UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

	ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK, CARE OF M M			RECEIVED	
	*****		- Political designation		AUG - 9 2023
•	(In th	e space above enter the full name(s) o	of the plaintiff(s).)	· c	AT 8:30M DLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT - DNJ
		- against -			
1.	MATTH	MATTHEW DUFFY (NEW JERSEY RESIDENT)			COMPLAINT
2.	HECTOR GONZALEZ,				Jury Trial: Yes No
3.	SUE NO	SUE NOVICKWASKO,			(peck one)
4.	WENDY	WENDY A LATTIBEAUDIERE,			
5.	JOHN L	ALINDEZ,		DEFENDANTS CONTINUED:	
6.	LESLIE	LEITNER,		12	
7.	CATHE	RINE O HAGAN WOLFE.		13	
8.	ACS (A	ACS (ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDRENS SERVICES) THE CITY OF NEW YORK ARDAISHA HUDSON		13	
9.	THE CIT			14	RASHIMA FERGUSON
0.	ARDAIS			15	TRAVIS JOHNSON
11.	MICHEL	MICHELET FORTUNE			MARGARET INGOGLIA
	(In the space above enter the full name(s) of the defendant(s). If you cannot fit the names of all of the defendants in the space provided, please write "see attached" in the space above and attach an additional sheet of paper with the full list of names. The names listed in the above caption must be identical to those contained in Part I. Addresses should not be included here.)			17	JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA
				18.	NYC CHILDREN
				19.	KEVIN GORRASI
	I. 1	Parties in this complaint:	22. AMY SERLIN	20.	BOLADE BEGHO 21. IYESHA WITHESPOON
	A. List your name, address and telephone number. Do the sadditional sheets of paper as necessary.			ame for a	ny additional plaintiffs named. Attach
	Plaintiff	Name	ROBERT MALEK,	ROBER	T MALEK, CARE OF M M
		Street Address	338 JERICHO TU	RNPIKE	# 209
		County, City	NASSAU, SYOSS	ET	
		State & Zip Code	NEW YORK , 117	91	
		Telephone Number	601 714 9251		

List all defendants. You should state the full name of the defendants, even if that defendant is a government

B.

	e defendant(s) liste	individual. Include the address where each defendant can be d below are identical to those contained in the above caption.		
		MATTHEM DIFFY (ILO COM DEFENDANT)		
Defendant No. 1 THIS RIGHT HERE IS THE	Name Street Address	20 HOUSE RD, MORRISTOWN, NJ		
PERSON WHOM FORGES		MORRIS COUNTY, MORRISTOWN	1.	
JUDGES NAMES TO WRITE FEDERAL COURT ORDERS.	County, City	NEW JERSEY, 07960		
	State & Zip Code			
5. John Anthony lalindez	Name	HECTOR GONZALEZ, (U.S. GOV. DEFENDANT)		
605 COVINGTON PL, BREWSTER, NY 10509-6511 (PUTNAM COUNTY)	Street Address	60 E END AVE APT 5B,	2.	
,	County, City	NEW YORK, NEW YORK		
6. Leslie Leitner 442 E 20TH ST APT 5E, NEW YORK,		NEW YORK, 10028		
NY 10009-8124 (NEW YORK COUNTY				
,, , ,, ,, ,, ,,	Name	SUE NOVICK WASKO		
7. Catherine o Hagan Wolfe 226 KELBOURNE AVE, SLEEPY HOLLOW		60 PINEAPPLE ST APT 6I,	•	
NY 40004 4000 WESTSHESTED COUNTY	County, City	BROOKLYN, NY 11201	3.	
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
8. ACS (ADMINISTRATION FOR	.			
CHILDRENS SERVICES) 150 WILLIAM STREET	Name	WENDY A LATTIBEAUDIERE		
NY NY 10038		36 ADAMS ST S, FARMINGDALE, NY 11735	4	
O THE OLTY OF NEW YORK	County, City	FARMINGDALE, N.Y. 11735	4.	
9. THE CITY OF NEW YORK One Centre Street	• • •			
New York, NY 10007	•			
NOTE: DEFENDANT NUMBERS 1-7, 1 89, 90, 93, 94, 99, 100. ARE DEFENDAL II. Basis for Jurisdiction:	NTS IN THEIR F	42, 45-48, 52, 55, 56, 60. 64-65, 67, 69, 70, 72, 75-77, 79 PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY. 100 DE EASE SEE ATTACHED	9, 80, 86, 88, FENDANTS	
Federal Question - Under 28 U.S.C.	§ 1331, a case in sity of Citizenship - and the amount in c	are four types of cases that can be heard in federal court: 1) avolving the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties - Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one damages is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case; at Defendant.		
A. What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply) X Federal Questions Diversity of Citizenship U.S. Government Plaintiff V.S. Government Defendant				
Jo.S. Government Flat	X 0.8.	CONTINUOUS Delonguist		
issue? 471, 18 US 471, 18 US 471, 18 US	issue? 18 US 471, 18 US 371, 42 US 1983, 42 US 1985, 42 US 1986, 1ST, 5TH ,7TH, 9TH, 14TH			
,CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT ACT., 18 US 3283., FTCA, FAIR CREDIT REPORTING			RTING	
ACT. RULE 60 - 2 -				

ADDING: 4TH AMENDMENT, 8TH AMENDMENT,

C.	If the basis for jurisdiction is Diversity of Citizenship, what is the state of citizenship of each party?				
	Plaintiff(s) state(s) of citizenship NEW YORK				
	Defendant(s) state(s) of citizenship NEW JERSEY (FIRST DEFENDANT)				
III.	Statement of Claim:				
compi includ cite a	as briefly as possible the <u>facts</u> of your case. Describe how <u>each</u> of the defendants named in the caption of this laint is involved in this action, along with the dates and locations of all relevant events. You may wish to le further details such as the names of other persons involved in the events giving rise to your claims. Do not my cases or statutes. If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a late paragraph. Attach additional sheets of paper as necessary.				
A.	Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? BROOKLYN, NY, MANHATTAN, NY				
ALB	ANY, NY , MORRISTOWN, NEW JERSEY.				
В.	What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur? 2017 - PRESENT				
C.	Facts: PLEASE SEE ATTACHED				
_					

	w
IV.	Injuries:

Fyou sustained injuries related to the events alleged above, describe them and state what medical treatment, if any, ou required and received. IN PART I HAVE SPENT THE PAST 5 YEARS FULL TIME DEFENDING MY
DAUGHTER AND I FROM THE GOVERNMENT , MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMIINO.
SUCH IN ITSELF IS A LOSS OF APPROXIMATELY \$ 2,880,000. DOLLARS , THOUGH I AM SUING
FOR THE ANNUAL REVENUE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK IN THE AMOUNT OF 100,000,000,000

V. Relief:

State what you want the Court to do for you and the amount of monetary compensation, if any, you are seeking, and the basis for such compensation.

- 1. VOID FORGED COUNTERFEIT ORDERS WRITTEN BY CLERK MATTHEW LUNNY DUFFY
 INSTANTLY DISMISSING 5 FEDERAL CASES AND BARRING APPEAL AS SHOWN ON
 US COURT CORRUPTION. ORG WEBSITE, FED COURT CON JOB PAGE AND FED COURT CON
 JOB PAGE CONTINUED. RE-INSTATE ALL 5 CASES OF 22 CV 5416 CONSOLIDATED.
 2. VOID APPEALS COURT DISMISSAL FRAUDULENTLY UNDERTAKEN BY CLERK CATHERINE
- WOLFE 3. VOID APPEALS COURT DISMISSAL UPON FORGED ORDER DUE TO LACK OF ACTION ON THE PART OF APPELLANT.. (NOTE: I DONT APPEAL COUNTERFEIT FORGED ORDERS THAT CE RTIFY MY APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS).
- 4. OTHER RELIEF AS INDICATED AT THE END OF CAUSES OF ACTION BOOKLET.
- 5. ADDRESS ALL CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES
- 6. CHANGE VENUE TO FEDERAL COURT NEW JERSEY FOR ALL ACTIONS
- 7. DISMISS ACS CASE VS ROBERT MALEK
- 8. RETURN MY DAUGHTER TO THE CUSTODY AND CARE OF ROBERT MALEK
- 9. RENEW MY NOTARY LICENSE.

	s <u>ŹĆ</u> day of <u>JÜNE</u>	at the foregoing is true and correct
		Signature of Plaintiff Nobel Malek Mailing Address SYOSSET, NY 11791
		Telephone Number 601 714 9251 Fax Number (if you have one) E-mail Address ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM
Note:	All plaintiffs named in the ca	ption of the complaint must date and sign the complaint.

ACS = THE CITY OF NEW YORK = NYC CHILDREN. WHENEVER I MENTION ONE OF THEM AS A DEFENDANT, ALL ARE INCLUDED.....

IN ADDITION, WHENEVER I MENTION ANY AGENCY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS INCLUDED AS A DEFENDANT. THIS DOES NOT INFER THAT THE AGENCY LEGALLY EXISTS TO BEGIN WITH. NYC CHILDREN AND ACS DO NOT LEGALLY EXIST AS AGENCIES OF NEW YORK CITY.

FURTHERMORE, I AM ADDING MELISSA HAYES TO THE BEDFORD UNIT OF ACS WORKER DEFENDANTS. WHENEVER I MENTION ANY OF THE BEDFORD UNIT WORKER DEFENDANTS, SHE IS INCLUDED AS WELL....

MOREOVER, PLEASE NOTE THAT MY PUBLIC SPEECH AT THE STATE ASSEMBLY HEVESI MEETING WHERE ACS WAS PRESENT ALONG WITH HANSELL PUTS ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND HANSELL IN A POSITION AS BEING DULY INFORMED OF A VARIETY OF ISSUES ALONG WITH OCFS, SHEILA POOLE AND HEVESI OF COURSE. THE VIDEO IS ON MY WEBSITE, ACS COMPLAINTS.COM THAT CAN BE VIEWED.

DEFENDANT LIST CONTINUED

10. ARDAISHA HUDSON

675 LINCOLN AVE APT 4J, BROOKLYN, NY 11208-4031

11. MICHELET FORTUNE

702 JOHN ST, NORTH BALDWIN, NY 11510-1811

12. PAULA GARCIA

2328 NEWKIRK AVE APT 3A, BROOKLYN, NY 11226

13, ROSMIL ALMONTE

2416 PITKIN AVE, BROOKLYN, NY 11208-2138

*** 14. RASHIMA FERGUSON (NEW JERSEY RESIDENT)

4 RONALD DR, SOMERSET, NJ 08873-5134 (SOMERSET COUNTY)

15. TRAVIS JOHNSON

8703 5TH AVENUE

BROOKLYN NY 11209

16. MARGARET INGOGLIA

(REQUIRES COURT HEARING DUE TO A INVALID ORDER OF PROTECTION)

17. JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA

(REQUIRES COURT HEARING DUE TO A INVALID ORDER OF PROTECTION)

18. NYC CHILDREN

150 WILLIAM STREET

NY, NY 10038

19. KEVIN GORRASI

9809 162ND AVE # 2, HOWARD BEACH, NY 11414-3908

20. BOLADE BEGHO

22419 EDGEWOOD AVE, LAURELTON, NY 11413

21. IYEISHA WITHERSPOON

309 LAFAYETTE AVE APT 11L, BROOKLYN, NY 11238

22. AMY SERLIN

200 16TH ST APT 4G, BROOKLYN, NY 11215-6373

23. MICHAEL SINNER

5 LOURAE DR, MASSAPEQUA PARK, NY 11762-1124 (NASSAU COUNTY

24. EPHRAHIM TIRADO

24 CHERRYWOOD CT, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10308-1883

25, LEGAL AID SOCIETY

199 Water Street New York, NY 10038

26. ERIC GONZALEZ

67 MANHATTAN AVE APT 8T, BROOKLYN, NY 11206

27. KELLY CASEY

48 BEACH 217TH ST, BREEZY POINT, NY 11697-1520

28. DANIELLE CHRISTIANI

2470 E 11TH ST, BROOKLYN, NY 11235-5018 (KINGS COUNTY

29. FARAH JOLY

714 56TH ST APT 2B, BROOKLYN, NY 11220-3528 (KINGS COUNTY

30. JOANN FERRETTI

31. OCTAVIA HILL

15501 90TH AVE APT 3E, JAMAICA, NY 11432-3806 (QUEENS COUNTY)

32. SHEILA POOLE

56 STONY BROOK DR, SELKIRK, NY 12158-1269

33. JANET DIFIORE

34. JOSEPH BRUNETTI

25 STATE PL, HUNTINGTON, NY 11743-5630

35. MICHAEL W. SINNER

5 LOURAE DR, MASSAPEQUA PARK, NY 11762-1124

36. GERARD SARDINA

17 LESLIE LN, MASSAPEQUA, NY 11758-3457

37. MICHAEL KEENAN

260 CASWELL AVE, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10314-1949

38. JEFFREY LUPERON

1746 166TH ST APT 1, WHITESTONE, NY 11357-3315

39. MICHAEL W. KING

40. EPHRAIM A TIRADO

24 CHERRYWOOD CT, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10308-1883

41. ROBERT DI FALCO

91 DONCASTER AVE, WEST ISLIP, NY 11795-1234

42. BENJAMIN EIL

700 FULTON ST APT H3, FARMINGDALE, NY 11735-3446

42. HAIDER A SHAH

43. NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION AND CRUELTY TO CHILDREN

520 8TH AVENUE SUITE 1401

NY NY 10018

44. SAFE HORIZON, AKA SAFE HORIZONS

2 LAFAYETTE STREET, NEW YORK, NY, UNITED STATES, 10007

45. CAROLINE ROE

4790 RIVER RD, NEW HOPE, PA 18938-9789

46. LETITIA JAMES

296 LAFAYETTE AVE, BROOKLYN, NY 11238

47. COLLEEN WALSH

48. JAMES ZALETTA

1714 ALMOND DR, MANSFIELD, TX 76063

49. CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND

384 East 149th Street, 4th Floor, Bronx, NY 10455

50. NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM 25 Beaver Street New York, NY 10004

51. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION

New York State Unified Court System 25 Beaver Street New York, NY 10004

52. RICHARD SPITZER

450 Lexington Ave Ste 4, New York, NY 10017

54. COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES

450 Lexington Ave Ste 4, New York, NY 10017

55. EDWARD R ARMSTRONG

20 SATTERLEE ST, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10307

56. GEORGE VELEZ

57. NEW YORK CITY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION

C/O THE CITY OF NEW YORK

CENTRE STREET

NY NY

58. NASSAU COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE

240 OLD COUNTRY ROAD

MINEOLA, NY 11501

59. MICHAEL DOUGLAS CARLIN

300 CADMAN PLZ W FL 12, BROOKLYN, NY 11201-3226

60. JACQUELINE WILLIAMS

1000 SCHENCK STREET

BROOKLYN, NY

61. NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE

THE CAPITOL

ALBANY NY 12224

62. BROOKLYN DA OFFICE

350 JAY STREET

BROOKLYN NY 11201

63. NYPD

1 POLICE PLAZA

NY NY

64. DAVID HANSELL

395 BROADWAY APT 15E, NEW YORK, NY 10013

65. JESS DANNHAUSER

209 MADISON AVE, NEW YORK, NY 10016-3814 (NEW YORK COUNTY)

66. NYCLU

125 BROAD STREET

19TH FLOOR

NY NY 10004

67. SHEILA POOLE

56 STONY BROOK DR, SELKIRK, NY 12158

68. OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES

40 NORTH PEARL STREET

ALBANY, NY 12207

69. JOHN FRANKLIN UDOCHI

33 LEWIS PL, NEW ROCHELLE, NY 10804

70. PETER ALEXANDER

71. CORPORATION COUNSEL (AKA NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT)

100 CHURCH STREET

NY NY 10007

72. ZULMA MONTANEZ

3233 TIBBETT AVE, BRONX, NY 10463

73. New York State Unified Court System 25 Beaver Street New York, NY 10004

74. OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION
25 BEAVER STREET #8

NY NY 10004

75. CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY, ACS

76. NICOLA GIBSON

11127 75TH RD, FOREST HILLS, NY 11375

77. MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS

10120 AVENUE N, BROOKLYN, NY 11236

78 CATHOLIC CHARITIES, USA

2050 Ballenger Ave, Suite 400, Alexandria, VA 22314

79. GWYNETH HORTON

378 6TH ST, BROOKLYN, NY 11215

80. DANA GRIERSON

18 BELLEVUE TER, WEEHAWKEN, NJ 07086

81. MANHATTAN DAS OFFICE, AKA:

New York County District Attorney's Office One Hogan Place, New York, NY 10013 82. COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES

450 Lexington Ave Ste 4, New York, NY 10017

83. RICHARD SPITZER

278 N 6TH ST APT 3A, BROOKLYN, NY 11211

84. CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND (THIS IS A PHONY NOT FOR PROFIT. NOT LISTED WITH THE STATE)

384 East 149th Street, 4th Floor, Bronx, NY 10455

85. DEPARTMENT OF HOMESLESS SERVICES

33 Beaver Street, 17th Floor New York, NY 10004 212-361-8000

86. SUZANNE MILES, OCFS

9 STONE ARABIA DR, TROY, NY 12180

87. NYC HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION

125 WORTH STREET, NYC

88. GEORGE VELEZ

89. EDWARD ARMSTRONG

20 SATTERLEE ST, STATEN ISLAND, NY 10307

90. DET. JASON SHARP

31 MAPLE ST, MASSAPEQUA, NY 11758

91. NEW YORK SECRETARY OF STATE OFFICE

One Commerce Plaza, 99 Washington Ave. Albany, NY 12231

92. NASSAU COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE240 OLD COUNTRY ROAD

MINEOLA, NEW YORK 11501

93. SYLVIA HINDS RADIX

4901 AVENUE N, BROOKLYN, NY 11234

94. ANDREW HEVESI

7111 YELLOWSTONE BLVD APT 7P, FOREST HILLS, NY 11375

95. EASTERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT CLERKS

225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, NY 11201

NOTE: NEED A LITTLE MORE TIME FOR ADDRESSES OF SOME DEFENDANTS.

DEFENDANT LIST CONTINUED...

96, DR STEVEN FRIEDMAN

500 E 83RD ST APT 12D, NEW YORK, NY 10028

97. PEDIATRIC UROLOGY ASSOCIATES

360 ESSEX STREET

SUITE 402

HACKENSACK, NEW JERSEY 07601

745 64TH STREET

4TH FLOOR

BROOKLYN, NY 11220

98. DR EMILY CUPELLI

56 JAYSON AVE, GREAT NECK, NY 11021

99. JANET SABEL

355 RIVERSIDE DR, NEW YORK, NY 10025

100. MELISSA HAYES, ACS

- 1. THE 5 FEDERAL CASES DISMSSED FORGERY CAUSE OF ACTION / SUBCONTRACTING COURT DECISIONS TO CLERKS AND "DEPUTIZING "THEM. (MATTHEW LUNNY DUFFY)
- 2. FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS, A CLERK MAKING FEDERAL CASE LEGAL DETERMINATIONS AND WRITING ORDERS, TAKING THE ROLE OF JUDGE, DESTRUCTION OF U.S. POSTAL MONEY ORDER, A CLERK DISMISSING AN APPEAL RATHER THAN A JUDGE. (CATHERINE WOLFE)
- 3. 3RD CAUSE OF ACTION; LEGAL AID CLAIMS TO BE AN INDEPENDENT NON PROFIT WHEN THEY ARE NOT AND TAKE PART IN CONDUCT THAT REPRESENTS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST.
- 4. ACS FORGES JUDGES NAMES AND PRODUCES COUNTERFEIT ORDERS / RECORDS.
- 5. NOT ALLOWING PRO SE LITIGANTS TO TALK AND NOT ALLOWING REPRESENTED LITIGANTS TO TALK OR FILE.
- ACTING UPON AND MANUFACTURING OF FALSE RECORDS.
 6.1 ATTEMPT TO DECEIVE PRO SE BY MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS AS TO THE AUTHORITY OF THE COURT.
 6.2 A JUDGE ATTEMPTING TO DECEIVE PRO SE THAT A FEDERAL ACTION AGAINST ACS WOULDN'T BE APPLICABLE OR HAVE MERIT.
- 7. THE FABRICATION OF FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS AGAINST PARENTS.
- 8. PARENTS HAVE THE FABRICATED AND FALSE BACKGROUND CHECKS WHILE ACS WORKERS ENJOY NON EXISTENT BACKGROUND CHECKS AND THOSE OF IGNORANCE. THE GOVERNMENTAL DOUBLE STANDARD THEY APPLY FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT.
- 9. IS FALSE SWEAR INS BY ACS.
- 10. THE GOVERNMENT DOUBLE STANDARD.
 GOVERNMENT WORKERS GET A SECOND CHANCE, PARENTS GET ZERO.
- 11. THE 11TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS ISSUES SECRET ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS.
- 12. THE 12^{TH} CAUSE OF ACTION IS FINAL ORDERS OF PROTECTION THAT LAST MORE THAN A YEAR.
- 13. THE 13TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ORDERS OF PROTECTION AGAINST PARENTS BEING ISSUED WITHOUT SUFFICIENT BASIS OF NEED.
- 14. 14TH CAUSE OF ACTION:
 PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATION AND GOVERNMENTAL INTERFERENCE WITHOUT
 JUST CAUSE AND UNLAWFUL BASIS.
- 15. 15TH CAUSE OF ACTION...I CANT CARE FOR CHILDREN IN NEW YORK STATE UNTIL MY DAUGHTER IS............ 28 YEARS OLD.
- 16. GOVERNMENT INTENTIONALLY PLACING THE DEFENDANT IN A POSITION OF ENTRAPMENT.
- 17. 17TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

ACS WRITING COUNTERFEIT ORDERS THAT ARE...... UNAPPEALABLE AND TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS, PERMANENTLY.

18, 18TH CAUSE OF ACTION PARENTING CLASS FRAUD

19. 19TH CAUSE OF ACTION DOES THE STATE

HAVE THE RIGHT TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS IF A PARENT DOES NOT WISH TO BE MENTALLY EVALUATED WITH NO PRIOR NEGATIVE MENTAL HEALTH HISTORY? THE LETS PLAY, LETS FISH FOR A CASE GAME. THE ANSWER IS NO.

20. 20TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

THE 20TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE IGNORANCE AND CONCEALMENT OF THE SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER. RESULTING IN THE GOVERNMENT CONVICTING ME WITH SECRET ALLEGATIONS I NEVER KNEW OF.

THIS SITUATION WAS ACTUALLY PART OF A WIDER CONSPIRACY AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND I....

AND IS CAUSE OF ACTION 21:

- 21. THE GOVERNMENT AND THOSE WORKING WITH THE GOVERNMENT ENTRAPS RESPONDENT INTO A CATCH 22 SITUATION...
- 22. THE 22ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PUTTING UP THE SIGNS IN THEIR FACILITIES FOR NO RECORDING SO THAT I WOULD BE UNABLE TO HAVE EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT MY CALLS TO THE SCR SO THEY COULD CONVICT ME OF MAKING FALSE CALLS TO THE SCR.
- 23. THE 23RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS FAILURE TO TURN OVER DISCOVERY ALONG WITH OVERLY REDACTED DISCOVERY.
- 24. THE 24TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PREVENTING ME FROM TOUCHING MY DAUGHTER OR FOR HER AND I TO SHOW EACH OTHER AFFECTION.
- 25. THE 25TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS VIOLATING MY DAUGHTERS FREEDOM OF SPEECH WITH ONCE AGAIN THE INTENT TO PREVENT ME FOR BEING ABLE TO PROTECT MY OWN DAUGHTER AND HAVE EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF MY EFFORTS TO ENSURE HER SAFETY AS MORALLY AND LEGALLY REQUIRED OF A PARENT
- 26. THE 26TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE KMART SHOPLIFTING INCIDENT
- 27. THE 27TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK DISCRIMINATES UPON JEWS AS CAN BE FOUND IN FIRST FEDERAL FILING WHERE I AM JEWISH AND NOT AMERICAN UNLIKE MY CHILDS MOTHER AND FURTHERMORE, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK DISCRIMINATES BY MEANS OF CLASS. THEY TARGET WHOM THEY FEEL ARE THE POOR AND THE UNEDUCATED.
- 28. THE 28TH CAUSE OF ACTION (THE CITY OF NEW YORK FILES ONE BOGUS CASE TO USE AS A FOUNDATIONAL SMEAR CAMPAIGN FOR THE OTHER......)
- 29. THE 29TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS USING GOVERNMENT FUNDS TO ASSEMBLE A LEGAL DREAM TEAM AGAINST ME AT OCFS COURT.
- 30. THE 30TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK DELAYING FOIL RESPONSES FOR MORE THAN A YEAR AND REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOIL.
- 31. THE 31ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE 2 BOGUS CRIMINAL CASES BROUGHT BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK THAT WERE DISMISSED. NOT A ACD OR A PLEA. TOTALLY DISMISSED. SUCH

CRIMINAL ACTION AGAINST ME WAS NOTED IN ACS RECORDS, FALSELY STATING THAT I WAS INCARCERATED WHEN I WAS NEVER. IN ADDITION, EVEN AFTER SUCH CASES WERE DISMISSED AND I INFORMED ACS, THE RECORDS ON THE ACS CASE WERE NEVER UPDATED.

- 32. THE 32ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ALL THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AS SHOWN ON ACS <u>COMPLAINTS.COM</u>, CRIMES AGAINST AND UPON MY DAUGHTER ALONG WITH ALL THE BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS, SECRET INVESTIGATIONS AND LACK OF THEM DESIGNED TO INTENTIONALLY HARM MY DAUGHTER TO MAKE A CASE AGAINST HER AND HER FATHER.
- 33. THE 33RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ONE OF SUBORNED PERJURY.
- 34. THE 34TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS TERMINATION OF MY PARENTAL RIGHTS FROM DAY 1.
- 35. THE 35TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THERE HAS TO BE A TRIAL BY JURY.
- 36. THE 36TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CONCEALING THE FACT THAT THEY CONVICT APROXIMATELY 98.5 % OF THE RESPONDENTS SO A CHANGE OF VENUE WOULD NOT BE REQUESTED.
- 37. THE 37TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE SIGNS OFF ON 18 B ATTORNEY PAYCHECKS.
- 38. THE 38TH CAUSE OF ACTION WHICH IS SIMILAR TO THE 36TH CAUSE IS NYC CORPORATION COUNSEL RECOMMENDS THE JUDGE TO THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHOM THEY FEEL WILL BE MOST FAVORABLE TO THEM.
- 39. THE 39TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CITY AND STATE OF NEW YORK RERFERRING TO ACS COURT AS FAMILY COURT, OF WHICH CAN BE WITNESSED UPON 22 CV 5416. ACS COURT IS CRIMINAL COURT, FRAUDULENTLY PRESENTED AS A CIVIL FAMILY COURT TO FALSELY PROFESS DOMESTIC RELATIONS EXCEPTIONS AND CIRCUMVENT TRIAL BY JURY UPON OTHER SIGNIFICANT PROTECTIONS FOR THOSE ACCUSED OF CRIMES.
- 40. THE 40TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS I WAS DENIED THE RIGHT TO CONFRONT WITNESSES BEFORE ME.
- 41. THE 41ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGE WILLIAMS STATING THAT THE GOVERNMENT PETITION IS ALL SHE HAS JURISDICTION OVER, WHEN MEANWHILE IF I FILE A FAMILY OFFENSE PETITION IT ALWAYS ENDED UP I HER COURT WITHOUT HER TRANSFERRING IT ELSEWHERE. I WAS DENIED ACCESS TO A FAMILY COURT FOR THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF MY CHILD.
- 42. THE 42ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE TELLING ME REGARDING CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUAGHTER THAT "THAT IS YOUR CONCERN." VIOLATING HER OATH OF OFFICE.
- 43. THE 43 RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE STATING THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ABUSE OF MY CHILD WHEN RIGHT IN THE ACS NOTES THEMSELVES MY DAUGHTER SAID JOE HIT HER REGARDING HER BEING INJURED AND I SAID SO IN COURT.
- 44. THE 44TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS/NYC WITHHOLDING KEY DISCOVERY ON CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER UNTIL 2 YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAD PASSED.
- 45. THE 45TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD, AMY SERLIN TELLING 14 YEAR OLD JOE PALOMINO NOT TO SPEAK TO THE POLICE.

- 46. THE 46TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS TRAVIS JOHNSON / AMY SERLIN / LEGAL AID SOCIETY REPRESENTING BOTH CHILDREN WITH ONE LAWYER PREVENTING MY DAUGHTER FROM HAVING PROTECTION AND REPRESENTATION OF HER OWN.
- 47. THE 47th CAUSE OF ACTION IS WILLIAMS TAKING PART IN DESTROYING APPEALS COURT DECISION ON PLACEMENT OF THE CHILDREN UPON MY APPEAL.
- 48. THE 48TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS PARENTS SUCH AS MYSELF WHOM PAY CHILD SUPPORT, DIDN'T COMMIT ANY CRIME AND STILL DO NOT SEE THEIR CHILD WITH ALL PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATED.
- 49. THE 49TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHEN ACS FILED A MOTION TO STOP MY VISITATION BECAUSE I CALLED 911 FOR MY DAUGHTER ON OCTOBER 11, 2018 AS CAN BE HEARD AND SEEN ON ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM, CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER PAGE, THE JUDGE DECIDED TO STOP MY VISITS AT ACS AND MOVE THEM OVER TO COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES SO I WOULD HAVE MORE GUIDANCE....THAT SOMEHOW, WHEN MY DAUGHTER IS INJURED AND SAID THAT JOE THREW HER, I SHOULD HAVE GUIDANCE IN THAT THIS IS SOMEHOW...... OKAY. WELL MY DAUGHTERS PUNISHMENT FOR REPORTING TO ME AND MY PUNISHMENT FOR REPORTING TO THE POLICE WAS THE JUDGE TRANSFERRING VISITS TO CFS WHERE OF COURSE ACS DIDN'T PAY CFS AND ACS INVOKED THEIR OWN VISIT SUSPENSION BY NOT PAYING CFS FOR APPROXIMATELY A MONTH OF WHICH INGOGLIA TOOK OVER AND DIDN'T INTAKE FOR ABOUT A MONTH... AS SHOWN IN NUMBER 50 BELOW...
- 50. THE 50TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS MARGARET INGOGLIA THEN PICKING UP WHERE ACS LEFT OFF BY NOT DOING HER CFS INTAKE SO ONCE AGAIN MY DAUGHTER AND I DIDN'T SEE EACH OTHER FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS.
- 51. THE 51ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGE JACQUELINE WILLIAMS WHOM WILL NOT PROVIDE TO ME HER OATH OF OFFICE, NOR WILL THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM EITHER UPON MY FOIL REQUEST. SHE IS A CHILD ABUSER AND IN NO WAY, SHAPE OR FORM HAS ANY CONCERN FOR THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF CHILDREN.
- 52. THE 52^{ND} CAUSE OF ACTION IS SECRET DIRECTIVES BEING ISSUED FROM THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION.
- 53. THE 53RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE HUGE STATUE IN MANHATTAN ON GOVERNMENT PROPERTY IN FRONT OF FAMILY COURT THAT DEPICTS A NAKED WOMAN HAVING CUT A MANS HEAD OFF, SUCH MURDEROUS REPRESENTATIONS VIOLATE MY RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL
- 54. THE 54TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS N.Y.S APPEAL DOCUMENTS BEING FILED TO A PORTAL THAT CANNOT BE VIEWED AND IS A "TRUST ME BLACK HOLE" OF WHICH JUDGE DECISIONS ARE AT TIMES RENDERED WITHOUT ANY DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT THE JUDGE MADE SUCH DECISION.
- 55. THE 55TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE ACS WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM...
- 56. THE 56TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CASELOAD OF 18 B ATTORNEYS DOES NOT ALLOW FOR EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. WHEREAS ACS AT WILL CAN IMPLEMENT MORE THAN ONE ATTORNEY UPON A RESPONDENT, DRAWING UPON ENDLESS GOVERNMENT FUNDS AS THEY HAVE DONE AGAINST ME, VIOLATING MY DUE PROCESS

- RIGHTS, 18 B CANNOT AMASS A LEGAL DREAM TEAM AS ACS HAS DONE AGAINST ME AT FEDERAL AND STATE COURT.
- 57. THE 57TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMED IN THEIR AMENDED PETITION THAT ACCORDING TO A CASEWORKER (WHOM WAS NEVER NAMED OR APPEARED IN COURT AS A WITNESS) I DIDNT ALLOW MY FAMILY TO BE IN THE FAMILY HOME UNTIL 10:30 PM WHEN I GOT HOME FROM WORK AND I WAS VERY "CONTROLLING." NO EVIDENCE HAS EVER BEEN PROVIDED REGARDING THIS AND IT IS A KNOWN INTENTIONAL FRAUD ON THE PART OF THE GOVERNMENT.
- 58. THE 58TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS KEVIN GORRASSI TOOK PART IN FALSE REPORTING AND CONCEALING OF MY DAUGHTERS PHYSICAL ABUSE. UPON MY CONTACT OF THE CEO OF COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES, RICHARD SPITZER, HE TOLD ME TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM CONTACTING HIM. ACS HAS A CONTRACT WITH CFS.
- 59. THE 59TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THAT BECAUSE I FEEL SAD, SCARED AND MENTALLY ABUSED BY THE DEFENDANTS, THEY SHOULD HAVE ALL THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATED ON THE FIRST DAY OF THIS CASE. SET UP "VISITATION "FOR A COUPLE OF HOURS OUT OF A WEEK TO KEEP RE TRAUMATIZING PARENT AND CHILD AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN.
- 60. THE 60TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING I WAS A GUARDIAN OF JOE.
- 61. THE 61ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS AND MARGARET INGOGLIA JUDGE SHOPPING.
- 62. THE 62ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS MY DAUGHTER MUST HAVE AN AIR CONDITIONER. NOW THAT ACS HAS MOVED THE FAMILY, AS PART OF THEIR WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM MY DAUGHTER DOES NOT HAVE AN AC AND HER LIFE IS AT RISK.
- 63. THE 63RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS REGARDING THIS MATTER AN EMAIL WAS SENT OUT TO 14 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, ALL IGNORED THE EMAIL.
- 64. THE 64TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PREVENTING RESPONDENT FROM OBTAINING EVIDENCE FROM OTHER PARENTS, HINDERING COMMUNICATION, VIOLATING FREEDOM OF SPEECH, RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES AND DEFEATING THEIR OWN MONDELL DEFENSE BY INTENTIONALLY RENAMING FOIL TO ACS AS PRIVATE.
- 65. THE 65TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AS WELL AS ACS CANNOT FILE ANY MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM WHILE THEY HAVE PREVENTED THE RESPONDENT FROM OBTAINING RECORDS.
- 66. THE 66TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS NOT ACCEPTING THE FOIL REQUESTS REGARDING WHAT GROUNDS / PROTOCOL ACS WORKERS CAN VIEW AND TAKE PICTURES OF CHILDREN THEY HAVE DEMANDED TO HAVE ALL THEIR CLOTHES REMOVED AND EXAMINE GIRLS VAGINAS AND HOW AND WHERE ARE THESE IMAGES STORED. WHEN GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS WERE CONTACTED IN REGARDS TO ACS IGNORING THIS FOIL, THEY IGNORED THE CORRESPONDENCE.
- 67. THE 67TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS REFERRING TO ME AS A SERIAL FILER... LOL. HENCEFORTH, THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO ACS / CORPORATION COUNSEL BEING SERIAL FILERS AND FILING UPON APPROX. 7000 FAMILIES PER YEAR, INCLUDING MY DAUGHTER AND I.
- 68. THE 68TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THAT OF THE ISSUE OF DERIVITIVE NEGLECT.

