the

CATALYST

Global economic, political, & social issues journal

February 2026



On Leaving, Longing, and Looking Back: Why Diaspora Stories Keep Dressing the Screen in Grief and Glamour

The Weight of Becoming Someone Else *by Qi Chen*

HOW MIGRATION RESHAPES INDUSTRIES AND REDEFINES PROGRESS

by Alexander Palvin

iuvo.space

Editor's Note

For our seventh issue of The Catalyst, we turn to a subject that is everywhere and still too often misunderstood: immigration. Immigration is frequently reduced to headlines and numbers—arrivals, borders, policies—yet the lived reality is far more intimate. It lives in the details: the first meal in a new city, the accent you learn to soften (or refuse to), the friendships that save you, the loneliness you don't post about. It's the quiet work of translation—of language, yes, but also of identity, belonging, and ambition.

This issue explores immigration as a force that reshapes both people and places. We look at the tension between expectation and reality, the cultural transformations that happen without announcement, and the way migrants move through industries, institutions, and communities—often carrying the weight of two worlds at once. Our goal is not to simplify the story, but to widen it: beyond stereotypes, beyond single narratives, and toward something more human.

We hope this issue invites you to read with curiosity and compassion—and to see immigration not as a distant debate, but as a set of lives in motion, changing the world in ways both visible and unseen.



Dana Koptelnova

Editor-in-Chief

COPYRIGHT
ext and photographs are sent in electronic form. The publisher cannot guarantee the permanence of published information and take no responsibility for omissions or errors. Views expresses by authors are not necessarily those of the publisher.
DISCLAIMER OF RESPONSIBILITY
The Catalyst Journal sometimes posts links to external websites managed by third parties. The Catalyst Journal disclaims all responsibility for the content of such websites, which is the sole responsibility of their respective owners. All links posted by The Catalyst Journal are verified for any unlawful content at the time the magazine is published. Any links reported for unlawful content will be immediately removed if breaches of the law are identified.

CONTENTS



Immigration as a Choice: Why People Move Beyond Poverty Narratives
3

Invisible but Essential: Women and Immigration from Central Asia to Russia
8

Immigration as Hope: Expectation v/s Lived Reality
12

Immigration and the Quiet Transformation of Culture
13

Immigration Isn't a Crisis. It's a Test of Governance—and Empathy
16

The Weight of Becoming Someone Else
23

ICE in the United States: Enforcement, Fear, and the Human Cost of Immigration Control
24

How Migration Reshapes Industries and Redefines Progress
27

On Leaving, Longing, and Looking Back: Why Diaspora Stories Keep Dressing the Screen in Grief and Glamour
32

Immigration as a Choice: Why People Move Beyond Poverty Narratives

by Amelia Isakova

Immigration is often discussed through a narrow and simplified lens. Public discourse tends to portray immigrants as people who leave their home countries solely because they are forced to do so by poverty, conflict, or lack of opportunity. While these factors undoubtedly influence global population movements, they fail to capture the full complexity of contemporary immigration processes. Increasingly, immigration should be understood not merely as a reaction to hardship, but as a conscious and strategic choice made by individuals seeking better economic, educational, and social opportunities in host countries. By 2024, the global number of international immigrants reached approximately 304 million people, representing nearly four percent of the world's population (United Nations, 2024). This growth reflects not only humanitarian crises but also structural changes in the global economy and labor markets. Importantly, the majority of immigrants today move not from the poorest countries, but from states with middle levels of income and development. These are societies where basic needs are met, yet opportunities for long-term advancement remain limited. This pattern challenges the traditional assumption that poverty alone drives immigration. Research on the so-called "migration hump" demonstrates that emigration and immigration flows increase as countries develop, rather than decline. Michael Clemens' work shows that emigration rates tend to peak when national income reaches approximately USD 7,000–8,000 per capita, after which outward mobility gradually decreases (de Haas, 2010). In this context, immigration becomes part of a broader development trajectory rather than a symptom of collapse. The concept of the mobility transition further explains this process. In low-income contexts, many people are unable to migrate due to financial and institutional constraints. As economic conditions improve, individuals gain access to education, transportation, and transnational networks that make immigration feasible. At this stage, migration becomes a calculated investment rather than an act of desperation. Only later, when domestic opportunities expand sufficiently, does large-scale emigration begin to decline.

Central Asia provides a clear example of this dynamic. Countries in the region are not among the poorest globally, yet they demonstrate consistently high levels of immigration to countries such as Russia. Many immigrants are young adults who view relocation as a pathway to education, professional development, and social mobility. Others enter labor markets in construction, services, or manufacturing, using immigration as a means of accumulating savings and supporting family members in their countries of origin. Importantly, immigration requires resources. Travel costs, housing, legal documentation, and work permits create financial barriers that exclude the poorest segments of society. As a result, immigration is often selective, involving individuals who possess a certain level of human and economic capital. This further undermines the notion that immigration is simply a movement of the most disadvantaged. Demographic data reinforce this interpretation. Approximately 73 percent of immigrants worldwide are between the ages of 20 and 64, placing them in the most economically productive stage of life. Immigration is therefore closely linked to labor market participation, career development, and long-term planning rather than short-term survival. Destination patterns confirm this trend: economically developed countries such as the United States, Germany, and Saudi Arabia remain the primary recipients of immigrants, highlighting the strong link between opportunity structures and immigration flows. Another important feature of contemporary immigration is its stepwise nature. Individuals often migrate through a sequence of destinations, gradually improving their legal status, income, and living conditions. This “stepping-stone” pattern reflects rational decision-making and strategic adaptation to global inequalities. Immigration, in this sense, becomes a process rather than a single event. Ultimately, immigration in the twenty-first century must be understood as a complex social phenomenon shaped by choice, aspiration, and structural opportunity. While inequality and necessity continue to matter, they no longer provide a sufficient explanation. Modern immigrants are increasingly active agents who assess risks, weigh opportunities, and make deliberate decisions about where and how to build their futures. Recognizing immigration as a form of agency rather than merely a response to crisis allows for a more

accurate and humane understanding of global mobility.

