From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: themonesterman@gmail.com

Date: Thu, 22 Dec 2022 03:26:08 -0500 Subject: Fwd: CLERK FRAUD, 18 US 1001

----- Forwarded message ------

From: robert malek < abc75abc@gmail.com >

Date: Thu, Dec 22, 2022, 2:39 AM

Subject: Fwd: CLERK FRAUD, 18 US 1001

To: <OXIMORON17@usa.net>

----- Forwarded message ------

From: robert malek < abc75abc@gmail.com >

Date: Thu, Dec 22, 2022 at 2:30 AM Subject: CLERK FRAUD, 18 US 1001 To: <JUDGEREY@yahoo.com>

DEAR HONORABLE RAMON E. REYES, JR.,

DUE TO CRIMINAL CONDUCT BETWEEN YOUR COURT ATTORNEY AND THE CLERKS, I HAVE NO OTHER OPTION BUT TO CONTACT YOU DIRECTLY. THIS IS NOT EX PARTE COMMUNICATION ON THE CASE SINCE THIS IS NOT ABOUT THE CASE PER SE. THIS IS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT YOU ARE RECEIVING MY FILINGS TO BEGIN WITH. YOU ARE NOT.

I HAVE RECORDED THE CONVERSATION I HAD ON DECEMBER 20, 2022 WITH YOUR CLERK AND WILL BE FORWARDING WITHIN 24 HOURS, TRANSCRIBED, WHERE I CAN CAREFULLY COMMENT UPON WHAT IS BEING SAID.

WHAT I NEED TO AT LEAST STATE FOR RIGHT NOW IS THAT ATTACHED IS THE PROOF OF THE FILINGS I HAD DONE. WE HAD A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION SERVED TO THE DEFENDANTS ON DECEMBER 9, 2022 AND UPLOADED ON DECEMBER 9, 2022...

WE HAD A SUBPOENA THAT WAS SERVED ON THE DEFENDANTS ON DECEMBER 11, 2022 AND UPLOADED SAME DAY.

WHERE IS THE SUBPOENA ON THIS CASE? NOWHERE.

EVEN AFTER I HAVE WRITTEN TO YOU DIRECTLY AND PROVIDED TO YOU DIRECTLY THE FILINGS OF WHICH WERE INTERCEPTED BY THE CLERKS, THE SUBPOENA IS NOT ON THE CASE. APPARENTLY THE CLERKS INTERCEPTED THE LETTER SENT TO YOU.

AN ELECTRONIC FILING AND HARDCOPIES SENT TO YOU AND STILL, NOTHING. SO WHAT DOES YOUR CLERK HAVE TO SAY?

I AM NOT BEING PATIENT!

SHE ALSO LIED ON THE 20TH. AND TOLD ME THAT THE LETTER TO YOU WAS RECEIVED ON THE 19TH WHEN IT WAS RECEIVED ON THE 15TH.
ON THE CASE, THE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION IS DATED AS BEING FILED ON THE 19TH WHEN IT WAS UPLOADED ON THE 9TH AND RECEIVED HARDCOPY

TO YOU ON THE 15TH. THE CLAIM FROM YOUR CLERK AND THE CLERKS THAT IT WAS RECEIVED HARDCOPY ON THE 19TH IS FACTUALLY UNTRUE AS SHOWN BELOW.

ON TOP OF THIS ALL, THE SUBPOENA THAT WAS FILED ON THE 11TH ELECTRONICALLY IS NOWHERE TO BE FOUND. NOT JUST FILED ELECTRONICALLY BUT MAILED TO YOU AS WELL...

UPON ALL THIS, YOUR CLERK BLAMES ME FOR NOT BEING PATIENT AND THAT I AM FILING A LOT! AND...... THAT I KEEP CALLING THE PRO SE OFFICE OF WHICH I HAVE NOT CALLED THEM AT ALL. WHEN I ASKED HER WHO DID I SPEAK TO OVER THERE AND WHO TOLD YOU THIS, YOUR CLERK HAD NO ANSWER.