- 69. THE 69TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGES TAKING PART IN SECRET HEARINGS AS EVIDENCED IN ONE OF THE FIVE PREVIOUS FILINGS AS A CAUSE OF ACTION AND FURTHER EVIDENCE PROVIDED IN LITIGATION OF 22 CV 5416. I WISH TO REFER TO THE FILING OF VICTORIA NAVARRO OF 2021 IN NORTHERN DISTRICT N.Y. AND MAY CALL HER AS A WITNESS.
- 70. THE 70TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHETHER THERE IS A PARENT WHO DOES PAY CHILD SUPPORT LIKE I DO OR DOESN'T LIKE VICTORIA, THE OUTCOME IS THE SAME. YOU DON'T SEE YOU CHILD WITH PUNISHMENT OF JAIL IF YOU DON'T COMPLY. HOWEVER, WHERE IS THE PUNISHMENT FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO SEE YOUR CHILD WHEN YOU PAY CHILD SUPPORT?
- 71. THE 71ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE FAILURE TO TURN OVER EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE
- 72. THE 72ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS WAITING A YEAR AND A HALF TO PROVIDE FOIL REGARDING ANY DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THEIR WORKERS THAT PERTAIN TO HONESTY.
- 73. THE 73RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS NO INVESTIGATIONS BY JAMES ZALETTA AND COLLEEN WALSH (MANHATTAN FAMILY OFFENSE DAS) AS AGREED AFTER I PROVIDED ALL INVESTIGATION MATERIALS.
- 74. THE 74TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS I HAVE THE RIGHT TO PROTECT MY CHILD IN EXTREME DANGER. WHILE THE DEFENSE LAWYERS WILL TRY TO CLAIM I AM REPRESENTING MY DAUGHTER THE FACT IS, THIS SITUATION GOES WAY BEYOND REPRESENTATION. IT ENTERS INTO THE LEGAL REALM OF THE RIGHT OF A PARENT TO PROTECT HIS OR HER CHILD.
- 74.1 CAUSE OF ACTION 74.1 IS THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK IN REGARDS TO ITS OWN POLICE DEPARTMENT IS A DYSFUNCTIONAL MESS. WHEN A POLICE OFFICER DOESN'T FEEL SHE HAS ENOUGH AUTHORITY TO PROTECT A CHILD VICTIMIZED BY ACS AND GIVES ME AN EXAMPLE HERSELF...
- 75 THE 75TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE RE VICTIMIZATION OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN OVER AND OVER AGAIN WITH VISITATION.
- 76 THE 76TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS USING VISTS TO MAKE A CASE. THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO ACS USING VISITS TO MAKE A CASE AGAINST THE PARENT.
- THE 77TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS NYC CHILDREN, A TOTALLY FICITIOUS ENTITY WITH NO LEGAL STANDING TO FILE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AGAINST PARENTS IN A GOVERNMENTAL ACTION WITH A GOVERNMENT AGENCY THAT BOTH DO NOT EVEN LEGALLY EXIST IN THE FIRST PLACE, THE CITY OF NEW YORK ACTIVELY TAKES ACTION TO CONCEAL COMPLAINTS AND COMMUNICATION AMONG THOSE WITH COMPLAINTS TO SHIELD THEMSELVES OF RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY, THEY PRIVATE MY FOIL SO PEOPLE CANNOT REFERENCE ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND THEY CHANGE THEIR NAME TO STEER PARENTS AWAY FROM SEARCH ENGINE RESULTS OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM.
- 78 THE 78TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE IN COURTHOUSE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, (MHS).
- 79 THE 79TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS JOANN FARRETTI WHO KNOWS ABOUT THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER CONFIRMED TO ME THAT DETECTIVE TIRADO OF THE BROOKLYN

CHILD ABUSE SQUAD IS A KNOWN PROBLEM. SHE WILL NOT PROVIDE ME WITH ANY DETAILS. NEITHER WILL D.A. ERIC GONZALEZ.

80TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

81ST CAUSE OF ACTION:

CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND...THE CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND WAS THE ACS CONTRACTED NON PROFIT THAT RAN THE SHELTER AT 371 $7^{\rm TH}$ AVE., NYC AT THE STEWART HOTEL WHERE MY DAUGHTER WAS ABUSED AT. (ONE OF THE PLACES).

THE 82ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT CRONY FRAUD, SAFE HORIZONS OTHERWISE KNOWN AS THE CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER !!!!!! LMAO.

83RD CAUSE OF ACTION. (BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS DESIGNED TO BE FRAUDS)

THE 84TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO CHILDREN, AFTER ACS RECOMMENDED I RESUME VISITATION THERE. I CONTACTED THEM SEVERAL TIMES. EMAIL AND PHONE MESSAGES TO BE ABLE TO SEE MY DAUGHTER. THEY RETURNED ZERO OF COURSE.

85TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

ACS BEGHO TRYING TO STOP MY DAUGHTER FROM RUNNING INTO HER FATHERS ARMS IN GLEE WHEN SHE WOULD SEE ME. SOMEHOW, THIS IS AGAINST ACS PROTOCOL AND BAD FOR ACS B.S. NARRATIVE OF ME BEING A TERRIBLE FATHER.

86TH CAUSE OF ACTION...

THE 87TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS HUDSON PSYCHOLOGICALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER AND INSULTING MY DAUGHTER TELLING HER AT ONLY 3 YEARS OLD... "YOU DON'T KNOW HOW TO HANDLE MONEY, MINNIE... "

THE 88TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHEN MY DAUGHTER WAS BROUGHT TO VISITATION WITH HUDSON AND SHE HAD 3 DIFFERENT BRUISES ON HER FACE !!!!!

THE 89TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS DANIELLE CHRISTIANI MAKING MY GUEST STAND OUTSIDE IN A TORRENTIAL RAINSTORM RATHER THAN WAIT FOR ME TO FINISH MY VISIT IN THE LOBBY OF THEIR BUILDING. (2018)

THE 90TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST DA ERIC GONZALEZ AND JOANN FARRETTI REFUSING TO TURN OVER DETAILS OF MISCONDUCT ON THE PART OF DETECTIVE TIRADO OF THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD.

THE 91ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST CATHOLIC CHARITIES ALONG WITH MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS WHO SECRETLY CONSPIRED WITH ACS FROM 6-26-2018 TO 7-31-2018 THE DAY OF ACS FILING.

THE 92ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHILE THE FAMILY WAS GOING TO FAMLY THERAPY AS REQUESTED BY CATHOLIC CHARITIES WITH MARC BURD, PHD WHO STATED THAT HE DIDN'T SEE ANY SIGNS OF DEPRESSION WITH JOE OR ANYTHING NEGATIVE... THE ENTIRE THERAPY SESSIONS WERE A FRAUD AND A COVER FOR THE SECRET ACS AND CATHOLIC CHARITIES MEETINGS ALONG WITH INGOGLIA AND HER SON WITH MY DAUGHTER TO TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS AND FILE AGAINST ME.

THE 93RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING I DIDN'T HAVE A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION IN THEIR BOGUS FINAL ORDER THAT THEY WROTE ALONG WITH CLAIMING THAT MY LAWYER APPEARED FOR TRIAL WHEN I DIDN'T HAVE A LAWYER AND NO LAWYER APPEARED.

THE 94TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS PARENTAL ALIENTATION ON THE PART OF ACS, PAULA GARCIA AND THE REST OF THE ACS WORKERS INVOLVED WITH MY CASE.

THE 95TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING THAT MY DAUGHTER AND I SEEING EACH OTHER ON VIDEOCHAT AT HER APARTMENT DURING COVID VIOLATED THE "ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL".

THE 96TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST CORPORATION COUNSEL TAKING PART IN LIES, PERJURY AND FRAUD.

THE 97TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS NOT BEING ABLE TO POINT OR STAND IN COURT VIA DIRECTION OF COURT OFFICER EMPLOYED BY THE NEW YORK UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM.

THE 98TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS SYLVIA HINDS RADIX LITIGATING AGAINST A PRO SE IN FEDERAL COURT, REFERRING TO HERSELF AS HONORABLE SYLVIA HINDS RADIX TO GAIN FAVOR AND PREJUDICE FROM THE COURT WHICH IS AGAINST ABA ETHICAL PRACTICE.

99TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WASKO CLAIMING I DEFAULTED BY NOT APPEARING AT A COURT HEARING OF A PRE DETERMINED OUTCOME AND ONE THAT I FILED TWO AFFIDAVITS UPON IN LIEU OF MY APPEARANCE, HER IGNORANCE OF THE CONTENT OF THE AFFIDAVITS AS WELL AS FALSELY CLAIMING I WAS IN DEFAULT IS ANOTHER ISSUE AS SHOWN ON OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM

THE 100^{TH} CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO THE GROSS CONDUCT IN VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT ACT BY....

101st. CAUSE OF ACTION

THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA AMONG THE OTHER DEFENDANTS AS SHOWN BELOW FOR THE VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION ACT, 18 US 3283, SUBSTANTIVE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF A PARENT AND CHILD AMONG OTHER AMENDMENTS AND STATUTES.

THE 102^{ND} CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST THE CLERKS OF EASTERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT DELAYING AND DESTROYING FILINGS.

THE 103RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS

AGAINST FEDERAL APPEALS COURT WHOM DISMISSED AN APPEAL THAT WAS WRITTEN BY A CLERK WHOM FORGED A JUDGES NAME AND WHOM ALREADY CERTIFIED THE APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS TO BEGIN WITH. THEY KNEW THAT DUFFY COMMITTED THIS CRIME VIA MY FILINGS AND TOOK ACTION IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CRIME.

THE 104TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST LETITIA JAMES, THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCTAVIA HILL, DEPARTMENT OF INVESTIGATION, SHEILA POOLE, DAVID HANSELL, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN AND THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY FOR BEING AWARE OF THE OBSCENE, LIFE THREATENING ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AND DOING ABSOLUTELY NOTHING ABOUT IT.

THE 105TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO MY DAUGHTERS STUNTED GROWTH / CHRONIC ILLNESS AS WELL AS STARVATION WHICH HAD OCCURRED AFTER PLACEMENT WITH HER MOTHER / ACS / CITY OF NEW YORK OF WHICH WAS NEVER BEFORE AN ISSUE. WHILE JOE ENGORGED HIMSELF ON ALL THE FOOD THEY HAD AND MADE HIMSELF FAT, MY DAUGHTER WAS SURVIVING IN A VIRTUAL CONCENTRATION CAMP WITH ALMOST NO FOOD AS CAN BE SEEN WITH HOW SKINNY SHE WAS AND HOW OBESE JOE WAS.

THE 106TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST MICHAEL CARLIN FOR INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, OATH OF OFFICE, ETC. FOR TELLING ME THAT IF THE JUDGE DOESN'T GO WITH ACS, SHE'LL LOSE HER JOB. HE ALSO SAID AFTER THAT, "IF YOU EVER SAY I SAID THAT, I WILL SAY I NEVER DID...! "HE ALSO TOLD ME THERE WAS A WOMAN

JUDGE THAT WAS NOT COOPERATING WITH ACS AND SHE WAS REMOVED.. MY EFFORTS TO REQUEST HER NAME FROM CARLIN HAVE BEEN FUTILE. HE WOULD NOT INFORM ME OF THE JUDGES NAME IN DEFENSE OF ACS AND CORRUPTION IN THE COURTS. GO TO MICHAEL CARLIN PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

107TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS REGARDING THE PHOTOGRAPHING OF MY DAUGHTER WITHOUT CLOTHES ON BEFORE AND AFTER SUPERVISED VISITATION... THEIR INSPECTION OF MY DAUGHTERS VAGINA IF MARGARET INGOGLIA DID NOT ACCUSE ME OF SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER IS ALSO AN ISSUE, THEIR PRESENCE STANDING RIGHT BEHIND THE DOCTOR WHILE MY DAUGHTERS VAGINA WAS EXAMINED IS ANOTHER.

108TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THERE BEING AN APPROXIMATE 2 YEAR WAIT FOR AN APPEALS COURT DECISION IN THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM FROM INFORMATION COMING IN FROM PARENTS.

109TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS ROUTINELY NOT VERIFYING / SWEARING TO THEIR PETITIONS.

 110^{TH} CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST NYCLU FOR BEING THE HYPOCRITS THAT THEY ARE AND VIOLATING THEIR NOT FOR PROFIT CAUSE.

111th, 112th WITHIN.

TIMELINE:

THE FOREMENTIONED CAUSES OF ACTION WERE BETWEEN THE DATES OF 2017 – PRESENT ON AN ONGOING "CASE "THAT NEVER HAD A SUMMONS TO BEGIN WITH AND AN UNVERIFIED VOID PETITION..

NOTE: AMONG RESPONSIBLE PARTIES BELOW, WHEREVER, HUDSON AND FORTUNE ARE STATED, FARAH JOLY IS ALSO TO BE INCLUDED...

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 1:

THE 5 FEDERAL CASES DISMSSED FORGERY CAUSE OF ACTION.

AS SHOWN ON $\underline{USCOURTCORRUPTION.ORG}$, FED COURT CON JOB PAGE AND FED COURT CON JOB CONTINUED, FILE SHOWN " ADDENDUM TO LETTER, YOUR FRAUD " SHOWS THE FOLLOWING :

- A. THERE WAS A OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES CASE SINCE I DISPUTED THE INDICATED AGAINST ME.
- B. JUDGE WASKO ADJOURNED THE
- CASE DUE TO FEDERAL ACTION IN SEPTEMBER OF 2022.
- C. JUDGE WASKO ASKS ME IN MARCH OF 2023 AS TO HOW LONG I WOULD LIKE AN ADJOURNMENT FOR.
- D. ACS OBJECTS TO ADJOURNMENT DUE TO THERE BEING NO APPEAL.
- E. I STATED THERE WAS FEDERAL ACTION AND THE JUDGE ADJOURNED PREVIOUSLY DUE TO THIS
- F. JUDGE ASKS ME FOR PROOF / EVIDENCE OF THERE BEING FEDERAL ACTION FOR ADJOURNMENT TO BE GRANTED ONCE AGAIN.
- G. I INFORMED THE JUDGE THAT I HAD PROVIDED THIS EVIDENCE PREVIOUSLY AND REFERENCED THE FILINGS.
- H. AFTER PROVIDING THE EVIDENCE AS REQUESTED FOR THE ADJOURNMENT TO BE GRANTED AS STATED BY THE JUDGE, THE JUDGE MAKES BELIEVE AS IF THE FEDERAL ACTIONS DON'T EXIST AND I PROVIDED NOTHING.
- I. I STATE TO THE JUDGE THAT SHE IS CONTRADICTING HERSELF AND ASK HER IF SHE IS UNDER SOME TYPE OF DURESS....
- J. WITHIN 4 HOURS, AS SHOWN ON FED COURT CON JOB PAGE, CLERK DUFFY IMPERSONATES JUDGE GONZALEZ, FORGES HIS NAME AS JUDGE (MARCH 9, 2023) AND DISMISSES ALL 5 CASES THAT HAVE BEEN ONGOING FOR AN ENTIRE YEAR OF WHICH JURY TRIALS WERE REQUESTED AND COVERS FOR JUDGE WASKO AND ACS SINCE NOW SHE WAS CAUGHT IN THE PREDICAMENT OF AGREEING TO ADJOURN UPON EVIDENCE OF ONGOING FEDERAL ACTION, ME PROVIDING SUCH EVIDENCE AND NOW, SHE HAS NO GROUNDS TO NOT GRANT ADJOURNMENT AND RULE AGAINST ACS REQUEST. WHAT IS INTERESTING IS THAT I HAVE PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TO THE JUDGE AND THE ACS ATTORNEY BY EMAIL, ALL FEDERAL FILINGS EXCEPT THE 5TH ONE. NOW, AS CAN BE HEARD ON THE RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDING ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2022 NEITHER ONE KNOWS ANYTHING ABOUT A FEDERAL FILING. LISTEN TO THE RECORDING ON OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM AND VIEW THE EMAILS THAT SHOW PROOF THAT I SENT THEM THE COMPLAINTS.
- K. CLERK DUFFY IN HIS FIRST FORGERY ORDER OF MASS DISMISSAL FORGETS TO BAR APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS.
- L. CLERK DUFFY, AFTER MY NOTICE OF APPEAL, FORGES ANOTHER BOGUS ORDER, BLOCKING MY RIGHT TO APPEAL.
- L1. CLERK DUFFY ON HIS ORDERS STATES FACTS THAT ARE FALSE.
- JUDGES HAVE JUDICIAL IMMUNITY / ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY FOR THEIR ACTS UPON CASE JURISIDCTION.
- HOWEVER WHAT THESE MEN DO NOT HAVE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY ON IS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. A CLERK CANNOT FORGE A JUDGES NAME UNTO COURT DOCUMENTS. SUCH IS A CRIME THAT IS INDEPENDENT OF THE UNDERLYING CASE.
- 2. A JUDGE CANNOT AUTHORIZE CLERKS TO COMMIT FEDERAL CRIMES AND HENCEFORTH BE A CONSPIRATOR IN SUCH CRIMES.
- 3. JUDGES CANNOT ACCEPT US GOVERNMENT PAYCHECKS FOR THEIR SERVICES AS JUDGE AND BE APPOINTED AS JUDGE TO ESSENTIALLY DEPUTIZE CLERKS TO DO THEIR JOBS FOR THEM, CIRCUMVENTING LAWFUL APPOINTMENT AND SUBCONTRACTING THEIR SERVICE AND AUTHORITY, FRAUDING LITIGANTS AND THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SIMULTANEOUSLY. JUDGE GONZALEZ AND THE PHONY JUDGE DUFFY DID COMMIT FRAUD UPON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND MYSELF WHOM PAID 2010, DOLLARS FOR 5 FILINGS WITH MANY OF THEM HAVING REQUESTED A TRIAL BY JURY. NOT A BENCH TRIAL BY CLERK. ONCE AGAIN, THE FRAUD OF WHAT HAD OCCURRED HERE AND WHICH DUFFY AND GONZALEZ TOOK PART IN WAS SUBCONTRACTING THE AUTHORITY OF A JUDGE OF WHICH IS UNLAWFUL, AND FRAUD UPON BOTH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND ROBERT MALEK.

 4. IT IS CLEAR THAT CLERK DUFFY, WASKO, UDOCHI AND THE UNLAWFUL GANG UP TEAM OF 3 GOVERNMENT ACS LAWYERS WITHIN ONLY 240 MINUTES UPON CASES ONGOING FOR MORE THAN A YEAR, TOOK CONSPIRATORIAL AND ILLEGAL ACTION TO DISMISS THEM AND CONSPIRED WITH CLERK DUFFY TO DO SO. JUDGE GONZALEZ WAS MADE AWARE OF WHAT HAD

JUDGE GONZALEZ AND DUFFY MUST BE INVESTIGATED AND PROSECUTED FOR SUCH CRIMES WITH THEM BEING STRIPPED OF THEIR LAW LICENSES AND PLACED IN JAIL WHERE THEY BELONG. THEY REPRESENT A PERVERSE LAWLESS ABUSE OF AUTHORITY AND COLLOSSAL BREACH OF TRUST UPON THE JUDICIARY. THEY ARE A TOTAL DISGRACE. TO PUT IN THE HANDS OF A CLERK CASELAW AUTHORITY HARMS THE JUDICIARY MORE THAN THIS CASE/S ALONE.

OCCURRED AND DID NOTHING WHICH IS IMMENSELY SUSPICIOUS.

IT MUST BE NOTED THAT WHAT DUFFY AND GONZALEZ DID, THE NET RESULT AND HARM OF SUCH ACTION WAS WHOLLY IMMENSE AS A LEGAL NUCLEAR BOMB. DUFFY / GONZALEZ DID IN FACT TAKE PART IN AN EXTRA JUDICAL CONSPIRACY WITH ACS, THEIR ATTORNEYS, WASKO AND UDOCHI AND I BELIEVE THAT HIS ACTIONS TOOK PLACE WHILE LOGGING IN , IN NEW JERSEY, NOT AT THE COURTHOUSE IN BROOKLYN. FURTHERMORE, HIS PERSONAL CRIMINAL CONDUCT AND CIVIL ACTS THAT VIOLATED FEDERAL LAW MAKE HIM PERSONALLY RESPONSIBLE AND WHERE HE LIVES, IN NEW JERSEY TO BE VALID AS BASIS FOR VENUE AND DIVERSITY JURISDICTION, PARTICULARLY UPON A US GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

DUFFY, JUDGE GONZALEZ, WASKO, UDOCHI, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, LALINDEZ, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NYC CHILDREN, JESS DANNHAUSER, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION.

2. 2ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO CATHERINE O HAGAN WOLFE.

FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS, A CLERK MAKING FEDERAL CASE LEGAL DETERMINATIONS AND WRITING ORDERS, TAKING THE ROLE OF JUDGE, DESTRUCTION OF POSTAL MONEY ORDER, A CLERK DISMISSING AN APPEAL RATHER THAN A JUDGE, A CLERK DISMISSING A 2ND APPEAL OF A COUNTERFEIT ORDER UNDER FALSE PRETENSES.

CATHERINE O HAGAN WOLFE ALSO TOOK PART IN PLAYING JUDGE THOUGH HAD ENOUGH SENSE NOT TO FORGE JUDGES NAMES.

CATHERINE WOLFE TOOK PART IN UNLAWFULLY PLAYING JUDGE AND DISMISSING MY APPEALS.

CATHERINE WOLFE TOOK PART IN THE CRIME OF DESTRUCTION OF COURT DOCUMENTS / RECORDS AS SHOWN ON USCOURTCORRUPTION.ORG APPEAL COURT PAGE.

CATHERINE WOLFE PLAYED JUDGE STATING THAT I DID NOT PROPERLY FILE A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE AS A PRO SE ON MY OWN APPEAL. CATHERINE WOLFE IS NOT A LAWYER, CANNOT GIVE LEGAL ADVICE NOR CAN MAKE JUDGE DECISIONS EITHER. SHE CLEARLY IS LEGALLY INCOMPETENT AND UNEDUCATED, UNLIKE MYSELF.

A PRO SE ON HIS OR HER OWN APPEAL DOES NOT HAVE TO FILE A NOTICE OF APPEARANCE. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL / APPEAL BY THE PRO SE IS THE NOTICE OF APPEARANCE AND IN SUPPORT OF THIS, I WAS ALREADY BEING SERVED ECF BY THE DEFENDING PARTIES AS CAN BE SEEN ON THE WEBSITE.

FINALLY, WOLFE DISPOSED OF MY 505 APPEAL PAYMENT, CLAIMING THAT SHE RETURNED IT TO ME (WHY ?!) AND HAD ZERO EVIDENCE OF HER DOING SO. JUDGE DUFFY REFERENCED IN HIS ORDER.... LOL THAT MY MAILING ADDRESS WAS CLOSED. HOWEVER, THE TIME FRAME OF ONE, WAS NOT THE TIME FRAME OF THE OTHER. THE MAILING ADDRESS WAS CLOSED DOWN AT A LATER TIME DUE TO THE DEFENDANTS TRYING TO PUT ME IN JAIL AND CLAIMING A BOGUS "WANTED" AS SHOWN IN 5TH FEDERAL CASE FILED, AND THE BOTTOM OF THE FILINGS OF 22 CV 5416. ALL DUE TO ME TRYING TO HAVE THEIR STAR WITNESS, MARGARET INGOGLIA SERVED, BREACHING THE BOGUS SECURITY OF THEIR SECRET WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM OF WHICH THE BREACH WAS A SET UP BY THE DEFENDANTS BY THEM PROVIDING ME WITH MARGARET INGOGLIAS ADDRESS TO BEGIN WITH.

ONCE AGAIN, I REITERATE, WHY WAS A 505 DOLLAR MONEY ORDER SENT BACK TO ME WITH NO TRACKING AS BULK MAIL ?!

OF NOTE: WHILE I SHUT DOWN THE MAIL FOR THEM, I STILL HAD SOMEONE OBTAIN MY MAIL FOR WHAT CAME IN LATER BEFORE I FINALLY SHUT IT DOWN FOR MYSELF. WHY IS THERE NO REFERENCE DATE AS TO WHEN THE MONEY ORDER WAS SENT? WHY WAS IT SENT BACK TO ME TO BEGIN WITH.

DUE TO ME "NOT APPEARING" AND NOT PAYING 505 DOLLARS FOR MY APPEAL AS FALSELY CLAIMED BY WOLFE, WOLFE PLAYED JUDGE AND DISMISSED MY APPEAL UNDER FALSE FACTUAL BASIS.

CATHERINE WOLFE ALSO DISMISSED MY SECOND APPEAL OF LUNNYS COUNTERFEIT ORDER WHEN SHE KNEW THE ORDER WAS COUNTERFEIT AND SHE ALSO KNEW THAT DUFFY CERTIFIED ANY APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS TO BEGIN WITH.

SO WE HAVE TWO CLERKS. ONE FORGES A JUDGES NAME AND DISMISSES MY CASES AND BARS MY APPEAL AND THE OTHER DISMISSES MY APPEAL UNDER FALSE PRETENSES.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: CATHERINE O HAGAN WOLFE

3RD CAUSE OF ACTION; LEGAL AID CLAIMS TO BE AN INDEPENDENT NON PROFIT WHEN THEY ARE NOT AND TAKE PART IN CONDUCT THAT REPRESENTS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS.

3. THROUGHOUT LITIGATION OF THE 22 CV 5416 CASE, THE ATTORNEYS FOR TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID, CONTINUED TO PROFFER THE B.S. THAT LEGAL AID IS AN INDEPENDENT NON PROFIT, REGARDLESS OF THE IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE I PROVIDED TO THE CONTRARY AND JUST CONTINUTED TO REGURGITATE THE SAME BOGUS IDYLLIC 'FAMILY FARM "STORY LIKE A BROKEN RECORD.

WELL, ITS TIME THAT NOW, THIS BECOME A LEGAL CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THEM AND PUT IT AS AN ISSUE AT THE FOREFRONT. LOL.

LEGAL AID IS <u>NOT</u> A INDEPENDENT NOT FOR PROFIT WHATSOEVER AND VIOLATED DUE PROCESS IN THE PROCESS.

A. FEDERAL JUDGE FURMAN IS ON THEIR CORPORATE BOARD. FEDERAL JUDGE FURMAN WAS PRESIDIING OVER MY NOTICE OF REMOVAL IN SOUTHERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT WHERE MY BINDERS WERE STOLEN AS SHOWN ON USCOURTCORRUPTION.ORG. FURMAN ALSO BARRED MY

APPEAL! FURMAN USES HIS MIDDLE NAME AND HIS LAST NAME FOR THE LEGAL AID CORPORATE BOARD OF LEGAL AID RATHER THAN HIS FIRST AND LAST, APPARENTLY TO CONCEAL HIS IDENTITY.

B. ZACHARY CARTER WHOM WAS CORPORATION COUNSEL FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND ON ACS DOCUMENTS FILED AGAINST ME IS ALSO ON THEIR BOARD.

C. NYLAG REFUSED TO PROVIDE TO ME LEGAL ASSISTANCE IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT BECAUSE LEGAL AID WAS A DEFENDANT. ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS ON LEGAL AID AND ONE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS ON NYLAG ARE MARRIED....DUE TO ME BEING REFUSED LEGAL ASSISTANCE, WAS A REASON AS TO WHY I HAD TO WITHDRAW THE CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE AT THE TIME. THE CASE WAS 21 CV 5532 OF WHICH THE ALLEGATIONS THERE OF ACS CLAIMING I WAS ARRESTED FOR A SLEW OF CRIMES AND CONVICTED WAS 100% FALSE, UNTRUE, PERJURY AND NEVER HAPPENED. THEY HAVE NOT AND CANNOT PRODUCE ANY DOCUMENTS TO SUPPORT THEIR BOGUS CLAIM. UNLESS IF THEY PRODUCE COUNTERFEIT ONES OF WHICH I WOULDNT BE SURPRISED. AS I ALWAYS SAY REGARDING ACS. ALWAYS SHOCKING, NEVER SURPRISING.

D. AND FOR THE BOMBSHELL, FOR A PERIOD OF 3 YEARS, JANET SABEL, CEO OF LEGAL AID WORKED AS SECOND IN COMMAND FOR LETITIA JAMES, THE NY ATTORNEY GENERAL. SHE WENT FROM HEAD OF IMMIGRATION OF LEGAL AID TO THE STATE AG OFFICE AND ALMOST IMMEDIATELY SHE WAS PROMOTED TO SECOND IN COMMAND, BYPASSING SOME 650 ASSISTANT AG AND THEN ALMOST IMMEDIATELY BEING REINSTATED AT LEGAL AID...... AS CEO!! AND HELD BOTH POSITIONS FOR 3 YEARS AS MY ACS CASE WAS BEING LITIGATED IN ACS COURT WITH LEGAL AID AMY SERLIN AND TRAVIS JOHNSON BEING ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD. (BOTH CHILDREN SIMULTANEOUSLY ACTUALLY WHICH IS ANOTHER CAUSE OF ACTION BELOW). GO TO THE WEBSITE, IMPEACH LETITIA JAMES.COM

IF THE JUDGE IS ASSIGNED TO ACS COURT FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AS RECOMMENDED BY THEIR CORPORATION COUNSEL (I HAVE THE DOCUMENT TO PROVE THAT. VIEW IT ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, CORRUPT DUE PROCESS PAGE. FURTHERMORE, I DID SO UPON THE 22 CV 5416 CASE) THEN THE JUDGE IS THERE FOR ACS AND ACS IS THERE FOR THE JUDGE. IF THE AG IS THERE TO DEFEND THE JUDGE THEN THE AG IS PART OF THE 2 WAY CONNECTION BETWEEN THE JUDGE AND ACS. IF LEGAL AID IS WORKING FOR THE AG THEN WE HAVE THE JUDGE WHOM IS THERE FOR ACS, ACS IS THERE FOR THE JUDGE, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL IS THERE FOR THE JUDGE AND LEGAL AID WORKS FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL. THERE IS NO IMPARTIAL TRIBUNAL OR JUDICIAL PROCESS WHATSOEVER THAT EXISTED IN THE ACS COURTROOM I DEFENDED MYSELF IN AND ACCORDING TO ACS DOCUMENT PROVIDED VIA FOIL, THE NET RESULT IS ACS HAS A 98.5% CONVICTION RATE. SUCH CAN BE SEEN ON THE CORRUPT DUE PROCESS PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

REFER TO IMPEACH LETITIA JAMES.COM WEBSITE FOR THE EVIDENCE OF THE COLLUSION BETWEEN LEGAL AID AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND LISTEN TO THE RECORDED CONVERSATION I HAD WITH THEIR 25 YEAR ASSISTANT AG STATING THAT WHAT HAD OCCURRED WAS A CONFLICT OF INTEREST !!!!!!!!

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

JANET SABEL, LETITIA JAMES, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID, AKA LEGAL AID SOCIETY, NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF COURT ADMIN (OCA)NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCFS, SHEILA POOLE.

4TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

ACS FORGES JUDGES NAMES AND PRODUCES COUNTERFEIT ORDERS / RECORDS.

JUST LIKE MATTHEW DUFFY AS SHOWN ON HOMEPAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, CONFIRMED BY CLERK SUPERVISOR, CHAYA KLEIN. THE FINAL ORDER AGAINST ME REFERENCES AN ORDER

OF PROTECTION OF WHICH I HAVE NEVER SEEN UPON AN INVALID, COUNTERFEIT ORDER THAT WASNT LAWFULLY SERVED UNTIL 5 MONTHS LATER OF WHICH I REJECTED SERVICE UPON AS SOON AS I FOUND OUT THAT IT WAS A COUNTERFEIT BY ACS. GO TO OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM HOME PAGE.

THE "FINAL "ORDER HAS NO PETITIONER MENTIONED IN ITS CAPTION, THERE ARE NO SERVICE OF PROCESS BOXES, THERE IS NO COURT SEAL AND THE SERIAL NUMBERS WERE TAMPERED WITH. FURTHERMORE, THERE WERE STATEMENTS MADE THAT WERE FALSE, SUCH AS MY ATTORNEY APPEARED OF WHICH MY ATTORNEY DID NOT APPEAR, NOR DID I HAVE AN ATTORNEY, NOR WAS I PROVIDED WITH ALL THE DATES AND LINKS EITHER. THE EXAMPLES OF COUNTERFEIT / FORGED ORDERS AND RECORDS CAN BE FOUND ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM HOME PAGE WITH FURTHER DETAILS.

WHAT THIS MEANS IS CRITICAL. THERE WAS NO FINAL ENTRY AND THE CASE IS STILL ONGOING. I ACCEPTED SERVICE IN SEPTEMBER OF 2022. BUT SUBSEQUENTLY REJECTED SERVICE UPON MY UNCOVERING THAT THE FINAL ORDER WAS NO FINAL ORDER. IT WAS A COUNTERFEIT ACS FRAUD AS CONFIRMED BY CHAYA KLEIN AS SEEN ON HOMEPAGE OF ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM AND OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM

ZULMA MONTANEZ, DANNHAUSER, LETITIA JAMES AND ALL THE PARTIES OF THE OCFS CASE KNEW THE FINAL ORDER WAS A COUNTERFEIT FORGERY.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK,, ZULMA MONTANEZ, WASKO, UDOCHI, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, LALINDEZ, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, CORPORATION COUNSEL, JESS DANNHAUSER, NYC CHILDREN, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, POOLE, OCFS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, LEGAL AID, TRAVIS JOHNSON.

CAUSE OF ACTION # 5.

NOT ALLOWING PRO SE LITIGANTS TO TALK AND NOT ALLOWING REPRESENTED LITIGANTS TO TALK OR FILE.

AS CAN BE HEARD IN THE OCFS PROCEEDING ON THE HOMEPAGE OF OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM, JUDGE WASKO DID NOT LET ME TALK AND KEPT INTERRUPTING ME, SAYING SIR SIR SIR WHENEVER I TRIED TO COMMUNICATE AT THE SEPT. 28, 2022 HEARING. SUCH IS NOT A LAWFUL PROCEEDING IF THE DEFENDANT CANNOT SPEAK AND IS ABUSED IN THIS MANNER.

I DID NOT HAVE A LAWYER.

WHEN I HAD A LAWYER AT ACS COURT, JUDGE WILLIAMS ALSO DID NOT LET ME TALK AND TOLD ME THAT ONLY MY ATTORNEY TALKS. FURTHERMORE, THAT I SHOULD NOT BE FILING ANY DOCUMENTS. ONLY MY ATTORNEY.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

SUE NOVICK WASKO, JACQUELINE D. WILLIAMS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN.

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 6

ACTING UPON AND MANUFACTURING OF FALSE RECORDS.

ATTEMPT TO DECEIVE PRO SE BY MAKING FALSE STATEMENTS AS TO THE AUTHORITY OF THE COURT.

A JUDGE ATTEMPTING TO DECEIVE PRO SE THAT A FEDERAL ACTION AGAINST ACS WOULDN'T BE APPLICABLE OR HAVE MERIT.

JUDGE WASKO, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, WHICH SUPERVISES LOCAL DISTRICT SOCIAL SERVICES SUCH AS ACS WAS ALLOWING A GOVERNMENT PETITION TO BE READ INTO A RECORD THAT SHE KNEW WAS A FRAUD SINCE ACCORDING TO THE STIP PACKET THAT WASKO REFERRED TO, RIGHT THERE IT SHOWED THAT MANY OF MY CALLS WERE CASE OPEN AND INDICATED FOR ABUSE AND NEGLECT OF MY DAUGHTER AGAINST THE MOTHER, NOT TO MENTION MY INFORMING HER PREVIOUSLY. WASKO ALSO TRIED TO COVER FOR ACS CLAIMING THAT THERE ARENT ANY FEDERAL ACTIONS THAT COULD APPLY TO THE ACS CASE THAT COULD HAVE ANY MERIT!!!!! WHEN MEANWHILE, SHE DIDNT EVEN KNOW OF ANY FEDERAL COMPLAINT I EVER FILED !!! AMAZING.I PROVIDED TO THE JUDGE BY EMAIL PREVIOUSLY AS WELL AS ZULMA, ACS. A JUDGE TELLING A PRO SE THAT THERE IS NOTHING THEY COULD FILE IN FEDERAL COURT THAT COULD HAVE MERIT OR APPLY AGAINST ACS! WASKO ALSO CLAIMED THAT ACS COURT WAS A HIGHER COURT WHEN MEANWHILE OCFS OVERSEES LDSS (LOCAL DISTRICT SOCIAL SERVICES) SUCH AS ACS AND I HAVE THAT DOCUMENT FROM THE NEW YORK OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES THAT I OBTAINED OUT OF FOIL AND PLACED ON THE OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMLY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM WEBSITE.

WILLIAMS, ACS COURT HAS STATED THAT THE PETITION AGAINST ME IS ALL SHE HAS JURISDICTION OVER WHEN I TRIED TO ADDRESS CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND WHEN SHE WAS INFORMED THAT THE AMENDED PETITION PRESENTED BY CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROSMIL ALMONTE WAS A FRAUD AND PERJURY THAT MANY OF MY CALLS WERE CASE OPEN SERVICES AND INDICATED, SHE SAID, "THIS IS WHAT ACS WANTS..."

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WASKO, UDOCHI, MONTANEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LALINDEZ, LEITNER, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NYC CHILDREN, HUDSON, FORTUNE, GARCIA, ALMONTE, GIBSON, FERGUSON, JOHNSON, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY (AKA LEGAL AID) CHRISTIANI, JOLY, CORPORATION COUNSEL, DANNHAUSER, HANSELL NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA, DOUGHERTY, WILLIAMS, POOLE.

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 7 THE FABRICATION OF FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS AGAINST PARENTS.

AS SHOWN ON HOMEPAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, ACS FABRICATES FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS AGAINST PARENTS AS THEY DID WITH ME TO TERMINATE THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS. NONE OF THE DATABASES QUOTED OR CLAIMED TO HAVE POSSESSED SUCH INFORMATION HAD SUCH INFORMATION AS CLAIMED IN THEIR DATABASES. IT IS A COMPLETE TOTAL FABRICATED LIE. ACS HAS PRODUCED ZERO EVIDENCE OF THIS. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE DAY ACS CLAIMED I COMMITTED AND WAS ARRESTED FOR THESE CRIMES I HAD VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER AT THEIR FACILITY. I HAVE THE ACS RECORDS TO PROVE I HAD VISITATION

WITH MY DAUGHTER ON THIS DAY!

ACS IS BACKGROUND CHECKING PARENTS AND CLAIMING TO BE ABLE TO ACCESS E JUSTICE RECORDS WHICH IS NOT POSSIBLE NOR CAN THEIR INVESTIGATIVE CONSULTANTS ACCORDING TO LISA MARIE COPPOLLO, MANAGER AT E JUSTICE. THEY ARE TAKING PART IN FALSE BACKGROUND CHECKS ON PARENTS SUCH AS I. I HAD NO SUCH RECORD AS THEY CLAIMED. A COMPLETE FABRICATION.