In addition, contemporary immigration is increasingly shaped by information flows and transnational social networks. Digital communication, social media, and migrant communities abroad significantly reduce uncertainty associated with relocation. Potential migrants gain access to firsthand information about employment opportunities, living conditions, legal procedures, and risks long before they make the decision to move. This access to knowledge transforms immigration from a leap into the unknown into a more calculated and informed choice. Social networks abroad also play a crucial role in lowering entry barriers by providing temporary housing, job referrals, and emotional support, thereby reinforcing migration as a structured and repeatable process rather than an exceptional event. Moreover, immigration decisions are often embedded in long-term family strategies. In many cases, migration is not an individual act but a collective project aimed at diversifying household income, reducing economic vulnerability, and securing better futures for children. Families may deliberately send one member abroad to accumulate savings, finance education, or invest in housing. Such strategies further highlight that immigration is rarely impulsive; instead, it reflects careful planning and intergenerational decision-making. This perspective challenges the image of migrants as passive actors and instead positions them as rational agents navigating global inequalities with the resources available to them.

1. Clemens, M. A. (2014).
   Does development reduce migration? In B. R. Chiswick & P. W. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of International Migration* (pp. 152–185). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53764-5.00008-5
2. Clemens, M. A., & Postel, H. (2018).
   Deterring emigration with foreign aid: An overview of evidence from low-income countries. *Population and Development Review, 44*(4), 667–693.
3. de Haas, H. (2010).
   Migration and development: A theoretical perspective. *International Migration Review, 44*(1), 227–264.
4. United Nations. (2024).
   International migration 2024 highlights. https://www.un.org/development/desa/pd

Invisible but Essential: Women and Immigration from Central Asia to Russia

by Amelia Isakova

For a long time, immigration has been perceived primarily as a male-driven process. The dominant image of an immigrant has traditionally been that of a man arriving in a host country in search of employment in construction, manufacturing, or agriculture. However, this perception increasingly fails to reflect contemporary realities. Over the past two decades, immigration to Russia from Central Asia has undergone significant transformation, gradually acquiring a distinctly female dimension. Women are no longer merely accompanying family members; they are becoming independent immigrants whose labor sustains households, reshapes family roles, and contributes to the host country's economy. According to the Federal State Statistics Service of the Russian Federation, more than 9.5 million foreign citizens entered Russia for employment purposes in 2021, with approximately 84 percent arriving from Central Asian countries. The largest immigration flows originated from Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Kyrgyzstan. Officially, women account for around 20–25 percent of labor immigrants. However, this figure significantly underestimates their actual presence, as many women are employed informally and therefore remain outside official statistical records (Rosstat, 2022; Florinskaya, 2025).

The share of women among immigrants varies considerably by country of origin. Among migrants from Kyrgyzstan, women constitute more than one third of all labor immigrants, whereas among immigrants from Uzbekistan and Tajikistan their share remains below 15 percent. These differences reflect not only economic factors but also deeply rooted social norms that shape female mobility. In Kyrgyzstan, women's participation in labor migration has historically been more socially accepted, while in Uzbekistan and Tajikistan female immigration has long been constrained by traditional expectations regarding gender roles and family responsibilities. Nevertheless, even in these societies female immigration to Russia has been steadily increasing, driven by economic pressure, expanding migration networks, and gradual changes in social attitudes. The structure of employment among

immigrant women demonstrates pronounced gender segmentation. While male immigrants are primarily employed in construction, industry, and agriculture, women are overwhelmingly concentrated in the service sector. According to the International Labour Organization, nearly 80 percent of female immigrants in Russia work in services such as retail trade, hospitality, cleaning, caregiving, and domestic labor (ILO, 2022). These sectors are characterized by low entry barriers, but also by unstable employment, low wages, and a high level of informality. Women from Kazakhstan are more likely to be employed in education, healthcare, and administrative positions, reflecting higher levels of education and language proficiency. In contrast, women from Tajikistan and Uzbekistan are more often employed in domestic work and hospitality, where legal protection is limited and dependence on employers is particularly strong.

Behind these statistical patterns lie individual migration trajectories that rarely become visible in official accounts. For many women, immigration to Russia is not a carefully planned career strategy but a deeply personal decision shaped by responsibility, economic necessity, and hope. Interviews and surveys conducted in Russia show that women often migrate not only to improve their own living conditions but also to support their families financially. Remittances sent home cover basic household expenses, children's education, healthcare, and housing costs. In this sense, immigrant women become the invisible backbone of transnational family economies. Immigration also transforms women's self-perception and social roles. Many describe their first months in Russia as a period of fear, insecurity, and cultural adjustment, followed by gradual adaptation and growing confidence. Earning an independent income, navigating a new institutional environment, and negotiating employment conditions often become transformative experiences. For some women, immigration offers the first opportunity to make autonomous life choices. For others, it represents a way to escape restrictive social norms, forced marriages, or domestic violence. At the same time, this empowerment remains fragile. Limited legal protection, language barriers, and dependence on employers continue to restrict women's agency and expose them to exploitation.

Informal employment remains one of the most serious challenges faced by immigrant women. Research indicates that more than 40 percent of women from Tajikistan and Uzbekistan work without official labor contracts, while among immigrants from Kyrgyzstan this share is slightly lower but still substantial (Florinskaya, 2025). Domestic workers are particularly vulnerable, as their labor takes place in private households that lie beyond effective state oversight. Long working hours, delayed wages, and the absence of social guarantees reinforce the precarious position of immigrant women in the Russian labor market. At the same time, it would be misleading to portray immigrant women solely as victims. Despite structural constraints, they demonstrate a high degree of resilience and adaptability. Many become key economic actors within their families, sometimes replacing men as primary breadwinners. Their everyday practices challenge traditional gender hierarchies and gradually reshape social expectations both in countries of origin and in the host society. Immigration thus functions not only as a survival strategy but also as a mechanism of social transformation. In this sense, the feminization of immigration to Russia from Central Asia reflects broader changes in gender relations, labor markets, and patterns of mobility. Women remain among the most vulnerable participants in immigration processes, yet they are also among the most dynamic and resilient. Recognizing this duality is essential for understanding contemporary immigration and for designing policies that take into account not only economic needs, but also the lived experiences of those who move across borders.

1. Clemens, M. A. (2014). Does development reduce migration? In B. R. Chiswick & P. W. Miller (Eds.), *Handbook of the Economics of International Migration* (pp. 152–185). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53764-5.00008-5
2. Florinskaya, Y. (2025). *Labour migration from Central Asia: Gender dimensions and employment patterns*. National Research University Higher School of Economics.
3. International Labour Organization. (2022). *Labour migration in the Russian Federation*. https://www.ilo.org
4. International Organization for Migration. (2023). *Labour migration in the context of the Russian Federation*. https://ros.iom.int
5. Federal State Statistics Service of the Russian Federation (Rosstat). (2022). *Employment of foreign citizens in the Russian Federation*. https://www.gks.ru

Immigration as hope: Expectation v/s Lived Reality *by Tanisha Sethi*

Every individual in the world is encouraged to "dream big." While some people can pursue these dreams with stability and support, others face harsh realities that can lead to emotional breakdowns and mental health struggles. Migration often intensifies this experience. Even when surrounded by friends or familiar faces, many migrants carry an overwhelming sense of loneliness, taking on responsibilities that were once shared with family or community entirely on their own.