IT IS CLEAR TO ME THAT YOUR CLERK IS BEING NOTHING MORE THAN AN INCONSIDERATE MOUTHPIECE FOR THE PRO SE CLERKS, MAKING EVERY EXCUSE AND ANY ALLEGATION OF FACT SHE IS TOLD, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER OR NOT IT IS TRUE OR FALSE. BELIEVING NOTHING OF WHAT I SAY. WHILE I AM SUPPOSED TO SHOW THAT I HAVE SERVED THE DEFENDANTS, I RECENTLY EMAILED THE DEFENDANTS THAT I WILL NO LONGER BE SERVING THEM BY EMAIL. PACER ONLY. WHY? BECAUSE WHAT THE PRO SE CLERKS ARE DOING IS SLOWING DOWN THE FILING OF MY FILINGS TO GIVE THE DEFENDANTS MORE TIME TO RESPOND TO THEM.....

THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE OUTLINES AN UNACCEPTABLE 15 DAY DELAY AND 13 DAY DELAY BEFORE MY FILINGS WERE ENTERED UNTO PACER... THE MATTER IS SIMPLE JUDGE REYES. YOUR COURTHOUSE HIRES ADULTS WHOM JUST LEARNED HOW TO DRIVE WHOM HISTORICALLY SEEK WORK WITH THOSE THAT I AM LITIGATING AGAINST.

- 1. LEGAL AID2. CORPORATION COUNSEL3. NYS ATTORNEY GENERALS OFFICE I FIND IT ABOMINABLE AND FRAUDULENTLY DECEPTIVE THAT WHILE THE PUBLIC IS PRESENTED WITH JUDGES SUCH AS YOURSELF WHOM HAVE EXEMPLARY BACKGROUNDS TO HANDLE THEIR CASES, THE PRO SE CLERKS AND CHAMBER CLERKS HAVE JUST LEARNED HOW TO DRIVE A CAR......
- IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN GIVE ACS 1 BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR, THEY SURE CAN AFFORD TO HIRE REAL ATTORNEY PROFESSIONALS TO DO WORK THAT IS AS SERIOUS THOUGH NOT AS DIFFICULT AS WHAT YOU DO.

DUMPING UNDER QUALIFIED PRO SE CLERKS UPON PRO SE LITIGANTS IS A I XMOSAJRIDNIHOF CLUERKISCICLESSHANDLE THE ATTORNEYS FILINGS ARE QUITE DIFFERENT THAN THOSE THAT HANDLE THE 2ND CLASS AMERICAN PRO SE FILINGS AND THE OUTCOME IS CLEAR UPON THIS CASE.

AT THIS POINT, I WILL NOT FILE FURTHER UPON CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416 AND ALSO CONSIDERING 22 CV 6775 UNTIL THIS MATTER IS RESOLVED. I WOULD LIKE TO RESPOND TO THE DEFENDANTS MANY FILINGS AND AM PROHIBITED FROM DOING SO DUE TO A LAUGHABLE CLAIMED INABILITY TO KEEP PACE WITH MY FILINGS.


SUCH IS ABSURD AND DOWNRIGHT CRIMINAL WHEN FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS AND DELETION OF FILINGS IS INVOLVED.

TO THE CLERKS: IF YOU ARE ABLE TO INTERCEPT THIS EMAIL AND DO TAKE CRIMINAL ACTION TO DELETE, REDACT OR CONCEAL IT, I HIGHLY RECONTENTE INDICATOR CRIMINAL


CONDUMNTEL FIND OUT AND THE JUDGE WILL FIND OUT. <u>GUARANTEED.</u>




--- Attachment: Screenshot_20221222-010334_Gmail.jpg ---



--- Attachment: Screenshot (560).png ---



--- Attachment: Screenshot (561).png ---



--- Attachment: Screenshot (562).png ---

