

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK CARE OF MARGARET MALEK

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff NASSAU
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

DEFENDANTS

MARGARET INGOGLIA,, ET AL...

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant KINGS
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

Does this action include a motion for temporary restraining order or order to show cause? Yes No

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION <input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS			
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty Other: <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement			

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
42 US 1983, 42 US 1985, 42 US 1986, 1ST, 2ND., 4TH, 5TH, 6TH, 8TH AND 14TH AMENDMENTS

Brief description of cause:

DEFENDANTS ARE TRYING TO TAKE CRIMINAL ACTION OFF OF FEDERAL SERVICE OF PROCESS AND AN UNSERVED ORDER OF PROTECTION

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.

DEMAND \$ 2,000,000,000

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):

JUDGE REYES, GONZALES

DOCKET NUMBER 22 CV 5416, 22 CV 6775

DATE

12/16/2022

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Robert Malek
Verified by pdfFiller
12/16/2022

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT # _____ AMOUNT _____ APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless certification to the contrary is filed.

Case is Eligible for Arbitration

I, _____, counsel for _____, do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s):

monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

the complaint seeks injunctive relief,

the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1

Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stocks:

RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)

Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case: (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court."

NOTED ABOVE.....

NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 1(c)

- 1.) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
- 2.) If you answered "no" above:
 - a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No
 - b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern District? Yes No
 - c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received:

If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No

(Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts).

BAR ADMISSION

I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court.

Yes No

Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court?

Yes (If yes, please explain) No

I certify the accuracy of all information provided above.

Signature: /S/ ROBERT MALEK

Robert Malek



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O M.M. (MY DAUGHTER)
(PLAINTIFF)**

CIVIL CASE NUMBER _____

**CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT WITH REQUEST FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION / INJUNCTION
PURSUANT TO VIOLATIONS OF 42 USC 1983, 1985, 1986
42 USC 2000 TITLE 6, TRUMP EXECUTIVE ORDER 13899,
1ST AMENDMENT, 2ND AMENDMENT, 4TH AMENDMENT,
5TH AMENDMENT, 6TH AMENDMENT, 8TH AMENDMENT
14TH AMENDMENT, 18 US 1001, 18 US 1501.**

VS.

**MARGARET INGOGLIA
JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA
ANDERSON THIMOTE
NYPD (NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDRENS SERVICES, AKA ACS
NYC CHILDREN
CITY OF NEW YORK, AKA THE CITY OF NEW YORK
BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE**

(RESPONDENTS)

PLANTIFF REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY.

PLANTIFF IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED ACTION ALLEGES AS FOLLOWS :

JURISDICTION

1. THIS IS A CIVIL ACTION SEEKING RELIEF AND DAMAGES TO DEFEND AND PROTECT THE RIGHTS GUARANTEED BY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT PURSUANT TO ; 42 USC 1983, 42 USC 1985, 42 USC 1986, 18 US 1001, 18 US 1501, 1ST., 4TH, 5TH , 8TH., AND 14TH CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS. THE COURT HAS FURTHER JURISDICTION OVER THIS ACTION PURSUANT TO ; 28 USC 1331, 1343 , AND 2201 A.

42 US 1983

DEPRIVATION OF RIGHTS : EVERY PERSON WHO, UNDER COLOR OF ANY STATUTE, ORDINANCE, REGULATION, CUSTOM, OR USAGE OF ANY STATE OR TERRITORY OR THE THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, SUBJECTS OR CAUSES TO BE SUBJECTED, ANY CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES OR OTHER PERSON WITHIN THE JURISDICTION THEREOF TO THE DEPRIVATION OF ANY RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES SECURED BY THE CONSTITUTION AND LAWS, SHALL BE LIABLE TO THE PARTY INJURED IN AN ACTION AT LAW, SUIT IN EQUITY, OR OTHER PROPER PROCEEDING FOR REDRESS, EXCEPT THAT IN ANY ACTION BROUGHT AGAINST A JUDICIAL OFFICER FOR AN ACT OR OMISSION TAKEN IN SUCH OFFICRS JUDICIAL CAPACITY, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SHALL NOT BE GRANTED UNLESS A DECLATORY DECREE WAS VIOLATED OR DECLATORY RELIEF WAS UNAVAILABLE.

42 US 1985.

1985: " IF TWO OR MORE PERSONS IN ANY STATE OR TERRITORY CONSPIRE OR GO ON THE PREMISES OF ANOTHER FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEPRIVING, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY, ANY PERSON OR CLASS OF PERSONS OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS OR OF EQUAL PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES UNDER THE LAWS..... "

42 US 1986

1986 : EVERY PERSON WHO, HAVING KNOWLEDGE THAT ANY OF THE WRONGS CONSPIRED TO BE DONE AND MENTIONED IN SECTION 1985 OF THIS TITLE, ARE ABOUT TO BE COMMITTED, AND HAVING POWER TO PREVENT OR AID IN PREVENTING THE COMMISSION OF THE SAME, NEGLECTS OR REFUSES TO DO, IF SUCH WRONGFUL ACT BE COMMITTED, SHALL BE LIABLE TO THE PARTYH INJURED OR HIS LEGAL REPRESENTTIVES, FOR ALL DAMAGES CAUSED BY SUCH WRONGFUL ACT, WHICH SUCH PERSON BY REAONSABLE DILIGENCE COULD HAVE PREVENTED AND SUCH DAMAGES MAY BE RECOVERED IN AN ACTION ON THE CASE AND ANY NUMBER OF PERSONS GUILTY OF SUCH WRONGFUL NEGLECT OR REFUSAL MAY BE JOINED AS DEFENDANTS IN THE ACTION... "

28 USC 1331

The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States.

28 USC 1343

(a)The district courts shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action authorized by law to be commenced by any person:

(1)To recover damages for injury to his person or property, or because of the deprivation of any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, by any act done in furtherance of any conspiracy mentioned in section 1985 of Title 42;

(2)To recover damages from any person who fails to prevent or to aid in preventing any wrongs mentioned in section 1985 of Title 42 which he had knowledge were about to occur and power to prevent;

(3)To redress the deprivation, under color of any State law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any right, privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress providing for equal rights of citizens or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States;

(4)To recover damages or to secure equitable or other relief under any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights, including the right to vote.

28 USC 2201

(a) In a case of actual controversy within its jurisdiction, except with respect to Federal taxes other than actions brought under section 7428 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, a proceeding under section 505 or 1146 of title 11, or in any civil action involving an antidumping or countervailing duty proceeding regarding a class or kind of merchandise of a free trade area country (as defined in section 516A(f)(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930), as determined by the administering authority, any court of the United States, upon the filing of an appropriate pleading, may declare the rights and other legal relations of any interested party seeking such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought. Any such declaration shall have the force and effect of a final judgment or decree and shall be reviewable as such.

Title VI, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., was enacted as part of the landmark Civil Rights Act of 1964. It prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. As President John F. Kennedy said in 1963:

Simple justice requires that public funds, to which all taxpayers of all races [colors, and national origins] contribute, not be spent in any fashion which encourages, entrenches, subsidizes or results in racial [color or national origin] discrimination.

If a recipient of federal assistance is found to have discriminated and voluntary compliance cannot be achieved, the federal agency providing the assistance should either initiate fund termination proceedings or refer the matter to the Department of Justice for appropriate legal action. Aggrieved individuals may file administrative complaints with the federal agency that provides funds to a recipient, or the individuals **may file suit for appropriate relief in federal court.** Title VI itself prohibits intentional discrimination. However, most funding agencies have regulations implementing Title VI that prohibit recipient practices that have the effect of discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin.

