

5-17-2023

RECEIVED IN PRO SE
MAY 17 2023 @ 12:32 PM
VIA BOX.COM

ROBERT MALEK
ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM
ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL

HECTOR GONZALEZ
EASTERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT
BROOKLYN NY 11201

re: 22 cv 5416 consolidated

**ADDENDUM TO :
" LETTER : YOUR FRAUD "**

- 1. I HAD ACCIDENTALLY OMMITTED THE DATE ON THE DOCUMENT BELOW WHEN I FILED IT
THE DATE I FILED IT WAS 5-15-2023.**
- 2. AS PART OF THE REQUEST OF COMPENSATION FOR YOUR FRAUD, I AM ALSO DEMANDING \$ 1000. DOLLARS
IN MAILING COSTS FOR YOU NOT RULING ON ECF MOTION FILED APPROXIMATELY A YEAR AGO,
RATHER TAKING A " VACATION " WHILE YOU HAD YOUR CLERK MATTHEW DUFFY PLAY JUDGE AS SHOWN
ON THE FED COURT CON JOB PAGE ON USCOURTCORRUPTION.ORG**

**AS PREVIOUSLY STATED, IF YOU DO COMPENSATE ME 2010 DOLLARS FOR THE 5 CASES FILED, PLUS
COST OF APPEAL AND NOW, IN ADDITION, 1000 DOLLARS IN MAILING COSTS, I WILL SUE YOU
IN SMALL CLAIMS COURT.**

**WHILE YOU HAVE ABSOLUTE IMMUNITY FOR YOUR ACTS AS A JUDGE, MY LEGAL CLAIM IS CLEAR IN THAT
YOU SHOULD HAVE NO IMMUNITY FOR THE ACTS YOU TOOK WHILE ON VACATION, TO HAVE
CLERKS SIGN YOUR NAME UNTO THEIR OWN BOGUS ORDERS, DISMISSING CASES WITH FALSE IDENTITY.
WHAT TOOK PLACE HERE WAS MORE THAN JUST A CIVIL WRONG, IT WAS CRIMINAL.**

SUBMITTED,
ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK, C/O/ M.M.
ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM
ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL.
5-17-2023

ROBERT MALEK

ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM

ADDRESS CONFIDENTIAL

HECTOR GONZALEZ

EASTERN DISTRICT FEDERAL COURT

BROOKLYN NY 11201

re: 22 cv 5416 consolidated

LETTER : YOUR FRAUD

HELLO. NICE TO FINALLY HEAR FROM YOU. WHERE HAVE YOU BEEN ? YOUR MOST RECENT ORDER IS THE ONLY " THING " YOU HAVE DONE SINCE DAY ONE.

HOW MANY CASES HAVE YOU AND OTHER JUDGES GIVEN TO YOUR CLERKS TO PLAY JUDGE UPON PRO SE FILERS AT YOUR COURTHOUSE ? IM SURE IM NOT THE FIRST.

INTERESTING, HOW 20 DOLLARS IN SMALL CLAIMS COURT AND YOU SEE A JUDGE. I SPEND

100X TIMES THAT AND I LITIGATE BEFORE NOTHING MORE THAN A PICTURE ?

APPROXIMATELY 4000 PAGES WERE FILED WITH MORE THAN 200 ENTRIES. NOT ONE QUESTION, HECTOR ?

NO. NOT AT ALL.