From a statistical perspective, this paradox is especially visible in underdeveloped and developing countries, where migration is often seen as an escape from economic and social constraints. According to the *World Migration Report*(2024), approximately 281 million international migrants were recorded globally in 2020, accounting for 3.6% of the world's population. Europe and Asia together hosted nearly 61% of these migrants, with 87 million and 86 million respectively. These figures reveal not only the scale of global migration but also the uneven distribution of opportunity that compels individuals to leave their home countries.

Immigration is no longer a marginal phenomenon; it is a defining feature of the modern world. By 2024, more than 304 million people were living outside their country of birth—nearly double the number recorded in 1990 (United Nations, 2025). Among them are millions of international students who migrate in pursuit of education, economic mobility, and long-term stability. In 2023 alone, over 2.1 million new international students entered OECD countries, with students from India and China representing a significant proportion of these flows (OECD, 2024). These numbers suggest that immigration is fundamentally driven by hope—hope for opportunity, security, and advancement. Yet behind the statistics are lives shaped by sacrifice, uncertainty, and the difficult task of rebuilding identity in unfamiliar cultural landscapes.

For many migrants, the primary motivation for moving abroad is economic advancement. However, this hope often collides with reality. High unemployment rates, strict language requirements, and limited recognition of foreign qualifications frequently place migrants in positions worse than those

they left behind. Language barriers and culture shock contribute to anxiety and isolation, while the sudden demand for complete independence—often without guidance or familial support—creates emotional and psychological strain.

Isolation can intensify overthinking, self-doubt, and homesickness, while making it harder for migrants to build friendships that reflect their cultural identity. The stress of settling into a new country, combined with language challenges, cultural differences, and financial pressures, often contributes to anxiety, depression, and emotional exhaustion. Meanwhile, many migrants redirect their focus toward supporting their families, assuming greater responsibilities at a time when the financial burden of living independently is significantly higher.

As the World Health Organization (2025) notes, each stage of the migration journey presents unique stressors that can increase the risk of developing mental health conditions. Refugee and migrant mental health is influenced by factors that can be grouped into five areas:

1. **Community support:** Being part of a community with a shared background, and attending school for children, is associated with better mental health.
2. **Basic needs and security:** Insecure income, work, housing, legal status, and access to food can contribute to poor mental health.
3. **Stigma:** Experiences of racism and discrimination may prevent or delay help-seeking and are associated with adverse mental health outcomes.
4. **Adversity and trauma:** Exposure to potentially traumatic events such as conflict, abuse, violence, and extended detention is associated with mental health conditions such as depression and PTSD.
5. **Access to services:** Language barriers, lack of awareness, and confidentiality concerns often prevent refugees and migrants from accessing mental health care.

The WHO also explains that many refugees and migrants experience distress, including anxiety, sadness, hopelessness, difficulty sleeping, fatigue, irritability, anger, and physical pain. For most people these reactions improve over time, but others go on to develop mental health conditions (World Health Organization, 2025).

Immigration is often framed as a personal choice driven by individual motivations, and therefore the hardships that follow are treated as expected. Many assume that those who move abroad do so willingly and with full awareness of the risks involved. However, this perspective oversimplifies migration and ignores the forces that shape these decisions. For many individuals—especially in developing countries—migration is not a luxury but a necessity driven by limited educational opportunities, unstable economies, or social pressure to succeed. In these cases, hope is carefully constructed long before departure.

This hope is often shaped—and sometimes distorted—by migration consultants and agencies that present life abroad as straightforward and guaranteed. Promises of stable employment, easy integration, and rapid success are frequently emphasized, while challenges such as underemployment, language barriers, and mental health strain are minimized or omitted. Once migrants arrive, the guidance that once seemed constant often disappears, leaving individuals to navigate complex systems alone. What was marketed as a clear pathway to success can become a confusing and isolating experience where expectations collide sharply with lived reality. When difficulties arise, responsibility is often shifted onto the migrant, framed as a lack of effort or resilience rather than acknowledged as the result of misleading narratives and insufficient support systems. This cycle not only intensifies emotional distress but also reinforces silence around migrant struggles.

To dismiss these experiences as the cost of ambition is to ignore how hope can be manufactured, sold, and then abandoned. Resilience should not be confused with ease, and endurance should not be treated as proof that systems are fair. Societies and institutions that benefit from migrant labor and fees must also acknowledge the role intermediaries play in shaping expectations—and the consequences when those expectations collapse.

After reflecting on this situation, I believe migrants and prospective students should take proactive steps to understand the realities of life abroad. It is crucial to gather accurate information about the countries they plan to move to, seek short-term experiences when possible, and carefully evaluate companies or consultants before committing. Sharing honest experiences

publicly can help others make informed decisions, reduce unrealistic expectations, and highlight cultural differences and challenges that are often minimized by intermediaries. Choosing wisely—and preparing for the reality behind marketed promises—is essential to protect both emotional and financial well-being.

References

McAuliffe, M., & Oucho, L. A. (Eds.). (2024). *World migration report 2024*. International Organization for Migration.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *International migration outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2025). *International migrant stock 2024* (dataset; estimates at mid-year 1990–2024). United Nations.

World Health Organization. (2025, September 1). *Refugee and migrant mental health* (Fact sheet). World Health Organization.

Immigration and the Quiet Transformation of Culture

by Tanisha Sethi

Immigration is often discussed through the lenses of economics, policy, or border control, yet one of its most profound impacts is cultural. Beyond statistics and political debates, immigration quietly reshapes the everyday cultural fabric of societies—how people speak, eat, celebrate, and understand identity. While cultural change is sometimes framed as a loss or a threat, immigration more accurately reflects cultural evolution, where traditions adapt, merge, and expand rather than disappear.

Culture is not static; it evolves through interaction. Immigration accelerates this process by introducing new languages, cuisines, belief systems, and artistic expressions into host societies. Cities with large immigrant populations often become cultural hubs where diversity is most visible. Neighbourhoods transform through ethnic restaurants, multilingual signage, religious institutions, and cultural festivals that reflect the presence of immigrant communities. These visible changes show how immigration can enrich public spaces and everyday life rather than fragment them (IOM, 2024).

