

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

RAYMOND E BUTLER, II,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:25-cv-04443

Hon. April Perry

ELI JACKFINN EDDI a/k/a ELY EDDI, ILANA FINN EDDI, DORINE
MAGENCE, MANUEL MAGENCE, JEFFREY K. GUTMAN,
NACHSHON DRAIMAN, WILLIAM KANTER, JOEL S. ROTHMAN,
MOSHE SOLOVEICHNIK, ALAN GREEN, JERRY CHERNEY, SHMUEL
FUERST, HAROLD KATZ, SAMUEL MASLATON, DANIEL BERGMAN,
IRVING BIRNBAUM, ARON STANTON, CHAIM RAJCHENBACH, RIVKA
RAJCHENBACH, AVRUM RAJCHENBACH, MENACHEM SHABAT, AHUVA
SHABAT, RONALD SHABAT, ERIC ROTHNER, COLMAN GINSPARG,
JAMES MAINZER, MARSHALL K. BROWN, JEFFREY FINN, MEIR "AARON"
COHEN, GARRY CHANKIN, NANCY ROSEN, MARK ANTEBI, BARRY
ANTEBI, DAVID R. RAANAN, and ELLIOT E. ANTEBEL.

Defendants.

**STIPULATION TO WITHDRAW RACINE M. MILLER AND THE MICHIGAN LAW
FIRM, PC AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED and agreed by and between Plaintiff Raymond E.
Butler, II and Racine M. Miller/The Michigan Law Firm, PC, as evidenced by their
signatures affixed hereto, that Racine M. Miller and The Michigan Law Firm, PC may
withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff in this matter.

Plaintiff and Attorney Miller stipulate that Plaintiff terminated Attorney Miller
and The Michigan Law Firm, PC on or about September 2025 and revoked their authority
to act on his behalf in this matter. Attorney Miller appeared in this matter pro hac vice
and filed a Motion to Withdraw as Plaintiff's Counsel on September 2, 2025. ECF No.
216.

The parties to this Stipulation further stipulate that withdrawal is appropriate under Northern District of Illinois Local Rule 83.17 and Illinois Rule of Professional Conduct 1.16(a)(3), which provides that a lawyer shall withdraw if “the lawyer is discharged.” The Seventh Circuit has recognized that “clients may dismiss their attorneys for any reason, good or bad,” and that “a fired lawyer has no entitlement—certainly no ‘fiduciary duty’—to continue acting on the ex-client’s behalf.” *County, Mun. Emps.’ Supervisors’ & Foremen’s Union Local 1001 v. Laborers’ Int’l Union of N. Am.*, 365 F.3d 576 (7th Cir. 2004).

The parties to this Stipulation further stipulate that withdrawal will not prejudice ongoing proceedings.

/s/ Raymond Earl Butler II
RAYMOND E. BUTLER, II.
Plaintiff, Pro Se

/s/ Racine M. Miller
THE MICHIGAN LAW FIRM, PC
RACINE M. MILLER, pro hac vice
THE MICHIGAN LAW FIRM, PC
Former Counsel for Plaintiff

Dated: May 15th, 2026

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 and Local Rule 5.5, I hereby certify that on May 15th, 2026, I electronically filed the foregoing PLAINTIFF'S STIPULATION TO WITHDRAW with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically send electronic notification of such filing to all attorneys of record who are registered CM/ECF users.

/s/ Raymond Earl Butler II

Raymond E. Butler II
Pro Se Plaintiff
14320 Shirley Rd.
Baraga, MI. 49908
773-997-0328
RaymondEButler@protonmail.com