I FILED A NOTICE OF MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF CLERK. YOU MADE BELIEVE IT WAS A MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF JUDGE. CONSPICUOUSLY, YOU DIDNT HAVE DUFFY RESPOND. YOU KNEW YOUR FRAUD WAS EXPOSED THAT YOU WERE FOR MY 5 CASES, ON " VACATION. "

SO TO RECAP THIS, YOU MADE BELIEVE A NOTICE OF MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF CLERK WAS A MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF JUDGE AND SINCE YOU KNEW YOU WERE CAUGHT RED HANDED, DIDNT HAVE " JUDGE DUFFY " RESPOND. TO THAT EXTENT, I ASSUME YOU RECUSED YOUR CLERK FROM FURTHER PLAYING JUDGE AND ELECTRONICALLY SIGNING YOUR NAME WHILE YOU WERE OUT BOATING, GOLFING OR WHO KNOWS WHAT ELSE, SPENDING YOUR TIME ON CASES THAT WERE FILED BY ATTORNEYS RATHER THAN WORTHLESS PRO SES SUCH AS MYSELF. A PRO SE CASE ? GIVE IT TO THE CLERK TO HANDLE. TO HECK WITH THE PRO SE.

IF YOU GO TO US COURT CORRUPTION.ORG AND NAVIGATE TO THE FED COURT CON JOB PAGE, YOU WILL FIND THE CLEAR IRREFUTABLE EVIDENCE OF WHOM WROTE YOUR " ORDERS. "

YOUR CLERK MATTHEW DUFFY. WHOM IGNORED MOTIONS, LETTERS, STATEMENTS OF PERJURY, SUBPOENAS, WHOLE CAUSES OF ACTION, STATUTES, AMENDMENTS AS WELL AS A SLEW OF FACTUAL ERRORS. FURTHERMORE, THE CLERKS WERE INTENTIONALLY DELAYING MY FILINGS GIVING THE GOVERNMENT RESPONDENTS EXTRA TIME TO RESPOND.

WHILE YOU CAN ATTEMPT TO CLAIM YOU HAD HIM WRITE THEM, WHAT IS CLEAR IS THAT 4 ORDERS WERE WRITTEN, 3 BY DUFFY. 1 OUT OF 3

CERTIFIED AND DISMISSED MY ATTEMPT TO APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS. YOUR ORDER, THE 4TH ONE DID NOT DO SO. SO WHAT WE HAVE IS A NEWBIE LAW CLERK WHOM CANT MAKE UP HIS MIND AND DOESNT KNOW WHAT HE IS DOING CERTIFY AN APPEAL AS BEING FRIVOLOUS AND ATTEMPTING TO BLOCK MY RIGHT TO APPEAL WHILE THE REAL HECTOR GONZALEZ ORDER REFERENCES OTHERWISE.

SO RATHER THAN A CLERK ASSISTING A JUDGE, A CLERK IS PLAYING JUDGE WITH THE JUDGE NOT EVEN REVIEWING THE WORK OF THE CLERK.

ADDITIONALLY, WHAT YOU DONT KNOW AND WILL NOW KNOW,

EXHIBIT A

IS THAT JUDGE WASKO, IN ORDER TO ADJOURN WANTED TO KNOW IF THERE WAS A PRESENT FEDERAL ACTION ONGOING IN RELATION TO THE MATTER AND IF THERE WAS, SHE WILL ADJOURN. I STATED THAT 22 CV 5416 AMONG 4 OTHERS ARE STILL ONGOING.....

WITHIN APPROXIMATELY 2-3 HOURS OF ACS OBJECTING TO ADJOURNMENT AND MY PROVIDING THE EVIDENCE THE JUDGE REQUESTED TO ADJOURN, " JUDGE DUFFY " POPS OUT A FINAL ORDER DISMISSING ALL CASES !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

SO HERE WE HAVE THESE CASES ONGOING FOR A WHOLE YEAR AND UPON OBJECTION OF ACS, WITHIN 120 MINUTES, EVERY CASE IS TERMINATED SO ACS CAN THEN CONVICT ME IN OCFS COURT SINCE THEIR NEW " LAW " IS THAT A CONVICTION IN ACS COURT IS A DE FACTO CONVICTION IN OCFS COURT. 120 MINUTES, THE 1-2 PUNCH WAS THROWN AND 6 CASES IN TOTAL WERE TERMINATED.