EXECUTIVE ORDER 13899

Administration of Donald J. Trump, 2019 Executive Order 13899—Combating Anti-Semitism December 11, 2019 By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, it is hereby ordered as follows: Section 1. Policy. My Administration is committed to combating the rise of anti-Semitism and anti-Semitic incidents in the United States and around the world. Anti-Semitic incidents have increased since 2013, and students, in particular, continue to face anti-Semitic harassment in schools and on university and college campuses. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VI), 42 U.S.C. 2000d et seq., prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, and national origin in programs and activities receiving Federal financial assistance. While Title VI does not cover discrimination based on religion, individuals who face discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin do not lose protection under Title VI for also being a member of a group that shares common religious practices. Discrimination against Jews may give rise to a Title VI violation when the discrimination is based on an individual's race, color, or national origin. It shall be the policy of the executive branch to enforce Title VI against prohibited forms of discrimination rooted in anti-Semitism as vigorously as against all other forms of discrimination prohibited by Title VI. Sec. 2. Ensuring Robust Enforcement of Title VI. (a) In enforcing Title VI, and identifying evidence of discrimination based on race, color, or national origin, all executive departments and agencies (agencies) charged with enforcing Title VI shall consider the following: (i) the non-legally binding working definition of anti-Semitism adopted on May 26, 2016, by the International Holocaust Remembrance Alliance (IHRA), which states, "Antisemitism is a certain perception of Jews, which may be expressed as hatred toward Jews. Rhetorical and physical manifestations of antisemitism are directed toward Jewish or non-Jewish individuals and/or their property, toward Jewish community institutions and religious facilities"; and (ii) the "Contemporary Examples of Anti-Semitism" identified by the IHRA, to the extent that any examples might be useful as

evidence of discriminatory intent. (b) In considering the materials described in subsections (a)(i) and (a)(ii) of this section, agencies shall not diminish or infringe upon any right protected under Federal law or under the First Amendment. As with all other Title VI complaints, the inquiry into whether a particular act constitutes discrimination prohibited by Title VI will require a detailed analysis of the allegations. Sec. 3. Additional Authorities Prohibiting Anti-Semitic Discrimination. Within 120 days of the date of this order, the head of each agency charged with enforcing Title VI shall submit a report to the President, through the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy, identifying additional nondiscrimination authorities within its enforcement authority with respect to which the IHRA definition of anti-Semitism could be considered. Sec. 4. Rule of Construction. Nothing in this order shall be construed to alter the evidentiary requirements pursuant to which an agency makes a determination that conduct, including harassment, amounts to actionable discrimination, or to diminish or infringe upon the rights protected under any other provision of law. 1 Sec. 5. General Provisions. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect: (i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or (ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals. (b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations. (c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person. DONALD J. TRUMP

1ST AMENDMENT

CONGRESS SHALL MAKE NO LAW RESPECTING AN ESTABLISHMENT OF RELIGION **OR PROHIBITING THE FREE EXERCISE THEREOF**; OR ABRIDGING THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH, OR OF THE PRESS; OR THE RIGHT OF THE PEOPLE PEACEABLY TO ASSEMBLE, AND TO **PETITION THE GOVERNMENT OF GRIEVANCES**

2ND AMENDMENT

A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free state, **the right of the people to keep and bear arms**, shall not be infringed.

4TH AMENDMENT

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, **and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation**, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

5TH AMENDMENT

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a Grand Jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the Militia, when in actual service in time of War or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offence to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, **nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law**; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

6TH AMENDMENT

Amendment VI

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, **and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation**; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

8TH AMENDMENT

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, **nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted**.

14 TH AMENDMENT

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; **nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law**; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

18 USC 1001

a) Except as otherwise provided in this section, whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of the Government of the United States, knowingly and willfully—

- (1) falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact;
- (2) makes any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or representation; or
- (3) makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any materially false,

fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry;

shall be fined under this title, imprisoned not more than 5 years or, if the offense involves international or domestic terrorism (as defined in section 2331), imprisoned not more than 8 years, or both. If the matter relates to an offense under chapter 109A, 109B, 110, or 117, or section 1591, then the term of imprisonment imposed under this section shall be not more than 8 years.

(b) Subsection (a) does not apply to a party to a judicial proceeding, or that party's counsel, for statements, representations, writings or documents submitted by such party or counsel to a judge or magistrate in that proceeding.

(c) With respect to any matter within the jurisdiction of the legislative branch, subsection (a) shall apply only to—

(1) administrative matters, including a claim for payment, a matter related to the procurement of property or services, personnel or employment practices, or support services, or a document required by law, rule, or regulation to be submitted to the Congress or any office or officer within the legislative branch; or

(2) any investigation or review, conducted pursuant to the authority of any committee, subcommittee, commission or office of the Congress, consistent with applicable rules of the House or Senate.

NY PENAL CODE 175.30

A person is guilty of offering a false instrument for filing in the second degree when, knowing that a written instrument contains a false statement or false information, he offers or presents it to a public office or public servant with the knowledge or belief that it will be filed with, registered or recorded in or otherwise become a part of the records of such public office or public servant.

Whoever knowingly and willfully obstructs, resists, or opposes any officer of the United States, or other person duly authorized, in serving, or attempting to serve or execute, any legal or judicial writ or process of any court of the United States, or United States magistrate judge; or

18 US 1501

Whoever knowingly and willfully obstructs, resists, or opposes any officer of the United States, or other person duly authorized, in serving, or attempting to serve or execute, any legal or judicial writ or process of any court of the United States, or United States magistrate judge; or

Whoever assaults, beats, or wounds any officer or other person duly authorized, knowing him to be such officer, or other person so duly authorized, in serving or executing any such writ, rule, order, process, warrant, or other legal or judicial writ or process—

Shall, except as otherwise provided by law, be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

VENUE

PURSUANT TO 28 USC 1391 ;

(a)Applicability of Section.—Except as otherwise provided by law—

(1)this section shall govern the venue of all civil actions brought in district courts of the United States;
and

(2)the proper venue for a civil action shall be determined without regard to whether the action is local or
transitory in nature.

(b)Venue in General.—A civil action may be brought in—

(1)a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which
the district is located;

(2)a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim
occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated; or

(3)if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this section, any
judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such
action.

(c)Residency.—For all venue purposes—

(1)a natural person, including an alien lawfully admitted for permanent residence in the United States,
shall be deemed to reside in the judicial district in which that person is domiciled;

(2)an entity with the capacity to sue and be sued in its common name under applicable law, whether or
not incorporated, shall be deemed to reside, if a defendant, in any judicial district in which such defendant
is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to the civil action in question and, if a plaintiff,
only in the judicial district in which it maintains its principal place of business; and

(3)a defendant not resident in the United States may be sued in any judicial district, and the joinder of
such a defendant shall be disregarded in determining where the action may be brought with respect to
other defendants.

A. THERE ARE 8 DEFENDANTS IN THIS ACTION.

B. ALL ARE FROM THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

C. MARGARET INGOGLIA RESIDES IN BROOKLYN, N.Y. SHE MOST CERTAINLY MADE THE
REPORT.

D. 18 YEAR OLD JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA RESIDES IN BROOKLYN, N.Y. HE
PROBABLY MADE THE REPORT AS WELL WITH HIS MOTHER.

E. ANDERSON THIMOTE, DETECTIVE OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT RESIDES IN QUEENS NY , WORKS AT THE 71 PRECINCT IN BROOKLYN, NY. HE IS HANDLING THE REPORT. (MORE NYPD OFFICERS TO BE ADDED WHOM HAVE SIGNED OFF ON THIS FRAUD).

F. THE NYPD HAS A PRECINCT IN BROOKLYN NY WHERE THE REPORT WAS FILED.

G. ACS WHOM DOES NOT LEGALLY EXIST HAS OFFICES IN BROOKLYN, NY WHOM PROVIDED THE INVALID ORDER OF PROTECTION.

H. NYC CHILDREN IS ANOTHER ENTITY THAT ACS AFFILIATES ITSELF WITH / CALLS ITSELF THAT ALSO DOES NOT LEGALLY EXIST WITH OFFICES IN BROOKLYN, NY

I. THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS ADDITIONALLY LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ACTIONS OF ITS ENTITIES, AGENCIES, EMPLOYEES AND ASSOCIATED GOVERNMENT ACTORS.

J. BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FILING OF ANY COMPLAINT REGARDING THIS MATTER.

350 JAY STREET

BROOKLYN, NY 11201

THIS IS A MALICIOUS, POLITICALLY MOTIVATED PROSEUTION AND RACIALLY MOTIVATED PERSECUTION (EVIDENCE PROVIDED IN 22 CV 5416) OF JEWS WHOM ARE CONSIDERED TO BE NOT AMERICAN BY ACS / NYC CHILDREN / THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

EASTERN DISTRICT VENUE IS SUPPORTED.....

PARTIES

PLANTIFF : ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O MARGARET MALEK

1936 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE # 109

EAST MEADOW, NY. 11554

ACS COMPLAINTS@YAHOO.COM

929 441 8429

DEFENDANTS :

A. MARGARET INGOGLIA

UNDER ACS JURISDICTION

150 WILLIAMS STREET

NY NY 10038

SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL

B. JOSEPH PALOMINO

UNDER ACS JURISDICTION

150 WILLIAMS STREET

NY NY 10038

SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL

C. ANDERSON THIMOTE (IN HIS PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY) ((MORE OFFICERS TO BE ADDED THAT SIGNED OFF ON THIS FRAUD.))