IF THEY OBTAINED SUCH RECORDS, THEY HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO PROVIDE AND CONFIRM ACCURACY UNDER THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT. THEY NEVER DID.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, PETER ALEXANDER, SHEILA POOLE, LETITA JAMES, ACS, OCFS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NEW YORK CITY, OCTAVIA HILL, NYC DEPT OF INVESTIGATION, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, SUPERVISOR OF ROSMIL ALMONTE, NYC CHILDREN, NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL, CORP COUNSEL, JANET DIFIORE, HUDSON, FORTUNE, GARCIA, ALMONTE, BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON,

CHRISTIANI, JOLY, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCA, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OCTAVIA HILL, CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY, JANET DIFIORE.

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 8:

PARENTS HAVE THE FABRICATED AND FALSE BACKGROUND CHECKS WHILE ACS WORKERS ENJOY NON EXISTENT BACKGROUND CHECKS AND THOSE OF IGNORANCE. THE GOVERNMENTAL DOUBLE STANDARD THEY APPLY FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT.

WHY IS ACS BACKGROUND CHECKING PARENTS WHEN THEY DONT BACKGROUND CHECK THEIR OWN AS SHOWN IN HANSELL VIDEO AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM DOUBLE STANDARD PAGE.

THIS WASNT THE FIRST TIME AN ACS WORKER ASSAULTED A CHILD SO HOW COME THESE WORKERS MOVING FORWARD ARE NOT PROPERLY BACKGROUND CHECKED? WHY ARE NOT ALL ACS WORKERS BACKGROUND CHECKED. SPOT CHECKS HANSELL SAYS? THE FACT IS ACS IS BACKGROUND CHECKING PARENTS AND CLAIMING TO BE ABLE TO ACCESS E JUSTICE RECORDS WHICH IS NOT POSSIBLE NOR CAN THEIR INVESTIGATIVE CONSULTANTS ACCORDING TO LISA MARIE COPPOLLO, MANAGER AT E JUSTICE. THEY ARE TAKING PART IN FALSE BACKGROUND CHECKS ON PARENTS SICH AS I, I HAD NO SUCH RECORD AS THEY CLAIMED. A COMPLETE FABRICATION.

SO WHILE ACS FABRICATES FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS ON PARENTS AS THEY DID TO ME, THEY HAVE NOT PROPERLY BACKGROUND CHECKED SCORES OF THEIR WORKERS, SOME OF WHOM HAVE ASSAULTED CHILDREN.

ACS, NEW YORK CITY ALONG WITH DAVID HANSELL HAVE A DOUBLE STANDARD THAT THEY APPLY UPON THEIR OWN EMPLOYEES IN COMPARISON TO PARENTS.

PARENTS HAVE THE FABRICATED AND FALSE BACKGROUND CHECKS WHILE ACS WORKERS ENJOY, BACKGROUND CHECKS OF NON EXISTENCE AND IGNORANCE. THE GOVERNMENTAL DOUBLE STANDARD THEY APPLY FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, ACS, CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NYC CHILDREN, SHEILA POOLE

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 9:

IS FALSE SWEAR INS BY ACS.

IF ACS AS IN 22 CV 5416 WISHES TO STATE THAT THEIR PROSECUTORS HAVE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY THEN THE OATH IS WORTHLESS. VIEW THE DOCUMENT ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM FOIL PAGE, WITHHELD BY ACS FOR A YEAR AND A HALF AND THEN BACKDATED WITH ALL METADATA WIPED CLEAN WHICH HAS TO DO WITH HONESTY. ACS WAITED UNTIL DUFFY DISMISSED ALL CASES BEFORE PRODUCING THIS DOCUMENT. IF ACS PROTOCOL IS TO BE HONEST IN REPORTING ON PARENTS, HOW DO THEY THINK THEY CAN BE IMMUNE FOR THEIR DISHONESTY? SO I HAVE TO TELL THE TRUTH BUT...... THEY DO NOT? THIS IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, OCFS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NYC CHILDREN, NW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA, SHEILA POOLE.

CAUSE OF ACTION NUMBER 10

THE GOVERNMENT DOUBLE STANDARD.

GOVERNMENT WORKERS GET A SECOND CHANCE, PARENTS GET ZERO.

IF DAVID HANSELL, COMMISSIONER OF ACS STATES PUBLICLY THAT HE BELIEVES IN SECOND CHANCES AND PARENTS WITH CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS SUCH AS ATTEMPTED MURDER CAN BE WORKING FOR ACS AND BE JUDGING, EVALUATING, INTERVIEWING, FILING CASES AND REMOVING (KIDNAPPING) CHILDREN ... I HAVE NO CRIMINAL RECORD NOR PRIOR ACS ARTICLE 10. WHERE IS MY SECOND CHANCE AND WHERE IS THE SECOND CHANCE OF UNTOLD

THOUSANDS OF OTHER PARENTS. APPARENTLY, THE PUBLIC POLICY OF ACS AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS A FRAUDULENT REPRESENTATION OF THE TRUTH OF WHAT THEY BELIEVE IN AND PRACTICE AMONGST THE GOVERNMENT, THEMSELVES VS WHAT THEY INFLICT AND PRACTICE UPON OTHERS IN THE PUBLIC.

ACS DOES NOT JUST NOT EVEN LEGALLY EXIST AS SHOWN IN ONE OF MY 5 PREVIOUS FEDERAL FILINGS, THEY EXIST UNDER FRAUDULENT PRETENSE AND CONTRADICTORY ETHICS, DISPLAYING BIAS AND FAVOR TO THEIR OWN, UNLIKE OTHER PARENTS AND THEIR CHILDREN. IF YOU VIEW THE VIDEO ON THE DOUBLE STANDARD PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND GOOGLE THE HISTORY OF ACS WORKERS ASSAULTING CHILDREN, THIS WASN'T THE FIRST TIME THIS HAS HAPPENED AND ACS HAD DONE NOTHING AND IS CONTIUING TO DO NOTHING TO REVIEW THE WORKERS THAT THEY HAVE HIRED, EXCEPT "SPOT CHECKS" OF A UNKNOWN, DENIED TO INFORM PERCENTAGE, BELIEVING IN "SECOND CHANCES "AND CRIMINAL BACKGROUND EMPLOYEES, CASTING OPINIONS AND DETERMINATIONS UPON THOSE THAT DONT HAVE CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS, BEING GIVEN ZERO SECOND CHANCES SUCH AS MYSELF. THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK PRACTICE DISCRIMINATION AS A POLICY AND VIOLATION OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT AS A POLICY. IN THIS SCENARIO, WHERE IS THE APPLICATION OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW FOR PARENTS AND CHILDREN? THERE ISN'T. THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND THE STATE OF NEW YORK PROTECT AND FAVOR THEIR OWN ABOVE THE PUBLIC WHOM THEY ARE SWORN TO SERVE.

POSSIBLY ACS, OCFS, AND THE DEFENDANTS WOULD LIKE TO EXPLAIN THE LEGALITY OF A EX CON WALKING OFF WITH YOUR CHILD, AND THOSE WITH HISTORY OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT LIKE MY CHILDS MOTHER WALK OFF WITH YOUR CHILD WHILE THOSE LIKE MYSELF THAT ARE CLEAN HAVE TO WALK THE OTHER WAY AND KEEP AWAY FROM HIS CHILD VIA AN UN SERVED ORDER OF PROTECTION? WHERE IS THE PROTECTION OF THE CHILD? WERE IS THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW UPON THE CHILD WHEN A CHILD IS PLACED WITH THOSE WITH ABUSE, NEGLECT AND CRIMINAL BACKGROUNDS WHILE THOSE WHOM ARE TOTALLY CLEAN ARE EXCLUDED?

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, DAVID HANSELL, SHEILA POOLE, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NYC CHILDREN, OCA.

11. THE 11TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS ISSUES SECRET ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS. THEY DO NOT SERVE THEM. I PROVIDED EVIDENCE ON THE 22 CV 5416 CASE AND AMONG THE OTHERS.

THEY CHECK OFF THE BOXES THAT I WAS INFORMED IN COURT OR PETITIONER WILL ARRANGE FOR SERVICE OR BOTH AND BOTH OR EITHER ASSERTION IS A LIE. THE POLICE AND THEIR PROTECTED PARTY ARE GIVEN COPIES OF WHICH THE RESPONDENT RECEIVES NOTHING AS IF THE RESPONDENT SUCH AS MYSELF SHOULD BELIEVE I DESERVE TO HAVE ONE AND IT CAN GO ON FOREVER WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. TO KEEP IN MIND, ON THE SECOND FEDERAL CASE I FILED, RECORDS IS NOT PROVIDING WITH ME RECORDS SO HOW AM I SUPPOSED TO KNOW? I EVEN ASKED THEM REGARDING THE MOST RECENT ONE TO PROVIDE ME WITH A COPY IF IT EXISTS AND THEY PROVIDED ME WITH NOTHING AND IGNORED MY REQUEST. THIS IS ABUSE UPON THE POLICE OFFICERS AS WELL WHOM RELY ON THEIR VALIDITY. ACS COULD CARE LESS WHOM THEY USE AND ABUSE AND CLEARLY THEIR BOOK OF ETHICAL BEHAVIOR THEY FINALLY PROVIDED A YEAR AND A HALF LATER, THEY NOT ONLY DONT ABIDE BY AGAINST PARENTS, THEY USE, ABUSE AND LIE TO NYPD OFFICERS AS WELL WHICH IS ALSO UNACCEPTABLE AND SHOWS JUST HOW CORRUPT AND UNLAWFUL THESE PEOPLE ARE, THEY PRESENT TO NYPD DOCUMENTS THAT THEY KNOW ARE FALSE AND FRAUDS. THIS ALSO SPAWNED THE 22 CV 7815 CASE FOR THE DEFENDANTS TRYING TO HAVE ME ARRESTED OFF OF A ORDER OF PROTECTION THAT WAS NEVER SERVED, CLAIMED TO BE SPAWNED FROM AN ACS WRITTEN ORDER, JUST LIKE THE FALSE PSYCHE REPORTS WHICH WAS PART OF ANOTHER FEDERAL FILING WITH THE ACS WORKERS WRITING THEIR OWN PSYCHE REPORTS AND FORGING NAMES AS LICENSED MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NYC CHILDREN, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, SHEILA POOLE, WILLIAMS, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, OCA, HILL.

12. THE 12TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS FINAL ORDERS OF PROTECTION THAT LAST MORE THAN A YEAR.

THERE IS A CLAIMED TO EXIST ORDER OF PROTECTION OFF A FALSE ORDER. FINAL ORDERS OF PROTECTION IN ARTICLE 10 CASES ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO LAST LONGER THAN ONE YEAR. THIS CLAIMED ORDER OF PROTECTION OF WHICH I HAVE NEVER SEEN NOR BEEN SERVED WITH TO THIS DAY IS CLAIMED TO BE A 2 YEAR ORDER OF PROTECTION FOR ME TO STAY AWAY FROM MY CHILD.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, WILLIAMS.

13. THE 13^{T II} CAUSE OF ACTION IS ORDERS OF PROTECTION AGAINST PARENTS BEING ISSUED WITHOUT SUFFICIENT BASIS OF NEED.

HOW DOES NEGLECT OR BEING AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN WITHOUT ABUSE EQUATE TO THE NECESSITY OF THER BEING AN ORDER OF PROTECTION? SO PARENTS WHOM ARE NOT GOOD GUARDIANS BUT HAVE NOT COMMITTED ANY CRIMES ARE HAVING THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATED, EVEN BEFORE ADJUDICATION OFF MERE ALLEGATIONS OF NEGLECT AND NOT EVEN ABUSE OR CRIMINAL ABUSE. IN MY CASE, HOW DOES A INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP ALLEGATION UPON SOMEONE WITH NO CRIMINAL BACKGROUND OR PRIOR ACS HISTORY TRANSLATE INTO AN ORDER OF PROTECTION? AND FURTHERMORE, SINCE THE MOTHER WAS FOUND TO HAVE 2 INDICATED BY ACS IN THEIR RECORDS OF INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP AND EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT SINCE MY DAUGHTER WAS PLACED WITH HER, AS WELL AS TWO PREVIOUS, WHILE I HAVE ZERO, HOW DOES THAT EQUATE TO NO ORDER OF PROTECTION FOR MY DAUGHTER AGAINST THE MOTHER AND CONTINUED CUSTODY? WHERE IS THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW FOR THE CHILD? ANSWER: THERE IS NONE BECAUSE THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, OCFS, HANSELL AND DANNHAUSER DON'T GIVE A DAMN ABOUT THE CHILD

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, OCFS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, SHEILA POOLE, NICOLA GIBSON, ROSMIL ALMONTE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OCFS, WILLIAMS, MARGARET INGOGLIA, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID, AMY SERLIN.

14TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATION AND GOVERNMENTAL INTERFERENCE WITHOUT JUST CAUSE AND UNLAWFUL BASIS.

READ EITHER PETITION AGAINST ME.

HOW DOES ANY PETITION AGAINST A PARENT THAT DOES NOT CLAIM ANY CRIME NOR HAS ANY RECORDING, PHOTOGRAPH, VIDEO OR ANY NON GOVERNMENT WITNESS OUTSIDE OF THEIR CLIENT PROVIDE THE GOVERNMENT WITH THE RIGHT TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER ADJUDICATION?

A CASE WORKER CLAIMED I WAS VERY CONTROLLILNG OVER THE FAMILY. HOW SO? ANY EVIDENCE OF THAT? ANY EVIDENCE PROVIDED THAT I DIDN'T ALLOW MY FAMILY TO BE HOME UNTIL I GOT HOME FROM WORK AT 10: 30? WERE ANY NEIGHBORS QUESTIONED ABOUT THAT?

WHERE IS THE EVIDENCE?

PETITIONER.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THIS WORKER WAS NEVER NAMED OR CALLED AS A WITNESS. SO IN OTHER WORDS, ACS HAS NOW BECOME THE NEW DIVORCE COURT. CALL ACS, MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST A PARTNER OR SPOUSE WITH NO EVIDENCE AND NO CRIME, ACS BELIEVES EVERYTHING YOU SAY AND VOILA, YOU NOW HAVE IMMEDIATE CUSTODY WITH NO DIVORCE COURT AND NO FAMILY COURT NECESSARY. JUST A ACS COURT IMMEDIATE GUILTY IN TOTAL SUM AND SUBSTANCE. ACS, NOR OCFS NOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK CAN TAKE THE PLACE OF REGULAR FAMILY COURT AND DIVORCE COURT AND ISSUE IMMEDIATE SUMMARY CONVICTIONS AS A

ACS MAKES AND CLAIMS DETERMINATIONS WHILE OBTAINING ZERO EVIDENCE OUTSIDE OF THEIR OWN FABRICATIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WANT TO SHOW EVIDENCE OF CONTROL, HOW ABOUT WE START WITH WHO LEGALLY OWNS / LEASES THE APARTMENT OR THE HOME AND WHOS NAMES ARE ON THE UTILITY BILLS, HEALTH INSURANCE, BANK ACCOUNT/S ? ETC. ACS HAS PRODUCED ZERO EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THEIR CONTENTIONS. WHAT RIGHT DOES THE GOVERNMENT HAVE TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS INSTANTLY WITH ZERO EVIDENCE OUTSIDE OF MERE CLAIMS OF THEIR CLIENT AND THEMSELVES ?

MORESO, A FAIR PREPONDERENCE OF THE EVIDENCE IS NOT CONSTITUTIONALLY SUFFICIENT GROUNDS TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS. THERE HAS TO BE CLEAR AND CONVINCING.

GO TO ACS COMPLAINS.COM PARENTS RIGHTS PAGE. FURTHERMORE, PARENTS ARE ENTITLED TO A TRIAL BY JURY AS SHOWN ON THIS PAGE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, OCFS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DANNHAUSER, HANSELL, MARGARET INGOGLIA, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, FARAH JOLY, RASHIMA FERGUSON, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, NYC CHILDREN, WILLIAMS, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, SERLIN, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID, JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE.

15TH CAUSE OF ACTION...

I CANT CARE FOR CHILDREN IN NEW YORK STATE UNTIL MY DAUGHTER IS............ 28 YEARS OLD. A 25 YEAR SENTENCE BANNING ME FROM CARING FOR A CHILD........ WITHOUT A JURY AND VIA SUMMARY CONVICTION IN OCFS COURT DUE TO A CLAIMED GUILTY IN ACS COURT. SO WHERE AN UNLAWFUL ORDER OF PROTECTION ENDS WHICH SHOULD BE NO MORE THAN ONE YEAR IF THERE WAS EVEN GROUNDS TO BEGIN WITH, OFF A COUNTERFEIT ORDER, THE 25 YEAR SENTENCE BEGINS OUT OF OCFS COURT WHEN YOU NEVER EVEN HAD A TRIAL OR HEARING IN OCFS COURT TO BEGIN WITH.

SO WHAT THIS MEANS IS, I CANNOT BE NEAR MY DAUGHTERS CHILDREN LEGALLY UNTIL THE YEAR 2043 OF WHEN I WILL BE...... 74 YEARS OLD. A LIFE SENTENCE, AND IF VIOLATED BY MY OWN DAUGHTER, HER CHILD CAN BE REMOVED FROM HER AS WELL. ACCORDING TO THE CDC MALE LIFE EXPECTANCY IS UPON AVERAGE, 73 YEARS.... A LIFE SENTENCE OF BEING UNABLE TO CARE FOR ANY CHILD ALL WITHOUT A TRIAL BY JURY.

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW CONSTITUTIONAL THIS IS AND WHAT LAW THIS B.S. COMES FROM ACCORDING TO JUDGE WASKO.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, NYC CHILDREN, OCFS, WASKO, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, SHEILA POOLE, ZULMA MONTANEZ, LA LINDEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, JAMES.

16TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

THE CONCEALMENT, FALSIFICATION AND MANUFACTURING OF EVIDENCE BY THE GOVERNMENT AND THEIR PROSECUTORS...

SENDING A CHILD WHOM KILLED HIS FATHER TO LIVE IN OUR HOUSEHOLD WITH MY DAUGHTER. WHY WAS I PUT IN THE POSITION TO CARE FOR THIS PERSON TO BEGIN WITH IF HE WASN'T MY CHILD AND MARGARET INGOGLIA AND I WERENT MARRIED? THOUGH MOST IMPORTANTLY, WHY IS THE GOVERNMENT INTENTIONALLY PLACING THE DEFENDANT IN A POSITION OF ENTRAPMENT?

1. THE GOVERNMENT INTENTIONALLY PRESENTING FALSE EVIDENCE AND CONCEALING EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS.

ACS CONCEALED THE ENTIRE HISTORY OF THEIR CLIENT WHOM THEY STATED IN THEIR OWN RECORDS AND SUPPORTED BY COURT RECORDS THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA AND HER SON MADE FALSE CLAIMS AGAINST THE SONS FATHER. ACS TOOK THE ENTIRE HISTORY OF MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO OUT FROM UNDER JUDGE MARIA ARIAS HANDS WHO CONSISTENTLY RULED FAVORABLY UPON BOTH FATHERS TO THEIR OWN ACS COURTROOM WITH THEIR AGENCY JUDGE TO ENSURE CONVICTION WITH A 98.5% GUILTY IN THEIR COURTROOM.

JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA KILLED HIS BIOLOGICAL FATHER.

JOESEPH PALOMINO, OVERCOME WITH GUILT OF KILLING HIS OWN FATHER WROTE A SUICIDE NOTE AND HE WAS SENT TO A MENTAL HOSPITAL FOR ABOUT A MONTH.

ZERO NEGATIVE WAS STATED UPON ROBERT MALEK IN THE BRUNSWICK REPORT. REGARDLESS, THE HOSPITAL STAY WAS USED A TOOL AGAINST ME THAT JOE IS SUICIDAL AND I " TALK DOWN TO JOE " AS IF I WAS THE ONE WHOM WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR HIM BEING THERE IN THE FIRST PLACE OF WHICH ZERO EVIDENCE EXISTS.

MOST IMPORTANTLY, WHY WAS A CHILD SENT TO A PARENT OF ANOTHER CHILD BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR ME TO CARE FOR HIM WHOM WAS KNOWN TO HAVE BEAT HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS AND MADE FALSE CLAIMS AGAINST HIS FATHER? AND EVEN WORSE, FOR ME TO SUFFER THE SAME FATE AND THAT OF MY DAUGHTER WITH HIM HAVING THROWN AND INJURED HER AND HIM REPEATEDLY STEALING FROM ME AS CONFIRMED IN ACS OWN RECORDS? OF NOTE IS THAT JOE STOLE FROM HIS BIOLOGICAL FATHER AS WELL AFTER HE BEAT HIM UNCONSCIOUS.

IF THE GOVERNMENT IS AWARE AND DETERMINED THAT THESE PEOPLE HAVE MADE FALSE POLICE AND SCR REPORTS AS WELL AS COURT FILINGS AND PLACED THESE INDIVIDUALS WITH ME, KNOWING THE DANGER MY DAUGHTER AND I WERE IN AND CONCEALING IT, WE HAVE A SITUATION OF GOVERNMENT ENTRAPMENT.

MOREOVER, WHY AM I CONSIDERED TO BE THE GUARDIAN OF A CHILD WHOM WAS FORCED UPON MY DAUGHTER AND I OF WHOM I WAS NEVER A GUARDIAN, JUAN PALOMINO AND MARGARET INGOGLIA WERE GUARDIANS AS PARENTS OF JOE, NOT ME, HE WAS MERELY A GUEST IN OUR HOME. MARGARET INGOGLIA AND I WERE NOT MARRIED AND HAD NO CUSTODY AGREEMENT OF JOE, JOE DID NOT BELONG IN OUR HOME, HE BELONGED WITH HIS FATHER WHO HAD LEGAL CUSTODY OF HIM, IF HE BEAT HIS FATHER UNCONSIOUS AND NEARLY KILLED HIM, JUDGE ARIAS SHOULD HAVE MADE THE DETERMINATION OF WHERE JOE SHOULD BE PLACED SINCE SHE IS THE ONE WHOM PUT JOE WITH HIS FATHER AND HISTORICALLY HAD THE CASE BETWEEN MARGARET, JUAN PALOMINO AND I WITH MARGARET FILING AGAINST BOTH FATHERS AND MAKING ABSURD ALLEGATIONS AS CAN BE WITNESSED UPON THIS CASE AND UPON JUAN PALOMINO AS WELL AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ROSMIL ALMONTE, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, ARDAISHA HUDSON, FORTUNE, DOUGHERTY, FORTUNE, RASHIMA FERGUSON, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, JOLY, PAULA GARCIA, ALMONTE, GIBSON, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, SERLIN, LEGAL

AID SOCIETY, CHRISTIANI, WILLIAMS, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, OCFS, POOLE, OCA, GIBBS, CATHOLIC CHARITIES.

17TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

ACS WRITING COUNTERFEIT ORDERS THAT ARE....... UNAPPEALABLE AND TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS, PERMANENTLY.

THE FINAL ORDER IS NOT APPEALABLE? WHY?

THE FINAL ORDER IS NOT APPEALABLE BECAUSE IT CONTAINS FACTUALLY FALSE INFORMATION. WHY WOULD I APPEAL THAT I WOULD BE ABLE TO SEE MY CHILD IF I WOULD ONLY PRODUCE A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION WHEN I ALREADY DID SEVERAL TIMES AND PROVIDED IT SEVERAL TIMES?

SO APPEAL FOR WHAT? THAT I SHOULDN'T BE ABLE TO SEE MY DAUGHTER? A RESPONDENT CAN APPEAL AN ORDER AS IT IS WRITTEN. FOR INSTANCE, MR MALEK WILL BE ABLE TO RESUME VISITS WITH HIS DAUGHTER AFTER HE PRODUCES 6 MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS. THAT WOULD BE APPEALABLE.

HOWEVER, TO STATE IN THE ORDER THAT VISITS WITH MY CHILD WILL RESUME AFTER I HAD A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION IS NOT APPEALABLE BECAUSE I ALREADY DID AND THE COURT KNOWS I DID SEVERAL TIMES. IN OTHER WORDS, WHY WOULD I APPEAL TO BE ABLE TO SEE MY CHILD AND WHY WOULD I APPEAL HAVING A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION WHEN I ALREADY DID SO SEVERAL TIMES?

IN CONCLUSION THE FINAL ORDER IS NOT APPEALABLE AND PERMANENTLY TERMINATES MY PARENTAL RIGHTS DUE TO FACTUAL IMPOSSIBILITY EQUIVALENT OF YOU CAN SEE YOUR CHILD IF YOU JUST SHAKE MY HAND AND I SHAKE IT AND I AM STILL BEING TOLD YOU CAN SEE IF YOUR CHILD IF YOU JUST SHAKE MY HAND. THIS MERRY GO ROUND IS ANOTHER ATTORNEY / ACS / BACK DOOR TRICK TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHT VIA A RESPONDENT BEING UNABLE TO APPEAL AN INVALID, COUNTERFEIT, FALSE ORDER. FOR EXAMPLE, HOW CAN I APPEAL THE TERMS OF AN ORDER BY STATING THE ENTIRE ORDER IS A COUNTERFEIT? APPEALS COURT IS A COURT OF LIMITED JURISDICTION IN NEW YORK STATE. THE ISSUES I AM RAISING OF FRAUD AND VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS ARE ACTUALLY CRIMINAL AND VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW TO BE REVIEWED IN FEDERAL COURT. ORDERS ARE REVIEWED IN APPEALS COURT, VIOLATIONS OF DUE PROCESS, AND RULE 60 FOR INSTANCE ARE REVIEWED IN FEDERAL COURT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ALMONTE, GIBSON, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

18TH CAUSE OF ACTION

PARENTING CLASS FRAUD

ACS REFERS ME TO SCO PARENTING CLASS PROGRAM. I COMPLETE THE PROGRAM AND TWO OTHER PROGRAMS THAT THEY HAD AVAILABLE. ALL 3. I DID 3 X MORE THAN WHAT ACS ASKED ME TO DO.

AFTERWARDS, ACS STATES THAT SCO WAS NOT AN APPROVED PROGRAM, THAT THEY HAD INFORMED ME AS SUCH AND I ATTENEDED THE PROGRAM REGARDLESS.

ANOTHER DECEPTIVE, TOTAL FRAUD ABUSIVE LIE ON THE PART OF ACS.

DO I GET TO SEE MY DAUGHTER ? NO. I HAVENT SEEN HER FOR MORE THAN 3 YEARS.

EVERYONE AT THE SCO PROGRAM WAS REFERRED THERE FROM ACS!!!!

THE COURT REPORTS FROM ACS PROVE THAT ACS PULLED THIS FRAUD. BUT THIS IS MORE THAN FRAUD. IT IS INTENTIONAL ABUSE BY THE GOVERNMENT. KIDNAP YOUR CHILD AND MAKE YOU OBEY THEIR COMMANDS TO SEE YOUR CHILD, ESSENTIALLY HOLDING YOUR CHILD RANSOM AND THEN...... I DON'T SEE MY CHILD.

THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO THE MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION FRAUD. I GET SEVERAL MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS AND IF YOU GET A FAVORABLE ONE, YOU CAN SEE YOUR CHILD. SO I GET MORE THAN 3 AND SEE MY DAUGHTER ZERO.

THEN ACS CLAIMS THE MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION COULD HAVE BEEN WRITTEN BY ANYONE AND NOT ACTUALLY THE DOCTOR !! SO I PAY FOR A MOBILE NOTARY TO GO TO THE DOCTORS OFFICE TO HAVE IT NOTARIZED.

AND STILL, NO. YOU DIDN'T HAVE A MENTAL HEALTH EXAM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, TRAVIS JOHNSON, SERLIN, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, RASHIMA FERGUSON, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, BOLANDE BEGHO, CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY, JOLY, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, NYC CHILDREN, NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, OCFS, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OCA, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM.

19TH CAUSE OF ACTION

DOES THE STATE HAVE THE RIGHT TO TERMINATE A PARENTS RIGHTS IF A PARENT DOES NOT WISH TO BE MENTALLY EVALUATED WITH NO PRIOR NEGATIVE MENTAL HEALTH HISTORY?

THE LETS PLAY, LETS FISH FOR A CASE GAME.

THE ANSWER IS NO.

AS A MATTER OF FACT IN THIS CASE, I HAD SEVERAL MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS. ONE FROM DR FOX, ONE FROM BALMAIN ROSEGREEN AND ONE FROM DR ELI. SO A PARENT CAN BE BARRED FROM EVEN VISITING WITH HIS OR HER CHILD, HAVING COMMITTED NO CRIME WITH NO CRIMINAL BACKGROUND PENDING A DETERMINATION FROM A MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL? NOW IF I HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN COMMITTED TO A MENTAL HEALTH HOSPITAL, DIFFERENT STORY. WHEN SOMEONE HAS NO NEGATIVE HEALTH BACKGROUND THAT THE GOVERNMENT CAN SHOW WHAT RIGHT DOES THE GOVERNMENT HAVE TO KIDNAP MY CHILD UNTIL I DO? SHOW ME THE LAW ON THIS. IT DOESN'T EXIST. THE GOVERNMENT CANNOT WALK UP TO SOMEONE, TAKE AWAY THEIR CHILD AND THEN TELL THEM TO PROVE THEY ARE LEGALLLY COMPETENT TO HAVE CUSTODY OR EVEN SEE THEIR CHILD!

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ALMONTE, GIBGSON, LEGAL AID, SERLIN, JOHNSON,

20TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

THE 20TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE IGNORANCE AND CONCEALMENT OF THE SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER. RESULTING IN THE GOVERNMENT CONVICTING ME WITH SECRET ALLEGATIONS I NEVER KNEW OF.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WASKO, WILLIAMS, MONTANEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, LA LINDEZ, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, RASHIMA FERGUSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, JOLY, ARDAISHA HUDSON, PAULA GARCIA, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIFED COURT SYSTEM, LETITIA JAMES, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCTAVIA HILL, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OCFS, DOUGHERTY, BEGHO AND WITHERSPOON.

PLEASE REFER TO HOME PAGE OF OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM

MARGARET INGOGLIA AND HER SON MADE A FALSE CRIMINAL REPORT OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER. NO EVIDENCE WAS FOUND WHATSOEVER UPON HER EXAMINATION. SO WHAT DID ACS DO ?

FILE ON ME ?!?!?!?! NOT MENTIONING A WORD ABOUT THIS.

MARGARET INGOGLIA TOLD ME THAT IT WAS THE "BAD " ACS WORKER THAT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THIS UNNECESSARY EXAM!

SO HERE MY 3 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER HAD TO ENDURE A VAGINAL EXAM FOR SEXUAL ABUSE FROM HER FATHER THAT NEVER TOOK PLACE OF WHICH NO EVIDENCE EXISTED WHATSOEVER AND AFTER THE PERPETRATION OF SUCH FRAUD, ACS CONCEALS THIS ALONG WITH OCFS AND FILES UPON ME A MONTH LATER, BACKDATING TO THIS FRAUD ?!?!!? MOREOVER, TWO DAYS PRIOR ALSO TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF ACS AS SHOWN ON ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER, MARGARET INGOGLIA STATED THAT SHE WANTED TO KILL ME AND WISHED OUR DAUGHTER WAS DEAD VIA A "FUCKING ABORTION" AND YELLED THIS IN THE PRESENCE OF OUR DAUGHTER. THIS VIDEO IS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER.

SO MARGARET INGOGLIA WANTS TO KILL ME, WISHES OUR DAUGHTER WERE DEAD, CONCOCTS AND REPORTS A FALSE CRIME OF SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER TO HAVE HER FATHER ARRESTED FOR INCESTUOUS PEDOPHILIA, NOTHING IS FOUND WITH MY DAUGHTER HAVING TO ENDURE SUCH AN EXAM AND WHO DOES ACS FILE UPON? ME.

ONCE AGAIN, ACS AND OCFS HAD CONCEALED THE FACT THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA AND HER SON MADE SUCH ALLEGATIONS OF WHICH I DID NOT LEARN ABOUT UNTIL SEPTEMBER OF 2022, SOME 4 YEARS LATER. THEY WERE AWARE THAT MY DAUGHTER HAD TO ENDURE SUCH AN EXAM FOR NO JUST CAUSE DUE TO FRAUD. FRAUD THAT MARGARET AND JOE WERE KNOWN TO HAVE DONE TO JOES FATHER AS SHOWN ON ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER.

If acs case was adjudicated in acs court on April 4 2022, it is clear that acs and ocfs concealed such sexual abuse allegations from me to defend against. If such allegations were dismissed 6 months after April 4 2022 this means they were active at time of judgment. Allegation/s of incestuous pedophila upon my own child existed for 4 years up until and past adjudication of case in acs court without my knowledge of such allegations for me to defend against.

Wasko claiming collateral estoppel is false because there was no issue preclusion and as far as res judicata, ocfs is a higher court and not a lower one as shown on office of children and family services complaints.com foil page. The fact is, there were serious crimes that were alleged that were fraudulent that I never knew about to defend myself from while acs took park in secret and ex parte hearings with Judge Williams. A conviction via in part, secret fraud that now, wasko is claiming she has no authority to do anything about whatsoever when in the meantime she was part of the concealment of the crimes themselves.

NOTE; IN JULY OF 2023, I REQUESTED FROM OCFS THE INFAMOUS SLIP PACKET THAT WASKO HAD REFERRED TO. SUPPOSEDLY, WITHIN THEY HAD PROVIDED TO ME THE INFORMATION ON THIS INCIDENT. HOWEVER, THE DOCUMENT PROVIDED HAD REDACTED PARTS AND THE DOCUMENT SUSPICIOUSLY DOES NOT STATE WHO IT WAS THAT MADE THESE ALLEGATIONS. DO ACS WORKERS USUALLY INSPECT THE VAGINAS OF 3 YEAR OLD GIRLS WITHOUT CAUSE? IS THAT PART OF THE GOVERNMENT PROTOCOL?

NOTE HOW WASKO STAYS QUIET AND ALL THE ATTORNEYS STAY QUIET WHEN I STATED BY EMAIL I DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THIS. NOW, IF THE STIP PACKET SUPPOSEDLY SHOWED I WAS PROVIDED INFORMATION ON THIS ISSUE AS PART OF THE PAGES IN THE ENVELOPE THAT I WAS SENT. WHY WAS NOTHING SAID?

THE WORD ALLEGATIONS DOES NOT MEAN MEDICAL CHECKUP. WHO MADE THE ALLEGATIONS AND IS EXAMINING A YOUNG GIRLS VAGINA ACS PROTOCOL WITHOUT A COURT ORDER OR CLAIM OF ANY SEXUAL ABUSE?

THIS SITUATION WAS ACTUALLY PART OF A WIDER CONSPIRACY AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND I.... AND IS CAUSE OF ACTION 21 :

THE GOVERNMENT AND THOSE WORKING WITH THE GOVERNMENT ENTRAPS RESPONDENT INTO A CATCH 22 SITUATION...

WHAT HAD HAPPENED WAS THE FOLLOWING...

I WAS AT WORK ON THE 28TH OF JUNE 2018 WHEN I CALLED HOME. MARGARET WAS NOT ANSWERING. FINALLY, MARGARET PICKED UP THE PHONE. MARGARET SAID, "THE ACS WORKER TOOK MY PHONE FROM ME AND DIDNT LET ME ANSWER MY PHONE!!! WE ARE IN AN AMBULANCE HEADED TO THE HOSPITAL! THE POLICE WERE HERE WITH ACS. THE ACS WORKER SAID OUR DAUGHTERS VAGINA WAS RED! OH MY GOD! SAID. WHAT HOSPITAL ARE YOU GOING TO? BROOKDALE. OK. NO PROBLEM. IM LEAVING WORK NOW. STAY ON THE PHONE WITH ME. ILL BE RIGHT THERE. EVERYTHING WILL BE OK....

SO OUR DAUGHTERS VAGINA IS EXAMINED WHILE SICKO HUDSON IS STANDING THERE WATCHING THIS EXAM AS IF SHE HAS TO SUPERVISE THE DOCTOR OR IS THE DOCTOR AND NOTHING WAS FOUND. LITTLE DID I KNOW IT WAS MARGARET WHOM MADE SUCH FALSE ALLEGATION AGAINST ME, KNOWN BY ACS AND OCFS.

WE GO HOME AND MARGARET TELLS ME THAT SHE IS AFRAID OF THIS ACS WORKER. SHE TOOK MY PHONE AND WOULDN'T LET ME ANSWER MY PHONE, MADE OUR DAUGHTER GO THROUGH A VAGINAL EXAM FOR NOTHING, THIS IS TERRIBLE. I AGREED OF COURSE. I TOLD MARGARET, THEN WHEN I GO TO WORK, DON'T BE AT HOME THEN. YOU CAN COME WITH ME AND I CAN DROP EVERYONE OFF AT THE PARK AND WHEN IT GETS DARK I CAN PICK EVERYONE UP AND TAKE YOU TO A RESTAURANT WHICH WAS DOABLE SINCE I WAS ONLY WORKING FROM 5 PM TILL 10 PM. SOMETIMES MARGARET WOULD COME AND SOMETIMES NOT.

MEANWHILE, THROUGHOUT THE MONTH, MARGARET WAS MEETING PRIVATELY WITH THIS ACS WORKER ALONG WITH MICHELET FORTUNE !!!!!!

AT THE END OF THE MONTH, FORTUNE TOLD ME THAT HE WANTED ME TO BRING THE FAMILY TO THE ACS FACILITY ON ROGERS FOR A CHILD SAFETY CONFERENCE. I SAID SURE BUT MARGARET IS AFRAID OF HUDSON. CAN YOU CHANGE THE WORKER? MARGARET DOESNT WANT TO GO. NO I CANT CHANGE THE WORKER FORTUNE SAID.