NOW IF DUFFY HAD A SHRED OF COMMON SENSE, HE WOULD HAVE WAITED AT LEAST A DAY OR TWO. NOT 2-3 HOURS.BUT THEN AGAIN, HE HASNT LEARNED HOW TO EVEN DRIVE MUCH LONG AGO.

AT THIS POINT, I AM REQUESTING THE REAL JUDGE GONZALEZ TO STATE WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE AFFIRMING MY APPEAL WOULD BE FRIVOLOUS AND DISMISSING IT AS THE PHONY JUDGE DUFFY DID.

FURTHERMORE, I AM REQUESTING YOU TO PAY ME 2515. IN COMPENSATION OF YOUR FRAUD IN THE AMOUNT OF 2010 PLUS 505 WHICH IS THE COST OF APPEALING AN ORDER YOU DIDNT BOTHER TO WRITE. I DONT PAY TO APPEAL ORDERS FROM CLERKS, SIR. I APPEAL ORDERS FROM JUDGES AND CONSIDER THE ORDERS TO BE NULL AND VOID. IF YOU DO NOT PAY ME 2515. DOLLARS I WILL ATTEMPT TO SUE YOU IN SMALL CLAIMS COURT OF WHICH I BELIEVE YOU WILL ATTEMPT TO WEASEL YOUR WAY OUT OF. OTHERWISE, YOU KNOW, WITHOUT QUESTION, I HAVE ALL THE EVIDENCE TO EXPOSE AND HUMILIATE YOU IN FRONT OF EVERY AMERICAN CITIZEN IN THE SMALL CLAIMS COURTROOM WHICH IS EXACTLY WHERE SOMEONE WHOM FRAUDS PEOPLE AS YOU HAVE DONE TO ME, BELONGS. THERE ARE PEOPLE WHOM BECAME JUDGES FOR THE RIGHT REASONS. THEN, THERE ARE PEOPLE WHOM BECAME JUDGES LIKE YOURSELF AND THE " MONESTER " AS HE CALLS HIMSELF TO VICTIMIZE AND HARM PARENTS SUCH AS MYSELF AND THEIR CHILDREN WITHOUT ANY CAUSE OR JUSTIFICATION WHATSOEVER.

SINCERELY,

ROBERT MALEK, Robert Malek, c.o. m.m.

/S/ *Robert Malek c/o/. m.m.*

EXHIBIT A

I will call you and Ms. Montanez.

What number do you prefer I call?

Thank you, Judge Wasko

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device
Get Outlook for Android

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2022 8:03:01 AM

To: Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>; Montanez, Zulma (ACSE) <zulma.montanez@acs.nyc.gov>; Udochi, John (OCFS) <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>; Palacio, Bernadette M (OCFS) <Bernadette.Palacio@ocfs.ny.gov>

Subject: Is there a call in number or link for the 28th ?

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

Good morning judge wasko. Is there a call in number or link for the 28th ?

Thank you,

Robert Malek

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 3:17 PM

To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Cc: "Montanez, Zulma (ACSE)" <zulma.montanez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Update to all as requested by Judge wasko in september :

Regarding adjournment , federal cases are still ongoing....

START HERE

Sincerely,
Robert Malek

[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 3:17 PM

To: abc75abc@gmail.com



Address not found

Your message wasn't delivered to
zulma.montanez@acs.nyc.gov because the address couldn't

be found, or is unable to receive mail.

The response from the remote server was:

550 5.1.1 User Unknown

Final-Recipient: rfc822; zulma.montanez@acs.nyc.gov

Action: failed

Status: 5.1.1

Remote-MTA: dns; mxa-004c8901.gslb.pphosted.com. (148.163.139.103, the server for the domain acs.nyc.gov.)

Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 User Unknown

Last-Attempt-Date: Wed, 08 Mar 2023 12:17:37 -0800 (PST)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Cc: "Montanez, Zulma (ACSE)" <zulma.montanez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Bcc:

Date: Wed, 8 Mar 2023 15:17:25 -0500

Subject: Re: Robert Malek Telephone Hearing (HID 109176)

----- Message truncated -----

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 3:35 PM

To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <John.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>

Mr. Malek,

Please advise regarding the length of the adjournment that you are requesting.

[Quoted text hidden]

Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS) <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 3:58 PM

To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACS)" <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Good day ALJ Novick-Wasko,

ACS objects to this request. This matter is mid hearing and has been pending for quite some time. Moreover, the Family Court matter is concluded and I am not aware of any appeals of the FF order in our system, which would substantiate a stay of this fair hearing matter pending ongoing litigation of the family court order.

ACS requests that this hearing be continued and not adjourned again.

Please note this matter is assigned to attorney Leslie Leitner.

Thank you.

Best,

Wendy

From: Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 3:36 PM
To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Cc: Udochi, John (OCFS) <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>; Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS) <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>; LaLindez, John A (ACS) <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Robert Malek Telephone Hearing (HID 109176)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Forward suspect email to phish@oti.nyc.gov as an attachment (Click the More button, then forward as attachment).

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com> Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 5:31 PM
To: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS)" <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>
Cc: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACS)" <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Last time the court granted 6 months. 4 months would be reasonable.

Apparently acs has determined that federal court and the cases I filed and in progress have no bearing whatsoever. Not surprised. Afterall head appeals Judge Hector la Salle is a known acs flag waver and makes parents appeals take for all eternity to ever be decided upon.

The federal judge at this point has to make a key ruling on violation of cplr 3022 since the Amended Complaint was knowingly and willfully not verified, placing acs case in the garbage where it belonged from the day my daughter was sexually abused by them and their client margaret ingoglia on 6 28 2018 as you the judge knows.

Sincerely
Robert Malek
[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
To: Victoria Navarro <vikkinavarro@hotmail.com>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 5:32 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS) <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 5:40 PM

To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACS)" <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

ACS would request proof of filing of any appeal (including perfection of same), specific to the underlying Fact Finding Order in this case. Without same, please note ACS' continued objection, as noted this hearing was commenced months ago.

Thank you.

Best ,

Wendy

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 5:31 PM

To: Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS) <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Cc: Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>; Udochi, John (OCFS) <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>; LaLindez, John A (ACS) <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>; Leitner, Leslie (ACS) <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Robert Malek Telephone Hearing (HID 109176)

You don't often get email from abc75abc@gmail.com. Learn why this is important

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 5:43 PM

To: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS)" <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Cc: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACS)" <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

To comment further upon this situation of violation of cplr 3022 is that you the judge knows for a fact on scr records and acs knows as well that many of my calls to the scr were indicated and confirmed. AcS cannot produce scr records nor can ocfs that contradicts this fact. I have the records, you have the records, we all have the records. The Amended Complaint was a known factual fraud from day 1 which is why it was intentionally not verified, Violating cplr 3022. This is just one of the issues being determined in federal court now.

Sincerely,

Robert Malek

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Wed, Mar 8, 2023 at 5:47 PM

To: Victoria Navarro <vikkinavarro@hotmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **robert malek** <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Mar 8, 2023, 5:43 PM

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Robert Malek Telephone Hearing (HID 109176)

To: Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS) <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>

Cc: Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, Udochi, John (OCFS) <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, LaLindez, John A (ACS) <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>, Leitner, Leslie (ACS) <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 9:11 AM

To: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Ms. Lattibeaudiere,

I understand your request and I agree.

Mr. Malek,

I prematurely granted your adjournment request; Ms. Lattibeaudiere's request for proof of filing of any appeal (including perfection of same), specific to the underlying Fact Finding Order in this case, is reasonable.

Please provide the requested documentation in order for your adjournment request to be granted.

Thank you, Judge Wasko

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 9:42 AM

To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Of course you agree with acs. However....