5003 65TH PLACE, WOODSIDE NY 11377

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL AND PERSONAL ADDRESS)

D. NYPD

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL)

E. ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDRENS SERVICES, AKA ACS

150 WILLIAM STREET

NY , NY 10038

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL)

F. NYC CHILDREN, AKA ACS, ADMINISTRATION FOR CHIDRENS SERVICES

150 WILLIAM STREET

NY , NY 10038

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL)

G. CITY OF NEW YORK, AKA THE CITY OF NEW YORK

1 CENTRE STREET

NY NY 10007

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL)

H. BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE

350 JAY STREET

BROOKLYN, N.Y. 11201

(SERVICE TO CORPORATION COUNSEL)

FACTS :

1. ACS, NYC CHILDREN , THE CITY OF NEW YORK HAVE BEEN TAKING PART IN REPORTING TO STATE AND FEDERAL DATABASES OVER A 4 YEAR PERIOD OF THERE BEING VALID ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS AGAINST ROBERT MALEK WHEN IN FACT SUCH ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS WERE NOT SERVED UPON THE RESPONDENT.

EXHIBIT A.

1.1 IN APPROXIMATELY JAN OF 2021 I APPLIED FOR A FIREARM OF WHICH I WAS DENIED DUE TO AN ORDER OF PROTECTION THAT WAS CLAIMED I KNEW OF / SERVED OF WHICH I DID NOT AND WAS NOT.

2. IN REFERENCE TO THE MOST RECENT CAUSE OF ACTION, AN ORDER OF PROTECTION IS BEING REPORTED, REFERRED TO AND CLAIMED UPON STATE AND FEDERAL DATABASE RECORDS AS BEING SERVED **WHEN IT WAS NOT SERVED.**

3. ROBERT MALEK HAS EVEN REACHED OUT TO KINGS FAMILY COURT @ NY COURTS.GOV TO FIND OUT IF THERE WAS ONE AND HAS RECEIVED NO REPLY.

EXHIBIT A

4. IN CASE NUMBER NN 19410-18, NN 19411-18 WE WERE SERVING EACH OTHER BY EMAIL, ACS WAS NOT PROVIDING ME WITH A COPY OF THE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS THAT WERE ISSUED AND JUDGE WILLIAMS WAS NOT INFORMING ME OF THEIR EXISTENCE OR THEIR TERMS.

5. MARGARET INGOGLIA ALONG WITH JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA AND MY DAUGHTER, M. MALEK, WERE UNDER ACS JURISDICTION IN THEIR GOVERNMENT HOUSING FACILITY ON TILDEN AVENUE IN BROOKLYN.

6. ACS ATTORNEY, ROSMIL ALMONTE PROVIDED TO ME MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIAS ADDRESS.

EXHIBIT A

7. ACS IN CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416, ACCEPTED SERVICE FOR MARGARET INGOGLIA AND THEN AFTERWARDS STATED THEY WERE REJECTING SERVICE.

8. FOR A PERIOD OF SEVERAL MONTHS WITH MULTITUDINOUS FILINGS OF WHICH INCLUDED SUBPOENA I HAD REQUESTED OF THE 3 FEDERAL JUDGES, ENCOMPASSING NORTHERN AND EASTERN DISTRICT TO MANDATE ACS TO SERVE MARGARET INGOGLIA SINCE ACS HAS CONFISCATED SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT IN VIOLATION OF 18 US 1501. ALL JUDGES THUS FAR HAVE NOT ADDRESSED THIS ISSUE. I HAVE MADE EVERY EFFORT TO HAVE MARGARET INGOGLIA AND JOSEPH PALOMINO OVER THE COURSE OF MONTHS BE SERVED VIA ACS TO NO AVAIL. JUDGE SANNES NDNY MERELY STATED REGARDING THIS THAT MR. MALEK WANTED MY (HER) " HELP. " IN SERVING MARGARET INGOGLIA, MOCKING THE SITUATION AT HAND. MY RAISING THE ISSUE ONCE AGAIN IN EASTERN DISTRICT WAS IGNORED. HENCEFORTH WHEN THE TWO JUDGES OF THE TWO EASTERN DISTRICT TRANSFER CASES, WITH THE CASE OF MATTER, HERE, THE 22 CV 855 NORTHERN DISTRICT TRANSFER, (22 CV 6775) DIRECTED SERVICE, I HAD AUTHORITY AND RESPONSIBILITY TO EFFECT THE SERVICE. I IMPLEMENTED THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY ACS THEMSELVES AND HAD A LICENSED PROCESS SERVER EXECUTE THE SUMMONS WAIVER SERVICE ON OCTOBER 14, 2022.

EXHIBIT A

9. WITHIN ABOUT 30 DAYS OF THE SERVICE IS WHEN I RECEIVE A PHONE MESSAGE FROM DETECTIVE THIMOTE , THAT A REPORT / COMPLAINT HAS BEEN FILED AGAINST ME. HE CALLED ON NOV. 18, 2022 AND I HEARD THE MESSAGE ON NOV. 23, 2022.

10. I RESPONDED BACK BY TEXT MESSAGE TO PLEASE FORWARD DETAILS TO MY ATTORNEY AND I VIA OUR EMAILS. HE REFUSED TO DO SO, STATING I WAS WANTED AND HAVE MY ATTORNEY CALL TO MAKE " ARRANGEMENTS. " HE WOULD NOT

PROVIDE ANY INFORMATION NOR STATE WHAT ARRANGEMENTS HE WAS REFERRING TO.

11. I EMAILED HIM OF WHICH HE DID NOT RESPOND.

EXHIBIT A

SUMMARY :

IN SUMMARY, WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS A STATE COURT ACS CASE, NN 19410-18, NN 19411-18 WHERE OVER THE MATTER OF 4 YEARS, ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS WERE NOT SERVED AND SECRETLY ISSUED WITH FALSE RECORDS BEING FILED UPON STATE AND FEDERAL DATABASES. THE BASIS OF MANY ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS WAS PREDICATED UPON FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND RECORDS FILED

UPON THIS CASE IN VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE 175.30,

EXHIBIT B

(NOTE, THIS COMPLAINT WILL BE AMENDED TO ADD THE CAUSE OF ACTION OF THE PREVIOUS 21 CV 5532 WHICH ADDRESSES THE FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND.)

COUNTERFEIT AND FORGED PSYCHE REPORTS AS SHOWN IN 22 CV 855 (22 CV 6775), FALSE HISTORICAL INFORMATION UPON COUNTERFEIT ORDERS WRITTEN BY ACS, RATHER THAN THE JUDGE AS SHOWN IN CASE 22 CV 5416. ALSO SUCH ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS WERE PREDICATED UPON THE AMENDED PETITION WHICH WAS A KNOWN FACTUAL FRAUD UPON ACS AND OCFS RECORDS AS SHOWN IN CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416. AND INTENTIONALLY, KNOWINGLY NOT VERIFIED, VIOLATING CPLR 3022 RENDERING THE CASE VOID.

FURTHERMORE OF NOTE, TO BE DECIDED IN CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416 IS WHETHER OR NOT THE UNDERLYING CASE ITSELF IS VALID SINCE THERE WAS NO SUMMONS, NEVER FILED WITH THE CLERKS, IMPROPERLY SERVED AND FURTHERMORE, CRITICALLY NOT VERIFIED IN VIOLATION OF CPLR 3022 WHICH RENDERS THE CASE PER NYS STATUE.....
VOID.

IN PREVIOUS MATTER OF HISTORY OF THE 21 CV 5532 CASE OF WHICH THIS COMPLAINT WILL BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE, WE HAD A MATTER OF THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMING I WENT TO MY DAUGHTERS SCHOOL IN VIOLATION OF AN ORDER OF PROTECTION OF WHICH WAS ALSO NOT SERVED / INFORMED IN COURT THOUGH ON THE ORDER OF PROTECTION IT FALSELY CLAIMED THAT IT WAS. ACS, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, NYC CHIDREN AND THEIR RELATED ENTITIES, NYPD, THE 71 PRECINCT AND THIMOTE , THE BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE ALONG WITH JOE AND MARGARET INGOGLIA KNOW AND KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS CASE AND THE HISTORY OF ACS NOT SERVING THE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS THAT THEY CLAIM TO PROVIDE, PARTICULARLY UPON ROBERT MALEK

THOUGH SUCH PRACTICE IS CERTAINLY NOT UPON ONLY ME. THIS IS A POLICY OF ACS UPON OTHER PARENTS AS WELL.