I SAID I CANT GO WITH THE FAMILY IF MARGARET IS AFRAID TO GO AND DOESNT WANT TO. (SO MUCH FOR THEIR B.S. CONTROLLING THEME ABOUT ME).

ALMOST IMMEDIATELY I GET A TEXT TO APPEAR IN COURT AND THAT AN ARTICLE 10 HAS BEEN FILED UPON ME.

MARGARET THEN TAKES PART IN THE CON AND ASKS ME, WHY DIDNT THEY FILE AGAINST ME TOO ? KNOWING THAT I WOULD ASK THAT AND IT WOULD BE SUSPICIOUS.

MARGARET AND I GO TO COURT AND GO TO THE CLERK TO SEE IF ANYTHING WAS FILED. NOTHING WAS FILED. NOTE: THERE WAS NO SUMMONS IN THIS CASE.

SO WE GO BACK UPSTAIRS TO THE 10TH FLOOR AND NOW, MARGARET TELLS ME SHE IS WILLING TO GO TO THE CHILD SAFETY CONFERENCE. SO I CALL FORTUNE WHOM TELLS ME, SORRY. IT IS TOO LATE (MEANWHILE NOTHING WAS FILED TO BEGIN WITH).

HUDSON SHOWS UP AND MARGARET AND HUDSON START GOING OFF INTO THIS B.S. ARGUMENT ABOUT HUDSON TAKING HER PHONE... (MEANWHILE THEY WERE WORKING TOGETHER FOR THE WHOLE MONTH). !!!!!!!!!!

A COUPLE OF MINUTES LATER, HUDSON WIPS OUT THE PAPERS, SERVES ME AND MARGARET, MARGARET THEN TELLS ME SHE DIDNT SAY ANY OF THIS AND THEN TELLS ME GOODBYE. IM GOING TO SPEAK TO MY LAWYERS NOW AND OFF SHE WENT TO BE WITH ACS AND HER BROOKLYN DEFENDER SERVICE LAWYER AND FROM THEN ON, ALL OUR LIVES HAVE PERMANENTLY CHANGED.

AT MY FIRST VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER FORTUNE TOLD ME THAT THEY WOULDN'T HAVE FILED AGAINST ME IF I HAD COME WITH THE FAMILY TO THE CHILD SAFETY CONFERENCE! I REITERATED TO HIM THAT MARGARET DIDN'T WANT TO GO!!! SHE WAS AFRAID OF HUDSON. HE SAID NOTHING.

MEANWHILE THEY WERE ALL MEETING AND WORKING TOGETHER, PLANNING TO FILE THE ARTICLE 10 FOR THE WHOLE MONTH. THE FAMILY WASN'T WANDERING THE STREETS UNTIL 10:30, THEY WERE MOVING THEIR THINGS INTO STORAGE UNTIL 10: 30 WITH MY DAUGHTER IN

TOW WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. I DIDNT KNOW UNTIL I RECEIVED DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS THE TRUTH OF WHAT THEY HAD DONE TO MY DAUGHTER AND I.

THEY ACTUALLY STARTED THIS CASE VIA A KNOWN FRAUDULENT FALSE POLICE REPORT WHICH IS A MISDEMEANOR UPON ALLEGATIONS OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSING MY OWN DAUGHTER. THIS IS THE OBSCENE EVIL OF WHAT INGOGLIA, HER SON, HUDSON, FORUNTE, FERGUSON, ACS TOOK PART IN.

FURTHERMORE, WHEN I REQUESTED SCR RECORDS, THIS WHOLE CLAIM OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER WAS CONCEALED AND WITHHELD FOR 4 YEARS. IT WAS NEVER MENTIONED IN THE ACS PETITION OR AMENDED. MEANWHILE, BE AWARE OF THE FOLLOWING. THE SEXUAL ALLEGATIONS WERE DISMISSED ON SEPTEMBER 28, 2022. SUCH SEXUAL ALLEGATIONS WERE CONCEALED FROM ME UNTIL SUCH DAY AND MY CONVICTION IN ACS COURT OF WHICH SUCH ALLEGATIONS WERE NEVER SPOKEN OF WAS IN APRIL OF 2022. SO IN OTHER WORDS, THE SECRET ALLEGATIONS OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSING MY OWN CHILD EXISTED UNTIL "CONVICTION", NOT TO MENTION THERE WERE SECRET HEARINGS AS SHOWN IN ONE OF MY FEDERAL CASES FILED AS PART OF 22 CV 5416 CONSOLIDATED. THE FRAUD THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA AND ACS TOOK PART IN WAS NOT REVEALED UPON MY INNOCENCE UNTIL AFTER CONVICTION UPON WHICH WASKO CLAIMS COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AND ACS BEING A HIGHER COURT TO DETERMINE OTHERWISE. SO ACS AND OCFS CONCEAL A SEXUAL ABUSE ALLEGATION OF ME AGAINST MY CHILD FOR 4 YEARS, CONVICT ME IN ACS COURT, DISMISS THE BOGUS ALLEGATION IN OCFS COURT AND THEN CLAIM THEY HAVE TO OBIDE BY THE CONVICTOIN DUE TO COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL OF ACS COURT AND ACS BEING A HIGHER COURT WHEN IT ISNT.

ACCORDING TO WHAT THE STATE CLAIMS I RECEIVED FROM THEM, THE ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL ABUSE WERE UNFOUNDED UPON BOTH MARGARET AND I. HMM.

SO OKAY. WHO MADE THE ALLEGATIONS, THE REDACTIONS, AND ONCE AGAIN, DO ACS WORKERS WALK AROUND EXAMINING CHILDRENS VAGINAS WITHOUT CAUSE AND FURTHERMORE, STAND BY TO DIRECTLY VIEW THE VAGINAL EXAM OF MY DAUGHTER WITH THE DOCTOR IN THE MEDICAL EXAM ROOM? IS IT NOT SUFFICIENT TO OBTAIN THE DOCTORS OPINION? NOT FOR SICKO HUDSON WHOM TOOK PART IN HAVING MY DAUGHTER UNDRESS FOR PICTURES BEFORE AND AFTER VISITATION.

HOW DO YOU THINK MY DAUGHTER FELT WHILE THIS STRANGER WAS STANDING THERE RIGHT BEHIND THE DOCTOR?

HUDSON IS A LOWLIFE CHILD ABUSING PEDOPHILE PIECE OF S**T WHOM MUST BE BROUGHT TO JUSTICE. HUDSON WAS ALSO PART OF THE CREW WHO WOULD BE TAKING PICTURES OF MY DAUGHTER BEFORE AND AFTER !!!!!! SUPERVISED VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER AT ACS.

THE FACT IS, THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES INVOLVED WITH THE CLAIM OF SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY CHILD THAT THOUGH NOTHING WAS FOUND, WAS APPARENTLY STILL A NARRATIVE SINCE GARCIA WOULD NOT LET MINNIE SIT ON MY LAP, DOUGHERTY WOULDN'T LET ME EVEN PLACE MY HAND ON MY DAUGHTERS SHOULDER AND ACS FALSELY CLAIMED MY DAUGHTER TOOK HER PANTS OFF AND WE WENT UNDER THE COVERS AT ACS VISITATION AND HUDSON CLAIMED I WAS RUBBING MY DAUGHTERS BACK FOR THE WHOLE VISIT ON A DIFFERENT DAY.. THE CLAIMS BY ACS AND INGOGLIA ARE SEXUAL ABUSE IN NATURE WITH ZERO EVIDENCE OF SUCH. HOWEVER, THEY TOOK DEFENSIVE ACTIONS UPON ME AS IF I HAD SEXUALLY ABUSED MY DAUGHTER. ACS AND INGOGLIA AS A WHOLE TOOK PART IN CRAFTING AND PROFFERING THIS UNENDING DIALOGUE OF SEXUAL ABUSE AND ACTING ON IT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, WHEN ATTORNEY CARLIN ASKED JOHNSON WHY HE ISNT IN FAVOR OF MY DAUGHTER AND I HAVING UNSUPERVISED, HE BROUGHT UP THE B.S. OF THE ACS NARRATIVE OF MY DAUGHTER TAKING HER PANTS OFF AND US GOING UNDER THE COVERS. IT IS CLEAR THAT ALLEGATIONS AND "UNFOUNDED" WERE STIRRED INTO MUCH MORE THAN AN UNFOUNDED.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: MARGARET INGOGLIA, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, RASHIMA FERGUSON, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, BOLADE BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, CRYSTAL

DOUGHERTY, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, JOLY. CORPORATION COUNSEL, ALMONTE, GIBSON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, WASKO. ZULMA MONTANEZ, LA LINDEZ, LEITNER LATTIBEAUDIERE, UDOCHI.

THE 22ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PUTTING UP THE SIGNS IN THEIR FACILITIES FOR NO RECORDING SO THAT I WOULD BE UNABLE TO HAVE EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT MY CALLS TO THE SCR SO THEY COULD CONVICT ME OF MAKING FALSE CALLS TO THE SCR. MEANWHILE, IF I DO NOT REPORT AND TAKE STEPS TO STOP CRIMES AGAINST MY CHILD, THAT IN ITSELF IS A MISDEMEANOR ACCORDING TO 260.10 PENAL CODE, NY. ACS FIRST STARTED PLACING THESE SIGNS AFTER MY DAUGHTER TOLD ME THAT JOE THREW HER BECAUSE SHE WAS CRYING AND ACS WANTED TO TAKE MY PHONE FROM ME SINCE I HAD PICTURES OF MY DAUGHTERS INJURY AND AUDIO RECORDING OF HER STATEMENTS. ACS WANTING TO TAKE MY PHONE FROM ME, THE ENTIRE SITUATION CAN BE HEARD ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM ACS DID FINALLY WRITE A COUNTERFEIT ORDER, CONVICTING ME OF ALL FALSE CALLS WHEN RIGHT IN THEIR OWN RECORDS MY CALLS INIDICATED OTHERWISE. CASE OPEN SERVICES AND INDICATED CAME UP AGAIN AND AGAIN. THERE OF COURSE WAS NO EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW FOR MY DAUGHTER AND NO EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW FOR ME WHOM WAS PROSECUTED AND CONVICTED OF DOING NOTHING MORE THAN ABIDING BY PENAL CODE 260,10 AND DOING WHAT ANY NORMAL PARENT WOULD DO IN EFFORT TO PROTECT THEIR CHILD. IT IS CLEAR THAT THE GOVERNMENT AND ITS ACTORS PUT ME IN A CATCH 22 SITUATION TO CONVICT ME FOR PROTECTING MY OWN CHILD WHICH IS MY RIGHT AND OBLIGATION TO DO SO. THEM PLACING THE SIGNS AND REQUESTING COURT ORDER TO DO SO PREVENTED ME FROM TAKING PICTURES AND RECORDING TO OBTAIN EVIDENCE OF CRIMES AGAINST MY OWN DAUGHTER. PARTICULARLY OF HER 3 FACIAL INJURIES IN ONE DAY WHERE HUDSON CLAIMED SHE JUST TRIPPED OVER JOES FOOT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, WILLIAMS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, DANNHAUSER, HANSELL, POOLE, SERLIN, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID, ALMONTE, GIBSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOE PALOMINO, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, WITHERSPOON, BEGHO, GARCIA, DOUGHERTY.

THE 23RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS AND OCFS (OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES) FAILURE TO TURN OVER DISCOVERY ALONG WITH OVERLY REDACTED DISCOVERY, NYPD, DAS OFFICES. FURTHERMORE, UPON THE START OF 22 CV 5416, THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM CLERKS RETALIATED AGAINST ME AFTER FILING AGAINST BEVERLY STANLEY AND STOPPED PROVIDING ME WITH ANY AND ALL RECORDS. ACS DELAYED AND IS CONTINUING TO DELAY FOIL, (FREEDOM OF INFORMATION LAW) FOR MORE THAN A YEAR. MY RECENT REQUEST FOR JUDGE WASKOS AND JUDGE WILLIAMS OATHS OF OFFICE ARE ALSO BEING IGNORED AS WELL TO HINDER MY ABILITY TO LITIGATE, I REQUESTED FROM THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM AND FROM WASKO AND WILLIAMS DIRECTLY. I AM ALSO NOW BEING TOLD I NEVER A NOTARY TO BEGIN WITH SO I CANT RENEW!

ACS FAILED TO TURN OVER FULL DISCOVERY IN BOTH CASES AS WELL AS EXCESSIVELY REDACTING.

THE STATE SCR ALSO FAILED TO TURN OVER FULL DISCOVERY.

THE NYPD ALSO FAILED TO TURN OVER FULL INFORMATION VIA FOIL.

RECORDS ROOM IS NOT TURNING OVER DISCOVERY / RECORDS.

THE DAS OFFICE OF WHICH IS PART OF ANOTHER PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAINT IS NOT TURNING OVER DISCOVERY AS PART OF THE EX PARTE HEARING REGARDING CRIMES AGAINST

MY DAUGHTER OFF A SUBPOENA I FILED FOR HER SAFETY AND WELFARE AND CRIMINAL ASSAULTS. THERE WERE SEVERAL SECRET "INVESTIGATIONS" (COVERUPS) DONE THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK TOOK PART IN REGARDING MY DAUGHTERS ABUSE AND EVIDENCE AND DISCUSSION OF SUCH WAS AMONG CAUSE OF ACTION WITHIN MY OTHER FILINGS.

ACS HAS DELAYED FOIL, REFUSED FOIL AND BACKDATED A MANUFACTURED FOIL DOCUMENT.

NEW YORK STATE UNFIED COURT SYSTEM IS NOT TURNING OVER DISCOVERY / RECORDS / FOIL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, BROOKLYN AND MANHATTAN DAS OFFICES, DA ERIC GONZALEZ, NYPD, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES (OCFS), NASSAU COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE, JOANN FARRETTI.

THE 24TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PREVENTING ME FROM TOUCHING MY DAUGHTER OR FOR HER AND I TO SHOW EACH OTHER AFFECTION. FOR INSTANCE, EVEN PUTTING MY HAND ON HER SHOULDER OR HER SHOWING ME AFFECTION SUCH AS MY 4 YEAR OLD SITTING ON MY LAP WHILE WE PLAYED A GAME. CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY AND PAULA GARCIA TOOK PART IN THIS. IN THE PAST WEEKS WHEN I EMAILED CRYSTAL, ASKING HER IF SHE WAS ONE OF THE ACS WORKERS INVOLVED IN MY CASE AT THE FACILITY ON BEDFORD, SHE WOULD NOT RESPOND! LOL. ARDAISHA HUDSON HARASSED ME AT VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER, TELLING ME THAT AT THE LAST VISIT I RUBBED MY DAUGHTERS BACK FOR THE ENTIRE VISIT. IF IN FACT THERE WERE NO SECRET FALSE ALLEGATIONS OF ME SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER BY MARGARET INGOGLIA AND IF THEY WERE UNFOUNDED, WHEN? BECAUSE I WAS TREATED AS IF I HAD SEXUALLY ABUSED MY DAUGHTER. IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE PARAGRAPH, ACS CLAIMED THAT MY DAUGHTER TOOK HER PANTS OFF AND HER AND I WENT UNDER THE COVERS AT ACS VISITATION OF WHICH NEVER HAPPENED.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ARDAISHA HUDSON, CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY, RASHIMA FERGUSON, FARAH JOLY, MICHELET FORTUNE, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROSMIL ALMONTE, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, THE CITY OF NEWYORK.

THE 25TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS VIOLATING MY DAUGHTERS FREEDOM OF SPEECH WITH ONCE AGAIN THE INTENT TO PREVENT ME FOR BEING ABLE TO PROTECT MY OWN DAUGHTER AND HAVE EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF MY EFFORTS TO ENSURE HER SAFETY AS MORALLY AND LEGALLY REQUIRED OF A PARENT. SHE WAS CHASTISED FOR TELLING ME ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT HAD HAPPENED AT HOME AND CALLED A LIAR AND DISBELIEVED. ACS WOULD ALSO PLACE HER ABUSERS, THE MOTHER AND THE MOTHERS SON RIGHT ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE PARTITION SO THEY WOULD HEAR IF MY DAUGHTER TOLD ME ANYTHING ABOUT WHAT WAS HAPPENING TO HER AT HER HOME. SO WE NOT ONLY HAD A ACS SUPERVISED VISIT TO PREVENT MY DAUGHTER FROM REPORTING TO ME, WE HAD AN ABUSER SUPERVISED VISIT WITH EFFORTS TO PREVENT HER FROM REPORTING TO HER FATHER ABOUT CRIMES BEING COMMITTED AGAINST HER. INSANE AND WHOLLY EVIL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES

:PAULA GARCIA, BOLANDE BEGHO, ARDAISHA HUDSON, JOLY, FERGUSON, FORTUNE, CHRISTIANI, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY,

THE 26TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE KMART SHOPLIFTING INCIDENT WHERE MARGARET INGOGLIA SHOPLIFTED WITH HER CHILDREN, HER SON AND MY DAUGHTER AND WAS DETAINED. THE ATTEMPTED THEFT WAS 350 DOLLARS AND ALMOST EQUIVALENT TO THE 300 JOE STOLE FROM ME... (THE MOTHER TRAINED THE SON WELL, TO STEAL). THE ENTIRE INCIDENT WAS NOT INVESTIGATED BY ACS, IGNORED, CONCEALED AND NO DISCOVERY OR ACTION WAS TAKEN.. I

FOUND OUT ABOUT THIS WHEN A COLLECTION LETTER WAS SENT TO MY HOME FOR THE AMOUNT STOLEN. AS SOON AS I REPORTED WHAT HAD OCCURRED MARGARET INGOGLIAS BROOKLYN DEFENDER SERVICES LAWYER, ACTUALLY WENT ALL THE WAY FROM BROOKLYN TO MANHATTAN AND SPOKE WITH THE STAFF THERE PERSONALLY IN EFFORT TO PREVENT THEM FROM SPEAKING TO ANYONE ABOUT THE MATTER. I KNOW THIS FROM THE PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR FIRM THAT I SENT THERE TO INVESTIGATE THE MATTER. ACS NOT ONLY IGNORED THIS ISSUE AND DIDN'T INVESTIGATE, THEY CLAIMED I VIOLATED THE ORDER OF PROTECTION FOR HAVING THE FAMILY UNDER SURVEILLANCE TO HAVE EVER KNOWN ABOUT THIS TO BEGIN WITH OF COURSE OF WHICH I DID NOT AND THEY HAVE NO EVIDENCE OF. SUCH FILING CAN BE SEEN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

FURTHERMORE, NOT ONLY DID ACS NOT INVESTIGATE THIS, NYPD DID NOT INVESTIGATE THIS MATTER EITHER. WHETHER OR NOT KMART WANTED TO PRESS CHARGES AGAINST INGOGLIA IS ONE THING. MARGARET INGOGLIA STEALING WITH THE CHILDREN PRESENT AND EVEN HAVING THE CHILDREN HELP HER STEAL ARE CRIMES AGAINST THE CHILDREN. THE AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE OF MY DAUGHTERS ABUSE WAS IMMENSE AND RATHER TO TAKE ACTION TO PROTECT MY DAUGHTER AND COMMEND ME FOR PROTECTING MY DAUGHTER AND FOLLOWING THE LAW, THEY TOOK PART IN CRIMINAL CONDUCT AGAINST MY DAUGHTER TO MAKE A CASE AGAINST THE FATHER AND EVEN TO TRY TO PUT ME, HER FATHER IN JAIL FOR FALSE REPORTING WHEN THEY KNEW AND HAD IN THEIR RECORDS THAT MANY OF MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE CONFIRMED TO BE CORRECT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, WILLIAMS, TRAVIS JOHNSON, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, BEGHO WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, WASKO, ZULMA, LEITNER, LA LINDEZ, UDOCHI, LATTIBEAUDIERE, OCFS.

THE 27TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK DISCRIMINATES UPON JEWS AS CAN BE FOUND IN FIRST FEDERAL FILING WHERE I AM JEWISH AND NOT AMERICAN UNLIKE MY CHILDS MOTHER AND FURTHERMORE, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK DISCRIMINATES BY MEANS OF CLASS. THEY TARGET WHOM THEY FEEL ARE THE POOR AND THE UNEDUCATED SUCH AS MYSELF. LETS GIVE A PERFECT EXAMPLE:

DONALD TRUMP WHOM HAS A MINOR CHILD LIVING IN NEW YORK CITY IS BEING CHARGED WITH A WHOLE PLETHORA OF CRIMES AND COUNTS. IS ACS INVESTIGATING OR REMOVING HIS CHILD? NO.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 28TH CAUSE OF ACTION (THE CITY OF NEW YORK FILES ONE BOGUS CASE TO USE AS A FOUNDATIONAL SMERE CAMPAIGN FOR THE OTHER......)

SO THE CITY OF NEW YORK FILES ONE BOGUS CASE TO USE AS A FOUNDATIONAL SMERE CAMPAIGN FOR THE OTHER.....

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS,NYC CHILDREN, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, FARAH JOLY,RASHIMA FERGUSON, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, NYPD, DAVID HANSELL, OCFS.

THE 29TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS USING GOVERNMENT FUNDS TO ASSEMBLE A LEGAL DREAM TEAM AGAINST ME AT OCFS COURT. THE VENDETTA AGAINST THE FOUNDER OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, ACS WORKER COMPLAINTS.COM, IMPEACH LETITTIA JAMES.COM AND US COURT CORRUPTION.ORG IS REAL.
THEY DID THE SAME AT US COURT AS WELL....

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, UDOCHI, WASKO, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, LA LINDEZ.

THE 30TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK DELAYING FOIL RESPONSES FOR MORE THAN A YEAR AND REFUSING TO PROVIDE FOIL. AS WELL AS THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM NOT TURNING OVER RECORDS AND FOIL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA

THE 31ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE 2 BOGUS CRIMINAL CASES BROUGHT BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK THAT WERE DISMISSED. NOT A ACD OR A PLEA. TOTALLY DISMISSED. SUCH CRIMINAL ACTION AGAINST ME WAS NOTED IN ACS RECORDS, FALSELY STATING THAT I WAS INCARCERATED WHEN I WAS NEVER. IN ADDITION, EVEN AFTER SUCH CASES WERE DISMISSED AND I INFORMED ACS, THE RECORDS ON THE ACS CASE WERE NEVER UPDATED.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, NYPD, BROOLYN DAS OFFICE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, FARAH JOLY, RASHIMA FERGUSON, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI.

THE 32ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ALL THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AS SHOWN ON ACS <u>COMPLAINTS.COM</u>, CRIMES AGAINST AND UPON MY DAUGHTER ALONG WITH ALL THE BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS, SECRET INVESTIGATIONS AND LACK OF THEM DESIGNED TO INTENTIONALLY HARM MY DAUGHTER TO MAKE A CASE AGAINST HER AND HER FATHER (CHILD IS FALSELY REPORTING TO HER FATHER AND ACS BECAUSE THE CRAZY FATHER MADE THE DAUGHTER CRAZY...)

FOR INSTANCE, IN THE ACS NOTES, MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED WITH A KNIFE. THE MOTHER SAID IT WAS SELF INFLICTED. ACS TELLS THE MOTHER, MARGARET TO KEEP THE KNIVES AWAY FROM MY DAUGHTER!!!

MY CHILDS MOTHER TOLD HER SCHOOL TO DISREGARD HER MARKS AND BRUISES BECAUSE SHE HAS BALANCE PROBLEMS AND THROWS HERSELF ON THE FLOOR....

NOTE OFFICER KIMS COMMENTS ON THE OFFICER KIM PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

MANDATED REPORTER KEVIN GORRASI DID NOT REPORT CRIMINAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER DUE TO HIS ROMANTIC INTEREST IN MY CHILDS MOTHER / MAKING MONEY OFF ACS. IN ONE OF MY CAUSES OF ACTION, I SHOWED THAT HE HAS TO PRODUCE AN AFFIDAVIT AFTER CFS OR HE CHARGED THE CITY OF NEW YORK / ACS MORE THAN 1300 DOLLARS AS TO WHY SUCH EXPENDITURE FOR CONTINUED SUPERVISION AND EXPENSE WAS NECESSARY. NEITHER ACS NOR CFS HAD EVER DONE SO AND THE MONEY KEPT ON ROLLING UNTIL I INFORMED GORRASI /

CFS THAT I WOULD SUE THEM DUE TO FALSE REPORTING ON THE PART OF GORRASI AND ME MISSING TIME WITH MY DAUGHTER THAT KEVIN GORRASI CLAIMED HE MADE UP AND DID NOT. WHEN I TRIED TO CONTACT THE OWNER OR PRESIDENT OF CFS, RICHARD SPITZER, HE COULD CARE LESS AND TOLD ME TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM CONTACTING HIM FURTHER. ERIC GONZALEZ IS AWARE OF THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AND DOES NOTHING. HE CONCEALS THE FACT THAT TIRADO IS A KNOWN PROBLEM AT THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD AND WILL NOT TURN OVER ANY RECORDS NOR JOANN FERRETTI, REGARDING HIS BAD COP LIST THAT HE MAINTAINS FOR HIS DISTRICT ATTORNEYS.

TIRADO REFUSED EVIDENCE OF ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER, CLAIMING ACCORDING TO GONZALEZ HE CANT ACCEPT IT BECAUSE EVIDENCE FROM PARENTS CAN BE FRAUDS. TIRADO REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE A CRIME AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AS MANDATED BY CHILD ABUSE DA KELLY CASEY, KELLY CASEY WAS INFORMED ALONG WITH GONZALEZ. THEY DID NOTHING ABOUT THE SITUATION, MERELY GOING THROUGH THE MOTIONS OF TAKING ACTION WHEN THEY TOOK NO ACTION WHATSOEVER. AS TIRADO HAD TOLD ME I DIDNT DO THE INVESTIGATION BECAUSE "I DIDNT BELIEVE IT COULD HAVE HAPPENED IN THE FIRST PLACE "MEANWHILE, THE ACS INVESTIGATION CLEARLY SHOWS THE CRIME WAS COMMITTED AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS, COM, CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER.

THE ABUSE OF CHILDREN IS A POLICY ON THE PART OF ACS AND OCFS AS CAN BE HEARD BY ACS SUPERVISOR TELLING ACS WORKER ARDAISHA HUDSON TO TAKE MY PHONE FROM ME SO I WOULDN'T HAVE THE RECORDED EVIDENCE OF MY DAUGHTER STATING THAT SHE WAS THROWN BY JOE. THIS ENTIRE SITUATION CAN BE HEARD ONLINE. AFTERWARDS, THEY PUT UP THE SIGNS BARRING RECORDING IN THEIR FACILITIES SO THAT PARENT'S SUCH AS MYSELF CANNOT OBTAIN EVIDENCE OF THE ABUSE OF THEIR CHILDREN UNDER ACS JURISDICTION. AS A MATTER OF FACT, ACS ALMONTE TRIED TO CRIMINALIZE MY REPORTING OF CRIMES UPON MY DAUGHTER BY CLAIMING THAT MY REPORTING VIOLATED THE CHILDS MOTHERS ORDER OF PROTECTION. MEANWHILE, IT IS A CRIME IN NEW YORK NOT TO REPORT CRIMES AGAINST YOU CHILD. ALMONTE TOOK SUCH ACTION WHEN RIGHT IN THEIR OWN RECORDS, THEY INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICED MY CALLS AS CAN BE SEEN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND THE STIP PACKET SHOWN ON OFFICE OF CHIDREN AND FAMILIY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM

ACS HAS REFUSED TO TURN OVER DISCOVERY OF THE OCTOBER 11, 2018 INCIDENT OF MY DAUGHTER BEING THROWN BY JOE AS CAN BE REFERRED TO ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AS WELL AS OTHER CRIMES. AS INDICATED UPON MY PREVIOUS FEDERAL FILINGS.

THE MATTER OF ACS AND OCFS VINDICTIVE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AND I IS REAL WHEN RIGHT UPON THEIR OWN RECORDS, THEY HAVE INDICATED FOR EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT AND INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP FOR THE CHILDS MOTHER SINCE MY DAUGHTER WAS PLACED WITH HER, NOT TO MENTION TWO PREVIOUS "PROSECUTIONS" BY ACS FOR ABUSE AND NEGLECT OF HER SON. MEANWHILE, I HAVE ZERO BACKGROUND OF ANYTHING. SO ONCE AGAIN, WHILE THIS CASE IS GOING ON FOR INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP OF ME, THEY INDICATE FOR INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP FOR THE MOTHER AND... I REPEAT, AND EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT. THEY DO NOT FILE ON HER BUT RETAIN THEIR FILING ON ME. FURTHERMORE, THEY FILED ON ME AFTER THEY IGNORED AND THEN CONCEALED THE SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER BY MARGARET INGOGLIA AND HER SON JOE PALOMINO INGOGLIA.

ACCORDING TO STATE LAW, AS A PARENT IF YOU DO NOT REPORT ABUSE / NEGELCT OF YOUR CHILD AND TAKE STEPS TO PREVENT IT, IT IS A MISDEMEANOR CRIME, MISDEMEANOR A FOR ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD. WHAT ACS DID ALONG WITH ITS CONSPIRATORS IS MAKE UP THEIR OWN LAW FOR CRIMINALIZING A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT A PARENT HAS TO PROTECT THEIR CHILD AND CONTRADICTING THE 260.10 PENAL STATUTE OF ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD, PLACING ME IN A CATCH 22 SITUATION OF THEM CRIMINALIZING MY REPORTING WHILE THE LAW CRIMINALIZES ME NOT REPORTING.
THEY ARE CONTINUING TO VIOLATE THE LANDMARK NICHOLSON VS SCOPETTA CASE OF PUNISHED FOR REPORTING AND PROTECTING.

WHAT ACS AND THEIR CONSPIRATORS DID WAS MORE THAN CAPRICIOUS IT WAS A VIOLATION OF 18 US 3283, CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT, A CLEAR VIOLATION OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CHILD ABUSE PROTECTION ACT AND THIS IS NOT EVEN ALL INCLUSIVE.

UPON THE PAGES OF CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AS CAN BE FOUND ON <u>ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM</u>, YOU CAN VIEW WHERE MARGARET INGOGLIA TOLD MY DAUGHTERS SCHOOL TO DISREGARS HER MARKS AND BRUISES BECAUSE SHE HAS BALANCE PROBKEMS AND THROWS HERSELF ON THE FLOOR. FURTHERMORE, WHEN MY DAUGHTER WAS INJURED IN A KNIFE ATTACK OF WHICH EVEN THE SCHOOL SAID THEY NEVER SAW HER LIKE THAT BEFORE AND THAT SHE WAS JITTERY, MARGARET TOLD ACS THAT IT WAS SELF INFLICTED OF WHICH AS SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM WAS TO PUT AWAY THE KNIVES SO MY DAUGHTER CANT GET TO THEM.

PLEASE VIEW OFFICER KIMS 69 OCT VIEWPOINT ON THIS ON OFFICER KIM PAGE.

IT IS CLEAR THAT THE M.O. WAS TO HARM MY DAUGHTER, THEM CLAIM SHE IS A LIAR, DELUSIONAL AND CRAZY AND THEN CLAIM SHE IS THAT WAY BECAUSE OF HER FATHER. TIRADO WENT FURTHER AND CLAIMED THAT SHE WAS A MUTE AND COULDN'T TALK WHEN SHE WAS AFRAID TO.. THE M.O.WAS TO HARM MY DAUGHTER, THEN WE BOTH BECOME NUTS ENOUGH TO REPORT IT. THAT ITS ALL SELF INFLICTED (RUNNING AROUND, BANGING INTO FURNITURE.... ETC. JUMPING FROM THE BED TO THE NIGHTSTAND... DOES THAT MAKE SENSE TO YOU? WOULDN'T THAT BE THE OTHER WAY AROUND? WAIT A MINUTE. ILL JUMP FROM THE BED UNTO THE NIGHTSTAND??!?!!?) AND MY DAUGHTER AND I ARE LIARS. WHY? BECAUSE THE FATHER IS AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN.

INCREDIBLY IN ONE INVESTIGATION WHEN MY DAUGHTER WAS THROWN AND SHE TOLD ACS WHAT SHE WAS THROWN INTO A WALL AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER WITH HER EYE ALL BLOODSHOT FROM THE HEAD INJURY, ACS DETERMINED THAT WAS IMPOSSIBLE BECAUSE IT WAS HIGHER THAN THE HEIGHT OF HER HEAD. HOWEVER SHE SAID SHE WAS THROWN !!!

AND NOTICE THE CRISS CROSS LINES ON HER FOREHEAD THAT CONINCIDE WITH THE LINES OF A WALL THETMOSTAT.

OF NOTE IS THAT ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, YOU CAN SEE THAT MY DAUGHTER HAS A DISLOCATED JAW.

CONSPICUOUSLY AND SUSPICIOUSLY IN THE BOGUS NYC INVESTIGATIONS IF ANY, NEIGHBORS WERE NEVER SPOKEN TO. NO POSSIBLE WITNESSES WERE EVER SPOKEN TO. EVERYTHING WAS BASED UPON THE STATEMENTS OF MARGARET AND JOE, THEIR CLIENTS.

ACS FARAH JOLY, ARDAISHA HUDON AND FORTUNE ALL TOOK PART IN LYING TO NYPD INVESTIGATIVE DETECTIVES.

SARGEANT SINNER FROM THE RESPONDING PRECINCT OF THE OCTOBER 11, 2018 INCIDENT FOR MY DAUGHTER BEING THROWN WHERE ACS WANTED TO TAKE MY PHONE FROM ME, CLOSED DOWN THE INVESTIGATION FROM NYPD IN ONLY 24 HOURS WITH THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD NOT TAKING IT UP FOR ALMOST TWO MONTHS, SECRETLY. NOT ONLY DID THEY NEVER

SPEAK TO ME FOR ANY EVIDENCE OR STATEMENT, WHEN I FOUND OUT FROM SPECIAL VICTIMS ABOUT THE INVESTIGATION MORE THAN TWO YEARS LATER AFTER HAVING PURSUED MY OWN INVESTIGATION AND RESEARCH, (SPECIAL THANK YOU TO SGT MITHCELL OF SPECIAL VICTIMS BROOKLYN. !!!!!) TIRADO CLAIMED THAT DA GONZALEZ DOESN'T WANT THEM ACCEPTING ANY EVIDENCE FROM PARENTS BECAUSE THEY CAN BE FRAUDS.

WHEN MY DAUGHTER BEGGED, CRIED AND PLEADED WITH ME NOT TO GO HOME WITH MOMMY AND JOE BECAUSE SHE WAS AFRAID OF THEM ON OCTOBER 18, 2018, ON THIS DAY, THERE WAS AN ACS WORKER, AND GWYNETH HORTON ALONG WITH DANA GRIERSON, AN ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD FROM LEGAL AID AND ONE OF THEIR SOCIAL WORKERS. THEY WERE ALL TAKING HAND WRITTEN NOTES ON THIS DAY. AFTERWARDS, ALL MADE BELIEVE ALONG WITH TRAVIS JOHNSON THAT THESE HANDWRITTEN NOTES NEVER EXISTED. WHAT THEY ARE TRYING TO SAY IS THAT ON A 3 WAY EVALUATION OF A PARENT INTERACTING WITH A CHILD, NO ONE TOOK ANY NOTES...THEY CONCEALED THE PLEAS OF MY DAUGHTER. ALL 3.

FURTHERMORE, ARDAISHA HUDSON CALLED CORALYS PALOMINO, JOE PALOMINOS HALF SISTER. THE DAUGHTER OF THE FATHER WHOM HE KILLED. CORALYS TOLD ME THAT SHE TOLD HUDSON THAT JOE IS A PIECE OF SHIT.

ACS RIGHT IN THEIR OWN RECORDS KNOW THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA AND HER SON MADE FALSE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST HIS FATHER.

CORALYS TOLD ME THAT MARGARET AND JOE DO REAL EVIL SCHEMING SHIT BEHIND YOUR BACK

ACS HAS NOT TURNED OVER DISCOVERY ON THIS MATTER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYPD, BROOKLYN AND MANHATTAN DAS OFFICES, SAFE HORIZONS, ERIC GONZALEZ, JOANN FARRETTI, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, PAULA GARCIA, WILLIAMS, JOSEPH BRUNETTI NYPD, SGT SINNER NYPD, TIRADO NYPD, BEN EIL, NYPD, SHAH HAIDER, NYPD, ROBERT DI FALCO, NYPD, GERARD SARDINA, NYPD MICHAEL KEENAN, NYPD LUPERON, NYPD, INSPECTOR CAROLINE ROE, NYPD, MICHAEL KING, NYPD, OCTAVIA HILL, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, TIRADO, NYPD, GWYNETH HORTON, DANA GRIERSON, KEVIN GORRASI, COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES, RICHARD SPITZER, WASKO, ZULMA MONTANEZ, LA LINDEZ, LEITNER, LATTIBEAUDIERE, KELLY CASEY, ERIC GONZALEZ, DA, COLLEEN WALSH, JAMES ZALETTA, POOLE, DANNHAUSER, HANSELL, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, WILLIAMS, CORPORATION COUNSEL, DANA GRIERSON, GWYNETH HORTON, COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES,, KEVIN GORRASI, RICHARD SPITZER, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, MANHATTAN DAS OFFICE, JANET DIFIORE, NYS UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM SAFE HORIZONS, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, EDWARD ARMSTRONG.