Language is one of the most immediate cultural shifts brought by immigration. Multilingual environments emerge naturally in schools, workplaces, and public spaces, particularly in urban centres. While language diversity is sometimes viewed as a barrier to integration, it can also strengthen cross-cultural communication and global awareness. For second-generation immigrants, bilingualism often becomes a cultural bridge, enabling them to navigate both their heritage and the dominant culture. This linguistic hybridity reflects a broader reality: immigrants do not simply replace one identity with another; they learn to live within multiple cultural frameworks (United Nations, 2025).

Food culture provides one of the clearest examples of immigration's cultural impact. Dishes once considered "foreign" often become mainstream over time, shaping national food identities in the process. What begins as cultural preservation within immigrant households can expand into restaurants, markets, and eventually popular cuisine. This illustrates how cultural exchange often happens organically, without formal

policy or intention. Food becomes a shared language through which cultures meet, interact, and normalize difference. As a result, immigrant cuisine does not remain "other"; it becomes part of everyday life in the host society (OECD, 2024).

Immigration also reshapes cultural identity, particularly among younger generations. Children of immigrants often grow up navigating hybrid identities that challenge rigid definitions of nationality and belonging. They may celebrate traditional holidays at home while adopting the social norms of the society they live in. This dual identity is sometimes misunderstood as confusion or lack of loyalty, when it more accurately reflects cultural adaptability. Hybrid identities expand the meaning of national culture rather than weaken it, helping societies reflect the realities of an interconnected world (IOM, 2024).

However, cultural transformation through immigration is not always met with acceptance. Immigrants may face pressure to assimilate quickly, sometimes at the cost of cultural expression. Practices such as language use, clothing, or religious observance can be scrutinized or marginalized. This tension reveals a contradiction within many host societies: diversity may be celebrated symbolically, yet cultural difference is often tolerated only when it remains invisible. Immigrants are expected to contribute culturally while simultaneously minimizing visible signs of difference (WHO, 2025).

Despite these challenges, immigrant communities continue to influence cultural narratives through art, media, and public discourse. Literature, film, music, and digital platforms increasingly reflect migrant experiences, bringing stories of displacement, belonging, and resilience into mainstream spaces. These narratives challenge stereotypes and humanize migration, allowing audiences to engage with immigrant lives beyond political framing. Cultural representation becomes a powerful tool for social understanding, especially in contexts where migrants' voices are frequently excluded from policy discussions (United Nations, 2025).

Beyond economics and policy, immigration reshapes everyday cultural spaces in ways that often go unnoticed. Cities become multilingual environments where accents, cuisines, clothing, and traditions coexist, creating hybrid cultures rather than replacing existing ones. Immigrant communities influence local

food scenes, festivals, music, and even language, subtly redefining what "national culture" looks like over time. Cultural exchange through migration can also strengthen creativity and social innovation, particularly in diverse urban environments where difference becomes a shared experience rather than a division (IOM, 2024). Yet these contributions are often overlooked in mainstream narratives that frame immigrants primarily as economic actors instead of cultural participants. Recognizing these transformations is essential to understanding immigration not only as movement, but also as mutual exchange.

Ultimately, immigration does not dilute culture—it reshapes it. Cultural change driven by migration reflects adaptation, creativity, and survival. Rather than asking whether immigration threatens cultural identity, societies should consider how culture has always evolved through movement and exchange. Immigration highlights that culture is not something preserved in isolation; it grows through interaction. Recognizing this reality helps host societies move beyond fear and toward a more inclusive understanding of cultural belonging—one that acknowledges immigration not as disruption, but as transformation.

References

International Organization for Migration. (2024). *World migration report 2024*. (M. McAuliffe & L. A. Oucho, Eds.). International Organization for Migration.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *International migration outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing.

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2025). *International migrant stock 2024* (dataset; estimates at mid-year 1990–2024). United Nations.

World Health Organization. (2025, September 1). *Refugee and migrant mental health* (Fact sheet). World Health Organization.

Immigration Isn't a Crisis. It's a Test of Governance —and Empathy *by Karin Stephen*

Immigration has become one of the most emotionally charged topics in public life. In elections, it is treated like a referendum on national identity. In headlines, it becomes a numbers game: arrivals, deportations, crossings. In everyday conversation, it's often reduced to a binary—either you "support immigration" or you "oppose it," as if a phenomenon as old as humanity can be switched on or off.

But immigration is not a temporary disruption to otherwise stable societies. It is a durable feature of the modern world—driven by work, family, education, conflict, climate stress, and the simple human instinct to build a safer or more meaningful life. The real question is not whether immigration should exist. The question is whether we will manage it intelligently and humanely, or leave it to be shaped by fear, exploitation, and tragedy.

The numbers are big—but the panic is bigger.

Yes, immigration is significant. The United Nations estimates that in 2024 there were about 304 million international migrants worldwide, nearly double the number in 1990—yet still only about 3.7% of the global population. In other words, the overwhelming majority of people on Earth still live in the country where they were born.

When people talk about immigration as if it is swallowing the world, they miss this basic point: movement is real, but it is not limitless. What feels "out of control" is often not the scale of migration itself—it's the lack of planning, the slow bureaucracy, and the political temptation to treat human mobility as a threat rather than a governance challenge.

It also matters that "migrants" are not one category. Some people move through legal work and study routes. Others move because they have no safe alternative. By the end of 2024, UNHCR reported 123.2 million forcibly displaced peopleglobally—a reminder that many are not migrating for adventure or preference, but to survive persecution, conflict, or violence. Conflating refugees with all immigration may be politically convenient, but it is morally and analytically wrong.

Immigration is already stitched into the economy—whether politicians admit it or not.

In wealthy democracies, public debate often swings between two stories: immigrants "take jobs," or immigrants "save the economy." Both are oversimplifications. The truth is more practical: modern economies already rely on migration, and the outcomes depend on whether policy channels migration into fair, legal systems—or pushes it into informal and exploitative ones.

OECD data shows how central mobility has become. In 2023, permanent migration to OECD countries reached over 6.5 million, and international student flows rose to over 2.1 million new permits. That is not a fringe phenomenon; it is a structural reality.

Meanwhile, migrants are not just workers in destination countries—they are economic lifelines for families and communities back home. The World Bank projected that officially recorded remittances to low- and middle-income countries would reach $685 billion in 2024, and that global remittances would reach about $905 billion. This is not charity. It is a massive, decentralized form of development finance—often more stable than foreign aid, and delivered directly to households.