UPON THIS INSTANT MATTER WE HAVE AN ISSUE OF WHERE WE HAVE AN ORDER OF PROTECTION OF WHICH I HAVE NEVER SEEN OR BEEN INFORMED OF THE DETAILS OF, BEING USED TO EFFECTUATE A SECRET POLICE REPORT / SECRET PROSECUTION, OF WHICH NO ONE WILL PROVIDE ANY DETAILS AS TO WHAT THE REPORT OR COMPLAINT IS ABOUT OR WHAT ARRANGMENTS EVEN MEANS, EXPECTING ME TO COME TO THE PRECINCT UPON A MATTER OF WHICH I HAVE NO IDEA OF WHAT THIS IS ABOUT OR EVEN WHETHER OR NOT ANYTHING WAS EVER REPORTED OR FILED TO BEGIN WITH. I AM BEING CONNED OR FRAUDED TO WALK INTO A PRECINCT UPON A NON EXISTENT MATTER FOR OTHER SINISTER MALICIOUS PURPOSES, POLITICALLY AND RACIALLY MOTIVATED, BEING UNDERTAKEN BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHOM IS ALSO A DEFENDANT AS WELL AS ACS ON THE SAME 22 CV 855(22 CV 6775) CASE THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA IS.

WHAT IS TAKING PLACE HERE IS THE CITY OF NEW YORK AS DEFENDANT IS TRYING TO PUT ROBERT MALEK, THE PLAINTIFF IN JAIL FOR SERVING THE SAME COMPLAINT OF WHICH THEY ARE NAMED A RESPONDENT.

THE ADDRESS THAT WAS USED TO SERVE MARGARET INGOGLIA WAS PROVIDED BY THE PETITIONER, THE SERVICE WAS DIRECTED BY FEDERAL JUDGES AND THE SERVICE WAS PERFORMED BY A LICENSED PROCESS SERVER. HENCEFORTH THERE IS NO VIOLATION OF LAW.

SINCE THERE WAS NO VIOLATION OF LAW, AND THERE ARE NO DETAILS BEING PROVIDED UPON THE SERVICE OF A CASE WHERE I HAVE EXPOSED THE LARGEST FINANCIAL CRIME UPON THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN AMERICAN HISTORY AS WELL AS OTHER SERIOUS CRIMES BY THE CITY THAT AFFECT TENS OF THOUSANDS EVEN HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF VICTIMIZED PARENTS, I FEAR FOR MY LIFE REGARDING WHAT THE REAL INTENT IS FOR ME TO WALK INTO A GOVERNMENT FACILITY..... BLIND AFTER PERFORMING BY A LICENSED PROCESS SERVER, NOTHING MORE THAN SERVICE UPON SUCH GOVERNMENT DEFENDANTS FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL FEDERAL VIOLATIONS OF LAW. SO WHAT I AM DOING IS TURNING MYSELF OVER TO THE CRIMINALS I AM LITIGATING AGAINST AND ESSENTIALLY EXPOSING AND PROSECUTING IN FEDERAL COURT OF WHICH PRESENTS AN EXTREME DANGER TO MY LIFE AND IS A GROSS VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS. NOT TO MENTION MY DOING SO REPRESENTS AN IMPOSSIBLTY TO RESPOND AND HANDLE MY LEGAL RESPONSIBILITES TO THE SEVERAL FEDERAL CASES I HAVE FILED AGAINST THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND ACS.

WHILE IT COULD BE RAISED THAT I AM FILING A FEDERAL COMPLAINT WITH SOME FACTS THAT ARE SPECULATORY IN NATURE, THE FACT THAT I AM BEING PROSECUTED FOR A CRIME WITHIN 30 DAYS OF SERVING MARGARET INGOGLIA, BEING CALLED BY A DETECTIVE WITHIN HER PRECINCT OUT OF ALLLLL THE PRECINCTS IN THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND LONG ISLAND , NY , ARE TWO KEY SUBSTANTIAL CIRCUMSTANTIAL

PIECES OF EVIDENCE THAT POINT TO WHAT THE MATTER OF FACT IS RELATED TO. I WAS WELL AWARE THAT ACS NOT WANTING TO SERVE MARGARET INGOGLIA WAS A SET UP AND THE FEDERAL JUDGES BY IGNORING THE MATTER PRODUCED THE PERFECT STORM AND TRAP FOR ME TO FALL INTO OF WHICH I WOULDNT SAY I FELL, I WOULD SAY I STEPPED BECAUSE I KNEW THAT EVEN THOUGH ACS PROVIDED ME INGOGLIAS ADDRESS AND A PROCESS SERVER SERVED HER, I WAS WELL AWARE OF THE B.S. THAT COULD ENSUE AND WITH 99.999 % CERTAINTY, DID.

JUST LIKE LAST TIME WITH THE 21 CV 5532 CASE, THE ACS ORDER OF PROTECTION WAS A FRAUD ON ITS FACE, PERPETRATED BY ACS SINCE IT WAS NOT SERVED AS CLAIMED UPON IT AND UPON GOVERNMENT RECORDS, I WAS NOT INFORMED IN COURT AND IT IS ALSO WITH EXTREMELY HIGH PROBABILITY THAT ACS NEVER ADMITTED TO MARGARET INGOGLIA AND DET. THIMOTE, / NYPD / BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE THAT THEY GAVE ME HER ADDRESS TO BEGIN WITH.

OF NOTE, IS THAT THE BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE DOES NOT PROSECUTE FOR FALSE CRIMINAL REPORTS AS A POLICY AND I HAVE THE EVIDENCE OF SUCH WHICH CONTRIBUTES TO THEM ALSO BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR SUCH MATTER. THEY APPARENTLY DO NOT HAVE CONCERN OR POLICY TO VERIFY THE TRUTHFULNESS OF THE CASES THEY FILE AND HOLD THOSE RESPONSIBLE THAT ARE INVOLVED WITH THE FILING OF REPORTS ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE CRIME OF FALSE REPORTS. THE BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE IS VIOLATING THE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS OF OUR CITIZENS BY HAVING A POLICY AS TO NOT PROSECUTE FOR FALSE CRIMINAL REPORTS AGAINST CITIZENS OF THE U.S., ARRESTING CITIZENS OF THE U.S. WITHOUT CONCERN FOR DUE PROCESS AND / OR PROBABLE CAUSE.

THE 4TH AMENDMENT STATES IN PART THE FOLLOWING :

" no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, "

IF THE CITY OF NEW YORK , THE BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE DOES NOT ENFORCE THE OATH, OF WHICH THEY DO NOT, THEY ARE BY POLICY, VIOLATING THE 4TH AMENDMENT OF WHICH HAS IN PART CREATED A FOUNDATION OF THIS FRAUDULENT ACTION ON THE PART OF THE DEFENDANTS.

WHAT IS CLEAR IS THAT THE DEFENDANTS KNEW THE HISTORY OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THE HISTORY OF UNSERVED AND SECRET ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS ISSUED AGAINST ROBERT MALEK.

THEY DID NOT PROPERLY INVESTIGATE THEIR CASE IN THIS MATTER.

A DETECTIVES JOB IS NOT TO PLAY GANG LEADER OR MOB SOLDIER.

A DETECTIVES JOB IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT A CRIME IS COMMITTED WITH PROBABLE CAUSE.

WELL, IN THIS INSTANT MATTER, DETECTIVE THIMOTE NYPD, DID NOT DO SO.

UPON RECEIVING THIS REPORT, THIMOTE, HAD THE FOLLOWING SIMPLE RESPONSIBILITIES :

1. OBTAIN SERVICE OF PROCESS DETAILS ON THE ORDER OF PROTECTION.
2. REVIEW ANY SIMILAR PREVIOUS HISTORY (POLICE REPORT MADE BY INGOGLIA IN 2021) BETWEEN ROBERT MALEK AND MARGARET INGOGLIA WHICH WOULD HAVE TURNED UP THE 21 CV 5532 MATTER.
- 2.5 REVIEW THE HISTORY OF MARGARET AND JOE PALOMINO WHOM ARE BOTH KNOWN BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO HAVE FILED FALSE POLICE REPORTS AGAINST JOE PALOMINO INGOGLIAS BIOLOGICAL FATHER, **JUAN PALOMINO WHOM JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA BEAT UNCONSCIOUS AND WAS ARRESTED. JUAN PALOMINO DID NOT WANT TO PROSECUTE AGAINST HIS OWN SON. HE DIED OF A STROKE A COUPLE OF MONTHS LATER. JOSEPH PALOMINO GOT AWAY WITH MURDER OF HIS FATHER. ACCORDING TO LIEUTENANT KEENAN, SPECIAL VICTIMS MANHATTAN, QUOTE ; " YOUR DAUGHTER IS ACTUALLY WITH THIS KID !!!!! AFTER REVIEWING HIS BACKGROUND" THIS " KID " HAS HEAD ASSAULTED MY DAUGHTER JUST AS HE DID HIS FATHER AND IS NOW AN ADULT RAISING MY DAUGHTER. A MURDERER RAISING MY DAUGHTER.**
3. FIND OUT WHOM DID THE SERVICE OF 22 CV 855.
4. REGARDING THE ADDRESS, EMAIL THE PARTIES OF THE CASE AND ASK THEM IF ROBERT MALEK WAS PROVIDED THE ADDRESS SINCE HE WAS PRO SE ON THE CASE ,JUST LIKE THIS ONE.
5. ATTEMPT TO SPEAK WITH, EMAIL, ETC., OF ROBERT MALEK OR HIS CRIMINAL DEFENSE LAWYER TO ASSIST IN DETERMINING THE TRUE ANSWERS TO SOME OF THESE BASIC QUESTIONS.