THE 33RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ONE OF SUBORNED PERJURY, MARGARET INGOGLIA UNDER DIRECT EXAMINATION BY ACS ATTORNEY, ROSMIL ALMONTE HAD STATED THAT WHERE WE LIVED THE CEILINGS WERE FALLING AND IT WAS DRIPPING AND TERRIBLE !!!! NOWHERE IN THE CASE NOTES DOES IT STATE THIS, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF THIS AND FURTHERMORE, EVEN THE PETITION STATES THAT I PROVIDED A HOME FOR THE FAMILY. EVERYONE IN ATTENDANCE KNEW THAT THIS WAS A TOTAL LIE AND FABRICATION. I EMAILED EVERYONE AFTERWARDS AND EVEN FILED UPON THE CASE THAT THIS WAS KNOWN PERJURY BY ALL. I EMAILED GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS SINCE PRESENTING KNOWN PERJURY ON THE PART OF THE GOVERNMENT IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. I WAS LIED UPON KNOWINGLY BY ALL AND INTENTIONALLY AND WAS IGNORED 100%.

I ALSO FILED UNTO THE CASE AND EMAILED THE ATTORNEYS OF THE CASE AND THE JUDGE! ACS WAS AT OUR HOME REPEATEDLY. THERE ARE NO NOTES, PHOTOS, NOTHING TO SUPPORT THIS. WOULD ACS LEAVE A CHILD IN A HOME LIKE THIS FOR MONTHS TO BEGIN WITH? THEY TOOK PART IN SUCH FRAUD TO JUSTIFY PLACING MY DAUGHTER IN WELFARE S**T HOLES

WITHOUT EVEN AIR CONDITIONING. MY CHILD IS HEAT INTOLERANT LIKE HER MOTHER. ACS WOULDNT PROVIDE THE FAMLY WITH AN AC. THE MOTHER HAD TO GET A DOCTORS NOTE AND I SPENT 900 DOLLARS FOR A IN WALL MOUNT AC WHILE THEY WERE IN A CITY RUN FACILITY. NOW THAT THEY HAVE BEEN MOVED, AFTER HAVING BEEN ASSURED THIS AC WAS GOING TO STAY WITH THE FAMILY, IM SURE MY DAUGHTERS LIFE IS IN DANGER ONCE AGAIN WITH NO AC. THIS MATTER HAS TO BE ADDRESSED. HOWEVER, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT ACS JUSTIFIES THEIR ILLEGAL ACTIONS VIA KNOWN LIES AND FRAUD. TAKING PART IN CLAIMING MY DAUGHTER WAS BASICALLY LIVING IN SOME WAR ZONE TO JUSTIFY PLACING HER IN THESE PATHETIC WELFARE SHELTERS THAT PLACE HER LIFE AT RISK WHILE THEY IGNORE THE SITUATION IS UTTERLY DESPICABLE.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, SHE WAS PLACED IN A SHELTER WHERE THERE WERE BED BUGS AND SHE WAS BITTEN. RASHIMA FERGUSON STATED THIS WAS COMMON !!!!!!! NEVER HAPPENED WITH ME !!!!!! I DON'T EVEN KNOW WHAT A BED BUG BITE IS. NEVER HAD ONE NOR SAW SUCH A BUG.

NOW, I FEAR MY DAUGHTERS LIFE IS AT RISK IF SHE DOESN'T HAVE MEDICALLY NECESSARY AIR CONDITIONING DUE TO HEAT INTOLERANCE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WILLIAMS, ALMONTE, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, MARGARET INGOGLIA, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NYC DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES, CORPORATION COUNSEL, HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, FERGUSON, FARAH JOLY, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, PAULA GARCIA, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES, DHS., WITHERSPOON, BEGHO, DOUGHERTY.

THE 34TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS TERMINATION OF MY PARENTAL RIGHTS FROM DAY 1.

PLEASE REVIEW PARENTS RIGHTS PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM. FIRST OF ALL THERE HAS TO BE A FINDING OF CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE. NOT MERELY A PREPONDERENCE OF THE EVIDENCE. FURTHERMORE, THE GOVERNMENT STILL CANNOT TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS. AND FURTHERMORE, THE FINAL ORDER WAS A FRAUD WRITTEN BY ACS OF WHICH HAS A TRICK CLAUSE IN IT TO PERMANENTLY TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS AND THIS IS IF I OBTAIN A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION... WELL I HAD SEVERAL. DR ELIS EVALUATION, ROSMIL ALMONTE, ACS PROSECUTOR STATED IN COURT THAT ANYONE COULD HAVE "CREATED "THIS LETTER. (APPARENTLY THEY ARE ACCUSTOMED TO THEIR OWN FRAUD.). SO I HAD THE DOCTORS LETTER NOTARIZED AND RE PRESENTED IT. STILL, IT IS CLAIMED BY THE ACS FINAL ORDER THAT I HAVE NOT HAD A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION... IN CONTINUATION OF THIS, THE

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NYC CHILDREN, NICOLA GIBSON, ROSMIL ALMONTE, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 35TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THERE HAS TO BE A TRIAL BY JURY.

PLEASE VIEW TRIAL BY JURY PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS, COM

NYS FAMILY COURT DOES NOT ALLOW JURY TRIALS. HOWEVER, UPON THE PROVIDED EVIDENCE, A JURY TRIAL MUST BE PROVIDED.

ONE OF THE MANY ISSUES IS THAT ACS CONSIDERS THEIR ATTORNEYS PROSECUTORS WHICH CAN BE FOUND IN THEIR FILINGS UPON 22 CV 5416 FOR INSTANCE. THESE PROSECUTORS THEY CLAIM HAVE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY. THESE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY PROSECUTORS TAKE PART IN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS WHERE A GUILTY IN ACS COURT IS ALSO A DE FACTO GUILTY IN OFFICE OF CHLDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COURT (JUDGE WASKO OF OCFS STATED THAT ACS COURT IS A HIGHER COURT OF WHICH IS NOT TRUE SINCE OCFS OVERSEES LDSS ((LOCAL DISTRICT SOCIAL SERVICES)) BUT, HECK, SHE SAID IT!) AND FURTHERMORE, MOST IMPORTANTLY A GUILTY IN ACS COURT OF A ARTICLE 10 IS ALSO A DEFACTO OR PRIMA FACIE GUILTY OF PENAL CODE ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD, MISDEMEANOR A, NY 260.10 (2) WHICH

REQUIRES A TRIAL BY JURY. AN ARTICLE 10 " CONVICTION " IS ALREADY A PRE DETERMINED GUILTY ACCORDING TO STATUTE IN CRIMINAL COURT SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH JAIL TERM OF UP TO ONE YEAR, MISDEMEANOR A OF WHICH REQUIRES A TRIAL BY JURY. IN MY CASE, MY PARENTAL RIGHTS WERE TERMINATED WITHOUT A JURY OF WHICH IS UNLAWFUL. THIS SAID, THE FINAL ORDER OF MY CASE WAS A ACS WRITTEN FRAUD WITH FALSE INFORMATION AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM HOME PAGE. A CONVICTION IN ACS COURT RESULTS IN A 25 YEAR BAN TO CARE FOR CHILDREN IN NEW YORK STATE OF WHICH FOR MANY PARENTS SUCH AS MYSELF, EXCEEDS MY LIFE EXPECTANCY. WHEN YOU VIEW THE TRIAL BY JURY PAGE, CASE LAW AND STATUTES CAN BE FOUND SUPPORTING PARENTS RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY WHERE THEY CAN LOSE THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS PERMANENTLY SUCH AS I AND CONVICTED IN ONE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT UPON THE OTHER TWO, ONE OF THEM BEING CRIMINAL COURT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, WILLIAMS, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, LETITIA JAMES, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 36TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CONCEALING THE FACT THAT THEY CONVICT APROXIMATELY 98.5 % OF THE RESPONDENTS SO A CHANGE OF VENUE WOULD NOT BE REQUESTED. ACCORDING TO THEM. APPROXIMATELY ONE HALF OF APPROXIMATELY 7000 CASES THAT ARE FILED EACH YEAR RESULT IN THE KIDNAPPING OF THE CHILD / CHILDREN, THE REST ARE SUPERVISED AND 1.5% THE CASE IS DISMISSED. NO ATTORNEY TELLS THE RESPONDENT THIS. NO ONE DOES. THE RESPONDENT IS ENTITLED TO KNOWING THIS AND BE ABLE TO REQUEST A CHANGE OF VENUE. THIS IS DUE TO INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL OF WHICH OCCURRED WITH ME. NO ATTORNEY TOLD ME. ACS NEVER TOLD ME. CERTAINLY NOT LEGAL AID. THIS VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. PARTICULARLY WHEN ACS COURT IS ACTUALLY A CRIMINAL COURT AND PARTICULARLY IN MY CASE WHERE THE GOVERNMENT IS FABRICATING FALSE CRIMINAL CHARGES WITH A FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND AS CAN BE SHOWN ON THE BOTTOM OF HOMEPAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND WAS PREVIOUSLY CASE 21 CV 5532. SO IN A NUTSHELL I WAS ENTITLED TO A CHANGE OF VENUE AND NOT AFFORDED ONE DUE TO ACS CONCEALING THEIR CONVICTION RATE SO RESPONDENTS WOULDN'T KNOW TO REQUEST A CHANGE OF VENUE TO BEGIN WITH.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON.

THE 37TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE SIGNS OFF ON 18 B ATTORNEY PAYCHECKS. IF THE JUDGE IS ASSIGNED TO BE THERE FOR ACS UNDER ACS RECOMMENDATION IT IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS FOR THE JUDGE TO BE APPROVING THE PAYCHECKS AND THE AMOUNT OF HOURS DEFENSE COUNSEL NEEDS TO SPEND TO DEFEND THEIR CLIENTS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES. ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN.

THE 38TH CAUSE OF ACTION WHICH IS SIMILAR TO THE 36TH CAUSE IS NYC CORPORATION COUNSEL RECOMMENDS THE JUDGE TO THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHOM THEY FEEL WILL BE MOST FAVORABLE TO THEM. THESE ACS COURT JUDGES ARE ASSIGNED TO HEAR ACS CASES FOR ACS UPON RECOMMENDATION BY THE ACS PROSECUTORS. THERE IS NO IMPARTIAL COURT OF LAW IN ACS COURT. THE JUDGE IS WORKING FOR ACS UPON THEIR RECOMMENDATION. VIEW ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CORRUPT COURT DESIGN PAGE..

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM.

THE 39TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CITY AND STATE OF NEW YORK AND THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY ATTORNEYS REFERRING TO ACS COURT AS FAMILY COURT, OF WHICH CAN BE WITNESSED UPON 22 CV 5416. RUSSIA CALLS A LOT OF THINGS WHAT THEY ARENT. IT DOESN'T MAKE IT TRUE. JUST BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT CALLS A PIG AN ELEPHANT IT DOESN'T MAKE THE PIG INTO AN ELEPHANT. AS ADOLPH HITLER SAID IN HIS DIARY OF MEIN KAMPF, HOW FORTUNATE IT IS FOR THOSE IN POWER THAT PEOPLE DO NOT THINK.

ACS COURT IS CRIMINAL COURT, FRAUDULENTLY PRESENTED AS A CIVIL FAMILY COURT TO FALSELY PROFESS DOMESTIC RELATIONS EXCEPTIONS AND CIRCUMVENT TRIAL BY JURY UPON OTHER SIGNIFICANT PROTECTIONS FOR THOSE ACCUSED OF CRIMES.

- 1. ACS / CORPORATION COUNSEL / THE CITY OF NEW YORK CONSIDERS THEIR ATTORNEYS, PROSECUTORS.
- 2. ACS/ CORPORATION COUNSEL / THE CITY OF NEW YORK DECLARE THEIR PROSECUTORS HAVE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY JUST LIKE CRIMINAL PROSECUTORS DO. SO WHERE IS THE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE AND FULL DISCOVERY, NOT EXCESSIVELY

REDACTED?

- 3. A CONVICTION IN ACS COURT IS A SIMULTANEOUS CONVICTION IN 3 COURTS. ACS, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES AND CRIMINAL UNDER N.Y. PENAL 260.10 (2). THE ELEMENT OF COMMITTING ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF YOUR CHILD IS ABUSE AND OR NEGLECT UNDER ARTICLE 10 WHICH IS ACS COURT. HENCEFORTH, THERE IS NO FACT FINDING NECESSARY JUST LIKE WHAT JUDGE WASKO SAID IN OCFS COURT. THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN DETERMINED. SO ALL THAT HAS TO HAPPEN IS FOR ACS OR THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO OPEN A CRIMINAL CASE FOR ANY GUILTY PARENT FOR A SUMMARY / CONVCTION TO OCCUR. HOWEVER MISDEMEANOR A'S REQUIRE A TRIAL BY JURY.
- 4. ACS COURT IS MORE THAN JUST A PERSON VS A PERSON WITH A IMPARTIAL JUDGE. THIS IS AN ACTION BY THE GOVERNMENT AGAINST YOU WITH A JUDGE ASSIGNED BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR ACS UPON RECOMMENDATION BY THE GOVERNMENT ACS ATTORNEYS THEMSELVES....
- 5. FOR A 3 YEAR PERIOD LEGAL AID WAS WORKING FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.
- 6. A 25 YEAR SENTENCE TO BE UNABLE TO CARE FOR CHILDREN WITHIN NEW YORK STATE VIA OCFS AND PERMANENT WITH ACS.
- 7. NEGATIVE PUBLIC RECORD, IDENTICAL TO CRIMINAL
- 3. TERMINATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS.
- 9. 25 YEAR TERMINATION OF PATERNAL RIGHTS AS A GRANDFATHER.
- 10. THE GOVERNMENT PETITION IS ALL THE JUDGE HAS JURISDICTION OVER.
- 11. GOVERNMENT TRIES TO PUT YOU IN JAIL FOR NOTHING MORE THAN REPORTING ABUSE OF YOUR OWN CHILD TO THE POLICE AND TO THE CHILD ABUSE HOTLINE OF WHICH IS A CRIME NOT TO DO SO.
- 12. THE 25 YEARS IS FROM THE OFFICE OF CHIDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. WITH ACS, IT IS PERMANENT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES, JOHNSON AND SERLIN.

THE 40^{TH} CAUSE OF ACTION IS I WAS DENIED THE RIGHT TO CONFRONT WITNESSES BEFORE ME, PARTICUARLY MARGARET INGOGLIA.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ALMONTE, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JACQUELINE WILLIAMS, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ALMONTE, GIBSON.

THE 41ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGE WILLIAMS STATING THAT THE GOVERNMENT PETITION IS ALL SHE HAS JURISDICTION OVER, WHEN MEANWHILE IF I FILE A FAMILY OFFENSE PETITION IT ALWAYS ENDED UP I HER COURT WITHOUT HER TRANSFERRING IT ELSEWHERE. I WAS DENIED ACCESS TO A FAMILY COURT FOR THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF MY CHILD.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: WILLIAMS, ALMONTE, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, GIBSON.

THE 42ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE TELLING ME REGARDING CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUAGHTER THAT " THAT IS YOUR CONCERN. " VIOLATING HER OATH OF OFFICE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WILLIAMS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, ACS, NYC CHILDREN,, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY.

THE 43 RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE JUDGE STATING THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ABUSE OF MY CHILD WHEN RIGHT IN THE ACS NOTES THEMSELVES MY DAUGHTER SAID JOE HIT HER REGARDING HER BEING INJURED AND I SAID SO IN COURT. JUDGE WILLIAMS STATED THE ABOVE IN RESPONSE.MANY OF MY CALLS WERE INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICES. WILLIAMS EVEN WROTE ORDERS TO PREVENT ME FROM HAVING ANY FURTHER EVIDENCE OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT. AFTER MY DAUGHTER STATED JOE THREW HER AS CAN BE HEARD ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER, OCTOBER 11, 2018, YOU CAN HEAR HUDSONS SUPERVISOR TELLING HER TO TAKE MY PHONE AWAY. ACS GOES TO COURT TO TERMINATE MY VISITS! AND GET AN ORDER TO STOP ME FROM RECORDING. THE JUDGE THEN WRITES AN ORDER OF NO RECORDING, NO NOTE TAKING AND NO WITNESSES EITHER. BOTH PARTIES TOOK STEPS TO PREVENT ME FROM HAVING EVIDENCE OF VISUAL AND SPOKEN ABUSE UPON MY OWN CHILD, AND THEN CHOSE TO PROSECUTE ME AND PUT ME IN JAIL! FOR REPORTING WITH EVIDENCE I WASN'T ALLOWED TO HAVE. THEY ALSO PLACED HER ABUSERS ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE VISITATION WALL SO THEY WOULD HEAR IF SHE TOLD ME WHAT WAS DONE TO HER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: WILLIAMS, JOHNSON, SERLIN, ALMONTE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, AMY SERLIN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, NICOLA GIBSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 44TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS/NYC WITHHOLDING KEY DISCOVERY ON CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER UNTIL 2 YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS HAD PASSED. WHEN I CONTACTED DET. LUPERON, MICHAEL KING, MICHAEL KEENAN AND THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD AND PROVIDED THE EVIDENCE, THEY DID NOTHING.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYPD, SARDINA, LUPERON, KING, KEENAN, BEN EIL, SHAH HAIDER, TIRADO, ROBERT DI FALCO (LUPERON THROUGH DI FALCO ARE ALL NYPD). ERIC GONZALEZ, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, SAFE HORIZONS, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 45TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD, AMY SERLIN TELLING 14 YEAR OLD JOE PALOMINO NOT TO SPEAK TO THE POLICE AND GAVE HIM A PACK OF BUSINESS

CARDS !!!!! TO CALL HER !!! TO PROTECT HIM, WHILE HE BEAT MY 3 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER. ACCORDING TO THE ACS NOTES TO PREVENT MY DAUGHTER FROM HAVING EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW AND HER FATHER FROM HAVING EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW TO REPORT CRIMES AGAINST HER. THIS ISNT ALL INCLUSIVE AS TO VIOLATION OF LAW. THIS IS ALSO CONSPIRACY AND A VIOLATION OF THE CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION ACT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, TRAVIS JOHNSON, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN.

THE 46TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS TRAVIS JOHNSON / AMY SERLIN / LEGAL AID SOCIETY REPRESENTING BOTH CHILDREN WITH ONE LAWYER PREVENTING MY DAUGHTER FROM HAVING PROTECTION AND REPRESENTATION OF HER OWN. JOE PALOMINO IS ONLY HER HALF BROTHER FROM MARGARETS OTHER RELIATIONSHIP AND THEY ONLY KNEW EACH OTHER FOR ABOUT 6 MONTHS. JOE WAS 10 YEARS OLDER THAN MY DAUGHTER AND HAD A MENTAL HEALTH AND VIOLENCE HISTORY THAT WAS KNOWN BY ALL. FURTHERMORE, THE MOTHER, MARGARET INGOGLIA ALSO HAD AN ABUSE AND NEGLECT HISTORY WITH HER SON. SHE WAS ENTITLED TO RECEIVE REPRESENTATION AND RECEIVED NOTHING.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, WILLIAMS.

THE 47th CAUSE OF ACTION IS WILLIAMS TAKING PART IN DESTROYING APPEALS COURT DECISION ON PLACEMENT OF THE CHILDREN UPON MY APPEAL. SUCH ACTIONS ON THE PART OF WILLIAMS DENIES ME DUE PROCESS IN STATE COURT AND IS OBVIOUSLY THE GARBAGE CAN THE YOUNGER ARGUMENT FROM THE DEFENSE IS GOING TO GO INTO.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, WILLIAMS, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY., OCA.

THE 48TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS PARENTS SUCH AS MYSELF WHOM PAY CHILD SUPPORT, DIDN'T COMMIT ANY CRIME AND STILL DO NOT SEE THEIR CHILD WITH ALL PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATED. UNLESS OF COURSE IF THE DEFENDANTS WISH TO AGREE THAT ACS COURT IS IN FACT A CRIMINAL PROSECUTION COURT OF WHICH ATRIAL BY JURY WAS NEVER AFFORDED TO ME NOR ANY PARENT RESPONDENT IN VIOLATION OF LAW, EVER. THIS SAID, BEFORE I WAS CONVICTED VIA ACS WRITTEN FINAL ORDER, FOR A PERIOD OF 4 YEARS WITHOUT CONVCTION, MY PARENTAL RIGHTS WERE TERMINATED AND I DIDN'T SEE MY DAUGHTER FOR 2 YEARS, 2020 TO PRESENT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, WILLIAMS, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, CORPORATION COUNSEL, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 49TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHEN ACS FILED A MOTION TO STOP MY VISITATION BECAUSE I CALLED 911 FOR MY DAUGHTER ON OCTOBER 11, 2018 AS CAN BE HEARD AND SEEN ON ACSCOMPLAINTS.COM, CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER PAGE, THE JUDGE DECIDED TO STOP MY VISITS AT ACS AND MOVE THEM OVER TO COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES SO I WOULD HAVE MORE GUIDANCE....THAT SOMEHOW, WHEN MY DAUGHTER IS INJURED AND SAID THAT JOE THREW HER, I SHOULD HAVE GUIDANCE IN THAT THIS IS SOMEHOW...... OKAY.

WELL MY DAUGHTERS PUNISHMENT FOR REPORTING TO ME AND MY PUNISHMENT FOR REPORTING TO THE POLICE WAS THE JUDGE TRANSFERRING VISITS TO CFS WHERE OF

COURSE ACS DIDN'T PAY CFS AND ACS INVOKED THEIR OWN VISIT SUSPENSION BY NOT PAYING CFS FOR APPROXIMATLY A MONTH.

RESPOSIBLE PARTIES: MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, DAVID HANSELL, ARDAISHA HUDSON, FERGUSON, JOLY, FORTUNE, CHRISTIANI, WILLIAMS, NICOLA GIBSON, ROSMIL ALMONTE, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, CORPORATION COUNSEL

THE 50TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS MARGARET INGOGLIA THEN PICKING UP WHERE ACS LEFT OFF BY NOT DOING HER CFS INTAKE SO ONCE AGAIN MY DAUGHTER AND I DIDN'T SEE EACH OTHER FOR A COUPLE OF WEEKS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, ARDAISHA HUDSON, JOLY, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, ALMONTE, GIBSON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, WILLIAMS, CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 51ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGE JACQUELINE WILLIAMS WHOM WILL NOT PROVIDE TO ME HER OATH OF OFFICE, NOR WILL THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM EITHER UPON MY FOIL REQUEST. SHE IS A CHILD ABUSER AND IN NO WAY, SHAPE OR FORM HAS ANY CONCERN FOR THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF CHILDREN. FURTHERMORE, SHE TAKES ACTION TO CONCEAL AND FACILITATE THE ABUSE OF CHILDREN. SHE IS A DISGRACE AS A PERSON AND AS A JUDGE AND IN NO WAY FAITHFULLY UPHOLDS THE LAW SHE IS SWORN IN TO ABIDE BY. SHE IS UTTERLY, AS EVIL AND CORRUPT AS ACS THEMSELVES AND IS CLEARLY A CO CONSPIRATOR WITH ACS TO ABUSE CHILDREN, PARTICULARLY MY CHILD AND USE SUCH ABUSE AS A MEANS TO MAKE CASES AGAINST PARENTS FOR REPORTING SUCH ABUSE AS CAN BE WITNESSED BY THEIR NO RECORDING SIGNS WHICH WERE PUT UP SUPPORTED BY THE CORRUPT CO CONSPIRATOR, WILLIAMS, AFTER I RECORDED, MY DAUGHTERS STATEMENTS OF ABUSE UNDER THEIR JURISDICTION. WHEN THE I TOLD THE JUDGE THAT THE ACS AMENDED PETITION IS PERJURY, THAT RIGHT IN THEIR RECORDS IT SHOWS THAT MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICES, SHE SAID..... "THIS IS WHAT ACS WANTS..."

SUCH IS NOT A JUDGE. SHE ACTED AS AN ENFORCEMENT AGENT OF ACS. SHE WAS NOT AN IMPARTIAL ARBITER OF LAW. SHE WAS HIRED UNDER RECOMMENDATION OF ACS ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHICH IS WHY THERE IS A 98.5% PLUS CONVICTION RATE IN THEIR KANGAROO COURTROOMS. THE ENTIRE CONSTRUCT OF ACS CRIMINAL COURT IS A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, RIGHT TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES, A VIOLATION OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH, A 14TH AMENDMENT VIOLATION OF EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW AND WHOLLY UPON ANYONE WHOM HAS EXPERIENCED A ACS COURT LYNCHING, CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMEMT TO KIDNAP A CHILD AND PLACE A PARENT INTO SUCH A CRIMINAL COURT CIRCUS LYNCHING GUISED AS WHAT THEY CALL "FAMILY COURT."

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WILLIAMS, THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, GARCIA, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER.

THE 52ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS SECRET DIRECTIVES BEING ISSUED FROM THE OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION. SUCH VIOLATES DUE PROCESS AND IS GROUNDS FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE. SUCH INFORMATION WAS NOT KNOWN TO ME OR TO COUNTLESS OTHER PARENTS. AS TAKEN FROM THE NYCLU WEB PAGE.

"The New York Civil Liberties Union learned about the Office of Court Administration's practice of issuing secret directives to judges instructing them on how to interpret court decisions affecting important

constitutional rights, In response, the NYCLU submitted a FOIL and expressed serious concerns about this practice, requesting that the OCA provide copies of all memos of this type issued over the last ten years. That request was denied, and as a result the NYCLU is challenging that denial and continuing its pursuit of administrative policies impacting judicial **determinations that have enormous consequences for those at the mercy of the courts.**" (A PRETTY LAWYER STATEMENT EXCEPT WHEN THOSE THAT ARE AT THE MERCY OF THE COURTS REQUEST THE INFORMATION, THEY GET THE SAME PIECE OF DUST FROM NYCLU AS WHAT WAS GIVEN TO NYCLU.)

FURTHERMORE, THERE IS THE ISSUE OF SENATOR D AMATO DINING WITH DIFIORE TO WIN HIS DIVORCE CASE AND HOW SHE MISUSED GOVERNMENT FUNDS WITH HER SECURITY DETAIL. GO TO JANET DIFIORE PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

IT IS CLEAR THAT DIFIORES NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM WHICH HAD AN EXCELLENCE INITIAITVE TO ENCOURAGE FEEDBACK FROM LITIGANTS SUCH AS MYSELF WAS A FRAUD. EVERY CONTACT I ATTEMPTED TO MAKE WAS IGNORED. HER CONDUCT AS A CHIEF JUDGE WAS TRULY SUSPECT AND THE COURTS THAT SHE PRESIDED OVER CANNOT BE TRUSTED.

NYCLU IGNORED MY REQUEST AS THE ARROGANT A HOLES THAT MOST ATTORNEYS ARE AND SINCE ATTORNEYS ARE OFFICERS OF THE COURT, FOR THEM TO CONCEAL SUCH INFORMATION FOR THEIR OWN BENEFIT TO THE DETRIMENT OF ALL OTHERS, THEY CAN ENJOY BEING A DEFENDANT AS WELL. AMAZING HOW THE APPLE NEVER FALLS FAR FROM THE TREE WHEN IT COMES TO ALL THESE BUM LAWYERS IN NEW YORK STATE WITH ETHICS STRAIGHT FROM THE SEWER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: NYCLU, JANET DIFIORE, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, WILLIAMS, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN.

THE 53RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE HUGE STATUE IN MANHATTAN ON GOVERNMENT PROPERTY IN FRONT OF FAMILY COURT THAT DEPICTS A NAKED WOMAN HAVING CUT A MANS HEAD OFF. SUCH MURDEROUS REPRESENTATIONS VIOLATE MY RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL TRIAL, IT INCITES AND ENCOURAGES VIOLENCE AGAINST MEN. I AM SURE IF SOMEONE HAD A STATUTE MADE THAT SHOWED THE KILLING OF AN ACS WORKER, THEY WOULD HAVE THE FBI VISITING THEM ON A CONSTANT BASIS.. GO TO STATUTE PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, JANET DIFIORE, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION.

THE 54TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS N.Y.S APPEAL DOCUMENTS BEING FILED TO A PORTAL THAT CANNOT BE VIEWED AND IS A "TRUST ME BLACK HOLE" OF WHICH JUDGE DECISIONS ARE AT TIMES RENDERED WITHOUT ANY DOCUMENT FROM THE COURT THAT THE JUDGE MADE SUCH DECISION. IF NYSCEF HAS A PORTAL THAT UPLOADS UPON A PACER LIKE DATABASE THAT CAN BE SEEN BY ALL AND PACER DOES AS WELL, HOW COME APPEALS COURT DOESN'T AND HOW COME JUDGE DECISIONS ARE TO BE MERELY TRUSTED WITHOUT ANYTHING IN WRITING AND WITHOUT THE PROCEEDING BEING DONE IN PERSON? THIS VIOLATES MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AND IS ONCE AGAIN A YOUNGER DEFENSE. FOR JUDGE DECISIONS TO BE RENDERED UPON THIN AIR WITH A CLERK STATING WHAT THE JUDGE SAID IN A TRUST ME SCENARIO, THIS IS NOT OBVIOUSLY RIDICULOUSLY UNACCEPTABLE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, JANET DIFIORE, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 55TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE ACS WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM...

ACS WAS HOUSING MARGARET INGOGLIA IN THEIR FACILITIES AND WAS SHIELDING HER FROM FEDERAL SERVICE WITH BOGUS ORDER OF PROTECTION AND MOVING HER UPON ATTEMPTS OF FEDERAL SERVICE BY LICENSED PROCESS SERVERS AFTER THEY ACCEPTED SERVICE ON BEHALF OF MARGARET INGOGLIA AND THEN REFUSED SERVICE. THEY TOOK STEPS TO INTERERE WITH FEDERAL SERVICE OF PROCESS OF WHICH IS A FEDERAL CRIME. THEY PROVIDED ME WITH HER ADDRESS AS SHOWN IN CASE NUMBER 22 CV 7815 AND THEN TRIED TO HAVE ME ARRESTED OF WHICH WAS THE BASIS OF 22 CV 7815 CASE, I REPEATEDLY THEN AS PART OF 22 CV 5416 CASE TRIED TO TAKE UP THE ISSUE WITH THE COURT OF SERVICE OF MARGARET INGOGLIA, HOWEVER ALL JUDGES IGNORED THE ISSUE, THERE WAS NO CRIME COMMITTED WHATSOEVER BY ME SINCE I WAS GIVEN MARGARET INGOGLIAS ADDRESS BY ACS, ACS AGREED ON ACCEPTING SERVICE, ACS THEN DENIES SERVICE, I TRY TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE WITH THE COURT SINCE I KNEW THIS WAS A POWDER KEG AND THE COURT IGNORED THE ISSUE, I FINALLY ATTEMPTED TO HAVE MARGARET INGOGLIA SERVED VIA PROCESS SERVER OF WHICH SPAWNED A BOGUS WANTED FROM NYPD WITHOUT A WARRANT. THE FINALITY OF THIS SITUATION IS ACS AND MARGARET INGOGLIA TOOK STEPS TO INTERFERE WITH SERVICE AND BY ACS ACCEPTING SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT AND THEN DESTROYING IT, TOOK PART IN TWO FEDERAL CRIMES OF INTERFERING WITH FEDERAL SERVICE AND DESTRUCTION OF FEDERAL COURT DOCUMENTS, THEY HAVE MOVED MARGARET INGOGLIA ONCE AGAIN WITH INTENT TO THWART FEDERAL SERVICE OF PROCESS, ISSUING A UNSERVED ORDER OF PROTECTION UPON A COUNTERFEIT ORDER PREVENTING ME FROM OBTAINING HER ADDRESS OR I SHOULD SAY CLAIMING TO SINCE I ABSOLUTELY DO HAVE THE RIGHT TO OBTAIN HER ADDRESS IN ORDER TO EFFECT SERVICE ON A FEDERAL SUMMONS, HOWEVER, I AM SURE IF I DO, THEY WILL ISSUE ANOTHER WANTED WITH THE FEDERAL JUDGE DOING NOTHING ABOUT IT JUST LIKE LAST TIME.MAYBE NEW JERSEY WILL BE DIFFERENT. I DON'T KNOW.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, MARGARET INGOGLIA, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ROSMIL ALMONTE, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ARDAISHA HUDSON, FERGUSON, JOLY, GARCIA, FORTUNE, CHRISTIANI, GIBSON, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON.

THE 56TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE CASELOAD OF 18 B ATTORNEYS DOES NOT ALLOW FOR EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. WHEREAS ACS AT WILL CAN IMPLEMENT MORE THAN ONE ATTORNEY UPON A RESPONDENT, DRAWING UPON ENDLESS GOVERNMENT FUNDS AS THEY HAVE DONE AGAINST ME, VIOLATING MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS, 18 B CANNOT AMASS A LEGAL DREAM TEAM AS ACS HAS DONE AGAINST ME AT FEDERAL AND STATE COURT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, LA LINDEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER.

THE 57TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMED IN THEIR AMENDED PETITION THAT ACCORDING TO A CASEWORKER (WHOM WAS NEVER NAMED OR APPEARED IN COURT AS A WITNESS) I DIDNT ALLOW MY FAMILY TO BE IN THE FAMILY HOME UNTIL 10:30 PM WHEN I GOT HOME FROM WORK AND I WAS VERY "CONTROLLING." NO EVIDENCE HAS EVER BEEN PROVIDED REGARDING THIS AND IT IS A KNOWN INTENTIONAL FRAUD ON THE PART OF THE

GOVERNMENT. ACTUALLY, THIS WAS PART OF THE CONSPIRACY WITH MARGARET INGOGLIA SAYING SHE WAS AFRAID TO BE AT HOME WHEN I WENT TO WORK AFTER THE CLAIMED VICTIMIZATION BY ARDIASHA HUDSON AND DOVETAILS THE SEXUAL ABUSE UPON MY DAUGHTER. HOW THERE WAS THIS TERRIBLE WORKER WHO CAME TO THE HOME THAT I AM AFRAID OF...

MEANWHILE, THE TWO WERE WORKING TOGETHER FOR THE ENTIRE MONTH... THE CLAIM OF THIS FRAUD IS ACTUALLY SUPPORTED BY DISCOVERY THAT ACS PROVIDED TO ME. THE ENTIRE IM AFRAID TO BE AT HOME AND THEN THIS CLAIM OF ME NOT ALLOWING HER TO BE HOME WAS A CONSPIRATORIAL FRAUD ON THE PART OF MARGARET INGOGLIA, HUDSON, ACS, FORTUNE, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, FERGUSON MAKIDA GIBSON, CATHOLIC CHARITIES AND OTHERS. THE GOVERNMENT TOOK THE ROLE OF FAMILY DESTROYER TO ENCITE VIOLENCE UPON MY FAMILY WHICH IN PART RESULTED IN THE SEXUAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AS SHOWN ON OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM FOR MY DAUGHTER TO HAVE TO UNDERGO A RAPE EXAM AS PER CONCEALED ALLEGATIONS OF INESTUOUS PEDOPHILIA BY HER FATHER IS CRIMINAL CONDUCT UPON BOTH FATHER AND DAUGHTER AND COMPRISES MAKING A FALSE CALL TO THE STATE CENTRAL REGISTRY AND A FALSE POLICE REPORT AS WELL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, NYC CHILDREN, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK. HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ZULMA MONTANEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LA LINDEZ, LEITNER, SAFE HORIZONS, WASKO, WILLIAMS.