None of this means immigration has no costs. It can strain housing, schools, health systems, and local infrastructure—especially when governments pretend migration is temporary and refuse to budget accordingly. But that is precisely why the "crisis" framing is misleading. The problem is not that people move. The problem is that states often plan as if they won't.

The moral core: when legal routes shrink, danger expands.

If you want to understand the ethical failure at the center of modern migration debates, look at the death toll. In 2024, reporting showed 8,938 people died on migration routes worldwide, the deadliest year on record. These are not inevitable deaths. Many are the predictable result of policies that restrict safe pathways while leaving desperation unchanged.

When regular routes are blocked, irregular routes become profitable. Smugglers thrive. Exploitative employers gain leverage. People vanish into informal labor markets where wage theft and abuse are easier to hide. The public then

sees the consequences—boats, camps, chaos—and calls the whole thing a "security crisis," even though the insecurity was manufactured by policy choices.

A serious immigration stance should therefore start with a principle that is both compassionate and pragmatic: expand safe, regulated channels enough to reduce the incentive for dangerous ones. That includes labor pathways that match real demand, study routes with honest oversight, and humanitarian corridors and resettlement that prevent asylum systems from collapsing under backlogs.

Integration is not a slogan. It's an investment.

The most avoidable mistake in immigration politics is pretending that the job ends at the border. Entry policies matter, but integration policy determines whether immigration becomes a long-term success or a long-term grievance.

Integration is also not only economic. Migration can produce intense stress—dislocation, isolation, uncertainty, and discrimination. The World Health Organization emphasizes that refugee and migrant mental health is shaped by factors like community support, basic needs and security, stigma, adversity/trauma, and access to services. Ignoring this dimension doesn't make it disappear; it simply pushes challenges into emergency rooms, schools, workplaces, and families.

If governments want to reduce social tension, they should focus less on symbolic "toughness" and more on practical integration capacity:

- Faster, fairer processing (for asylum decisions, permits, renewals) so people aren't trapped in years of uncertainty.
- Language access and credential recognition so skills don't rot and resentment doesn't grow.
- Local funding formulas that support municipalities where newcomers actually settle—because integration happens in neighborhoods, not speeches.
- Real enforcement of labor standards to prevent employers from using migrants as a low-wage workaround that undercuts everyone.

When integration is done well, immigration stops being a culture-war

abstraction and becomes what it is in real life: neighbors, coworkers, classmates, and families building shared routines.

A realistic, humane approach is not "open borders." It's competent government.

Critics of immigration often assume that any humane policy is naïve. Supporters sometimes assume that any restriction is cruelty. Both camps miss the obvious middle: rules can exist without inhumanity, and compassion can exist without chaos.

The best immigration policy looks boring, not dramatic. It is a system that is:

- Predictable (clear criteria and timelines),
- Enforceable (rules that can actually be implemented),
- Fair (due process and equal protection),
- Safe (alternatives to lethal routes),
- Forward-looking (aligned with demographic and labor realities, not just electoral cycles).

Europe's long-term demographic pressures—documented in official projections on ageing and public expenditure—make this even more urgent. Whether societies like it or not, the ratio of workers to retirees is shifting. Immigration will not "solve" ageing on its own, but pretending migration is irrelevant to the future of pensions, healthcare staffing, and labor markets is fantasy.

Immigration is a mirror.

Immigration exposes what societies truly believe about dignity, belonging, and fairness. Do we see newcomers as disposable labor—useful when shortages bite, unwelcome when politics heat up? Or do we build systems that protect rights, enforce standards, and create real pathways to participation?

A country does not lose its identity by welcoming people. It loses its identity when it allows fear to justify cruelty, and when it builds economies that depend on migrants while denying them stability and respect.

Immigration will continue—because hope will continue, conflict will continue, inequality will continue, and human ambition will continue. The only choice we control is what kind of system meets that movement: one defined by panic and preventable death, or one defined by

competent governance and shared humanity.

References)

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *International migration outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing. (orbispre.europarl.europa.eu)

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2024). *Global trends report 2024*. UNHCR. (UNHCR)

World Bank. (2024, December 18). *In 2024, remittance flows to low- and middle-income countries are expected to reach $685 billion, larger than FDI and ODA combined* (D. Ratha, S. Plaza, & E. J. Kim). World Bank Blogs. (World Bank Blogs)

World Health Organization. (2025). *Refugee and migrant mental health* (Fact sheet). WHO. (World Health Organization)

European Commission, Directorate-General for Economic and Financial Affairs. (2024). *2024 ageing report: Economic and budgetary projections for the EU member states (2022–2070)* (Institutional Paper 279). Publications Office of the European Union. (Economy and Finance)

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2025). *International migrant stock 2024: Key facts and figures*. United Nations. (DevelopmentAid)

Associated Press. (2025). *Nearly 9,000 migrant deaths recorded last year by UN migration agency, real death toll likely higher*. (AP News)

The Weight of Becoming Someone Else *by Qi Chen*

Immigration is often described as a brave choice, a bold step toward opportunity, and a symbol of ambition. From the outside, it looks like movement toward something better: better education, better work, better futures. From the inside, however, immigration feels less like a single decision and more like a slow, ongoing transformation—one that reshapes identity, relationships, and the understanding of what "home" really means.

Before leaving, immigration exists mostly as hope. Hope is carefully constructed through conversations, social media, success stories, and promises made by consultants, relatives, or institutions. Life abroad is presented as linear: study hard, work harder, and success will follow. Struggle is acknowledged, but only briefly, as something temporary and manageable. Rarely is there space to talk honestly about loneliness, cultural dislocation, or the quiet grief that comes with leaving behind familiarity.

The moment of arrival marks the beginning of a different reality. Everything feels louder and sharper—accents, expectations, systems that do not explain themselves. Simple tasks become exhausting: opening a bank account, understanding public transport, speaking without rehearsing sentences in one's head. Independence arrives suddenly and without instruction. Responsibilities that were once shared with family are now carried alone, and asking for help often feels like admitting failure.

Loneliness is one of the least discussed aspects of immigration, yet it is one of the most persistent. It exists even in crowded classrooms, busy workplaces, and shared housing. Being surrounded by people does not guarantee belonging. Cultural references are missed, humor does not always translate, and emotional expression can feel risky when one is unsure of being understood. Over time, this isolation encourages self-doubt: Am I doing enough? Did I make the right choice? Why does success feel so distant when I was promised it would be closer?

Statistics rarely capture this internal struggle. Global migration data shows growth, mobility, and economic contribution, but it does not reflect the psychological cost of constant adaptation. According to the United

Nations, over 304 million people were living outside their country of birth by 2024 (United Nations, 2025). Within that number are millions of students and workers navigating uncertainty while trying to justify their decision—not only to others, but to themselves.