AFTERWARDS, THEN DECIDE IF YOU WISH TO PROCEED. INVESTIGATE YOUR CASE AND NOT ACT AS A THUG OR MOB SOLDIER FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK AS " DETECTIVE " ANDERSON THIMOTE , HAD DONE.

TO MAKE MATTERS EVEN WORSE, THESE ACTIONS ARE SIGNED OFF ON BY SUPERIORS. THIS COMPLAINT WILL BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE THOSE THAT HAVE SIGNED OFF ON

THIS FRAUD.

WHAT THIS MEANS, SORRY TO SAY FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS THAT THIS IS NOT JUST THIMOTE. IT IS A SYSTEM FAILURE OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO PROPERLY DIFFERENTIATE BETWEEN THE PROBABLE CAUSE AND POSSIBLE CAUSE.

AS A MATTER OF FACT, THE WORK THIMOTE AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK DID IN THIS CASE COULD HAVE BEEN DONE BY A COMPUTER AND NOT A HUMAN. THERE WAS NO DISCERNMENT OR JUDGEMENT WHATSOEVER.

WHAT IS TAKING PLACE IN THIS CASE AND THROUGHOUT THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS BUDGET AND MONEY DICTATING POLICY RATHER THAN COMMON SENSE AND DUE DILIGENCE. WHAT THIMOTE DID, COST THE CITY OF NEW YORK VIRTUALLY NOTHING. TO HAVE DISCERNMENT AND PROBABILITY YOU HAVE TO INVESTIGATE AND WITH INVESTIGATION THERE IS TIME AND TIME IS MONEY MULTIPLIED BY THE ENTIRE INVESTIGATIONS FORCE OF NYPD. IS IS MORE COST EFFECTIVE TO DEFEND AGAINST THE ONE IN A MILLION LAWSUIT FOR MALICIOUS PROSECUTION THAN WHAT IT IS TO HAVE PROPER PROTOCOL AND POLICY. THE FACT IS, THE MONETARY DAMAGE THAT IS USUALLY AWARDED FOR MALICIOUS PROSECUTION WHERE YOU SPEND A NIGHT OR TWO IN JAIL IS ONLY 10,000 DOLLARS AND NO LAWYER WILL TAKE THE CASE FOR THIS AMOUNT. SO THIS IS THE INSTITUTIONAL FRAUD THAT EXISTS. YOU CANT TAKE THIS TO SMALL CLAIMS EITHER.

AS HAVING BEEN IN THE AUXILLARY FOR 3 YEARS, IT IS SAD THAT NYPD FINEST IS BOOTSTRAPPED BY A NYC GOVERNMENTAL POLICY THAT CLEARLY VICTIMIZES AMERICAN CITIZENS AND PRIORITIZES THE DOLLAR OVER CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS, COMPOUNDED BY A ANTI-SEMITIC AND POLITICALLY BASED PROSECUTION AGAINST THE PLANTIFF, ROBERT MALEK.

THE CITY OF NEW YORK HAS STATED THAT ROBERT MALEK IS JEWISH AND NOT AMERICAN AND IN ADDITION THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS 100% AWARE THAT ROBERT MALEK HAS MORE WEBSITES, MORE NOTICE OF CLAIMS AND MORE SUITS AGAINST THEM BY A CITIZEN THAN ANYONE ELSE IN THEIR HISTORY.

THIS IS A POLITICALLY FUELED AND ANTI - SEMITICALLY FUELED PROSECUTION OF WHICH THERE IS ZERO MERIT OR PROBABLE CAUSE FOR ANY VIOLATION OF LAW WHATSOEVER AND IS IN GROSS VIOLATION OF 42 USC 1985 AND A MULTITUDE OF OTHER STATUTES AND AMENDMENTS.

THE MATTER OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK ASSERTING THAT I AM JEWISH AND NOT

AMERICAN IS PRESENTLY BEING LITIGATED IN FEDERAL CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416 AND THE ANTI-SEMITIC PERSECUTION IS TAKING PLACE HERE IN VIOLATION OF 42 USC 2000 TITLE 6 AND TRUMP EXECUTIVE ORDER 13899.

IT IS UNLAWFUL FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO UNDERTAKE FRAUDULENT ACTIONS AGAINST THE RESPONDENT WHILE PLACING MARGARET INGOGLIA IN A SECRET WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM, PREVENTING HER FROM BEING SERVED. ACS AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK TOOK PART IN MULTITUDINOUS EX PARTE AND SECRET HEARINGS WITH THEIR WITNESS, PLACING HER INTO A WELFARE FACILITY OPERATED BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK WHICH IS MORE APTLY CALLED THEIR WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM, PREVENTING ANY OF THEIR FRAUDULENT WITNESSES WHOM THEY BRIBE WITH FREE APARTMENTS AND UTILITIES TO TAKE PART IN FALSE AND FRAUDULENT CIVIL AND CRIMINAL ACTIONS AGAINST AMERICAN CITIZENS.

VIOLATION OF LAW / FEDERAL LAW BY EACH DEFENDANT :

1. MARGARET INGOGLIA TOOK PART IN ACTING ALONG WITH STATE ACTORS IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW.

INGOGLIA FILED A POLICE REPORT FOR WHICH THERE WAS NO VIOLATION OF LAW. MARGARET INGOGLIAS RESPONSIBILITY BEFORE FILING SUCH COMPLAINT WAS TO FIRST DETERMINE IF THERE WAS A VIOLATION OF LAW TO BEGIN WITH UPON VALIDATED FACTS.

SECONDLY, INGOGLIA HAD THE RESPONSIBILITY TO FILL OUT A POLICE REPORT WITH HONEST AND FACTUALLY CORRECT INFORMATION AS TO WHOM SERVED HER, IF A VALID ORDER OF PROTECTION EXISTED SINCE IT DID NOT LAST YEAR IN THE 21 CV 5532 MATTER OF WHICH SHE KNEW OF AND HOW/IF ROBERT MALEK OBTAINED OR WAS **PROVIDED** HER ADDRESS. (OF NOTE IS THAT TO EFFECTUATE FEDERAL SERVICE, ROBERT MALEK HAS THE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO LOCATE MARGARET INGOGLIA FOR SUCH SOLE PURPOSE).

INGOGLIA MADE OUT THE REPORT AND IS TAKING ACTION WITH THE REST OF THE STATE ACTORS OF WHICH CONFERS A VIOLATION OF MANY MORE STATUTES AND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS THAN IF SHE ACTED ALONE.

FIRST OFF, HER TAKING PART IN THIS COMPLAINT IS IN VIOLATION OF MY FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO PETITION THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES AND EXERCISE OF MY RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH.

SECONDLY, HER TAKING PART IN THIS COMPLAINT IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 4TH

AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE SECURE UPON MY HOME AND PERSON AND NO WARRANTS TO BE ISSUED WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE. THERE WAS NO PROBABLE CAUSE FOR INGOGLIA TO MAKE THE REPORT TO BEGIN WITH.

INGOGLIA IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHT SINCE I CANNOT be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law. IN THIS MATTER, THERE WAS NO DUE PROCESS OF LAW SINCE IF THERE WAS, THERE WOULD BE NO CRIMINAL ACTION WHATSOEVER.

INGOGLIA IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 8TH AMENDMENT RIGHT FOR CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT FOR BEING PUNISHED FOR HAVING HER MERELY SERVED WITH FEDERAL LEGAL PROCESS UPON AN ADDRESS THAT I WAS PROVIDED.

INGOGLIA IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 14TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN THAT SHE WAS CERTAINLY MADE AWARE IN THE 21 CV 5532 CASE THAT ACS IS ISSUING UNSERVED ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS. UNSERVED ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS OBVIOUSLY CANNOT BE APPEALED AND FURTHERMORE IT IS A CLEAR VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS AGAINST A RESPONDENT FOR THERE TO BE SECRET ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS AND ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS WITHOUT CORRESPONDING DETAILS OF THE ORDER OF PROTECTIONS TERMS. INGOGLIA ALSO TOOK PART IN SECRET AND EX PARTE HEARINGS IN CASE NUMBER NN 19410-18, NN 19411-18 AND KNEW WHAT WAS GOING ON.