THE 58TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS KEVIN GORRASSI TOOK PART IN FALSE REPORTING AND CONCEALING OF MY DAUGHTERS PHYSICAL ABUSE. UPON MY CONTACT OF THE CEO OF COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES, HE TOLD ME TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM CONTACTING HIM. CFS HAS A CONTRACT WITH ACS. CFS WAS SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE AN AFFIDAVIT TO THE STATE COURT JUDGE ACCORDING TO LAW AS SHOWN AMONGST MY PREVIOUS FILINGS AS TO WHY THEY, CFS AND OR FORENSIC SOCIAL WORKER KEVIN GORRASSI FEELS I NEED ONGOING SUPERVISED VISITATION WITH MY CHILD TO JUSTIFY EXPENSES EXCEEDING APPROXIMATELY 1300. THIS WAS NEVER DONE WITH SEVERAL THOUSAND DOLLARS OF SERVICE UNDERTAKEN WITHOUT ANY SWORN STATEMENT AS TO WHY MY THE INTERACTION BETWEEN MY DAUGHTER AND I HAD TO BE SUPERVISED. WHEN I INFORMED THAT LEGAL ACTION WOULD HAVE TO BE TAKEN FOR FALSE REPORTING, CFS STOPPED MY VISITS. GORRASI, CFS AND SPITZER DID NOT REPORT THE SEVERE PHYSICAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AS MANDATED REPORTERS TO ACS OR TO ANYONE. GORRASI AND MY CHILDS MOTHER HAD A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP OF WHICH MY CHILDS MOTHER TOOK PART IN TO SILENCE REPORTING OF MY DAUGHTERS ABUSE AND SKEW KEVINS REPORTS. MY CHILDS MOTHER, WHOM CONTRADICTED HERSELF REPEATEDLY THAT THERE WAS ANY PHYSICAL ABUSE TOLD KEVIN THERE WAS! MY INFORMING ACS, BEGHO AND JOHNSON LEGAL AID, WILLIAMS, FELL ON DEAF EARS, GORRASI ALSO MADE MY DAUGHTER CRY AND SCREAM FOR HIM TRYING TO MAKE HER KEEP HER CLOTHES ON WHEN SHE WAS HOT AT VISITATION. SHE JUST WANTED TO TAKE HER LEGGINGS OFF. HE WAS A MEAN, CHILD ABUSING, CHILD ABUSE CONCEALING MENTAL HEALTH WORKER WHOSE ROMANTIC INTERESTS AND FINANCIAL INTERESTS TOOK PRECEDENT OVER THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF A CHILD.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: KEVIN GORRASI, COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES, RICHARD SPITZER, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, WILLIAMS, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, AMY SERLIN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES. (NOTE: I INFORMED THE NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE AND LETITA JAMES ABOUT THE WIDE SCALE OPEN BOOK FRAUD BEING TAKEN PART UPON NEW YORK STATE TO THE DETRIMENT OF PARENTS AND CHILDREN FOR NYC CONTRACTED PROVIDERS TO BE GIVEN AN OPEN CHECKBOOK INCENTIVE TO KEEP PARENTS AT SUPERVISED VISITATION.... OF WHICH I RECEIVED NO REPLY OBVIOUSLY)

THE 59TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THAT BECAUSE I FEEL SAD, SCARED AND MENTALLY ABUSED BY THE DEFENDANTS, THEY SHOULD HAVE ALL THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS TERMINATED ON THE FIRST DAY OF THIS CASE. SOUND GOOD? WHILE THE DEFENDANTS SPECIALIZE IN THE MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM, AS THE ANSWER TO EVERY FEDERAL FILING, MAYBE THEY SHOULD BE HELD TO THEIR OWN STANDARD. VIEW THE AMENDED PETITION. IT ISNT EVEN SWORN TO AND FURTHERMORE, VIEW THE ORIGINAL. APPLY THE SAME STANDARD THEY EXPECT THIS COURT TO FOLLOW IN ANALYSIS OF MY FEDERAL COMPLAINTS TO THEIR PETITIONS AND IT IS CLEAR THAT THEY EMPLOY ONE STANDARD OF LAW FOR THEMSELVES WITH AND BY THE GOVERNMENT AND ANOTHER UPON AMERICAN CITIZENS. THEIR PETITIONS CAN BE FILLED WITH HERESAY, FEELINGS, DEVOID OF FACTS (FOR EXAMPLE, WHO WAS THE CLOWN WHO CLAIMED MY FAMILY COULDN'T BE AT HOME UNTIL I GOT HOME FROM WORK?!) AND STRAIGHT OUT FACTUAL PERJURY FROM THEIR OWN RECORDS SINCE MANY OF MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICES. (JUDGE WILLIAMS; "THIS IS WHAT ACS WANTS....!") THEY FILE WITH FRAUD, FEELINGS AND OPINIONS AND WALK INTO FEDERAL COURT AND APPLY A WHOLE OTHER STANDARD OF LAW UPON THEIR AMERICAN CITIZEN PARENT AND CHILD VICTIMS SUCH AS MY DAUGHTER AND I.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, SERLIN, JOHNSON, GIBSON, WILLIAMS, HUDSON, GARCIA, JOLY, FORTUNE, CHRISTIANI, FERGUSON, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER.

THE 60TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING I WAS A GUARDIAN OF JOE. I WAS NOT A GUARDIAN OF JOE PALOMINO INGOGLIA.. MARGARET INGOGLIA AND I WERE NOT MARRIED AND THERE WAS NO CUSTODY AGREEMENT OF JOE. THE TWO GUARDIANS OF JOE WERE MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JUAN PALOMINO, UNFORTUNATELY, JOE PALOMINO BEAT HIS FATHER UNCONSCIOUS, AND DISFIGURED HIS FACE OF WHICH ACS THOUGHT WHAT A WONDERFUL IDEA TO SEND THIS KID TO BE CARED FOR BY ROBERT MALEK AND NOW CALL HIM (ME) THE GUARDIAN, THERE WAS NEVER ANY GUARDIANSHIP PROCEEDING OR CUSTODY PROCEEDING. HE WAS MERELY A GUEST IN OUR HOME. IT IS NOT MY FAULT THAT ACS SENT ME A KID WHOM KILLED HIS FATHER SINCE HIS FATHER DIED OF A STROKE MONTHS LATER. THUS SAID, WHILE PALOMINO WAS ALIVE THE TWO GUARDIANS OF THIS KID WERE MARGARET AND JUAN. NOT ME. ONCE AGAIN JOE PALOMINO INGOGLIA WAS MARGARETS SON, NOT MINE AND FURTHER, SINCE ACS CASE UPON ME WITH MY DAUGHTER WAS DERIVITIVE NEGLECT OF JOE, THERE IS NO CASE AGAINST ME FOR MY DAUGHTER EITHER. WHAT I SHOULD HAVE DONE WAS NOT LET THE FATHER KILLER IN THE DOOR OF WHICH OF COURSE ACS WOULD HAVE FILED UPON ME FOR MAKING A CHILD SLEEP ON THE SIDEWALK. ONCE AGAIN, A CATCH 22 SITUATION. MAYBE ACS SHOULD HAVE CARED FOR HIM WITH ONE OF THEIR WONDERFUL FOSTER PARENTS ALONG WITH MARGARET INGOGLIA.

SO I REPEAT, JOE PALOMINO WAS NOT MY SON, NO MARRIAGE BETWEEN MARGARET AND I, NO CUSTODY AGREEMENT. THIS CHILD WAS FORCED UPON ME BY ACS AND MARGARET. A PERSON I HAVE NO OBLIGATION OR RESPONSIBILITY TO WHATSOEVER IN ANY WAY SHAPE OR FORM, JUST LIKE A TOTAL STRANGER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES;

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, MARGARET INGOGLIA, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, JOLY, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, DAVID HANSELL, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA.

THE 61ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS AND MARGARET INGOGLIA JUDGE SHOPPING. JUDGE MARIA ARIAS RULED AGAINST MARGARET INGOGLIA ON BEHALF OF BOTH FATHERS, JUAN PALOMINO AND ROBERT MALEK, FOR YEARS. SO WHAT MARGARET DID WAS TAKE HER B.S. TO ACS IN HOPES FOR ACS TO TAKE THE CASE TO GET A ACS AGENCY JUDGE. WELL, THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED. MARGARET DIDN'T GET ANYWHERE WITH HER LIES AND FALSE

ALLEGATIONS WITH MARIA ARIAS SO SHE SUNG HER TUNE WITH ACS. WELL ACS DIDN'T BELIEVE A WORD OF WHAT SHE SAID REGARDING PALOMINO AS SHOWN IN THEIR RECORDS, (HER SON AS WELL) BUT THEY BOUGHT HER B.S. AND THAT OF HER SON, HOOK LINE AND SINKER WITH ME AND TOOK THE CASE OUT FROM UNDER ARIAS AND INTO THEIR OWN 98.5% CONVICTION RATE COURTROOM WITH THEIR AGENCY IN THE BACK POCKET JUDGE. THIS IS WHAT IS KNOWN AS JUDGE SHOPPING. INSTEAD OF TAKING THE CASE TO THE JUDGE WHOM HAD THE KNOWLEDGE, EXPERIENCE AND HISTORY, THEY TOOK IT TO THEIR EXECUTIONER JUDGE, CORRUPT LEGAL AID LAWYER, SERLIN AND JOHNSON AND THEIR CORRUPT LYING CORPORATION COUNSEL ATTORNEYS FROM HELL, ROSMIL ALMONTE ALONG WITH NICOLA GIBSON. THE AGENCY THAT RECOMMENDED JUDGE WILLIAMS AS BEING OF THE MOST FAVORABLE TO THEM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, ROSMIL ALMONTE, SERLIN, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, NICOLA GIBSON, WILLIAMS, ROSMIL ALMONTE, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, GARCIA, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, BEGHO AND WITHERSPOON.

THE 62ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS MY DAUGHTER MUST HAVE AN AIR CONDITIONER. THE MOTHER HAD TO GET A DOCTORS NOTE IN ORDER FOR ACS TO BE WILLING TO INSTALL AN AC IN A CITY SHELTER AND I HAD TO PROVIDE THE AC WHICH COST ME ABOUT 850. OF COURSE THE ACS WORKERS HAVE AC. JUST NOT THE CHILDREN UNDER THEIR JURISDICTION UNLESS IT IS MEDICALLY NECESSARY. NOW THAT ACS HAS MOVED THE FAMILY, AS PART OF THEIR WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM MY DAUGHTER DOES NOT HAVE AN AC AND HER LIFE IS AT RISK. I WAS TOLD THE AC WILL STAY WITH THE FAMILY WHEN I BOUGHT IT IN 2020. IM SURE IT ISN'T NOW AND FURTHERMORE, WHAT IF WHERE THEY MOVED TO IT ISN'T A IN WALL AC BUT A WINDOW AC? WHAT HAPPENED TO THIS BRAND NEW 850 DOLLAR AC I BOUGHT WHICH IS MEDICALLY NECESSARY FOR MY DAUGHTER? ALL EMAILS TO THE GOVERNMENT PARTIES WERE IGNORED...

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, NYC CHILDREN, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, CRYSTAL DOUGHERTY.

THE 63RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS REGARDING THIS MATTER AN EMAIL WAS SENT OUT TO 14 GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, ALL IGNORED THE EMAIL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

HUDSON, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, OCTAVIA HILL, JESS DANNHAUSER, SUZANNE MILES, TRAVIS JOHNSON, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, WILLIAMS, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN. MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO, OCTAVIA HILL, JESS DANNHAUSER, SUZANNE MILES, OCFS, OCFS, DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SERVICES.

THE 64TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PREVENTING RESPONDENT FROM OBTAINING EVIDENCE FROM OTHER PARENTS, HINDERING COMMUNICATION, VIOLATING FREEDOM OF SPEECH, RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES AND DEFEATING THEIR OWN MONDELL DEFENSE BY INTENTIONALLY RENAMING FOIL TO ACS AS PRIVATE IF IT HAD REFERENCE TO ACS COMPLAINTS.COM. THIS WAY, PARENTS COULDN'T SEARCH ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM TO REFERENCE THE REQUESTED FOIL ALONG WITH ANY RESPONSES, DENIAL OR DELAY.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS. THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 65TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AS WELL AS ACS CANNOT FILE ANY MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM WHILE THEY HAVE PREVENTED THE RESPONDENT FROM OBTAINING RECORDS VIA THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM,. FOIL AND DISCOVERY. THEY HAVE DONE SO PREVIOUSLY, CONTINUING TO AND SUCH FILINGS ARE BASED ON CONDUCT THAT IS A VIOLATION OF MY CIVIL RIGHTS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK NYC CHILDREN, ROSMIL ALMONTE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, TRAVIS JOHNSON, WASKO, MONTANEZ, LA LINDEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LEITNER, WILLIAMS.

THE 66TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS PRIVATIZING BOTH FOIL TITLES AND BODY TO PREVENT OTHERS FROM VIEWING, KNOWING, SEARCHING AND SHARING UPON THE SAME IN EFFORTS TO PROTECT THEIR MONELL DEFENSE AND COVERUP THEIR WRONGDOING. TO PREVENT INFORMATION DISSEMINATION, FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES. ACS ALSO TOOK ACTION TO CHANGE THEIR NAME TO NYC CHILDREN WITH NO LEGAL PROCESS TO DO SO TO COUNTER GOOGLE AND YAHOO RESULTS UPON ACS COMPLAINTS SEARCH WHERE ACS COMPLAINTS POSTS RIGHT ALONG WITH THEIRS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NYC CHILDREN, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID HANSELL, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 67TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS REFERRING TO ME AS A SERIAL FILER... LOL. HENCEFORTH, THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO ACS / CORPORATION COUNSEL BEING SERIAL FILERS AND FILING UPON 7000 FAMILIES PER YEAR, INCLUDING MY DAUGHTER AND I, PERMANENTLY KIDNAPPING THE CHILDREN AND TERMINATING PARENTAL RIGHTS AS HAS BEEN DONE WITH ME WITH THEIR RECOMMENDED TO THE CITY AS BEING THE MOST FAVORABLE JUDGE POSSIBLE, TO BE THEIR executioner in their courtroom.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCFS.

THE 68^{TI} CAUSE OF ACTION IS THAT OF THE ISSUE OF DERIVITIVE NEGLECT. IF YOU VIEW THE ORIGINAL PETITION, WHAT YOU WILL SEE IS A CASE OF DERIVITIVE NEGLECT OF MY DAUGHTER IN THAT IF YOU NEGLECTED OR ABUSED ONE CHILD, YOU NEGLECTED OR ABUSED THE OTHER !!! COOL HUH?! SO YOU ARE GUILTY OF WHAT YOU DIDN'T DO BUT COULD DO AND OF COURSE SOMEHOW THIS DERIVITIVE NEGLECT COULD NOW BE GROUNDS OF ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD, MISDEMEANOR. SO LETS GO OVER THIS INSANITY FOR A SECOND, CRAFTED UPON BY THE SICK SADISTIC EVIL MINDS OF LAWYERS. SO NEGLECTING ONE CHILD IS NEGLECTING THE OTHER OF WHICH SUCH CLAIM OUT OF THIN AIR CONVICTS YOU OF A MISDEMEANOR WITHOUT A JURY. NOW HOW ABOUT THAT. COMMITTING A CRIME OUT OF THIN AIR. IF YOU DID IT HERE THEN YOU DID IT THERE EVEN IF THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF SUCH AND YOU CAN BE PUT IN JAIL FOR UP TO A YEAR FOR SOMETHING OUT OF PREDICTIVE FANTASY ON THE PART OF SICK ACS, NEW YORK CITY, STATE OF NEW YORK AND ITS ATTORNEY CRONIES. TO MAKE THIS EVEN MORE INSANE IS THAT IF MY DAUGHTER DECIDES TO HAVE A CHILD AT THE AGE OF 28 AND I CARE FOR HER CHILD WITH HER, SINCE I

AM AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN VIA DERIVITIVE NEGLECT OF ANOTHER CHILD (IF YOU CALL SAD AND SCARED, NEGLECT TO BEGIN WITH, NOT TO MENTION JOE KILLED HIS FATHER AND ISNT MY STEP SON OF WHICH I HAVE ZERO RELATION TO HIM WHATSOEVER. MARGARET AND I ARE NOT MARRIED, NOR WERE WE AND THERE WAS NO CUSTODY AGREEMENT AND JOE WAS KNOWN TO HAVE LIED UPON HIS BIOLOGICAL FATHER WITH FALSE CRIMINAL ALLEGATIONS. RIGHT IN ACS RECORDS) .AND NOT MY DAUGHTER TO BEGIN WITH, THEN MY DAUGHTER IS NOW AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN FOR ALLOWING ME TO CARE FOR HER CHILD AND / OR BE IN THE HOME OF HER CHILD. AT THIS POINT, THIS WHOLE SCENARIO REPEATS ITSELF SINCE MY DAUGHTER IS AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN AND WHEN HER DAUGHTER GROWNS UP AND SHE HELPS HER DAUGHTER CARE FOR HER CHILD, THIS WILL BE ANOTHER ROUND OF CHILD REMOVAL, ALL STEMMING FROM....... THE ORIGINAL DERIVITIVE NEGLECT OF ONE CHILD, GIVING BIRTH TO MULTIPLE LIFE SENTENCES UPON PARENTS OF REMOVAL OF THEIR CHILDREN VIA PERPETUATING DERIVITIVE NEGLECT. TO MAKE THIS EVEN MORE NUTS IS THAT WHILE OCFS BAN CAPS OUT IN 25 YEARS, ACS BAN IS PERMANENT. SO YES. IF I LIVE TO BE 90 YEARS OLD AND IF AT SUCH TIME MY DAUGHTER IS 45, SHE CAN HAVE HER CHILD REMOVED AND HER CHILDS CHILD REMOVED DUE TO MY PRESENCE AND OR CARE. FURTHERMORE, HER ALLOWING SUCH A "TERRIBLE" THING WOULD MEAN SHE IS AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN AND THE WHOLE PROCESS REPEATS ITSELF AND FOLLOWS YOU WHEREVER YOU GO UPON PUBLIC RECORD. SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS THE CRAFTING OF A PERMANENT COUNTRY WIDE LICENSE TO KIDNAP AND TRAFFIC CHILDREN, PERMANENTLY, FROM ONE GENERATION TO THE NEXT OFF OF ONE DERIVITIVE NEGLECT WITH ZERO EVIDENCE, CREATED OUT OF PREDICTIVE, FICTIONAL THIN AIR. AWESOME, TOTALLY AWESOME.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, LETITIA JAMES.

THE 69TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS JUDGES TAKING PART IN SECRET HEARINGS AS EVIDENCED IN ONE OF THE FIVE PREVIOUS FILINGS AS A CAUSE OF ACTION AND FURTHER EVIDENCE PROVIDED IN LITIGATION OF 22 CV 5416. I WISH TO ALSO REFER TO THE FILING OF VICTORIA NAVARRO OF 2021 IN NORTHERN DISTRICT N.Y. AND MAY CALL HER AS A WITNESS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, MARGARET INGOGLIA, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, TRAVIS JOHNSON, WILLIAMS, ACS, THE city of new York, nyc children, office of children and family services, legal aid society, corporation counsel.

THE 70TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO THE STATE PUTTING PEOPLE IN JAIL WHO DON'T PAY CHILD SUPPORT WHEN THEY DO NOT SEE THEIR CHILDREN. WITH PARENT VICTORIA NAVARRO, HER CHILDS FATHER LEFT THE COUNTRY WITH THEIR CHILD AND WENT TO ALBANIA. SHE WAS JAILED FOR NOT PAYING CHILD SUPPORT WHILE HER CHILD WAS TAKEN TO ANOTHER COUNTRY, FOR HER TO NEVER SEE HER CHILD AGAIN. SO WHETHER THERE IS A PARENT WHO DOES PAY CHILD SUPPORT LIKE I DO OR DOESN'T LIKE VICTORIA, THE OUTCOME IS THE SAME. YOU DON'T SEE YOU CHILD WITH PUNISHMENT OF JAIL IF YOU DON'T COMPLY. WHERE IS THE PUNISHMENT FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO SEE YOUR CHILD WHEN YOU PAY CHILD SUPPORT LIKE ME? THERE IS NONE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

LETITIA JAMES, NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 71ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE FAILURE TO TURN OVER EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE.

ACS CLAIMS THAT THEIR ATTORNEY IS THEIR PROSECUTOR. IF SO THEY VIOLATED DUE PROCESS BY NOT PROVIDING EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE AND SUCH EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE CERTAINLY EXISTED CONSIDERING THE FACT THAT MANY OF MY CALLS TO THE SCR FOR ABUSE AND NEGLECT OF MY DAUGHTER WERE FOUNDED AS SHOWN RIGHT IN ACS / OCFS RECORDS. THIS IS JUST ONE EXAMPLE. THERE ARE MANY MORE. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE NEW YORK CITY GOVERNMENT WISHES TO CLAIM I WAS INCARCERATED OF WHICH I NEVER WAS, THE LEAST THEY CAN DO IS STATE THAT THEIR B.S. WAS DISMISSED, RIGHT ? NO THEY DIDN'T. AS A MATTER OF FACT, AFTERWARDS, THEY JUST DECIDED TO MAKE UP THEIR OWN FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND AGAINST ROBERT MALEK SINCE THEY COULDN'T CONVICT HIM WITH A REAL ONE AND EVERYTHING WAS DISMISSED. NOT ACD, NOT A PLEA. JUST IN WHOLE..... DISMISSED.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, ARDAISHA HUDSON, MICHELET FORTUNE, FARAH JOLY, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, FERGUSON, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, BEGHO, DOUGHERTY.

THE 72ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS WAITING A YEAR AND A HALF TO PROVIDE FOIL REGARDING ANY DOCUMENTS PROVIDED TO THEIR WORKERS THAT PERTAIN TO HONESTY. IF THEY HAD SUCH BROCHURE OR PAMPHLET, WHY DID IT TAKE THEM A YEAR AND A HALF TO PROVIDE IT AND ONLY AFTER MY CASES WERE DISMISSED WHERE THEY CLAIMED IMMUNITY FOR THE ACTS OF THEIR PROSECUTOR? THE DOCUMENT THAT THEY PROVIDED SUSPICIOUSLY HAD NO META DATA INFORMATION WHATSOEVER. ONCE AGAIN, WHY WOULD ACS NEED 1.5 YEARS TO PROVIDE A PDF DOCUMENT ALREADY IN THEIR POSSESSION AND ROUTINELY PROVIDED TO THEIR WORKERS?

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 73RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS NO INVESTIGATIONS BY JAMES ZALETTA AND COLLEEEN WALSH (MANHATTAN FAMILY OFFENSE DAS) AS AGREED AFTER I PROVIDED ALL INVESTIGATION MATERIALS.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE "INVESTIGATION" IS STILL OPEN AND ONGOING FOR MORE THAN 2 YEARS? RIDICULOUS.

THE FLASH DRIVES PROVIDED WERE NEVER ACCESSED. I KNOW THIS BECAUSE THERE IS HISTORY OF WHEN A FILE IS LAST ACCESSED, THEY VIEWED NOTHING.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: JAMES ZALETTA, DA MANHATTAN DAS OFFICE, COLLEEN WALSH, DA MANHATTAN DAS OFFICE, MANHATTAN DAS OFFICE, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN,

THE 74TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS I HAVE THE RIGHT TO PROTECT MY CHILD IN EXTREME DANGER. WHILE IN LAW, IT IS UNHEARD OF TO HAVE A CAUSE OF ACTION BEFORE IT HAPPENED (UNLESS IF YOU ARE ACS OR OCFS OF COURSE AND THEN IT IS BUSINESS AS USUAL). SO IN THIS CASE, SINCE AS SURE AS THE SUN RISES IN THE MORNING, THESE PIGS ARE GOING TO CLAIM I CANT REPRESENT MY OWN DAUGHTER AS THEY HAVE BEFORE. I WISH TO ADD THEIR MORONIC EVIL AS ANOTHER CAUSE OF ACTION. I AM NOT REPRESENTING MY DAUGHTER, I HAVE THE RIGHT TO PROTECT MY DAUGHTER WHO IS IN MY OPINION AND OFFICER KIMS OPINION OF THE 69 PRECINCT (GO TO ACS COMPLAINTS.COM PAGE, NYPD OFFICER KIM) IN EXTREME DANGER

AND WHAT IS HAPPENING IS....... ACCORDING TO OFFICER KIM AND I.... <u>UNACCEPTABLE</u>. SHE WANTED TO HELP BUT UNFORTUNATELY, AS SHE SAID, SHE DOESN'T HAVE ENOUGH AUTHORITY TO.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ALL THOSE IN THIS CASE WHO WILL CLAIM I CANT REPRESENT MY DAUGHTER IN THIS ACTION WHICH IS INSANE. I HAVE THE RIGHT TO PROTECT MY CHILD UNDER EXTREME DANGER AND CIRCUMSTANCES THAT REPRESENT A RISK TO HER LIFE AND HER EMOTIONAL WELFARE. KIDNAPPING HER FROM HER FATHER UNDER FRAUDULENT PRETENSES WHILE SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER IS GROUNDS FOR REPRESENTATION ABOVE AND BEYOND THE PHYSICAL ABUSE AND THREAT TO HER LIFE THAT EXISTS AND EXAMPLE FOR CASE LAW FOR MERE EDUCATIONAL REPRESENTATION FOR A DISABLED CHILD CANNOT EVEN BEGIN TO COMPARE THE SEVERITY OF WHAT HAS AND IS HAPPENING TO HER NOW. A PARENT HAS THE RIGHT TO PROTECT HIS OR HER CHILD AND NO ONE IS ALLOWING ME TO PHYSICALLY INTERVENE AS OF YET, RIGHT ?! AND THE ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD IS REPRESENTING THE "CHILD "WHOM IS HARMING MY DAUGHTER AND MY DAUGHTER AT THE SAME TIME. WHOLLY INSANCE AND INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL FOR MY DAUGHTER.

CAUSE OF ACTION 74.1 IS THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK IN REGARDS TO ITS OWN POLICE DEPARTMENT IS A DYSFUNCTIONAL MESS. WHEN A POLICE OFFICER DOESN'T FEEL SHE HAS ENOUGH AUTHORITY TO PROTECT A CHILD VICTIMIZED BY ACS AND GIVES ME AN EXAMPLE HERSELF... WHEN A POLICE OFFICER LIKE OFFICER KIM WHO ALSO IS A MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONAL CANT PROTECT A CHILD, WHEN A PARENT FATHER LIKE MYSELF CANT PROTECT HIS CHILD, WE CERTAINLY HAVE ANOTHER CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE EVIL CITY OF NEW YORK ALONG WITH ALL ITS CORRUPT CRONIES AND COHORTS. EQUAL PROTECTION OF LAW SIMPLY DOES NOT EXIST FOR THE NYC CHILDREN AND THEIR PARENTS WITH AN ACS... CASE FROM HELL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYPD, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, DAVID HANSELL.

THE 75TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE RE VICTIMIZATION OF PARENTS AND CHIDREN OVER AND OVER AGAIN WITH VISITATION. WHAT THESE ANIMALS DO IS GIVE YOU JUST ENOUGH "VISITATION "TIME TO REPLAY THE KIDNAPPING OVER AND OVER AGAIN. UPON MY SPEAKING WITH OTHER PARENTS, THEIR REACTION AFTER VISITATION WAS SIMILAR. IF YOU CAN IMAGINE SOMEONE WALKING INTO YOUR HOME AND WALKING OUT WITH A FAMILY MEMBER, BRINGING THEM BACK AND WALKING OUT WITH THEM AGAIN AFTER A 60-120 MINUTE "VISIT" AND DO THIS TWICE A WEEK, THE PSYCHOLOGICAL PAIN OF THIS IS IMMEASURABLE AND MY SPECIFIC REACTION TO THIS I DON'T WISH TO SAY UNTIL I KNOW I HAVE A JUDGE WHOM ACTUALLY READS WHAT I FILE AND ISNT A IN THE BACK POCKET EX PROSECUTOR GOVERNMENT, HACK. SO NO, I WONT SAY AT THIS TIME DUE TO THE 99% PROBABILITY THIS FILING WILL BE IMMEDIATELY HEAPED INTO THE CAMPFIRE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE FOR A GOOD LAUGH. I HAVE ZERO TRUST IN THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM IN REGARDS TO CHILD WELFARE AND FOR GOOD REASON. WHAT THIS AMOUNTS TO IS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT FOR BOTH PARENT AND CHILD FOR BEING PART OF THE CRIMINAL ACCUSED IN ONE OF THEIR KANGAROO COURTS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY.

THE 76TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS USING VISTS TO MAKE A CASE. THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO ACS USING VISITS TO MAKE A CASE AGAINST THE PARENT. WHAT ACS DOES IS PREVENT YOU FROM RECORDING THE VISIT (IF YOU DO YOU CANT PRESENT IT IN COURT SO IT DOESN'T MAKE A DIFFERENCE IF YOU DID) AND WITH EACH VISIT, THEIR NARRATIVE GROWS AND GROWS WITH FALSIFICATON AND LIES. AFTER ACS COMPOSED ENOUGH DIRT UPON ME, THEY TERMINATED MY VISITS. SO HERE I AM, TRYING TO ENJOY MY VISIT WITH MY DAUGHTER WHILE ACS IS WRITING AWAY FALSE DIALOGUE, CREATING FRAUDULENT EVIDENCE TO MAKE A CASE THEY NEVER HAD TO BEGIN WITH. WHAT THEY SHOULD BE FOCUSING ON IS ENABLING THE QUALITY OF THE VISIT RATHER THAN USE THE VISIT FOR NEFARIOUS LEGAL PURPOSES TO TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS. FOR EXAMPLE, ONE OF THEIR STORIES WAS I WAS ROLLING AROUND ON THE FLOOR WITH PAPER TOWELS ALL OVER THE PLACE AND PARENTS COULDN'T GET INTO THE VISITATION ROOM DUE TO MALEKS PERVERSE INFANTILE INSANITY... SUCH EVENT NEVER HAPPENED AND ACS OF COURSE HAS NO EVIDENCE TO PROVE ME WRONG AND TOOK STEPS WITH THE JUDGE TO MAKE SURE I HAD NO EVIDENCE TO PROVE I WAS RIGHT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, HUDSON, FERGUSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, ALMONTE, GIBSON, BEGHO, DOUGHERTY, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, GARCIA.

NOTE: BEGHO, GARCIA, DOUGHERTY, WITHERSPOON ARE ESSENTIALLY ONE ACS UNIT ON BEDFORD.

HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON AND CHRISTIANI ARE ANOTHER UNIT ON GRANT SQUARE.

***WHEN I MENTION ANY OF THESE CHARACTERS AS A DEFENDANT, AUTOMATICALLY THE REST ARE INCLUDED AS PART OF THE UNIT.

THE 77TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS NYC CHILDREN. NYC CHILDREN IF YOU VIEW THE ARGUMENTS UPON 22 CV 5416 IS ACS, "ACE IN THE HOLE... "

WE DON'T HAVE TO DEFEND OR REPRESENT NYC CHILDREN BECAUSE NYC CHILDREN DOES NOT EXIST. WELL WHEN YOU REFER TO YOURSELF AS NYC CHILDREN ON LEGAL DOCUMENTS AND CAPTION YOUR DOCUMENTS WITH NYC CHILDREN YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTS OF NYC CHILDREN, FURTHERMORE, SINCE NYC CHILDREN DOES NOT LEGALLY EXIST IN THE FIRST PLACE THE LEGAL VALIDITY OF THE DOCUMENTS FILED AGAINST RESPONDENTS IS A MAJOR LEGAL ISSUE. WHEN NYC CHILDREN ISSUES A DOCUMENT THAT STATES I WAS ARRESTED AND CONVICTED OF A SLEW OF CRIMES 21 CV 5532 AND THE HOMEPAGE, BOTTOM OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COMSHOWS SUCH NYC CHILDREN FILING AND SUCH CRIMINAL CONDUCT HAS CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN CONFIRMED FROM VARIOUS SOURCES WHEN IN FACT SUCH SOURCES DO NOT POSSESS SUCH INFORMATION AND SUCH CRIMINAL ACTS AND PROSECUTION NEVER TOOK PLACE, WE HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHEN THE CITY OF NEW YORK TRIES TO STEP BACK AND DO A NYC CHILDREN ISNT AN AGENCY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK SO WE ARENT RESPONSIBLE. WELL THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE. THE FEDERAL ISSUE HERE IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK USING A TOTALLY FICITIOUS ENTITY WITH NO LEGAL STANDING TO FILE LEGAL DOCUMENTS AGAINST PARENTS IN A GOVERNMENTAL ACTION WITH A GOVERNMENT AGENCY THAT BOTH DO NOT EVEN LEGALLY EXIST IN THE FIRST PLACE WITH THE GOVERNMENT PLAYING THE "NOT US "GAME, READ ACS DEFENSE TO NYC CHILDREN AND YOU CAN VIEW FOR YOURSELF HOW THE GOVERNMENT, RATHER THAN TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR WHAT THEY FILE UPON PARENTS, USES THE NYC CHILDREN AS A MEANS TO SHIELD THEMSELVES FROM RESPONSIBILTY AND FURTHERMORE, THE RISE OF NYC CHIDREN HAPPENED SHORTLY AFTER ACS COMPLAINTS, COM. ACS COMPLAINTS COMES UP RIGHT ALONGSIDE ACS ON GOOGLE AND YAHOO, THEY STARTED USING NYC CHILDREN AS A MEANS TO CHANGE THEIR NAME TO SEPARATE THEMSELVES FROM ACS AND ACS COMPLAINTS.COM. IN SUPPORT OF THIS

THEY PRIVATE ANY FOIL THAT CONTAINS ACS COMPLAINTS.COM. THE PROBLEM HERE IS THAT THE CITY LIKES TO CLAIM MONELL DEFENSES. WELL, WHEN YOU TAKE ACTION TO HINDER FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES, WE HAVE A BIG FEDERAL PROBLEM. ACS DOES NOT WANT PEOPLE CONTACTING ME BECAUSE I AM THE HUB TO BE ABLE TO SHOW PATTERNS SUCH AS ACS NOT VERIFYING PETITIONS. NOT A MISTAKE BUT A POLICY. THE CITY OF NEW YORK ACTIVELY TAKES ACTION TO CONCEAL COMPLAINTS AND COMMUNICATION AMONG THOSE WITH COMPLAINTS TO SHIELD THEMSELVES OF RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY. THEY PRIVATE MY FOIL SO PEOPLE CANNOT REFERENCE ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND THEY CHANGE THEIR NAME TO STEER PARENTS AWAY FROM SEARCH ENGINE RESULTS OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NYC CHILDREN, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CORPORATION COUNSEL, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL.

THE 78TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE IN COURTHOUSE MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES, (MHS). LETS PLAY LETS MAKE A CASE, EVALUATOR, PSYCHICS OF PREDICTIVE FUTURE HUMAN BEHAVIOR.

MHS IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATOR OF PARENTS, PART OF THE NYC HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION.

MHS DISCRIMINATES AGAINST PRO SE LITIGANTS AND VIOLATES THEIR DUE PROCESS RIGHTS. PRO SE LITIGANTS CANNOT HAVE ANY WITNESSES OR RECORD WHEN MEANWHILE A REPRESENTED LITIGANT CAN HAVE HIS OR HER ATTORNEY PRESENT. THEY PRODUCED A FALSE REPORT UPON ME VIA GEORGE VELEZ WHOM WAS IN THE NEWS FOR HIS OWN CORRUPT ACTIONS AS SHOWN ON THE 22 CV 5416 CASE AND CAN BE GOOGLED. MHS PLAYS THE FUNCTION OF LETS MAKE A CASE, GOVERNMENT EVALUATOR. RATHER THAN LITIGATE UPON EVIDENCE AND FACTS, THE GOVERNMENT DECIDED TO LITIGATE UPON ITS OWN BEHAVIORAL SPECIALISTS TO PREDICT YOUR GUILTY BEHAVIOR IN THE FUTURE TO COME...AFTER HAVING REVIEWED THE GOVERNMENT PROVIDED "EVIDENCE" OF COURSE. TWILIGHT ZONE MUSIC PLEASE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MHS (NYC HEALTH AND HOSPITALS CORPORATION), CORPORATION COUNSEL, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, ALMONTE, GIBSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, GEORGE VELEZ.

THE 79TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS DA CLERK JOANN FARRETTI WHO KNOWS ABOUT THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER CONFIRMED TO ME THAT DETECTIVE TIRADO OF THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD IS A KNOWN PROBLEM. SHE WILL NOT PROVIDE ME WITH ANY DETAILS. NEITHER WILL D.A. ERIC GONZALEZ, THEY KNOW TIRADO WAS INVOLVED WITH THE INVESTIGATIONS OF THE CRIMES COMMITTED AGAINST MY DAUGHTER, APPROXIMATELY 60 BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEYS WERE CONTACTED. NOT ONE "OFFICER OF THE COURT" ATTORNEYS WOULD UTTER A SINGLE WORD. BOTH JOANN FARRETTI AND BROOKLYN DA ERIC GONZALEZ WOULD NOT PROVIDE THER SECRET DEPARTMENTAL UPDATED BAD COP LIST OR FURTHER DETAILS ON TIRADO. TIRADO WAS CONTACTED AS WELL, HE ALSO "PLED THE FIFTH...."

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

JOANN FARRETTI, DA ERIC GONZALEZ, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYPD, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, TIRADO.

******* SPECIAL REQUEST !!!!!!!! *******

AND IF WE DON'T HAVE THE EVIDENCE OF THEIR WRONGDOING, LET ME AND MY EMPLOYEES SPEND SOME TIME WITH THEM AND EVALUATE THEIR PERSONALITIES FOR THE COURT !!!!!! THIS WAY WE CAN CONVICT THEM UPON OUR ANALYSIS OF WHAT COULD HAVE OR SHOULD HAVE HAPPENED AND WHAT COULD OR SHOULD HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE. UPON A NEGATIVE OUTCOME, THEY WILL BE GUILTY. OF COURSE, WE WOULD HAVE TO CLEAR THIS WITH THE JUDGE WE EMPLOY AND RECOMMEND TO BE MOST FAVORABLE TO US BUT THAT SHOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM! SHOULD IT?

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES TO THE FRAUD OF WHAT IS ACTUALLY OCCURRING IN REAL LIFE IN ACS COURT TODAY....

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, LETITIA JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE.

80TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

IN NO FOIL OR DISCOVERY PROVIDED WAS A WITNESS EVER SPOKEN TO WHERE THE FAMILY HAD LIVED REGARDING ANY CRIMINAL MATTER OR INVESTIGATION !!!!!!!!!!! NOT BY ACS AND NOT BY NYPD EITHER. WHAT KIND OF INVESTIGATION IS ONE THAT DOES NOT INQUIRE OF NEIGHBORS AND/OR ANY WITNESSES OF CHILD ABUSE? AND WHAT DOES THIS MEAN WHEN YOU HAVE AN AMENDED UNSWORN TO PETITION STATING THAT ALL MY CALLS WERE UNFOUNDED WHEN RIGHT IN ACS RECORDS MANY OF MY CALLS WERE INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICES AND FURTHERMORE, WHAT DOES THE FORE MENTIONED CONDUCT RESULT IN WHEN IT COMES TO DETERMINING WHETHER MY CALLS WERE WARRANTED OR NOT? IT IS CLEAR THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ETC. TOOK ACTION / DID NOT TAKE ACTION TO OBTAIN NECESSARY EVIDENCE IN ANY UNBIASED INVESTIGATION. ATTEMPTING TO OBTAIN WITNESS STATEMENT/S IS STANDARD IN VIRTUALLY ANY CIVIL AND CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION. MAYBE MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA SHOULD HAVE INQUIRED UPON NEIGHBORS AND GET STATEMENTS THAT THEY DIDN'T HEAR MINNIE SCREAMING, RIGHT ?! WOULD HAVE BEEN A GOOD IDEA. WELL, SINCE THEY DIDN'T GET SUCH STATEMENTS BECAUSE THEY COULD NOT HAVE SINCE I AM SURE THE NEIGHBORS HEARD EVERYTHING, MAYBE THEY SHOULD HAVE GOTTEN STATEMENTS AS TO THEIR OWN GUILT AND CONFESS. HENCEFORTH, THEY WILL BE NAMED AS DEFENDANTS UPON THIS CAUSE OF ACTION AS WELL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NYPD,, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, ERIC GONZALEZ, SAFE HORIZONS, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, EDWARD ARMSTRONG, TIRADO, SHAH HAIDER BEN EIL, ROBERT DI FALCO, GERARD SARDINA, MICHAEL KEENAN, LUPERON, SINNER, BRUNETTI, INSPECTOR KING AND INSPECTOR ROBERT DI FALCO, CASEY, BOTH INGOGLIAS, MOTHER AND THE SON, JOE.

81ST CAUSE OF ACTION:

CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND...THE CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND WAS THE ACS CONTRACTED NON PROFIT THAT RAN THE SHELTER AT 371 7TH AVE., NYC AT THE STEWART HOTEL THAT MY DAUGHTER WAS ABUSED AT. THEY WOULD NOT ALLOW ME OR ESCORT ME INTO THE ROOM MY DAUGHTER LIVED IN SO I COULD VIEW THE THERMOSTAT THAT MY DAUGHTER POINTED TO WHICH SHE SAID SHE WAS THROWN INTO TO TAKE PICTURES OF IT TO MATCH UP THE LINES ON HER HEAD TO THE THERMOSTAT AND FURTHERMORE, I WAS PREVENTED FROM PHOTOGRAPHING THE FURNITURE THE SHE SUPPOSEDLY WAS RUNNING AROUND, BANGING HER HEAD INTO LIKE A DRUNK CHICKEN. THEY WOULD NOT EVEN ALLOW ME TO ENTER ANY OTHER ROOMS OR GIVE ME ANY INFORMATION OR ACCESS TO NEIGHBORS. THEY WOULD NOT GIVE ME ANY INFORMATION UPON THE ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN

THE 82^{ND} CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT CRONY FRAUD, SAFE HORIZONS OTHERWISE KNOWN AS THE CHILD ADVOCACY CENTER !!!!!! LMAO.

FINALLY MY DAUGHTER WAS AFRAID TO TALK.... FOR THERE WAS NO ONE TO PROTECT HER... FURTHERMORE, THEY ARE THERE WITH THE POLICE TRYING TO QUESTION MY DAUGHTER AS WELL!!!! AND THIS BRINGS US TO THE 83RD CAUSE OF ACTION!!!

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

SAFE HORIZONS, NYPD, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, JAMES, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, TIRADO, EIL, HAIDER, ARMSTRONG, SARDINA, KEENAN, LUPERON, ROE, KING, GONZALEZ, CORPORATION COUNSEL, LEGAL AID, JOHNSON, SERLINA ALMONTE AND GIBSON.

83RD CAUSE OF ACTION. (BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS DESIGNED TO BE FRAUDS) SO THE MORONIC POLICE AT THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD AND THE MANHATTAN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD ALONG WITH THE SAFE HORIZON BAFOONS ACTUALLY EXPECT MY DAUGHTER TO TALK TO THE POLICE WHEN MY DAUGHTER SAW THE POLICE ARREST HER DADDY TWICE BY THE AGE OF 3. WHEN MY DAUGHTER IS AFRAID TO SPEAK, WHAT DOES TIRADO SAY? SHE IS A MUTE.

SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK NOT ONLY HARASSING ME WITH THEIR B.S. ARRESTS AND PROSECUTIONS, THEY TRAUMATIZED MY DAUGHTER INTO SPEAKING TO THEM SINCE SHE SAW THEM VICTIMIZE HER FATHER.

SO THE WAY THESE PIECES OF S**T FUNCTION IS TO START OFF BY TRAUMATIZING AND TERRORIZING MY DAUGHTER BY HER SEEING HER DADDY GET ARRESTED AND TAKEN AWAY TWICE BY THESE NYPD CITY OF NEW YORK, INCOMPETENT BROOKLYN DA ERIC GONZALEZ ANIMALS....

THEN, WE USE THESE B.S. CASES AS A FOUNDATION FOR ANOTHER CASE, SAY I GOT INCARCERATED WHICH I NEVER DID AND OMIT THE DISMISSALS!!!! THEN, WHEN THEY DID "INVESTIGATIONS" OF MY DAUGHTERS CRIMINAL ABUSE AND ASSAULTS WHEN SHE WAS YOUNG AS 3, THEY CONSIDER HER A MUTE BECAUSE SHE IS AFRAID TO TALK AND DON'T SPEAK TO HER FATHER WHOM HAS EVIDENCE AND THE ONLY PERSON MY DAUGHTER CAN TRUST. SHE PLED TO ME AND BEGGED ME CRYING THAT SHE WAS AFRAID OF MOMMY AND JOE AND DID IT RIGHT IN FRONT OF A MYSTERY ACS WORKER ON OCTOBER 18, 2018 ALONG WITH GWYENTH HORTON AND DANA GRIERSON LEGAL AID PRESENT AND ALL 3 OF THEM COVERED FOR ACS AND MADE BELIEVE IT NEVER HAPPENED AND MADE UP A STORY THAT MY DAUGHTER TOOK HER PANTS OFF AND WE WENT UNDER THE COVERS TOGETHER...

I GAVE MY DAUGHTER MY JACKET TO COMFORT HER AND WHAT DID MARGARET AND JOE DO? TAKE AWAY HER FATHERS JACKET, TAKE AWAY THE TOYS I BOUGHT HER AND BREAK THEM.

NOT JUST MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO BUT ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK DID. HAD MEN COME TO HER SHELTER AND STEAL AND DESTROY THE TOYS HER FATHER BOUGHT HER.

THESE DEFENDANTS TOOK ACTION TO TRAUMATIZE, PUNISH AND SILENCE A CHILD PLEADING FOR HELP, DID THE SAME TO HER FATHER, SUPRESSED EVIDENCE, IGNORED EVIDENCE AND SPOKE WITH NO NEIGHBORS OR WITNESSES.

THE EVIL THAT HAD OCCURRED HERE WAS BEYOND UNDERSTANDING UPON MY DAUGHTER AND I WITH THE GOVERNMENT, SAFE HORIZONS AND ACS TRAUMATIZING MY DAUGHTER. EVEN KEVIN GORRASI CFS WOULD NOT REPORT HER ABUSE AS I HAVE SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND THE DIRECTOR TOLD ME TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM CONTACTING HIM.

THESE PEOPLE ARE CHILD ABUSERS WHOM BELONG IN JAIL. THESE PEOPLE CONSPIRED, PLANNED AND EXECUTED SUCH HARM ON MY DAUGHTER AND I FOR YEARS, STARTING IN 2017.....ABOUT 9 MONTHS BEFORE THE ACS CASE ATTACK. DUE TO WHAT MY DAUGHTER SAW HAPPEN TO HER FATHER AND OF COURSE ACS NEVER TOLD HER THE CASES WERE DISMISSED DID THEY? OF COURSE NOT. AS A MATTER OF FACT, THEY MADE UP A WHOLE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND UPON MY DAUGHTERS FATHER TO MAKE SURE MY DAUGHTER WOULD THINK HER FATHER IS A CRIMINAL AND WAS..... AS THEY SAY...... INCARCERATED... SO LETS REVIEW THIS.... THE POLICE INCARCERATE MY DAUGHTERS DADDY AND MY DAUGHTER IS EXPECTED TO TRUST THE POLICE WHEN MEANWHILE I WAS NEVER INCARCERATED, CASES DISMISSED. WITH EXCEPTION OF COURSE TO THE NEW BOGUS CONCOCTED CASES COMING OUT OF ACS AHOLES, RIGHT ????

IT IS CLEAR THAT WITH A NAME LIKE EPHRAIM, THIS IS HOW THEY TREAT WOMEN FROM HIS PART OF THE WORLD AND HE CONDUCTS HIMSELF NO BETTER HERE.... IF THIS CASE GOES TO TRIAL I WISH TO SUBPOENA SOME FEMALE MEMBERS OF HIS FAMILY AND SEE WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY ABOUT HIM. THAT'S IF HE HAS ANY FEMALES ANYWHERE NEAR THE MIDDLE EASTERN MUDPILE WITHOUT HIM SMOKING A BONG AND PAYING FOR THEIR COMPANY WITH A TURBIN AROUND HIS HEAD.

THIS TIRADO PIECE OF S**T DID NOT DO AN INVESTIGATION REGARDING AN ASSAULT OF MY DAUGHTER THAT I CALLED THE SCR ON AND MANDATED BY FAMILIY OFFENSE DA KELLY CASEY BECAUSE HE TOLD ME, I JUST DIDN'T THINK IT COULD HAVE HAPPENED THOUGH IT WAS CONFIRMED THAT SHE WAS IN FACT INJURED. SO MY DAUGHTER IS A MUTE, A LIAR AS WELL AS HER FATHER AND TO HECK WITH CASEY AND THE SCR / CHILD ABUSE HOTLINE REFERRAL!! SO I CONTACT KELLY CASEY ABOUT THIS AND SHE IGNORES IT ALONG WITH DA GONZALEZ. FROM SECRET INVESTIGATIONS TO PAPERWORK THAT MAKES BELIEVE ONE WAS TO BE DONE WHEN MEANWHILE EVERYONE KNOWS NOTHING WAS OR WILL BE AND FOR THEM, THAT IS LIFE IS GOOD BUSINESS AS USUAL... THESE PEOPLE ARE ABSOLUTE PIECES OF CHILD ABUSING, WELL YOU FINISH IT SINCE IM AT A LOSS FOR WORDS OF WHAT THEY ARE.

LETS OF COURSE NOT FORGET THOSE THAT ARE ACTUALLY DIRECTLY TAKING PART IN THE PHYSICAL ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AS WELL AS TWO MORE INDICATED BY ACS FOR EDUCATIONAL NEGLECT AND CHRONIC ILLNESS.... / INADEQUATE GUARDIANSHIP OF MY DAUGHTER... OF COURSE WE CANT HAVE HER GOING TO SCHOOL AND REPORT ALL THIS ABUSE CAN WE !!!! NO PROBLEM. VIEW ACS COMPLAINTS, COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND SEE WHERE MARGARET INGOGLIA ADVISES THE SCHOOL TO DISREGARD HER MARKS AND BRUISES DUE TO BALANCE ISSUES AND HER SELF INFLICTING HER INJURIES !!! OF COURSE THIS DYSFUNCTION CAME FROM HER FATHER BECAUSE WE HAVE TO PLAY LETS MAKE A CASE !!! MY DAUGHTER IS ATTACKED WITH A KNIFE ?!?!? HIDE THE KNIVES MARGARET, ACS SAYS, WE WOULDN'T WANT YOUR DAUGHTER TO CONTINUE ATTACKING HERSELF!!!

WHY DOES SHE ATTACK HERSELF AND WHY DID JOE GO TO BRUNSWICK MENTAL HOSPITAL? ITS ROBERT MALEKS FAULT, RIGHT?! OF COURSE BRUNSWICK SAYS NOTHING ABOUT THAT BUT WE CANT PROFFER THAT DIALOGUE. THAT DOESN'T SOUND GOOD.

IT IS AMAZING THE IMPACT MR MALEK HAD ON THESE CHILDREN. ONE THREATENS SUICIDE AND THE OTHER IS TRYING TO COMMITT SUICIDE. WOW. WHAT A STORY AND WHAT A B.S. CASE. WHEN MEANWHILE JOES FATHER IS DEAD BYJOE AND MY DAUGHTER IS BEING REPEATEDLY BEATEN AND INJURED BY JOE AND HER MOTHER WHOM WAS KNOWN TO ACS TO HAVE ABUSED HER SON.

EVEN DETECTIVE SHARP PLAYED HIS VIOLIN BY DECIDING NOT TO TAKE ACTION AGAINST JOE FOR STEALING 300 FROM ME BECAUSE JOE HAS MENTAL ISSUES.. OF COURSE BECAUSE OF ME.

DET. TIRADO PLAYED HIS VIOLIN BY SAYING JOE ISNT CULPABLE / RESPONSIBLE BECAUSE HE WAS PACING BACK AND FORTH AND KEPT LOOKING OVER MY SHOULDER.

WELL GUYS, PLAY YOUR VIOLINS BEFORE A JURY.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN. MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, NYPD, EDWARD ARMSTRONG, DA ERIC GONZALEZ, NYPD INSPECTORS KING AND ROE, NYPD KEENAN, SARDINA, LUPERON, BRUNETTI, SINNER, SHARP, SAFE HORIZONS, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, TIRADO, BEN EIL, SHAH HAIDER, DI FALCO, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, JESS DANNHAUSER, DAVID HANSELL, KELLY CASEY, FAMILY OFFENSE DA, BROOKLYN, ROBERT DI FALCO NYPD BOTH UNITS OF ACS WORKERS, SERLIN, JOHNSON, LEGAL AID, JAMES, ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, POOLE, JANET DIFIORE, GIBSON, ALMONTE, SAFE HORIZONS, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, OCTAVIA HILL, WALSH, ZALETTA, CFS / GORRASI / SPITZER, HORTON, CREESON, MYSTERY ACS WORKES.

THE 84TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO CHILDREN, AFTER ACS RECOMMENDED, I RESUME VISITATION THERE. I CONTACTED THEM SEVERAL TIMES. EMAIL AND PHONE MESSAGES TO BE ABLE TO SEE MY DAUGHTER. THEY RETURNED ZERO OF COURSE UNDER THE ACS PIGS DIRECTION SO I WOULDN'T SEE MY DAUGHTER AND MY DAUGHTER HER DADDY WHOM SHE WOULD RUN TO IN HAPPINESS EVERY TIME SHE SAW ME.. JUST RAN INTO MY ARMS EVERY TIME... WHICH BRINGS US TO THE 85TH CAUSE OF ACTION....

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO CHILDREN, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEWYORK PAULA GARCIA, BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FARAH JOLY, CHRISTIANI, FERGUSON.

85TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

ACS BEGHO TRYING TO STOP MY DAUGHTER FROM RUNNING INTO HER FATHERS ARMS IN GLEE WHEN SHE WOULD SEE ME. SOMEHOW, THIS IS AGAINST ACS PROTOCOL AND BAD FOR ACS B.S. NARRATIVE OF ME BEING A TERRIBLE FATHER AND OF COURSE NEVER MADE IT TO A COURT REPORT SINCE THE COURT REPORT WAS THE SCAM OF USE VISITATION TO BUILD A CASE AGAINST THE FATHER WITH MULTIPLE CORROBORATING ACS WORKERS WHICH IS A COMMON ACS TECHNIQUE AMONG ALL PARENTS. KEEP SWITCHING THE WORKER BECAUSE EACH WORKER BECOMES ANOTHER "WITNESS" WHICH DRAINS YOUR LEGAL BANK ACCOUNT AND WITH 18 B, YOUR ALLOTTED TIME FOR DEFENSE. AND AS A FOOTNOTE OF COURSE, THESE REPORTS HAPPEN TO BE NYC CHILDREN REPORTS WHOM THE CITY OF NEW YORK THINKS THEY DON'T HAVE TO DEFEND BECAUSE NYC CHILDREN DOESN'T EXIST AS AN AGENCY OR LEGALLY TO BEGIN WITH !!!!!!!!!

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

BEGHO, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, NYC CHILDREN, GARCIA WITHERSPOON, BEGHO, DOUGHERTY, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

86TII CAUSE OF ACTION...

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

NASSAU COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE NEW YORK SECRETARY OF STATE

THE 87TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS HUDSON PSYCHOLOGICALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER AND INSULTING MY DAUGHTER TELLING HER AT ONLY 3 YEARS OLD... "YOU DON'T KNOW HOW TO HANDLE MONEY, MINNIE... "BECAUSE SHE WAS DROPPING THE LOAD OF CHANGE I GAVE HER BECAUSE SHE WAS PLEADING WITH ME TO GIVE HER MONEY AND I GAVE HER ALL THE CASH AND CHANGE I HAD. SHE TOLD ME, MOMMY NEEDS MONEY AND WAS TAKING EVERYTHING SHE COULD.... PATHETIC AND SAD. SO HERE MY POOR DAUGHTER WAS DROPPING THE CHANGE AND S**THEAD HUDSON CHASTISES HER FOR DOING SO WHICH CAUSED MY DAUGHTER TO THEN INSULT HERSELF BY SAYING, "WHAT IS THE MATTER WITH ME.!" THIS IS MENTAL ABUSE UPON MY CHILD. HUDSON IS AN INADEQUATE GUARDIAN AND ALL HER CHILDREN SHOULD BE TAKEN AWAY IMMEDIATELY AND SHE SHOULD HAVE HER PARENTAL AND CHILD CARING RIGHTS BE TERMINATED FOR THE REST OF HER LIFE, JUST LIKE WAS DONE TO ME.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

HUDSON, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, MICHELET FORTUNE, FARAH JOLY, FERGUSON, DANIELLE CHRISTIANI (I AM HOLDING HER SUPERVISORS AS SHOWN, RESPONSIBLE FOR HER EMPLOYMENT, HER ACTIONS AND CONTINUED EMPLOYMENT), MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA.

THE 88TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHEN MY DAUGHTER WAS BROUGHT TO VISITATION WITH HUDSON AND SHE HAD 3 DIFFERENT BRUISES ON HER FACE !!!!! HUDSON SAID TO ME, SHE FELL TRIPPING OVER JOES FOOT AND SHE SAID THIS IN FRONT OF MINNIE, IMMEDIATELY AFTERWARDS SHE ASKED MINNIE WHAT HAPPENED AND MINNIE REPEATED EXACTLY WHAT HUDSON SAID. WELL YOU DON'T HAVE 3 DIFFERENT FACIAL INJURIES FROM TRIPPING OVER SOMEONES FOOT. ACS LEOTTA GREEN WAS INVOLVED WITH THIS I LATER FOUND OUT AND SHE REFERRED IT TO THE DAS OFFICE THIS MATTER WAS KEPT A SECRET AND PART OF THE SECRET HEARING WITH THE DAS OFFICE AFTER MY SUBPOENA. THE CITY AND ACS AND THE DAS OFFICE HAVE KEPT THIS CRIME OF MY DAUGHTER BEING BEATEN ABOUT HER FACE A SECRET eversince and PART OF A CAUSE OF ACTION IN A PREVIOUS COMPLAINT AMONG THE 22 CV 5416 CONSOLIDATED. THIS IS A MATTER WHERE HUDSON HAS MY DAUGHTER LIE TO HER FATHER ABOUT THOSE THAT BEAT HER SO I THINK IT WAS AN ACCIDENT....

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

HUDSON, FERGUSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ERIC GONZALEZ, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, NYPD, EDWARD

ARMSTRONG, WILLIAMS, ALMONTE, GIBSON, HANSELL, POOLE, ALL THE DETECTIVES FROM THE BROOKLYN AND MANHATTAN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD AS LISTED DEFENDANTS, DANNHAUSER, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, JANET DIFIORE.

THE 89TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS DANIELLE CHRISTIANI MAKING MY GUEST STAND OUTSIDE IN A TORRENTIAL RAINSTORM RATHER THAN WAIT FOR ME TO FINISH MY VISIT IN THE LOBBY OF THEIR BUILDING. (2018) I TOLD HER THE MAN HAS DEMENTIA AND COULD WANDER OFF! SHE DIDN'T CARE. I HAD TO CHOOSE BETWEEN MY FRIEND SUFFERING FROM HYPOTHERMIA AND BECOMING A MISSING PERSON AND MY VISIT WITH MY DAUGHTER. THE CRUELTY OF THESE PEOPLE IS INSANE. I HAVE THIS ON TAPE AND IS ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

DANIELLE CHRISTIANI, FERGUSON, HUDSON, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK. ALL THE ACS WORKERS AS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED FROM THE GRANT SQUARE UNIT.

THE 90^{TH} CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST DA ERIC GONZALEZ AND JOANN FARRETTI REFUSING TO TURN OVER DETAILS OF MISCONDUCT ON THE PART OF DETECTIVE TIRADO OF THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, ERIC GONZALEZ, JOANN FARRETTI OR FERRETTI.

THE 91ST CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST CATHOLIC CHARITIES ALONG WITH MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS WHO SECRETLY CONSPIRED WITH ACS FROM 6-26-2018 TO 7-31-2018 THE DAY OF ACS FILING.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, MAKIDA ONIKA GIBBS, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN.

THE 92ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS WHILE THE FAMILY WAS GOING TO FAMLY THERAPY AS REQUESTED BY CATHOLIC CHARITIES /ACS WITH MARC BURD, PHD WHO STATED THAT HE DIDN'T SEE ANY SIGNS OF DEPRESSION WITH JOE OR ANYTHING NEGATIVE... THE ENTIRE THERAPY SESSIONS WERE A FRAUD AND A COVER FOR THE SECRET ACS AND CATHOLIC CHARITIES MEETINGS ALONG WITH INGOGLIA AND HER SON WITH MY DAUGHTER TO TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS AND FILE AGAINST ME, SO HERE WE ARE GOING TO THERAPY AND HAVE A GOOD REPORT, DOING WHAT I/WE ARE SUPPOSED TO DO, THE WHOLE THERAPY DEAL WAS A TOTAL FRAUD, KNOWINGLY ON THE PART OF INGOGLIA, ACS, MAQUIDA AND CATHOLIC CHARITIES, WITH INGOGLIA SECRETLY MOVING OUT HER THINGS WHILE I WAS AT WORK AND ALL OF THEM PLANNING THEIR MOVE AS SOON AS MARGARET GOT OUT ALL HER BELONINGS. IMAGINE THAT. THE WHOLE FAMILY THERAPY DEAL BEING A FRAUD ALONG WITH THE b.s. acs narrative about how I talk down to joe and joe is depressed, etc. contradicted BY MARC BURD AND THE BRUNSWICK REPORT, WHEN MEANWHILE THEY ALL KEPT THEIR FRAUDULENT NARRATIVE PERMANENTLY, DISPUTED BY MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS. SO WHAT DID THEY DO? MANUFACTURE THEIR OWN BOGUS PSYCHE REPORTS AS SHOWN IN ONE OF THE OTHER FILINGS OF 22 CV 5416, ACS PRODUCED COUNTERFEIT PSYCHE DOCUMENTS NOT WRITTEN BY MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS BUT RATHER THEMSELVES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND I.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, GIBBS, CATHOLIC CHARITIES, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, AMY SERLIN, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, CORPORATION COUNSEL, WILLIAMS, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON.

THE 93RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING I DIDN'T HAVE A MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATION IN THEIR BOGUS FINAL ORDER THAT THEY WROTE ALONG WITH CLAIMING THAT MY LAWYER APPEARED FOR TRIAL.

I DID NOT HAVE A LAWYER, I WAS NOT GIVEN DATES AND LINKS AFTER I RAISED THE CPLR 3022 ISSUE AND I HAD 3 MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS PLUS THE BRUNSWICK REPORT PLUS THE MARC BURD PHD LETTER, ALONG WITH BALMAIN ROSEGREENS COMMENT AS SHOWN AMONG ACS RECORDS, HE HAD NO CONCERNS ABOUT ME. (6 POSITIVE INDEPENDNT EVALUATIONS ACS IS MAKING BELIEVE DO NOT EXIST TO TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS UNDER FALSE PRETENSE.)

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

CORPORATION COUNSEL, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, TRAVIS JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM THE BEFORD UNIT AND THE GRANT SQUARE UNIT.

THE 94TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS PARENTAL ALIENATION ON THE PART OF ACS, PAULA GARCIA AND THE REST OF THE ACS WORKERS INVOLVED WITH MY CASE. FOR EXAMPLE, MY DAUGHTER WAS TOLD THAT SHE WOULD BE ABLE TO SEE HER FATHER IF HER FATHER WAS WILLING TO TAKE A CORONAVIRUS TEST WHEN I ALREADY DID, NOT TO MENTION CORONAVIRUS IS NOT AIDS... AND DOES NOT REMAIN FOR MONTHS AND YEARS ON END...THE POOR GIRL WAS BEGGING ME ON THE PHONE TO TAKE A CORONAVIRUS TEST TO SEE ME. THE CRUELTY AND DAMAGE OF THIS IS INSANE TO DO THIS TO A CHILD AND PARENT.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, HUDSON, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, ROSMIL ALMONTE, GIBSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL.

THE 95TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS CLAIMING THAT MY DAUGHTER AND I SEEING EACH OTHER ON VIDEOCHAT AT HER APARTMENT DURING COVID VIOLATED THE "ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL". I HAD TO AGREE TO, DURING COVID QUARTINING, MY DAUGHTER GOING INTO A BUILDING COMMUNITY COMPUTER ROOM THAT WAS SO BUSY, IT WAS ONLY AVAILABLE FOR US 2 HOURS A WEEK

AS TOLD TO ME BY ROSMIL ALMONTE .I HAD TO CHOOSE BETWEEN SEEING MY DAUGHTER AND PUTTING HER AT RISK OF GETTING THE CORONAVIRUS. THE CRUELTY OF THIS IS INSANE. I CHOSE NOT TO SEE HER TO KEEP HER SAFE. I REMINDED TRAVIS JOHNSON ABOUT THE CHILDREN BEING CHRONICALLY ILL AND I AM SUPPOSED TO AGREE TO HAVE MY DAUGHTER LEAVE HER APARTMENT DURING QUARANTINING TO PUT HER AT RISK IN A COMMON BUILDING AREA BECAUSE ME SEEING HER ON VIDEOCHAT IN HER ROOM VIOLATES ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL !?!?!? IT IS SIMPLY SHOCKING HOW MARGARET INGOGLIA WOULD PUT EVEN HER DAUGHTER IN A POSITION OF RISKING GETTING THE CORONAVIRUS IF SHE WANTED TO SEE HER FATHER ON VIDEO. TRAVIS JOHNSON DID NOT DEFEND MY DAUGHTERS SAFETY AND WELFARE. FURTHERMORE, OTHER PARENTS WERE STILL SEEING THEIR CHILDREN AT THE BEDFORD VISITATION CENTER. ACS VIOLATED COURT ORDER OF VISITATION ALONG WITH ALL PARTIES. THEY ALL KNEW, FROM THE JUDGE TO ACS TO INGOGLIA. THEY ALL TOOK PART IN TERMINATING MY VISITATION CONTRARY TO COURT ORDER OF MY VISITATION. AS A MATTER OF FACT, FOR 3 WEEKS AFTER THE COURTS SHUT DOWN, ACS WOULD NOT RESPOND TO MY REOUEST FOR VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER UNTIL TRAVIS JOHNSON SAID SOMETHING.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, PAULA GARCIA, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, TRAVIS

JOHNSON, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM THE GRANT SQUARE UNIT AND THE BEDFORD UNIT, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

THE 96TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST CORPORATION COUNSEL TAKING PART IN LIES, PERJURY AND FRAUD RECOMMENDING TO THE CITY OF NEW YORK JUDGES WHOM THEY CONSIDER TO BE MOST FAVORABLE TO THEM, TAKING PART IN LYING IN COURT SUCH AS THERE IS NO PROOF THAT JOE PALOMINO BEAT UP AND OR KILLED HIS FATHER, CLAIMING THAT I DID IN FACT COMMIT A SLEW OF CRIMES AND WAS CONVICTED, THE CONCEALMENT AND MISSREPRESENTATION OF CRIMES AGAINST MY CHILD, ETC. EVERY PIECE OF FRAUD THAT ACS TOOK PART IN REPRESENTING TO THE COURT VIA CORPORATION COUNSEL AND EVERY PIECE OF LIES AND FRAUD THAT CORPORATION COUNSEL TOOK PART IN SUCH AS THE BOGUS AMENDED PETITION CLAIMING ALL MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE FALSE WHEN RIGHT IN THEIR RECORDS THEY KNEW THAT MANY OF MY CALLS WERE CASE OPEN SERVICES AND INDICATED.... I EVEN SAID SO IN COURT !!!! ALL THE CRIMINAL CONDUCT THEY TOOK PART IN VIOLATING THE 18 US 3283 AND THE CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION ACT ARE CAUSES OF ACTION AND NOT LIMITED TO THE ABOVE MENTIONED SINCE IT IS WITHIN MANY OF THE CAUSES OF ACTION MENTIONED HERE AS WELL AS THOSE WITHIN 22 CV 5416 CONSOLIDATED WHICH IS 5 CASES IN ONE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

CORPORATION COUNSEL, NYC CHILDREN, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ROSMIL ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION, WILLIAMS, JOHNSON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM THE BEDFORD AND GRANT SQUARE UNITS.

THE 97TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS NOT BEING ABLE TO POINT OR STAND IN COURT VIA DIRECTION OF COURT OFFICER EMPLOYED BY THE NEW YORK UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

THE COURT OFFICER (TO BE DETERMINED), NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OFFICE OF COURT ADMINISTRATION.

THE 98TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS SYLVIA HINDS RADIX LITIGATING AGAINST A PRO SE IN FEDERAL COURT, REFERRING TO HERSELF AS HONORABLE SYLVIA HINDS RADIX TO GAIN FAVOR AND PREJUDICE FROM THE COURT WHICH IS AGAINST ABA ETHICAL PRACTICE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

SYLVIA HINDS RADIX, CORPORATION COUNSEL, THE CITY OF NEW YORK

99TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS WASKO CLAIMING I DEFAULTED BY NOT APPEARING AT A COURT HEARING OF A PRE DETERMINED OUTCOME AND ONE THAT I FILED TWO AFFIDAVITS UPON IN LIEU OF MY APPEARANCE. HER IGNORANCE OF THE CONTENT OF THE AFFIDAVITS AS WELL AS FALSELY CLAIMING I WAS IN DEFAULT IS ANOTHER ISSUE AS SHOWN ON OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS.COM SINCE THE ACS ATTORNEYS ARE OFFICERS OF THE COURT AND MANY ISSUES RAISED ARE IN REFERENCE TO ACS, WITH THEM REPRESENTING ACS, THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE AS WELL.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

WASKO, UDOCHI, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, LEITNER, LA LINDEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE.

100TH CAUSE OF ACTION...

THE 100TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO THE GROSS CONDUCT IN VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT ACT BY.... DETECTIVE ROBERT DI FALCO OF THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD..

"EVEN THOUGH YOUR DAUGHTER WAS THROWN, WE HAVE TO HAVE A MEDICAL DETERMINATION FOR INTERNAL INJURIES FOR THERE TO BE A CASE!"
IM SURE IF JOE PALOMINO THREW THIS PIECE OF S**T THERE WOULD BE A CASE, RIGHT ?!?!?!
SO LETS SEE. YOU CAN THROW A 3 YEAR OLD INTO WALLS AND UNTO THE FLOOR AND GET AWAY WITH IT BECAUSE THE INTERNAL INJURIES ARENT SEEN. BUT WAIT!!!!! THEY WERE! MY DAUGHTER HAD BLOOD IN HER urine!!!!! EH. WHO CARES. NOT GOOD ENOUGH. WAITING FOR A CASKET SO MARGARET INGOGLIA CAN CLAIM SELF INFLICTION OF MY DAUGHTERS INJURIES AND ACS CAN SAY ITS MY FAULT THAT! MADE HER THAT WAY.

SHAH HAIDERS COMMENT ON ALL MY DAUGHTERS ABUSE.....
KEEP CALLING THE CHILD ABUSE HOTLINE !!!!!! WHEN HE KNEW THAT ACS MADE A CASE OFF
HIS BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS BY CLAIMING ALL MY CALLS WERE FALSE.

SO THIS IS WHERE GUILTY BEHAVIOR AND A MENTAL HEALTH ANALYSIS BY DETECTIVE ?!?!?! BECOMES A VINDICATION IN THE MIND OF THIS COP SCUM.

NYPD EDWARD ARMSTRONG WHOM AFTER MORE THAN A YEAR GETS BACK TO ME FROM INTERNAL AFFAIRS. LISTEN TO THE RECORDING ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM. CANT WAIT TO PLAY THIS FOR A JURY SO THEY CAN HEAR AND UNDERSTAND FIRST HAND JUST HOW DISGUSTING THESE PIGS REALLY ARE. NOT SURPRISING OF COURSE WHEN YOU WAIT MORE THAN A YEAR TO BE CALLED BACK, RIGHT!?

AND LAST BUT NOT LEAST, FAT BOY, DA ERIC GONZALEZ WHOM IS AWARE THAT TIRADO IS A PROBLEM OFFICER ALONG WITH JOANN FARRETI AND KEEP SUCH DETAILS A SECRET TO THE DETRIMENT OF MY DAUGHTER AND I. MORE IMPORTANT TO PROTECT YOUR CORRUPT COPS THAN PROTECT A CHILD.

ABSOLUTE LOW LIFE PIECES OF S**T THESE PEOPLE ARE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

JOANN FARRETTI
DA ERIC GONZALEZ
TIRADO
SHAH HAIDER
ROBERT DI FALCO
EDWARD ARMSTRONG
BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD
NYPD
THE CITY OF NEW YORK
BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE
ACS
KELLLY CASEY

BEN EIL

BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD AS WELL AS \dots BRUNETTI, SINNER

AND ALL THE DETECTIVES WHOM WERE INVOLVED FROM THE BROOKLYN AND MANHATTAN CHILD ABUSE SQUADS,

101st. CAUSE OF ACTION

THIS CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA AMONG THE OTHER DEFENDANTS AS SHOWN BELOW FOR THE VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CHILD ABUSE PREENTION ACT AND 18 US 3283. TAKING PART IN THE SCAM AND SCHEME OF ABUSE AND USE OUR DAUGHTER TO MAKE A CLAIM AGAINST THE FATHER...

TO ABUSE HER PHYSICALLY AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM, CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER, ATTACKING HER WITH A KNIFE, POISONING HER, THROWING HER INTO WALLS, EDUCATIONALLY NEGLECTING HER AND TAKING A CHILD WHOM WAS SICK ONLY 1 TIME IN 3 YEARS TO CHRONICALLY ILL, EMACIATED, PALE WITH A DISLOCATED JAW. PEOPLE WHOM TOOK PART IN GROSS PARENTAL ALIENATION AND STILL DOING SO. NOT HAVING MY DAUGHTER VACCINATED FOR COVID AND WANTING HER TO LEAVE HER ROOM / APARTMENT IN THE SHELTER TO BE EXPOSED TO OTHERS IN THE BUSY COMMUNITY COMPUTER ROOM TO SEE HER FATHER SO HER LIFE CAN BE AT RISK. A PARENT WHOM WISHED OUR DAUGHTER AND I WERE DEAD AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

THE PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL ABUSE CAST UPON OUR DAUGHTER WAS SIMPLY UNREAL WHILE PIECES OF S**T LIKE LETITIA JAMES, SHEILA POOLE, ANDREW HEVESI, ERIC GONZALEZ, DA, TRAVIS JOHNSON DO NOTHING WITH AMY SERLIN ACTUALLY TELLING JOE NOT TO TALK TO THE POLICE AND DEFENDING HIM AGAINST THE SAFETY AND WELFARE OF OUR CHILD. THE DETECTIVES LIKE DI FALCO WHO CONSIDER THROWING CHILDREN NOT A CRIME UNLESS INTERNAL INJURY IS SHOWN AND THEN WHEN IT IS, BLOOD IN A CHILDS URINE JUST ISNT GOOD ENOUGH....

THE WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE TO CIRCUMVENT STATUE OF LIMITATIONS BY CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROSMIL ALMONTE AND GIBSON AND THEN WHEN I PRESENT WHAT WAS WITHHELD, THE DETECTIVES AND DA DO NOTHING AND SAY NOTHING.

"INVESTIGATIONS" WITH NO WITNESSES AND NOT EVEN SPEAKING WITH THE COMPLAINANT WHOM MADE THE REPORT OR CONFIRM THE INFORMATION THAT THEY WERE GIVEN OF WHICH COMING FROM ACS AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM WAS FALSE. THE CLOSING OF AN ASSAULT CASE UPON A CHILD BY SGT. SINNER WITH 24 HOURS, NOT TO BE TAKEN UP BY TIRADO AND SAFE HORIZONS FOR APPROXIMATELY 2 MONTHS WHILE MY DAUGHTER WHOM REPORTED WHOM HAD ASSAULTED HER WAS JUST LEFT WITH MARGARET AND JOE TO BE ASSAULTED EVEN FURTHER. SHE WAS FAILED BY ALL THAT WERE SWORN IN TO PROTECT HER... 3 FAMILY OFFENSE DAS....

WALSH? DID NOT REVIEW MATERIALS I PROVIDED TO HER.

ZALETTA? DID NOT REVIEW MATERIALS PROVIDED TO HIM.

KELLY CASEY? TIRADO DOESN'T INVESTIGATE, CASEY IS INFORMED AS WELL AS GONZALEZ AND WHAT DO THEY DO? NOTHING. A SHAM OF GO THROUGH THE MOTIONS B.S. FOR A CHILD. THESE PEOPLE ARE TOTAL DISGRACES.