Economic pressure intensifies the emotional burden. Many migrants feel responsible not just for their own survival, but for supporting families back home. Remittances become a moral obligation, even when personal finances are unstable. This responsibility leaves little room for vulnerability. Admitting exhaustion or unhappiness feels selfish when sacrifice is expected to be rewarded with success. The result is silence—quiet endurance mistaken for strength.

Mental health struggles often emerge in this silence. The World Health Organization notes that migrants face increased risks of anxiety, depression, and emotional distress due to factors such as insecure income, lack of community support, discrimination, and barriers to accessing services (World Health Organization, 2025). Yet many migrants delay seeking help, either due to stigma, language barriers, or the belief that suffering is simply part of the journey.

Cultural identity also shifts in subtle and sometimes painful ways. Immigration demands flexibility—learning how to belong without fully erasing where one comes from. Over time, accents soften, habits change, and values adapt. This evolution can feel empowering, but it can also create distance from one's original identity. Returning home may no longer feel the same, while full acceptance in the host country may remain just out of reach. The result is an in-between existence: belonging partially to two worlds, yet completely to neither.

What makes this experience more complicated is how migration is often framed as a purely individual choice. The narrative suggests that migrants knowingly accept all risks in exchange for opportunity. This ignores the structural forces that push people to leave—limited educational access, economic instability, or social pressure to "do better." For many, migration is not an indulgence but a necessity shaped by unequal global systems. Hope, in this context, is not naive; it is strategic.

At the same time, hope is often manipulated. Migration agencies and institutions profit from optimism while minimizing risk. Promises of smooth

integration and guaranteed outcomes rarely include discussions of underemployment, credential devaluation, or emotional strain. When expectations collapse, responsibility is placed on the migrant for not trying hard enough, rather than on systems that sold an incomplete truth.

Despite everything, many migrants continue. They adapt, learn, and rebuild. Resilience becomes a survival skill, though it is often romanticized by societies that benefit from migrant labor without offering adequate support. Endurance is praised, but the conditions that demand it remain unchanged.

Immigration, then, is not simply about crossing borders. It is about becoming someone else while trying not to lose oneself entirely. It is about carrying hope and grief at the same time. It is about learning that success does not always look like the promises that encouraged departure, but sometimes like quiet persistence in unfamiliar spaces.

Reflecting on this journey, I believe that honesty is essential—both for those considering immigration and for societies that receive migrants. Sharing real experiences, including difficulty and doubt, does not discourage ambition; it grounds it. Migration should not require emotional invisibility to be considered successful. A system that values migrants must recognize not only their economic contribution, but their humanity.

Immigration begins with hope, but it continues with adaptation. Acknowledging the full emotional reality of that process allows migrants to feel seen, and it allows societies to move toward empathy rather than expectation. Only then can immigration be understood not as a personal gamble, but as a shared human experience shaped by courage, loss, and the constant search for belonging.

References

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2025). *International migrant stock 2024* (dataset; estimates at mid-year 1990–2024). United Nations.

World Health Organization. (2025). *Refugee and migrant mental health* (Fact sheet). World Health Organization.

ICE in the United States: Enforcement, Fear, and the Human Cost of Immigration Control *by Elizabeth Gomez*

Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) was created in 2003 in the aftermath of the September 11 attacks, as part of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. Its stated mission was to protect national security and enforce immigration laws. Over time, however, ICE has become one of the most controversial federal agencies in the United States—symbolizing not only border enforcement, but also fear, surveillance, and the fragile line between law and humanity.

To many Americans, ICE represents order and control in a system perceived as broken. To many immigrants, however, ICE represents uncertainty, family separation, and the constant anxiety of being removed from a life they have built. The debate surrounding ICE is not simply about legality; it is about how a nation chooses to enforce its laws, and at what human cost.

ICE operates primarily through two branches: Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO), which handles detention and deportation, and Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), which focuses on transnational crime such as trafficking and fraud. While HSI's work is often less controversial, ERO has drawn intense scrutiny for its tactics, including workplace raids, home arrests, detention practices, and cooperation with local law enforcement. These actions, while legal under U.S. immigration law, have deeply shaped the lived experiences of immigrant communities.

One of the most criticized aspects of ICE enforcement is the use of detention. Immigration detention in the United States is civil, not criminal, meaning detainees are not guaranteed legal counsel. Yet conditions often resemble those of prisons, with individuals held for months or even years while their cases are processed. According to the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU), prolonged detention has significant psychological consequences, including anxiety, depression, and trauma—especially for asylum seekers who have already fled violence or persecution (ACLU, 2022).

Family separation remains another defining issue. When ICE detains a parent, children—many of whom are U.S. citizens—are often left without caregivers,

placed into foster systems, or forced to assume adult responsibilities overnight. These separations do not just affect individuals; they destabilize entire communities. Fear of enforcement discourages immigrants from reporting crimes, accessing healthcare, or sending children to school, undermining public safety rather than strengthening it.

Supporters of ICE argue that enforcement is necessary to uphold the rule of law. They contend that without consequences, immigration systems lose credibility and fairness. This argument resonates with many citizens who believe that legal immigration should not be undermined by unauthorized entry. However, this perspective often ignores how limited and inaccessible legal pathways can be. For many migrants, especially from the Global South, legal entry options are constrained by long wait times, high costs, and restrictive eligibility criteria. Enforcement, in this context, addresses symptoms rather than causes.

The economic role of undocumented immigrants further complicates the debate. Undocumented workers are deeply embedded in sectors such as agriculture, construction, caregiving, and food services. According to the Pew Research Center (2023), approximately 10.5 million undocumented immigrants live in the United States, many of whom have lived there for over a decade. They pay taxes, contribute to local economies, and perform labor that sustains daily life—yet remain excluded from legal protections. ICE enforcement targets individuals within this contradiction: essential, but disposable.

Mental health impacts are also significant. The World Health Organization emphasizes that fear of detention and deportation is a major stressor affecting migrant mental health, contributing to chronic anxiety, sleep disorders, and depression (WHO, 2025). Even those not directly targeted by ICE experience psychological harm simply by living under the threat of enforcement. Children growing up in mixed-status families often internalize fear as normal, shaping their sense of safety and belonging from an early age.

ICE has also faced criticism for lack of transparency and accountability. Investigations by journalists and human rights organizations have documented deaths in custody, inadequate medical care, and the use of private detention facilities driven by profit incentives. While

ICE has implemented reforms and oversight mechanisms, critics argue that structural problems remain unresolved, particularly the reliance on detention as a default enforcement tool.