MARGARET INGOGLIA DID ACT AS A STATE ACTOR WITH STATE ACTORS IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 1983 AND DID CONSPIRE TO WORK WITH THE STATE ACTORS IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 1985. IN ANY MATTER THAT SHE DID NOT DIRECTLY TAKE PART IN , SHE HAD THE OBLIGATION AND OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK OUT AGAINST IT IN REFERENCE TO 42 US 1986.

MARGARET INGOGLIA DID ACT IN VIOLATION OF 18 US 1501 TO OBSTRUCT OR IMPEDGE FEDERAL PROCESS OF SERVICE.

MARGARET INGOGLIA DID ACT IN VIOLATION OF NY PENAL CODE 175.30 IN MAKING A FALSE REPORT.

MARGARET INGOGLIA DID ACT IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 2000 TITLE 6 AND TRUMP EXECUTIVE ORDER 13899 IN TAKING PART IN AN ACTION WITH ANTI-SEMITIC BASIS.

JOSEPH PALOMINO INGOGLIA, SAME VIOLATIONS OF LAW AS HIS MOTHER.

ANDERSON THIMOTE,

ANDERSON THIMOTE VIOLATED MY FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES BY TAKING ACTION TO VIOLATE MY RIGHTS UNDER FALSE PRETENSE AND CAUSE. HE CHOSE TO TAKE STATE

CRIMINAL ACTION UPON THE SERVING OF FEDERAL PROCESS WHICH IS UNLAWFUL IN VIOLATION OF 18 US 1501.

ANDERSON THIMOTE IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BE SECURF UPON MY PERSON AND IN MY HOME AND THAT NO WARRANT SHALL ISSUE WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE. ANDERSON THIMOTE DID NOT HAVE PROBABLE CAUSE AS DESCRIBED ABOVE. HE DID NOT INVESTIGATE HIS CASE AS A DETECTIVE. NOT BY FACT NOR BY LAW.

THIMOTE IS IN VIOLATION OF MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AS WELL. " nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law. " WELL. THERE IS NO DUE PROCESS OF LAW WHEN I AM NOT TOLD WHAT THE PROBLEM IS AND TO MERELY " MAKE ARRANGEMENTS BECAUSE I AM WANTED. " UPON A CASE THAT HE DID NOT INVESTIGATE AND ACTED AS A MOB SOLDIER AND GANG ENFORCER **UPON UNVERIFIED STATEMENTS AND EVIDENCE.**

THIMOTE VIOLATED MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS " To be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; "

THIMOTE WOULD NOT TELL ME NOR MY LAWYER ANYTHING AT ALL. EVEN AMERICAS MOST WANTED KNOW WHAT THEY ARE WANTED FOR WHICH IS FURTHER EVIDENCE OF THIS BEING A MALICIOUS ANTI-SEMITIC AND POLITICALLY MOTIVATED PROSECUTION.

THIMOTE VIOLATED MY 8TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT FOR DOING NOTHING MORE THAN HAVING FEDERAL PROCESS SERVED.

THIMOTE IS IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 1983 FOR VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER COLOR OF LAW. HE HAS NO RIGHT TO MAKE ANY DEMAND UPON MY FREEDOM, NOT WITH LEGAL BASIS, NOR UPON THE MANNER OF WHICH HE IS INFORMING ME TO SURRENDER MY RIGHTS. HE IS A STATE ACTOR CONSPIRING WITH OTHER STATE ACTORS AND INDIVIDUALS IN VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW, 42 USC 1985. UPON ANY CONSPIRATORIAL MATTER THAT HE KNOWS IS FALSE AND OR UNLAWFUL AND SAYS NOTHING OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN IT WAS FALSE AND OR UNLAWFUL AND SAYS OR DOES NOTHING, HE IS IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 1986.

FOR TAKING ANY CRIMINAL LEGAL ACTION AGAINST A CITIZEN FOR EXERCISING HIS RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT AND HAVE FEDERAL PROCESS SERVED, HE IS IN VIOLATION OF 18 US 1501.

NYPD / THE CITY OF NEW YORK / BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE

THESE ENTITIES WORK TOGETHER. THEY HAVE A POLICY OF NOT PROSECUTING FOR FALSE REPORTS IN VIOLATION OF PENAL CODE 175.30. SUCH ACTIONS ON THE PART OF THESE ENTITIES ENCOURAGES COMPLACENT NON INVESTIGATORY CONDUCT ON THE PART OF DETECTIVES WORKING BENEATH THEM. IT IS A DETECTIVES JOB TO

DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED WITH PROBABLE CAUSE, BOTH FACTUALLY AND LEGALLY. THE STEPS THIMOTE WAS TO TAKE AS DESCRIBED ABOVE HE DID NOT TAKE. YOU CANT MOVE FORWARD ON A OOP VIOLATION CASE UNTIL YOU FIRST DETERMINE SERVICE OF PROCESS AND A CHECK MARK IS NOT PROOF OF SERVICE NOR A MERE ENTRY INTO A COMPUTER. THE SERVICE OF PROCESS DETAILS MUST BE OBTAINED. FURTHERMORE, IT HAS TO BE DETERMINED WHOM SERVED MARGARET INGOGLIA. AFTERALL, THE ACS GOVERNMENT FACILITY HAS A VIDEO CAMERA, DOESNT IT ? I WOULD THINK AND HOPE IT DOES. IF AN ADDRESS WAS FOUND OUT THAT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN, IS GUESSING I OBTAINED THE ADDRESS VIA ILLEGAL MEANS PROBABLE CAUSE WHEN I COULD HAVE BEEN INFORMED OF SUCH ADDRESS BY ANYONE THAT WORKS AT ACS OF WHICH DID IN FACT OCCUR. GUESSING A POSSIBILITY IS NOT PROBABLE CAUSE.

WITHOUT FALSE REPORT PROSECUTIONS, DETECTIVES SIMPLY DONT HAVE TO EXPEND THE TIME AND EFFORT, SAVING THE CITY MONEY TO DETERMINE THE SIMPLE OBVIOUS STEPS THAT MUST BE TAKEN IN SUCH A MATTER BEFORE YOU AFFECT A CITIZENS FREEDOM AND CIVIL RIGHTS.

THESE PARTIES ARE VIOLATING MY FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO EXERCISE MY FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND REDRESS THE GOV. FOR GRIEVANCES BY PUNISHING FOR FILING AGAINST THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND ITS ENTITIES / AGENCIES. MARGARET INGOGLIA IS THE VEHICLE THEY ARE USING TO PUNISH, IMPEDE OR HINDER PROCESS NOT JUST AGAINST MARGARET INGOGLIA BUT AGAINST THEMSELVES. THEY ARE USING MARGARET TO PROTECT THE CITY OF NEW YORK AND ITS AGENCIES AND ENTITIES SINCE THEY CANT HAVE ME ARRESTED FOR SERVING THEM OR STOP ME FROM SERVING THEM, THEY SET MARGARET INGOGLIA AS A TRAP WITH WHICH TO PROTECT THEMSELVES.

THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF MY 2ND AMENDMENT RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS SINCE I WAS BLOCKED FROM PURCHASING A FIREARM IN APPROXIMATELY JAN OF 2021 , ALSO UPON ANOTHER ORDER OF PROTECTION I HAD NO KNOWLEDGE OF.

THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF MY 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN THAT NO WARRANT CAN BE ISSUED WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE SINCE THERE IS NO PROBABLE CAUSE. THERE WAS NO INVESTIGATION. FURTHERMORE, NO WARRANTS SHALL ISSUE BUT UPON PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION. WELL IF THE CITY OF NEW YORK DOES NOT ENFORCE OATHS OR AFFIRMATIONS UPON POLICE REPORTS OF AMERICAN CITIZENS AND DEPRIVES THEM OF THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL CIVIL RIGHTS BY ARRESTING THEM, THEY ARE CLEARLY VIOLATING THE 4TH AMENDMENT. IT IS CRITICAL FOR 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF OUR CITIZENS THAT THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT TAKE AWAY, STRIP OR DEPRIVE AMERICAN CITIZENS OF THEIR CIVIL RIGHTS WITHOUT BASIC PROTECTIONS AFFORDED TO ALL AMERICANS. SUCH BASIC PROTECTIONS FOUNDATION IS THAT OF TRUTHFUL AFFIRMATIONS UPON VALIDATED EVIDENCE OF WHICH NOT ONLY DID NOT TAKE PLACE HERE, THE CITY OF NEW YORK HAS A POLICY OF NOT PROSECUTING OR ENFORCING VIOLATIONS OF OATHS AND

AFFIRMATIONS ON POLICE REPORTS AGAINST OUR CITIZENS.

