL ILLEGALLY
FORECLOSED IN CONTEMPT ON COURT ORDERS AND FEDERAL
COURT JURISDICTION AND THE STATE NEVER HAD JURISDICTION
FOR ANY ORDERS SINCE MORTGAGEES ET AL IMPROPER REMOVAL**

Interrogatories Admitted in ongoing State Superior Court 19CV10619

These interrogatories are asked with invoked Rules 3.3 and Federal Court Rule 1 for counsel. Any lies are immediate ab initio all federal and state courts rulings and orders subsequent to Homeowner's original filed notice of default of original lawsuit and revocation of license to practice law. "No" requires evidence and case cites. Any other answer than Yes or No with law cite and proof substantiating "No" is Admission because all evidence is available to verify. Balch and Anulewicz to answer with Deutsche and Nationstar and Albertelli as all served:

1. Albertelli was acting as a bill collector for Nationstar on original foreclosure the TRO prevented? Yes or No.
2. Albertelli emailed the court order TRO and original Complaint to Plaintiff(s) so the foreclosure was halted. Yes or No
3. Albertelli's secretary was honest and correct per rejected sheriff's service note Albertelli violated O.C.G.A. § 14-2-1530 (5) An incorporator, director, officer, or agent of the foreign corporation signed a document he knew was false in any material respect with intent that the document be delivered to the Secretary of State for filing by filing out the Secretary of State ("SoS") application with himself as corporate in Florida and himself as registered agent in Georgia? Yes or No.
4. Balch/Anulewicz purposefully tried to prevent the truth of question #3 to be known by judges by claiming Albertelli had other Georgia offices, etc., Yes or No.
5. Balch/Anulewicz refused to affirm the truth of Homeowner claim that Albertelli knowingly submitted a false document to SoS so Albertelli's default to default of Secretary of State service would not be binding? Yes or No.
6. Balch coached Albertelli not to file anything into courts despite being a party. Yes or No.
7. Albertelli received the Complaint and Summons per SoS and employees but chose not to answer? Yes or No.
8. Balch/Anulewicz and Albertelli collaborated so Albertelli never presented himself, law firm and truth into any courts? Yes or No.
9. Balch/Anulewicz conspired with Albertelli to start advertising the foreclosure in contempt of court without an order in federal courts allowing? Yes or No.
10. How were Balch/Anulewicz with Albertelli able to guess when to start advertising within a week of DCNG order almost impossible for Homeowner to file appeal in time?
11. Balch/Anulewicz knew the appeal of DCNG order was filed so the federal courts still had jurisdiction without a final non-appealable order allowing the foreclosure (phone records, date of stamped filing delivered and electronic filing access)? Yes or No.

13. There was no competing bids yet the price paid by Mortgagees to reclaim the title was for more than advertised price by Albertelli when Nationstar bought in their own debt? Yes or No.
14. Balch/Anulewicz knew Homeowner sued to have the foreclosure voided? Yes or No?
15. Balch/Anulewicz coordinated and advised Deutsche and/or Nationstar for Deutsche to hire new counsel Aldridge Pite to replace Albertelli for Dispossessory? Yes or No.
16. Balch/Anulewicz knew Albertelli was not SoS compliant and waited until the DCNG had ruled and thinking case was closed and safe then got Albertelli to switch from himself as Registered Agent to be Corporate Service Company and showed that change to court claiming "compliant" but after the SoS service was defaulted? Yes or No.
17. Balch/Anulewicz never disclosed to the courts that Homeowner was correct that Albertelli had been served per SoS because he was never the required resident citizen to be a legally proper Registered Agent? Yes or No.
17. Balch/Anulewicz know that if Albertelli as a bill collector Defendant had Defaulted it would mean the Removal was improper because Albertelli never gave consent, there was never unanimity, etc. required for Removal? Yes or No.
18. Balch/Anulewicz never corrected DCNG Judge Story's ruling there was no ways Homeowner's suit against Deutsche was proper because he "could not ascertain any way Deutsche could be associated with the mortgage"? Yes or No.
19. Balch/Anulewicz masterminded and orchestrated Deutsche with new debt collector Aldridge Pite and Dallas Ivey to pursue Dispossessory and Writ of Eviction? Yes or No.
20. Balch/Anulewicz was coordinating with Aldridge Pite and Dallas Ivey and Albertelli on all legal proceedings (phone records, emails, etc.) but never submitted to courts to represent Aldridge Pite and Albertelli as Defendants in the Federal cases? Yes or No.
21. Aldridge/Ivey never gave notice to Homeowner and met ex parte with a DeKalb judge to get the Writ of Eviction? Yes or No.
22. Aldridge/Ivey in ex parte hearing with DeKalb magistrate judge misrepresent to her that she had jurisdiction to grant the Dispossessory and/or Writ of Eviction? Yes or No. Why did Mortgagees never give Homeowner service of eviction order?
23. How is Deutsche, who went rouge from Nationstar, a properly substituted Plaintiff?
24. Why did Deutsche hire new bill collectors Pite/Ivey to replace Albertelli who had the case the entire time?
25. Why did Mortgagees change from what it presented to federal courts after wrongful foreclosure, that the house is sufficient collateral so supersedeas bond not necessary, now requesting supersedeas when Homeowner is exposing all their acts in an appeal in state courts?
26. House advertised for foreclosure in contempt of federal court jurisdiction? Yes or No.
(*NOTE Never gave Homeowner or Court notice of advertising!!!)
27. Was foreclosure wrongful in contempt of federal court jurisdiction? Yes or No.
28. Was Dispossessory hearing conducted after Removal of appeal of wrongful foreclosure and before any non-appealable final order granting state courts jurisdiction? Yes or No.
29. Was there clerical error of filing appeal of magistrate case into a superior court preexisting case that had been Removed by Balch instead of filing appeal properly into new created number case for appeal and Balch/Aldridge Pite violated Rule 3.3 by perpetuating error to have second TPO voided? Yes or No.
30. Has Balch/Adridge-Pite proactively helped any court correct errors or share truth with a court per Rule 3.3 (beyond consequences of their actions)? Yes or N