FOR JOANN FARRETTI, CLERK DAS OFFICE AND DA GONZALEZ TO KEEP THE ISSUES WITH TIRADO A SECRET WHILE MY DAUGHTER WAS A VICTIM OF HIS INVESTIGATION FRAUDS, LEAVING HER WITH HER ATTACKERS TO THIS DAY AND EVEN MORE ABSURD TO CONVICT THE FATHER FOR FALSE REPORTS WHILE A NYC DETECTIVE IS KNOWN FOR MISCONDUCT UPON TRUTHFUL INVESTIGATIONS OF CRIME IS SIMPLY SHOCKING.

SINCE JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA STOLE FROM MY DAUGHTER AND I, I WISH TO MAKE AN ISSUE OF EVEN WITH MY POLICE REPORT OF CLAIM THAT JOE STOLE 300 FROM ME WITH THE MOTHER CONFIRMING IT ON ACS RECORDS, NYPD 69 PCT SHARP DID ZERO. JOSEPH ALSO STOLE FROM HIS FATHER AFTER HE BEAT HIM UNCONSCIOUS.

FURTHERMORE, AFTER I MADE SEVERAL REPORTS AT THE 69 PCT WHICH WERE SUPPOSED TO BE INVESTIGATED BY THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD, I WAS TOLD....... ACS TOOK THEM !!! BY DIFALCO AND THE SARGEANT AT THE 69 PCT.

ON TOP OF ALL THIS WE HAVE KEVIN GORRASI A MANDATED REPORTER WHOM DID NOT REPORT ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER / FALSE REPORTING AS SHOWN ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM CRIMES AGAINST MY DAUGHTER AND FURTHERMORE, THE OWNER OF COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES TELLING ME TO CEASE AND DESIST FROM CONTACTING HIM AFTER MY FIRST EMAIL TO HIM.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, DA GONZALEZ, KELLY CASEY, JOANN FARRETTI, SAFE HORIZONS, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, BRUNETTI, SINNER, LUPERON, KEENAN, ROE, KING, ARMSTRONG, TIRADO, HAIDER, EIL, DI FALCO, TRAVIS JOHNSON, AMY SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, HUDSON, JOLY, FORTUNE, FERGUSON, CHRISTIANI, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, ROSMIL ALMONTE, WILLIAMS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, CORPORATION COUNSEL, NICOLA GIBSON, GARCIA, BEGHO, IYESHIA WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, KEVIN GORRASI, COMPREHENSIVE FAMILY SERVICES, LETITIA JAMES, SHEILA POOLE, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, WASKO, JAMES ZALETTA, COLLEEN WALSH, KELLY CASEY, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE, OCTAVIA HILL, RICHARD SPITZER, CFS...GWYNETH HORTON, DANA GRIERSON AND THE MYSTERY ACS WORKER WHOM WAS ALSO TAKING THE HANDWRITTEN NOTES THAT DISAPPEARED...... ANDREW HEVESI, DIFIORE, OCTAVIA HILL, SARDINA, ZALETTA, WALSH, DANNHAUSER, SAFE HORIZONS.

ALL OF THESE PEOPLE WERE AWARE / TOOK PART IN THIS CASE, SWORN TO SERVE THE PUBLIC FOR JUSTICE, SAFETY AND WELFARE OF OUR CITIZENS AND OUR CHILDREN AND ALLOWED, TOOK PART IN, CONCEALED, IGNORED HORRENDOUS ABUSE TO HAPPEN TO MY DAUGHTER.

THE 102ND CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST THE CLERKS OF EASTERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT DELAYING AND DESTROYING FILINGS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: EASTERN DISTRICT CLERKS

THE 103RD CAUSE OF ACTION IS

AGAINST FEDERAL APPEALS COURT WOLFE WHOM DISMISSED AN APPEAL THAT WAS WRITTEN BY A CLERK WHOM FORGED A JUDGES NAME AND WHOM ALREADY CERTIFIED THE APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS TO BEGIN WITH, THEY KNEW THAT DUFFY COMMITTED THIS CRIME VIA MY FILINGS AND TOOK ACTION IN FURTHERANCE OF THE CRIME, I BELIEVE THIS IS DUPLICATIVE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: CATHERINE WOLFE

THE 104TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST LETITIA JAMES, THE NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, OCTAVIA HILL, DEPARTMENT OF INVESTIGATION, SHEILA POOLE, DAVID HANSELL, JESS DANNHAUSER, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS, NYC CHILDREN AND THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY, JOHNSON, SERLIN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ALMONTE, GIBSON, HEVESI, DIFIORE, WILLIAMS FOR BEING AWARE OF THE OBSCENE, LIFE THREATENING ABUSE OF MY DAUGHTER AND DOING ABSOLUTELY NOTHING ABOUT IT, CONCEALING IT, LYING ABOUT IT AND EVEN ENSURING MY DAUGHTER AND I WERE PUNISHED FOR EVEN REPORTING IT.

THE 105TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS IN REGARDS TO MY DAUGHTERS STUNTED GROWTH / CHRONIC ILLNESS WHICH HAD OCCURRED AFTER PLACEMENT WITH HER MOTHER / ACS / CITY OF NEW YORK OF WHICH WAS NEVER BEFORE AN ISSUE. WHILE JOE ENGORGED HIMSELF ON ALL THE FOOD THEY HAD AND MADE HIMSELF FAT, MY DAUGHTER WAS SURVIVING IN A VIRTUAL CONCENTRATION CAMP WITH ALMOST NO FOOD AS CAN BE SEEN WITH HOW SKINNY SHE WAS AND HOW OBESE JOE WAS.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, ALMONTE, FERGUSON, JOHNSON, MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, NYC CHILDREN, KEVIN GORRASI, BEGHO, DOUGHERTY WITHERSPOON, SERLIN, SINNER, TIRADO, LEGAL AID, ERIC GONZALEZ, CASEY, CHILDRENS RESCUE FUND, SAFE HORIZONS, ALL THE DETECTIVES AND BOTH ACS UNITS.

THE 106TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST MICHAEL CARLIN FOR INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS, OATH OF OFFICE, ETC. FOR TELLING ME THAT IF THE JUDGE DOESN'T GO WITH ACS, SHE'LL LOSE HER JOB. HE ALSO SAID AFTER THAT, " IF YOU EVER SAY I SAID THAT, I WILL SAY I NEVER DID...! " HE ALSO TOLD ME THERE WAS A WOMAN JUDGE THAT WAS NOT COOPERATING WITH ACS AND SHE WAS REMOVED. AT THE TIME HE WOULD NOT TELL ME HER NAME OR DID AND I DID NOT REMEMBER HER NAME. MY EFFORTS TO REQUEST HER NAME FROM CARLIN SINCE HAVE BEEN FUTILE. HE WOULD NOT INFORM ME OF THE JUDGES NAME IN DEFENSE OF ACS AND CORRUPTION IN THE COURTS. GO TO MICHAEL CARLIN PAGE OF ACS COMPLAINTS.COM

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: MICHAEL CARLIN

167TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS REGARDING THE PHOTOGRAPHING OF MY DAUGHTER WITHOUT CLOTHES ON BEFORE AND AFTER SUPERVISED VISITATION ALONG WITH ACS NOT BEING WILLING TO TURN OVER SUCH PHOTOS AS PART OF DISCOVERY, THEIR INSPECTION OF MY DAUGHTERS VAGINA IF MARGARET INGOGLIA DID NOT ACCUSE ME OF SEXUALLY ABUSING MY DAUGHTER IS ALSO AN ISSUE, THEIR PRESENCE STANDING RIGHT BEHIND THE DOCTOR WHILE MY DAUGHTERS VAGINA WAS EXAMINED IS ANOTHER.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BOTH UNITS OF ACS WORKERS FROM GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD.
OCFS, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER.

108TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS THERE BEING AN APPROXIMATE 2 YEAR WAIT FOR AN APPEALS COURT DECISION IN THE NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM FROM INFORMATION COMING IN FROM PARENTS.

HAVING AN APPEALS PROCESS WITH A 2 YEAR WAIT FOR A DECISION IS NOT AFFORDING PARENTS / DEFENDANTS WITH DUE PROCESS ACCESS TO THE COURTS. SO IN OTHER WORDS, THE FINAL ORDER AGAINST ME WRITTEN BY ACS WOULD TAKE 2 YEARS OF ME NOT SEEING MY CHILD AND VICE VERSA FOR A DECISION UPON REVIEW ??!?!! HOWS ABOUT 4 YEARS ??!!?! THIS IS ABSURD. SO THE ACS ASSIGNED JUDGE CAN BE SEEN BY ACS WITHIN 24 HOURS WHEN MEANWHILE FOR A PARENT DEFENDANT TO HOPEFULLY HAVE AN "IMPARTIAL" APPEALS COURT JUDGE REVIEW A DECISION TAKES 24 MONTHS ??!?!! INSANE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA, DIFIORE, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, SHEILA POOLE, NYS OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES.

109TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS ACS ROUTINELY NOT VERIFYING / SWEARING TO THEIR PETITIONS AS WAS THE CASE WITH MY AMENDED PETITION WHICH WAS NOT VERIFIED AND UPON OBJECTION, IGNORANCE OF THE FACT THAT IT WAS NOT IN VIOLATION OF CPLR 3022. HOWEVER, THE ISSUE IS ONE OF ROUTINE PRACTICE SINCE MOST PARENTS DID NOT KNOW THEY HAD TO OBJECT / INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL UPON ME AND OTHER PARENTS TO

NOT INFORM US, THE DEFENDANT/S. IF IN FACT ACS IS ROUTINELY / INTENTIONALLY NOT VERIFYING, AND DEFENSE ATTORNEYS FROM THE 18 B PANEL ARE NOT INFORMING THEIR CLIENTS, THE MATTER IS ONE OF WHETHER OR NOT I OR ANY PARENT NEEDED TO OBJECT TO BEGIN WITH SINCE THE PROCESS WAS TO CIRCUMVENT THE INTENT OF CPLR 3022 IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS AND FEDERAL INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ISSUES.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, WASKO, LATTIBEAUDIERE, LA LINDEZ, LEITNER, HUDSON, FORTUNE, JOLY, CHRISTIANI, GARCIA, ALMONTE, FERGUSON, JOHNSON, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY, , LEGAL AID SOCIETY, JAMES, WILLIAMS, NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE, HANSELL, DANNHAUSER, NYS OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, SHEILA POOLE, GIBSON.

110TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST NYCLU FOR BEING THE HYPOCRITS THAT THEY ARE AND VIOLATING THEIR NOT FOR PROFIT CAUSE BY NOT SHARING THE SECRET DIRECTIVES FROM THE OCA THAT THE JUDGE ORDERED THEM TO. WHAT THE NYCLU IS DOING IS ACTING AS A PRIVATE CORPORATION. THEY ARE NOT. THEY ARE A PUBLIC TRUST CORPORATION AND CANNOT ACT IN A MANNER CONTRARY TO THEIR PUBLIC TRUST. FURTHERMORE, THEY ARE TAKING PART IN IDENTICAL CONDUCT OF THOSE THAT THEY ACCUSE AND WHOM ARE MY DEFENDANTS FOR THE SAME. THEY ARE A DISGRACE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: NYCLU (NEW YORK CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION)

111TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS AGAINST THE NYSPCC, THE NEW YORK SOCIETY FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO CHILDREN FOR RETURNING NO CALLS OR EMAILS FOR MY EFFORTS TO HAVE VISITATION WITH MY DAUGHTER AFTER ACS RAISED THEM AS AN OPTION FOR ME TO DO SO, OF COURSE ACS TOOK PART IN THIS,

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES: NYSPCC, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, GARCIA, BEGHO, WITHERSPOON, DOUGHERTY.

112TH CAUSE OF ACTION:

THIS CAUSE OF ACTION HAS TO DO WITH THE 2 AFFIDAVITS THAT WERE FILED IN OCFS COURT THAT WERE IGNORED, WHILE I WAS ADJUDICATED AS... "DEFAULT "
THERE IS NO DEFAULT ON A "HEARING "WITH A PRE DETERMINED OUTCOME AS PREVIOUSLY INDICATED ON SEPT 28, 2022 BY JUDGE WASKO.

A MAIN ISSUE UPON THE TWO AFFIDAVITS AS SHOWN ON OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES COMPLAINTS, COM IS THE AMENDED PETITION WAS A KNOWN FRAUD SINCE MANY OF MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE INDICATED AND CASE OPEN SERVICES. WASKO AND ALL ATTORNEYS HAD SUCH INFO, THORUGH THE "STIP SHEET "AS WASKO CALLS IT. THE AMENDED PETITION WAS NOT VERIFIED AND UPON OBJECTION OF DEFENDANT, IF THE PETITIONER DOES NOT RECTIFY, THE FILING IS...... VOID. THE DEFENDANTS WERE SERVED IN ACS COURT WITH MY OBJECTION AND CONTINUED TO PROCEED WITH THE CASE UPON ME, EX PARTE. FURTHERMORE, THIS CASE HAD NO SUMMONS EITHER. ACS AND WASKO WAS AWARE OF THIS. WASKO WAS PROVIDED WITH ALL MY PREVIOUS FEDERAL FILINGS AS WELL AS NARRATIVE IN MY EMAILS TO HER.

THERE WAS NO JURISDICTION TO PROCEED, A VIOLATION OF CPLR 3022 RENDERS A CASE, VOID AND WITHOUT A SUMMONS, THERE IS NO JURISDICTION TO BEGIN WITH. FOR MORE THAN A YEAR OF LITIGATION ON 22 CV 5416, NO DEFENSE ATTORNEY PRODUCED A SUMMONS IN DEFENSE OF MY ASSERTION THAT THERE NEVER WAS ONE.

RESPONSIBLE PARTIES:

ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, WASKO, ZULMA, LEITNER, LA LINDEZ, LATTIBEAUDIERE, ALMONTE, NICOLA GIBSON, TRAVIS JOHNSON, CORPORATION COUNSEL, LEGAL AID SOCIETY, BOTH UNITS OF ACS WORKERS FROM

GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD, DAVID HANSELL, WILLIAMS, NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, OCA.

A SPECIAL MENTION TO MY WONDERFUL DAUGHTER WHOM WHEN MY NAME WAS CALLED IN CRIMINAL COURT AND I WALKED UP FROM THE BENCHES IN THE COURTROOM, PUSHED OPEN THE FLAP DOORS AND STOOD BEFORE THE PODIUM TO BE SPOKEN TO BY THE JUDGE, LITTLE DID I KNOW, MY 3 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER WAS RUNNING RIGHT BEHIND ME, PUSHED OPEN THOSE FLAP DOORS HERSELF AND STOOD RIGHT NEXT TO HER FATHER TO ADDRESS THE JUDGE. MY DAUGHTER STOOD BY MY SIDE IN SUPPORT OF HER FATHER BEFORE THE CORRUPT LAWLESS GOVERNMENT ANIMALS AND SINCE THEN I HAVE, AM AND WILL CONTINUE STAND BY HER SIDE AND DEFEND HER JUST LIKE SHE HAD ME AS BEST SHE COULD AT ONLY 3 YEARS OLD. HER COURAGE TO REPORT AND TELL ON HER ATTACKERS, EVEN RIGHT IN FRONT OF THEM TO ACS WAS SIMPLY UNREAL. SHE AS I COURAGEOUSLY EXPOSED THE EVIL OF WHAT THESE DEFENDANTS REPRESENT.

WHILE MARGARET INGOGLIA HAS NOTHING GOOD TO SAY ABOUT HER DAUGHTER, SAYING HOW BADLY SHE IS BEHAVED, EVERYONE WHO MEETS AND INTERACTS WITH MY DAUGHTER, HER SCHOOL, EVERYONE INCLUDING MYSELF THINK SHE IS A WONDERFUL GIRL. A GIRL WHO WOULD BE SO HAPPY TO SEE ME, SHE WOULD RUN INTO MY ARMS AT VISITATION TO THE DISPLEASURE OF BEGHO AND THE ACS ANIMALS.

SHE EVEN THOUGH WAS BEATEN FOR COMING TO SEE HER DADDY WAS ALWAYS HAPPY TO SEE HER DADDY AND NEVER WAIVERED OR GAVE UP.

MY DAUGHTER IS MY INSPIRATION AND HAS MORE COURAGE, CHARACTER, DIGNITY AND DETERMINATION THAN EVERY DEFENDANT HEREIN, COMBINED.

NO PARENT COULD EVER WISH FOR A DAUGHTER MORE SPECIAL THAN MINE, UNLESS OF COURSE IF YOU ARE MARGARET INGOGLIA WHERE YOU CAN HEAR ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM WHERE SHE WISHES OUR DAUGHTER WERE DEAD AND EVEN BEFORE SHE WAS A YEAR OLD, YOU CAN HEAR THE EVIDENCE, RECORDED, THAT SHE REFERRRED TO OUR DAUGHTER AS A BITCH AND A BRAT BEFORE SHE WAS EVEN A YEAR OLD FOR THE LOVE SHE HAD FOR HER FATHER.....

TIMELINE:

THE FOREMENTIONED CAUSES OF ACTION WERE BETWEEN THE DATES OF 2017 – PRESENT ON AN ONGOING " CASE " THAT NEVER HAD A SUMMONS TO BEGIN WITH AND AN UNVERIFIED VOID PETITION.. THE TWO CRIMINAL CASES FILED BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK WERE USED AS THE FOUNDATIONAL CHARACTER ASSASSINATION IN THE ACS CASE SINCE UPON ACS CASE RECORDS, I WAS "INCARCERATED" OF WHICH I WAS NEVER INCARCERATED AND EVEN UPON ME INFORMING ACS OF DISMISSAL, THEY DID NOT TAKE UPDATE THEIR RECORD/S UPON ME. FURTHERMORE, UPON A YEAR OF LITIGATION OF THE 22 CV 5416 CASE, NO DEFENDANT PRODUCED A SUMMONS IN DEFENSE OF ITS LACK OF EXISTENCE, WHILE THE DEFENDANTS IGNORED THE NO SUMMONS ISSUE, THEIR DEFENSE OF VIOLATION OF CPPLR 3022 WAS NY CPLR 3022 IS NOT FEDERAL LAW AND THAT SOMEHOW FEDERAL COURT DOESN'T HAVE JURISDICTION. MY REPLY TO THIS NONSENSE WAS SIMPLE. CPLR 3022 IS A STATE LAW. TRUE, HOWEVER, WHEN YOU VIOLATE CPLR 3022 AND HAVE A VOID LEGAL FILING THAT YOU ARE USING TO KIDNAP MY CHILD, TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS AND RIGHT TO CARE FOR ANY CHILD PERMANENTLY FOR THE REST OF MY LIFE, CRIMINALLY ABUSE AND NEGLECT MY DAUGHTER AND DISSEMINATE FALSE INFORMATION UPON RESPONDENT IN PUBLIC RECORDS, WE HAVE A VERY DIFFERENT SITUATION AT HAND.

THERE ARE FEDERAL QUESTIONS, (CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS, 1,4,5,6,7,9,14, 42 US 1983, 42 US 1985, 42 US 1986, 18 US 3283 / CHILD ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT ACT), FTCA, FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT, AND THE REST OF THE BASIS FOR FEDERAL QUESTION, FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL, STATUTORY OR TREATY RIGHT AT ISSUE AS INDICATED ON PAGE 2, B OF THE FORM PROVIDED BY THE COURT THAT HAS DEFENDANTS STARTING WITH NUMBER 1.

CLAIM IN EXCESS OF \$ 75,000.

AND **DIVERSITY JURISDICTION** WITH MATTHEW LUNNY DUFFY AND RASHIMA FERGUSON LIVING IN NEW JERSEY, ZALETTA IN TEXAS AMAONG OTHER DEFENDANTS OUT OF STATE. IT IS MY BELIEF THAT DUFFY ACTED IN NEW JERSEY AND FURTHERMORE, SINCE DUFFY WAS A FEDERAL EMPLOYEE IT IS LAWFUL REGARDING VENUE TO FILE WHERE THE FEDERAL EMPLOYEE LIVES OR LIVED AT THE TIME OF CLAIMED CAUSE OF ACTION.

REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT I AM REQUESTING A TRIAL BY JURY, MY ANSWER IS YES BUT HAS MY REQUEST EVER MADE A DIFFERENCE ? NO.

WATCH THE DEFENDANTS FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM. I GUESS THIS WASN'T LONG ENOUGH FOR THEM SO THEY CAN THEN CLAIM A VIOLATION OF RULE 8. HEADS I WIN, TAILS YOU LOSE IS THEIR MOTTO.

RELIEF REQUESTED:

DISMISSAL OF ACS CASE AND OCFS CASE, AWARD OF 100,000,000,000 DOLLARS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS WHICH IS THE ANNUAL REVENUE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, TERMINATE THEIR LAW, EMPLOYMENT AND JUDICIAL LICENSES, TERMINATE THEIR PARENTAL RIGHTS PERMANENTLY, KIDNAP THEIR CHILDREN AND PUT THEM IN FOSTER CARE AND PUT THE BUMS IN JAIL WHERE THEY BELONG. MAKE SURE THIS IS ALL ON PUBLIC RECORD OF COURSE AND...

GIVE ME BACK MY DAUGHTER AND MY NOTARY LICENSE.

ADDRESS THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES OF LAW / PRACTICE / ACTIONS AND MY CHALLENGE TO THEIR CONSTITUTIONALITY, VIA MY CAUSES OF ACTION WITHIN.

DISBAND THEIR BOGUS NOT FOR PROFITS.

THE FOLLOWING WERE ADDITIONAL ADD ONS TO THE CAUSE OF ACTIONS ABOVE AND MAY BE DUPLICATIVE IN SOME OR ALL RESPECTS. I DON'T WANT TO SPEND MORE TIME WITH THE CAUSES OF ACTION AT THIS POINT SINCE THIS CAN GO ON AND ON AND ON AND ON... MEANWHILE NOT ONE JUDGE READS WHAT I FILE ANYWAY. SO IM DONE TILL I HAVE SOME EVIDENCE MY FILING IS BEING HANDLED BY A REAL JUDGE AND NOT A CORRUPT CLERK. ALL THESE CAUSES OF ACTION IN ADDITION!!!!!! TO THE OTHER FEDERAL FILINGS........

A. ACS INTENTIONALLY WITHHELD INVESTIGATION DETAILS TO ME AND TO INVESTIGATIVE DETECTIVE OF MY DAUGTERS PHYSICAL ASSAULTS BY HER MOTHER AND THE MOTHERS SON. SOME INVESTIGATION DETAILS THEY DID NOT TURN OVER TO ME UNTIL MORE THAN TWO YEARS LATER TO EVADE A 2 YEAR STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS ON MISDEANORS IF IN FACT SUCH WOULD BE CHARGED AS ONE RATHER THAN A FELONY.

B. BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS OF MY DAUGHTERS ABUSE BY SHAH HAIDER, BENJAMIN EIL, TIRADO, ROBERT DI FALCO OF THE BROOKLYN CHLID ABUSE SQUAD. WOULD YOU BELIEVE P.O.S. DI FALCO TOLD ME THAT IN ORDER TO PROVE INTERNAL INJURY FROM YOUR 3 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER BEING THROWN THERE WOULD HAVE TO BE MEDICAL EVIDENCE TO PROVE THE INTERNAL INJURY.. WELL, HOWS ABOUT BLOOD IN MY DAUGHTERS URINE ?!?!?!? NOPE. SHE JUST HAS A HEALTH PROBLEM.....

C. INSPECTOR CAROLINE ROE DID NOT ADDRESS CASE WHEN MINNIE WAS OLDER. ROE SAID SHE WOULD HAVE ARRESTED MARGARET AND JOE BUT MINNIE WAS TOO YOUNG TO PUT ON THE WITNESS STAND. WELL, WHEN SHE BECAME OF AGE, WHY WAS SHE NOT? WHY IF TIRADO OF THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD STATED THAT MY DAUGHTER COULDN'T SPEAK WHICH IS ABSURD SINCE SHE WAS AFRAID TO WHY WAS SHE NOT FOLLOWED UP WITH WHEN SHE WAS OLDER? HE SAID HE WOULD REOPEN IF NEW EVIDENCE ARISES BUT WOULDN'T ACCEPT MINE BECAUSE ACCORDING TO HIM DA GONZALEZ DOESN'T WANT THEM ACCEPTING PARENTS EVIDENCE BECAUSE IT COULD BE A FRAUD! THE MATTER IS CLEAR THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK TOOK PART IN BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS TO CLAIM THAT MY CALLS TO THE SCR WERE FALSE AND THEN AMENDED THEIR COMPLAINT TO INDICATE AS SUCH PLACING ME IN A CATCH 22 SITUATION OF ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD MISDEMEANOR FOR NOT REPORTING AND ENDANGERING THE WELFARE OF A CHILD A PART OF A ARTICLE 10 CONVICTION. THEY USED THEIR BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS WITH ZERO WITNESSES TO CREATE A FALSE CASE, INTENTIONALLY ASSAULTING MY DAUGHTER TO CREATE SUCH FALSE CASE, THE 18 US 3283 EVIL OF THIS WAS SIMPLY ASTOUNDING. COMMIT CHILD ABUSE UPON A CHILD AND THEN MAKE A CASE OF SUCH ABUSE UPON MY CHILD TO BE A FIGMENT OF OUR IMAGINATIONS.

THESE PEOPLE HAVE ALL THE EXCUSES, CURVE BALLS AND UTTER B.S. TO EXCUSE THEMSELVES FROM DOING THEIR JOB.

AND WHEN THE B..S. RUNS OUT, JUST CLAIM MY DAUGHTER IS SELF INFLICTING HER INJURIES.. WONDERFUL.

D. IN NO FOIL OR DISCOVERY PROVIDED WAS A WITNESS EVER SPOKEN TO WHERE THEY HAD LIVED!

E. MARGARET INGOGLIA HAD TOLD THE SCHOOL TO DISREGARD MY DAUGHTERS MARKS AND BRUISES BECAUSE SHE HAS BALANCE ISSUES AND THROWS HERSELF ON THE FLOOR. THE SCHOOL REPORTED THIS TO ACS. ACS DOES NOTHING... AS A MATTER OF FACT, WHEN MY DAUGHTER WAS ATTACKED WITH A KNIFE THE SCHOOL REPORTED THAT SHE WAS JITTERY UNLIKE THEY HAVE NEVER SEEN BEFORE. ACS CANCELLED VISITATION AND DIDNT TELL ME WHY. MARGARET INGOGLIA TOLD ACS THAT THE KNIFE INJURY WAS SELF INFLICTED. ACS TOLD MARGARET INGOGLIA TO KEEP THE KNIVES AWAY FROM MY DAUGHTER.

ANOTHER ACS INVESTIGATION. AND WHY ISNT MY DAUGHTER REPORTING THIS ?!?!?! RIGHT? WELL, I GUESS SHE IS A MUTE, A LIAR, HER FATHER IS NUTS AND THEIR WHOLE SONG AND DANCE B.S. IMAGINE THAT. SO MY DAUGHTER IS ATTACKED WITH A KNIFE AND ACS BELIEVES THE mother that she did IT TO HERSELF!!!! NOW, UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES, WOULD YOU KEEP REPORTING, RATTING OUT, TELLING PEOPLE ABOUT YOUR ABUSE WHEN THOSE THAT DO IT AND RESPONSIBLE FOR STOPPING IT ARE claiming you are doing it to yourself!!!!!!!!

(note: the word program keeps changing case from capital to lowercase on its own......)

I REPORTED THIS TO OFFICER KIM OF THE 69 PCT (GO TO OFFICER KIM PAGE OF OF ACS <u>COMPLAINTS.COM</u>) SHE SAID THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE. SHE WANTED TO HELP A KNEW ABOUT HOW CHILDREN ARE ABUSED IN THE ACS SYSTEM BUT CONCEEDED TO THE FACT THAT SHE DOESNT HAVE ENOUGH AUTHORITY TO DO SO.

____CAUSES OF ACTION

F. the matter of tirado, kelly casey and eric gonzalez. due to gonzalez the police wont accept evidence from parents! ACCORDING TO TIRADO AND A FEMALE DETECTIVE FROM THE BROOKLYN CHILD ABUSE SQUAD. I WILL PROVIDE HER NAME AT A LATER TIME.

AND FURTHERMORE, CASEY MANDATES AN INVESTIGATION, TIRADO DOESN'T DO IT, CASEY AND GONZALEZ ARE INFORMED AND NO ONE CARES. WHY? BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING THROUGH THE PAPER TRIAL COVER YOUR ASS MOTIONS OF FRAUD, FUTILITY AND MAKE BELIEVE TO COVER FOR THE CORRUPT CHILD ABUSING CITY OF NEW YORK/ ACS/ NYC CHILDREN.

OVER 100 CAUES OF ACTION. WATCH THE DEFENDANTS, ONE AFTER THE OTHER FILE MOTIONS TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM... WATCH.. AND WILL THE JUDGE SANCTION THEM FOR FRIVOLOUS MOTION PRACTICE? WILL JERSEY, OUTSIDE OF ACS INFLUENCE MAKE A DIFFERENCE? WE SHALL SEE.

CAUSES OF ACTION CONTINUED...

113:

During this case, my daughters growth became stunted, she was chronically ill unlike before where she was chronically healthy like her father where she was never sick. No constipation problems whatsoever. Ever.

She is placed with her mother and acs and they both feed her.....s**t.

At visitation i have on tape my daughter telling me that her mother drinks all the prune juice...... Can you imagine that? So her mother puts food on the table that makes them constipated and the mother drinks the prune juice and makes my daughter SUFFER.

Meanwhile, Joe becomes obese as my daughter is pale and emaciated. As shown in the ACS records, Joe was proivided a NUTRITIONIST !!!!! My daughter was provided,...... zero.

For her constipation, rather than her mother allow her to have prune juice for the crap she was being fed, the mother takes her to a doctors office whom puts her on A CONSTANT DOSAGE OF MIRALAX which is highly toxic and only to be used in case of emergency.

While on the phone with my daughter one day, she kept on repeating herself over and over, the same think like a broken record. I couldnt believe what i was hearing....

Now i know, this is a side effect of the toxic effects upon the brain of the active ingredient of Miralax which is ethylene glycol. In other words...... ANTIFREEZE.

UNDER PRESCRIPTION DRUG JOURNAL IT SAYS THE FOLLOWING....

What are the ingredients of MiraLax?
Miralax contains polyethylene glycol 3350 ("PEG 3350"), a compound of the highly toxic chemicals ethylene glycol (EG) and diethylene glycol (DEG), ingredients found in automotive antifreeze and brake fluid.
What's the problem with MiraLax?
n December 2011, the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA) placed MiraLAX on its Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) in connection with "neuropsychiatric events" including tremors, tics and obsessive-compulsive behaviors in children.

THERE IS A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE AGAINST THE USAGE OF THIS DRUG. AFTER I FOUND OUT ABOUT THIS IN 2021, AND MADE AN ISSUE, THE DOCTORS OFFICE AGREED TO SIMPLY GIVE MY DAUGHTER A NATURAL LAXITIVE OF WHICH THEY COULD HAVE DONE FROM DAY 1, OR IN OTHER WORDS, PRUNE JUICE OF WHICH THE MOTHER WAS DRINKING AND WITHHOLDING FROM MY DAUGHTER FROM DAY 1.

ON TOP OF THIS, MY DAUGHTER NEVER HAD SUCH ISSUE WITH ME IN HER LIFE AND WAS TOTALLY A RESULT OF MALNUTRITION ON THE PART OF THE MOTHER AND ACS AMONG OTHERS WHOM WERE RESPONSIBLE.

DUE TO THE FACT THAT I WITNESSED HARM TO MY DAUGHTERS BRAIN THAT COINCIDED WITH WHAT THIS POISON DOES TO THE HUMAN BODY, I AM MAKING THIS ANOTHER CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONALLY POISONING MY DAUGHTER WITH FUC*ING ANITFREEZE. FURTHERMORE THE DEFENDANTS CONTINUED AND CONSPIRED WITH THE GOVERNMENT DEFENDANTS TO PROFFER THE FALSE GOVERNMENT NARRATIVE OF MALEK MAKING A FALSE CLAIM AND THAT WHAT HE IS STATING IS COMPLETELY UNFOUNDED AND FALSE..... [!!!]

AND YES. I HAVE THE RIGHT TO REPRESNT MY DAUGHTER SO SHE ISNT FED FUC*ING ANTIFREEZE ON A CONSTANT BASIS TO DESTROY HER HEALTH AND HER BRAIN........ PERMANENTLY.

MY EMAIL OF 6-13-2021

I am putting all of you on notice that giving my daughter Miralax / cephalexin is giving her stomach problems as stated in your report further preventing her from going to school.

The claim in acs nyc court report that her stomach issues are from her FATHER and not these drugs is absurd.

To intentionally poison my daughter in this manner without changing medication or instituting natural remedies or changing diet as has been done with joe causing her to physically suffer as a means to implement my daughters suffering as a pawn to make a case against her father is sick, unacceptable and has been taking place from day 1 as I would report her abuse to the scr while judge Williams claims no jurisdiction to address family offense petitions and writes orders to prevent me from having evidence of such.

I am holding each and every one of you responsible for such harm to my daughter and I and violation of civil rights upon my daughter and in part supporting a 18 us 242 violation I expect a renoucement of such conduct by every party here by Tuesday morning June 15, 2021, 9am

Robert Malek

IN RESPONSE TO THIS, TWO DOCTORS WRITE A LETTER DRS. EMILY CUPELLI AND STEVEN FRIEDMAN FROM PEDIATRIC UROLOGY ASSOCIATES 360 ESSEX STREET, SUITE 402, HACKENSACK, NJ 07601

STATING THE FOLLOWING:

HER BIOLOGICAL FATHER HAS APPARENTLY BEEN COMPLAINING ABOUT THE USAGE OF MIRALAX FOR CONSTIPATION USING A FALSE CLAIM !!!!!

THAT THIS MEDICATION CAUSES PSYCHIATRIC PROBLEMS IN CHILDREN. THIS IS COMPLETELY UNFOUNDED AND...... FALSE.

NOT THAT I AM NOT INCORRECT BUT RATHER A...... FALSE CLAIM

RESPONSIBLE LIFE FORMS:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, AND THE FOLLOWING BELOW...

Jdwillia@nycourts.gov. williams

Almonte, Rosmil (ACS) Rosmil.Almonte@acs.nyc.gov

nicola.gibson@acs.nyc.gov

david.hansell@acs.nyc.gov

isabel@legal-aid.org

Stern, Judith . JSStern@legal-aid.org

Johnson, Travis · TMJohnson@legal-aid.org

Octavia Hill · ohill@doi.nyc.gov

Witherspoon, lyeisha D (ACS) | lyeisha.witherspoon@acs.nyc.gov

Hayes, Melissa (ACS) · Melissa.Hayes@acs.nyc.gov

Garcia, Paula S (ACS) - paula.garcia2@acs.nyc.gov

paula.garcia@acs.nyc.gov

STEVEN FRIEDMAN

EMILY CUPELLLI

LEGAL AID SOCIETY

PEDIATRIC ASSOCIATES

BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD.

CORPORATION COUNSEL

#114 CAUSE OF ACTION IS JOE SLEEPING WITH MY DAUGHTER IN THE SAME BED FOR ABOUT A YEAR WHILE UNDER ACS JURISDICTION!

WOW. THAT'S FUN. ISNT IT !?

NOW WE KNOW WHERE ALL HER URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS ARE COMING FROM.

HOWS ABOUT A COURT ORDER FOR THE FEMALE DEFENDANTS TO SLEEP IN MY BED FOR A YEAR AND FOR THE GUYS, SEND ME YOUR GRANDDAUGHTERS SO I CAN SLEEP WITH THEM TOO...

ILL MAKE SURE WHEN I GET DONE WITH THEM, THEY'LL HAVE URINARY TRACT INFECTIONS AS WELL...

THANK YOU !!!

RESPONSIBLE LIFE FORMS:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES, BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD, DAVID HANSELL, OCTAVIA HILL, SHEILA POOLE, TRAVIS JOHNSON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY.

##115TH CAUSE OF ACTION IS MY DAUGHTER GETTING COUNSELING FOR BAD BEHAVIOR AT HOME WHEN NO ONE ANYWHERE ELSE CLAIMS SHE HAS BAD BEHAVIOR. APPARENTLY, THIS IS WHY MARGARET INGOGLIA SAYS SHE WANTS OUR DAUGHTER DEAD, MY DAUGHTER SAYS MOMMY IS GOING TO KILL ME, (THIS CAN ALL BE HEARD ON ACS COMPLAINTS.COM) AND.... SHE COMES TO SCHOOL WITH MARKS AND BRUISES THAT HER MOTHER CLAIMS IS DUE TO BALANCE PROBLEMS (NO DOCTOR CONFIRMED THIS) AND SELF INFLICTED INJURIES !!!!!

RESPONSIBLE LIFE FORMS:

MARGARET INGOGLIA, JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, ACS, NYC CHILDREN, JANIE GROFF, SUN RIVER HEALTH / BRIGHTPOINT (ALREADY BEING SUED IN ANOTHER FEDERAL COMPLAINT

REGARDING FALSE AND FRAUDULENT PSYCHE REPORTS) THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHILDREN, BOTH SETS OF ACS WORKERS FROM GRANT SQUARE AND BEDFORD, JOHNSON, SERLIN, LEGAL AID SOCIETY.