THEY ARE IN VIOLATION OF MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law. "

SINCE THERE IS NO DUE PROCESS OF LAW WHEN **THERE WAS NO VIOLATION OF LAW, INVESTIGATION OF FACT NOR PROVIDING INFORMATION AS TO THE MATTER AT HAND.** YOU ARE WANTED AND MAKE ARRANGMENTS ARE NOT MEANS WITH WHICH TO EFFECTUATE A CITIZEN TO GIVE UP THEIR RIGHTS OR WHAT A CITIZEN SHOULD BE EXPECTED TO UNDERSTAND. IS THERE A WARRANT FOR INSTANCE ? CAN YOU PLEASE PROVIDE ME THAT WARRANT. THIMOTE WAS EMAILED AND HE DID NOT REPLY. HE REFUSED TO LET ME KNOW WHAT THE MATTER WAS FOR ME TO PREPARE MYSELF. I HAVE NO LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY TO BE TOLD TO GO ANYWHERE BY THE GOVERNMENT WITHOUT LEGAL JUSTIFICATION AND A SIMPLE ONE WORD, " WANTED " DOES NOT SUFFICE. EVEN BANK ROBBERS HAVE WANTED AND WHAT THE WANTED IS FOR. IS FEDERAL SERVICE ON THE CITY OF NEW YORK CONSIDERED TO BE WORSE THAN ROBBING A BANK ? I GUESS SO !!! EVEN THE FBI MOST WANTED GIVES INFORMATION AS TO WHAT THESE CRIMINALS ARE WANTED FOR. SO WHY AM I NOT BEING PROVIDED SUCH INFORMATION BY ANDERSON THIMOTE AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BROOKLYN DAS OFFICE ?

THESE THREE ENTITIES HAVE ALSO VIOLATED MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS AS WELL.

and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation

I WAS NOT INFORMED OF THE NATURE AND CAUSE OF THE ACCUSATION.

8TH AMENDMENT, CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT

IT IS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT TO HAVE TO SIMPLY BE TOLD WANTED, MAKE ARRANGEMENTS AND SHOW UP. THAT IS NOT HOW THIS COUNTRY WORKS. TELL ME WHAT CRIME YOU ARE ALLEGING I COMMITTED AND INVESTIGATE YOUR CASE. GIVE ME A CHANCE TO RESPOND BEFORE YOU ASSUME THAT YOUR FACTS ARE VALID. PROBABLE CAUSE ISNT JUST WHAT ANYONE WALKS INTO A POLICE PRECINCT AND CLAIMS. ANYTHING IS POSSIBLE. PROBABLE TAKES INVESTIGATION AND IT IS CLEAR THERE WAS NONE SINCE I HAVE NOT COMMITTED ANY CRIMES BY HAVING MARGARET SERVED FEDERAL PROCESS BY A PROCESS SERVER AT AN ADDRESS GIVEN TO ME BY ACS THEMSELVES.

42 US 1983 IN ISOLATION WHERE THEY ARE ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW TO DEPRIVE ME OF MY FREEDOM AND RIGHTS WITHOUT PROPER LEGAL JUSTIFICATION TO DO SO.

42 US 1985 IN THAT THESE ENTITIES HAVE CONSPIRED WITH THE REST OF THE DEFENDANTS TO DEPRIVE ROBERT MALEK OF HIS CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO TAKE

AWAY HIS FREEDOM WITHOUT LEGAL JUSTIFICATION OR PROBABLE CAUSE. A X MARK ON AN ORDER OF PROTECTION AND OR A COMPUTER ENTRY IS NOT SERVICE OF PROCESS. THERE WAS NO SERVICE OF PROCESS INFORMATION PROVIDED ON ANY ORDER OF PROTECTION IN EXISTENCE SINCE I WAS NEVER SERVED.

42 US 1986 FOR ANY OF THESE ENTITIES THAT ARE DEEMED BY THE COURT NOT TO BE DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE, THEY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOT ACTING TO PREVENT OR SPEAK OUT AGAINST.

18 US 1501 TO HINDER OR PREVENT SERVICE OF PROCESS ON FEDERAL CASES IS YET ANOTHER VIOLATION BY THESE ENTITIES.

ACS / NYC CHILDREN / ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDRENS SERVICES

YES. SAVING THE WORST FOR LAST. THE DEVIL RE INCARNATED.

THESE ENTITIES VIOLATED MY 1ST AMENDMENT FREEDOM OF SPEECH RIGHT TO REDRESS THE GOVERNMENT FOR GRIEVANCES. FILING AND SERVING 22 CV 855 OR ANY LAWSUIT IS MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT. IN THIS MATTER, IT WAS SERVED BY A LIC PROCESS SERVER WITH AN ADDRESS OBTAINED BY ACS.

THEY ALSO VIOLATED MY RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS, 2ND AMENDMENT FOR FILING FALSE RECORDS REGARDING THERE BEING A VALID ORDER OF PROTECTION WHEN THERE WAS NOT UNTO FEDERAL DATABASES IN VIOLATION OF 18 USC 1001.

THEY VIOLATED MY 4TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS TO BE SAFE AND SECURE IN MY HOME AND NO WARRANT SHALL ISSUE WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE, SUPPORTED BY OATH OR AFFIRMATION. THERE WAS NO PROBABLE CAUSE BECAUSE THERE WAS NO CRIME COMMITTED AND THE OATH WAS A KNOWN FRAUD, SUPPORTED BY POLICY BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO NOT PROSECUTE FOR FALSE CRIMINAL REPORTS.

THEY VIOLATED MY 5TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS ; nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; WELL , WANTED AND MAKE ARRANGMENTS IS NOT DUE PROCESS OF LAW. INVESTIGATE YOUR CASE, ASK QUESTIONS, LET ME KNOW WHAT THE MATTER IS ABOUT , WHAT YOU WOULD LIKE ME TO DO IS REQUIRED. **WANTED AND MAKE ARRANGMENT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE OR LEGALLY VALID.**

THEY VIOLATED MY 6TH AMENDMENT RIGHTS IN THAT; **and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation**

THEY WOULD NOT INFORM ME OR ANYONE EXCEPT THEMSELVES. HOW CONVENIENT !!!!!!!!!!!

8TH AMENDMENT IN THAT THIS IS CRUEL AND UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT FOR HAVING FEDERAL PROCESS SERVED . YOU DONT TAKE PART IN OR INFER THE TERMINATION OF A PERSONS CIVIL RIGHTS BECAUSE THEY EXERCISED THEIR CIVIL RIGHTS.

14TH AMENDMENT IN THAT THESE PARTIES DID NOT SERVE THE ORDER OF PROTECTION UPON ME TO HAVE PROPER NOTICE OF AN ORDER OF PROTECTION ALONG WITH ITS TERMS. FURTHERMORE, THESE PARTIES PROVIDED ME WITH MARGARET INGOGLIAS ADDRESS AND THEN TOOK PART IN THE CONSPIRATORIAL PROSECUTION FOR IMPLEMENTING THE ADDRESS THEY GAVE ME FOR FEDERAL SERVICE.

42 US 1983 IN ISOLATION FOR ACTING UNDER COLOR OF LAW, ACTING FRAUDULENTLY BY DISSEMINATING AN ORDER OF PROTECTION THAT THEY CLAIMED AS SERVED WHEN THE KNOWINGLY KNEW THEY DID NOT. THIS VIOLATED NY PENAL CODE 175.30 AND FEDERAL CRIMINAL CODE 18 US 1001.

THESE PARTIES DID THIS LAST YEAR WHICH SPAWNED CASE NUMBER 21 CV 5532 OF WHICH THIS COMPLAINT WILL BE AMENDED TO INCLUDE.

THESE PARTIES TOOK PART IN A CONSPIRACY WITH THE OTHER PARTIES IN VIOLATION OF 42 US 1985 TO IMPLEMENT AN ORDER OF PROTECTION OF WHICH WAS NOT SERVED, FALSELY PURPORTING THAT IT WAS, PROVIDE AN ADDRESS WITH WHICH A COMPLAINT CAN BE SERVED AND THEN POSSIBLY TRY TO ARREST RESPONDENT FOR IMPLEMENTING AN ADDRESS FOR FEDERAL SERVICE OF PROCESS BY A LICENSED PROCESS SERVER. THESE TWO PARTIES TOOK PART IN THE CONSPIRACY WITH THE OTHER PARTY DEFENDANTS OF THIS CASE TO DEPRIVE RESPONDENT OF HIS CIVIL RIGHTS. FOR ANY RESPONDENT SUCH AS THOSE ABOVE THAT CAN BE DEEMED NOT DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE, ALL RESPONDENTS ARE AT THE VERY LEAST INDIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE WITH ABILITY TO SPEAK OUT AGAINST OR TO PREVENT, AS PER 42 US 1986.