The question, then, is not whether immigration laws should be enforced, but how. Enforcement does not have to mean cruelty. Countries can maintain border control while prioritizing due process, proportionality, and human dignity. Alternatives to detention—such as community supervision programs—have been shown to be effective and significantly less costly, while reducing harm to families and individuals.

Ultimately, ICE reflects the broader contradictions of U.S. immigration policy. The country depends on immigrant labor, celebrates immigrant success stories, and defines itself as a nation of opportunity—yet enforces laws that criminalize presence rather than behavior. ICE officers operate within this system, but they also embody its moral tension.

An immigration system that relies heavily on fear erodes trust, not only among immigrants, but within society as a whole. If the goal of enforcement is stability and security, then policies that destabilize families and communities deserve reconsideration. Reforming ICE does not require abandoning the rule of law; it requires redefining enforcement in a way that aligns legality with humanity.

ICE's future—and the future of immigration enforcement in the United States—will ultimately depend on whether the country chooses deterrence through punishment or governance through fairness. How that choice is made will reveal not just immigration priorities, but national values.

References

American Civil Liberties Union. (2022). *Immigration detention in the United States*. https://www.aclu.org/issues/immigrants-rights/immigrants-rights-and-detention

Pew Research Center. (2023). *Facts on undocumented immigrants in the U.S.* https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2023/06/28/facts-on-undocumented-immigrants-in-the-u-s/

U.S. Department of Homeland Security. (2023). *Immigration and Customs Enforcement overview*. https://www.ice.gov/about-ice

World Health Organization. (2025). *Refugee and migrant mental health* (Fact sheet). https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/refugee-and-migrant-mental-health

How Migration Reshapes Industries and Redefines Progress *by Alexander Palvin*

Immigrants are often discussed as numbers—percentages of populations, labor force shares, or policy "burdens." Yet beyond statistics, immigrants are active agents of change across industries that shape everyday life. From healthcare and technology to agriculture, education, and entrepreneurship, immigrants do not merely fill labor gaps; they transform how industries function, innovate, and adapt. Their contributions reveal immigration not as a passive demographic trend, but as a dynamic force that reshapes economies and societies from the inside.

Healthcare

Few industries demonstrate the importance of immigrant labor as clearly as healthcare. In the United States and many other high-income countries, immigrants play a critical role as doctors, nurses, caregivers, and support staff. According to the Migration Policy Institute (2023), immigrants make up a disproportionate share of healthcare workers, particularly in long-term care, home health services, and nursing.

These roles are not easily replaced. Aging populations and staff shortages have placed healthcare systems under immense pressure, and immigrant workers often sustain services that would otherwise collapse. Beyond filling positions, immigrants bring linguistic and cultural competence that improves patient care for diverse populations. Multilingual healthcare professionals reduce communication barriers, increase trust, and improve health outcomes—especially for marginalized communities.

Despite this, immigrant healthcare workers often face licensing barriers, credential recognition delays, and exploitative working conditions. The irony is stark: systems depend on immigrant labor, yet frequently restrict immigrants' ability to practice at their full skill level.

Technology and Innovation

In technology and innovation-driven industries, immigrants have played a foundational role. Silicon Valley, for example, was shaped in large part by immigrant entrepreneurs and engineers. Research by the National

Foundation for American Policy (2022) found that immigrants have founded or co-founded more than half of U.S. startup companies valued at $1 billion or more.

Immigrants are overrepresented in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields, contributing not only technical expertise but also global perspectives that fuel innovation. Exposure to multiple education systems and cultural contexts often encourages creative problem-solving and adaptability—key traits in fast-changing industries.

At the same time, restrictive visa systems can trap skilled immigrants in temporary status, limiting mobility and increasing dependence on employers. This can suppress innovation by discouraging risk-taking and entrepreneurship, even as industries rely on immigrant talent to remain globally competitive.

Agriculture and Food Systems

Agriculture remains one of the most immigrant-dependent industries worldwide. In the United States, immigrants—many of them undocumented—form the backbone of food production, from planting and harvesting crops to processing and distribution. The U.S. Department of Agriculture estimates that a majority of farmworkers are foreign-born, with limited legal protections.

Immigrant labor has allowed food systems to scale, keeping prices relatively low and supply chains functional. Yet these contributions often remain invisible to consumers. Workers endure physically demanding conditions, seasonal instability, and limited access to healthcare, while public discourse frequently overlooks their role in food security.

The COVID-19 pandemic briefly exposed this dependence, as agricultural workers were labeled “essential.” However, essential status did not translate into long-term protections, highlighting how immigrant labor is normalized but undervalued.

Construction and Infrastructure

Immigrants have also reshaped construction and infrastructure industries. From residential housing to large-scale urban development, immigrant workers are heavily represented in skilled and semi-skilled trades. Their labor has supported rapid

urbanization and rebuilding efforts in growing cities.

In many cases, immigrants introduce construction techniques and work practices from their home countries, contributing to efficiency and adaptability. However, the industry is also marked by wage theft, unsafe conditions, and limited upward mobility—issues exacerbated by immigration status. These vulnerabilities allow exploitation to persist, even as the industry benefits from immigrant labor.

Education and Academia

In education, immigrants contribute as both students and educators. International students support universities financially and academically, while immigrant teachers and professors bring diverse perspectives into classrooms. According to OECD data (2024), international students play a significant role in sustaining higher education institutions, particularly in English-speaking countries.

Immigrant scholars also contribute to research output, cross-border collaboration, and knowledge exchange. Their presence challenges nationally bounded ideas of education, reinforcing the reality that learning and innovation are global processes.

Yet immigrant educators often face precarious contracts, visa uncertainty, and limited institutional support—conditions that undermine the very systems that benefit from their expertise.

Entrepreneurship and Small Businesses

One of the most visible ways immigrants reshape industries is through entrepreneurship. Immigrant-owned businesses revitalize neighborhoods, create jobs, and introduce new goods and services. From restaurants and retail stores to tech startups and logistics firms, immigrant entrepreneurship drives local economic growth.

Research by the OECD (2024) shows that immigrants are more likely than native-born individuals to start businesses in many countries. These businesses often emerge in underserved areas, filling gaps left by larger corporations and contributing to community resilience.

However, immigrant entrepreneurs face barriers such as limited access to credit, discrimination, and regulatory

complexity. Despite this, many succeed through informal networks, community support, and transnational connections—demonstrating how migration fosters economic adaptability.