It isnt reasonable when you adjourned previously due to Federal action which is ehat took place in september 28, 2022.. So now you are contradicting yourself.

Robert Malek

[Quoted text hidden]

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>

Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 9:49 AM

To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Mr. Malek,

Ms. Lattibeaudiere's request is for proof of filing of any appeal (including perfection of same), specific to the underlying Fact Finding Order in this case,

If the federal action is filed, please provide the requested documentation in order for your adjournment request to be granted.

Thank you, Judge Wasko

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 9, 2023 9:42 AM
To: Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>
Cc: Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE) <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>; Udochi, John (OCFS) <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>; LaLindez, John A (ACSE) <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>; Leitner, Leslie (ACSE) <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] RE: Robert Malek Telephone Hearing (HID 109176)

ATTENTION: This email came from an external source. Do not open attachments or click on links from unknown senders or unexpected emails.

[Quoted text hidden]

Leitner, Leslie (ACS) <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 10:09 AM
To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS)" <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Cc: "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>

Good morning:

All noted; thank you.

Best,

Leslie

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 10:19 AM
To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>
Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Hello.

Months ago, your honor, approximately a month before September 28th, 2022 I sent you proof of filing of all 4.

22 cv 05416 is one of them.

Regards,
Robert Malek

[Quoted text hidden]

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 10:20 AM
To: "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>, "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Cc: "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>

Thank you, Ms. Leitner

[Quoted text hidden]

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 10:22 AM
To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

There is a new attorney assigned to this case; Leslie Leitner, Esq..

Please send the information as requested currently.

[Quoted text hidden]

Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS) <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 11:04 AM
To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Mr. Malek,

In light of the ACS request for proof of filing of any appeal, including perfection of same, I need to receive said proof of filing by Tuesday, March 21, 2023.

If my office does not receive said proof of filing by Tuesday, March 21, 2023, the hearing will proceed as scheduled, on Tuesday, March 28, 2023 at 10am, by Telephone.

The Telephone number that I have for you is 718-757-4473.

If that number is no longer correct, please advise me of the correct number to call you on.

If you fail to appear for said hearing, on Tuesday, March 28, 2023 at 10am, please be advised that you will be deemed to be in Default.

[Quoted text hidden]

robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com> Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 11:20 AM
To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <Sue.Novick-Wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>
Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACSE)" <wendy.lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <John.Udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACSE)" <john.lalindez@acs.nyc.gov>, "Leitner, Leslie (ACSE)" <leslie.leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

So we have 3 acs lawyers on this case now ?!?! vs 1 pro se. Clear evidence of ACS bullying.

You said below judge wasko, the following :

If the federal action is filed, please provide the requested documentation in order for your adjournment request to be granted.

Thank you, Judge Wasko

SO I PROVIDED THE EVIDENCE OF SUCH AND NOW YOU ARE REVERTING BACKWARDS UPON YOUR OWN STATEMENTS ONCE AGAIN.

ARE YOU UNDER DURESS OR THREAT JUDGE WASKO ? DO YOU WANT ME TO CONTACT THE FBI FOR YOU ? IT IS CLEAR TO ME THERE IS SOMETHING PREVENTING YOU FROM MAKING YOUR OWN DECISIONS. YOU CAN EMAIL ME SEPARATELY FOR HELP.

REGARDS,

ROBERT MALEK

[Quoted text hidden]

Leitner, Leslie (ACS) <Leslie.Leitner@acs.nyc.gov>

Thu, Mar 9, 2023 at 12:47 PM

To: "Novick-Wasko, Sue (OCFS)" <sue.novick-wasko@ocfs.ny.gov>, robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: "Lattibeaudiere, Wendy A (ACS)" <Wendy.Lattibeaudiere@acs.nyc.gov>, "Udochi, John (OCFS)" <john.udochi@ocfs.ny.gov>, "LaLindez, John A (ACS)" <John.LaLindez@acs.nyc.gov>

Noted; thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]