Cultural Change in the Workplace

Beyond productivity and output, immigrants reshape workplace culture itself. They introduce new communication styles, work ethics, and collective values that influence organizational norms. Multicultural teams have been shown to enhance creativity and problem-solving when supported by inclusive leadership.

At the same time, cultural misunderstandings and discrimination can create tension. The benefits of diversity are not automatic; they depend on policies that support inclusion rather than forced assimilation.

Rethinking Immigrant Labor

Across industries, a pattern emerges: immigrants are essential yet undervalued, visible yet politically contested. They are praised for their contributions while remaining excluded from stability, security, and recognition. This contradiction reveals a deeper issue—not with immigrants themselves, but with how societies structure labor, legality, and belonging.

Immigrants do not simply adapt to industries; they reshape them. They sustain systems under strain, drive innovation, and redefine how work is done. Recognizing this reality requires moving beyond narratives of immigrants as temporary solutions and toward policies that treat them as long-term contributors to shared futures.

Understanding immigration through the lens of industry makes one thing clear: modern economies are already immigrant economies. The question is whether societies will acknowledge this truth—and design systems that reflect it.

References

Migration Policy Institute. (2023). *Immigrant workers in the U.S. labor force*. https://www.migrationpolicy.org

National Foundation for American Policy. (2022). *Immigrant founders of billion-dollar companies*. https://nfap.com

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *International migration outlook 2024*. OECD Publishing.

U.S. Department of Agriculture. (2023). *Profile of hired farmworkers*. https://www.usda.gov

United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. (2025). *International migrant stock 2024*. United Nations.

On Leaving, Longing, and Looking Back: Why Diaspora Stories Keep Dressing the Screen in Grief and Glamour *by Holly Lekwa*

Migration narratives have never been just about movement. They are about *becoming*—becoming foreign, becoming ambitious, becoming split between who you were and who you are expected to be. In recent years, books and films about diaspora have moved away from neat success stories and into something more intimate, more honest. They linger on silence, on fractured identity, on the emotional couture stitched together by loss and reinvention.

Take *The Namesake* (2006), Mira Nair's quietly devastating film based on Jhumpa Lahiri's novel. It does not scream about immigration; it sighs. The film dresses migration in muted tones—suburban kitchens, winter coats, long-distance phone calls—capturing the emotional restraint of first-generation immigrants who survive by suppressing longing. Ashoke and Ashima Ganguli do not chase the American Dream with fireworks; they endure it. Their story reminds us that migration often asks parents to disappear emotionally so their children can appear socially.

And then there are the children—the second generation—forever negotiating the aesthetics of belonging. Gogol's discomfort with his name becomes a metaphor for inherited displacement. He wants sleek assimilation; his parents carry textured memory. The tension between them is not dramatic, but it is constant. It's the kind of conflict that lives in wardrobe choices, dating preferences, and the embarrassment of home-cooked food brought to school.

If *The Namesake* is about quiet endurance, *Minari* (2020) is about fragile hope. Lee Isaac Chung's semi-autobiographical film trades spectacle for tenderness, following a Korean immigrant family chasing agricultural independence in rural America. What makes *Minari* remarkable is not its hardship—poverty, illness, instability—but its refusal to romanticize resilience. The characters are not heroic migrants; they are tired, stubborn, loving, and often wrong.

The grandmother, played by Youn Yuh-jung, disrupts every stereotype. She does not assimilate gracefully, nor does she embody cultural purity. She watches wrestling, swears freely, and smells "like Korea," as her grandson complains. She represents the truth diaspora narratives often forget: culture survives not through politeness, but through messiness. When *Minari* won international acclaim, it wasn't because it explained migration—it *felt* like it.

Literature, too, has shifted toward this emotional precision. In *Exit West* (2017), Mohsin Hamid abandons realism altogether, using magical doors to transport refugees instantly across borders. The effect is startling. By removing the journey, Hamid forces readers to confront arrival—and what lingers after. The novel suggests that migration is not defined by geography, but by rupture. You leave, and even when you stay, something inside you keeps moving.

What unites these stories is their resistance to neat narratives. There is no guaranteed success, no final sense of arrival. Instead, there is ambivalence. Migration is portrayed not as triumph or tragedy, but as a permanent state of emotional negotiation. Love becomes conditional. Identity becomes layered. Home becomes portable—and fragile.

More recent diaspora films, like *Past Lives* (2023), have taken this even further. Celine Song's debut is not explicitly about immigration policy or hardship. It's about parallel lives—the ones we live, and the ones we almost did. The immigrant experience here is subtle, almost fashionable in its restraint. Nora's Korean childhood and American adulthood do not clash violently; they coexist uncomfortably. The film understands that migration often produces grief not for what was lost, but for what was never allowed to exist.

What makes these stories resonate now is their refusal to perform gratitude. Immigrants in contemporary narratives are no longer endlessly thankful for opportunity. They are allowed to be bored, angry, nostalgic, selfish, and unsure. This shift matters. For decades, diaspora stories were expected to justify migration—to prove it was worth it. Now, they simply tell the truth.

There is also a visual language emerging in these films—minimalism, intimacy, soft lighting—that mirrors the emotional interiority of migrant lives. These are not border-crossing epics. They

are close-ups. Hands touching. Food simmering. Silence stretching. Migration, in this aesthetic, is not loud. It's personal. It's couture-level detail.

Of course, these narratives are not without critique. Many focus on middle-class or culturally "legible" immigrants—those whose pain is palatable to Western audiences. Stories of undocumented migrants, domestic workers, or refugees still struggle for the same artistic platform. The diaspora story, even now, is curated.

Still, the cultural shift is undeniable. Migration narratives have matured. They no longer ask for permission to exist. They no longer explain themselves. They assume the audience is ready—or at least willing—to sit with discomfort.

And maybe that's the most radical thing of all.

Because migration, like fashion, is cyclical. It reinvents itself. It borrows. It adapts. It never stays still. These stories remind us that identity is not something you arrive at—it's something you keep editing. Sometimes beautifully. Sometimes painfully. Always in motion.

References

Hamid, M. (2017). *Exit West*. Riverhead Books.

Lahiri, J. (2003). *The Namesake*. Houghton Mifflin.

Nair, M. (Director). (2006). *The Namesake* [Film]. Fox Searchlight Pictures.

Chung, L. I. (Director). (2020). *Minari* [Film]. A24.

Song, C. (Director). (2023). *Past Lives* [Film]. A24.

THE CATALYST

fight for social justice

iuvo.space

2026