REGARDING POLICY, IT IS CLEAR THAT ACS / NYC CHILDREN HAD A POLICY OF UNSERVED ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS,

EXHIBIT A

OF WHICH CONTINUED AND FURTHERMORE, ALL DEFENDANTS WERE ON NOTICE AFTER FILING THE 21 CV 5532 CASE LAST YEAR OF WHICH HAD VIRTUALLY IDENTICAL CIRCUMSTANCES WITH AN ARREST THREATENED OFF AN ORDER OF PROTECTION THAT WAS NEVER SERVED.

OF NOTE IS THAT I AM NOT THE ONLY ONE ACS DOES NOT SERVE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS UPON FROM WHAT I WAS TOLD BY OTHER PARENTS AS WELL.

SUCH ACTIONS HAVE AN ANTI - SEMITIC AND POLITICALLY DRIVEN PROSECUTORIAL BASIS WITH ACS CLAIMING THAT MARGARET INGOGLIA IS IRISH AMERICAN AND I AM JEWISH WITHOUT BEING AMERICAN. SUCH MATTER IS BEING LITIGATED IN CASE NUMBER 22 CV 5416 AND IS RELEVANT HERE..... THE FACT THAT I HAVE MORE NOTICE OF CLAIMS, MORE LAWSUITS AND MORE WEBSITES AGAINST THE CITY OF NEW YORK, ACS AND NYC CHILDREN IS ANOTHER MOTIVATING FACTOR UPON THEIR INTENTIONAL MALICIOUS DEPRIVATION OF MY CIVIL RIGHTS.

REQUEST FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION / INJUNCTION

I AM REQUESTING A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION / INJUNCTION FROM THE COURT TO SUSPEND RETALIATORY MALICIOUS, ANTI - SEMITICAL AND POLITICALLY MOTIVATED PROSECUTION BY THE DEFENDANTS WHILE THIS MATTER IS BEING LITIGATED AND ADJUDICATED.

RELIEF REQUESTED :

**PERMANENT INJUNCTION UPON THE DEFENDANTS MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND PERSECUTION OF ROBERT MALEK AND THE USUAL 2 BILLION DOLLARS FROM THE CORRUPT CITY OF NEW YORK, NOTICE OF CLAIM TO ISSUE SHORTLY,
, ETC.**

I DECLARE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

12-15-2022

/S/ ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O M.M.

ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O M.M.

1936 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE # 109

EAST MEADOW, NEW YORK 11554

929 441 8429

ACSCOMPLAINTS@YAHOO.COM

EXHIBIT A

NO RESPONSE TO ANY OF THESE EMAILS SHOWN BELOW

4 YEARS WORTH OF SECRET ORDER OF PROTECTIONS, UNSIGNED ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS, FALSE ORDER OF PROTECTIONS, FALSE CRIMIINAL BACKGROUND

From: ROBERT MALEK (acscomplaints@yahoo.com)

To: cjc@cjc.ny.gov; letitia.james@ag.ny.gov; sheila.poole@ocfs.ny.gov; ig@nycourts.gov; jess.danhauser@acs.nyc.gov; ohill@doi.nyc.gov; hevesia@nyassembly.gov; jdifiore@nycourts.gov; peter.alexander@acs.nyc.gov

Date: Wednesday, March 30, 2022 at 09:02 PM EDT

Dear Judicial Commission,
Dear Attorney General,
Dear Sheila Poole,
Dear Inspector General,
Dear ACS Commissioner,
Dear DOI Hill,
Dear Assemblyman Hevesi,
Dear Janet Difiore / Excellence Initiative,

Hello. How are you this evening ? How is your conscience treating you today ?

I am writing to bring to your attention that in case number kings county 235429, nn19410-18 and nn 19411-18 which has been ongoing for almost 4 years now, I have only been informed and served one order of protection and that was on day 1, 7-31-2018. Meanwhile, orders of protection were being marked off as being served and or informed of in court of which I was not.... I started here with order of protection of 3-26-21 since that order of protection was neither served nor was I informed of in court either and spawned federal case number 21 cv 5532 which you all know of last year.

This is what had occurred :

1. ACS in court report of 1-11-2021 states that i was arrested for a slew of crimes on 1-2-2020 and pled guilty on 4-19-2020. They claim their investigative consultants found this as part of their background check on parents.

It was claimed to have been found on ejustice, accurint and ecourts. No such information exists there and I provided all such documents to ACS and the court. There was no retraction from ACS. From this, ACS obtains an order of protection on 3-26-2021 and I was never informed in court nor served. From there, it was claimed I went to see my daughter at school of which then acs and my childs mother tried to have me arrested off an order of protection that was fraudulently obtained and I was never informed of. I received a call from the precinct that I have to call them or I will be arrested of which it ended up, I never was.

2. ACS had claimed along with legal aid that it was essentially impossible I didnt know there was an order of protection against me for the past 3 years and via statements, supported acs bogus criminal background upon me as well.

3. I strategically decided to withdraw my federal action which does not preclude me from filing again...

4. Orders of protections that are attached that are 10-22-21 and 11-16-2021 are checked off I was informed in court. I have the transcripts. I was never informed in court.. They also stated petitioner

will arrange service. As usual, I was never served not on these but all those listed below and every order of protection after 7-31-2018.

**WHAT IS TAKING PLACE HERE IS ACS AND JUDGE WILLIAMS ARE CONTINUING TO ISSUE SECRET ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS, OF WHICH A FALSE CRIMINAL BACKGROUND WAS USED IN SUPPORT WITH INTENTION TO SET A SECRET TRAP FOR RESPONDENT TO BE ARRESTED IF HE TRIES TO SEE HIS DAUGHTER. SUCH TRAP , LAST YEAR WAS ATTEMPTED TO BE EXECUTED WHEN ACS AND THEIR CLIENT, MARGARET INGOGLIA TRIED TO HAVE ME ARRESTED FOR GOING TO MY DAUGHTERS SCHOOL TO SEE MY DAUGHTER ON MY BIRTHDAY.
HAPPY BIRTHDAY !!!!**

IN ADDITION, THERE ARE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS BEING ISSUED AGAINST ME THAT ARENT EVEN SIGNED...

5. Each one of you that is being informed here has sufficient authority to act under these circumstances. For the past ~ 4 years, **false records have been filed and shared with federal law enforcement records** that I have been informed of such orders of protections when I have not. Such actions are criminal.

I WANT TO KNOW HOW IT IS POSSIBLE THAT ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS ARE BEING FILED AGAINST ROBERT MALEK THAT ARE NOT SIGNED.

FURTHERMORE, I WANT TO KNOW *WHOM* IS CHECKING OFF THESE BOXES AS TO HOW I WAS INFORMED / SERVED.

IN ADDITION, I AM REQUESTING ALL RECORDS OF SERVICE OF PROCESS FROM EVERY ORDER OF PROTECTION EVER CLAIMED TO HAVE BEEN SERVED SINCE 7-31-2018.

Sincerely,
Robert Malek



3-26-2021.pdf
361.8kB



4-20-21 no sign order of protection.pdf
310.8kB



9-27-2021 order of protection.pdf
367.6kB



11-16-2021 order of protection.pdf
368.7kB



12-17-2021 order of protection.pdf
369.2kB



dec 15, 2021 order of protection.pdf
369.3kB



march 9, 2022 order of protection.pdf
370.3kB



may 21 2021 order of protection.pdf
363.9kB



no sign order of protection 7-29-21.pdf
310.8kB



october 22 2021 order of protection.pdf
367.7kB

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
To: kingsfamilycourt <kingsfamilycourt@nycourts.gov>
Date: Sat, 16 Apr 2022 03:32:36 -0400
Subject: ALL RECORDS OF SERVICE OF PROCESS

Dear Kings County Family Court, Can I please have all service of process / affidavits of service records for all orders of protections if you have such documents / records.
nn 19410-18, nn 19411-18235429
thank you, robert malek

--- Attachment: 20220406_084530.jpg ---



From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: kingsfamilycourt <kingsfamilycourt@nycourts.gov>

Date: Wed, 30 Mar 2022 21:12:43 -0400

Subject: All service of process records of all order of protections

Dear KFC. Please provide, (which I am 99% sure you don't have) all records of service of process of every order of protection after 7-31-2018 that was claimed to be served in my case.

Nn19410-18 Nn19411-18

If you have such records I need them.

Thank you, Robert Malek

--- Attachment: 20220328_162930.jpg ---



From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: kingsfamilycourt <kingsfamilycourt@nycourts.gov>

Date: Sat, 16 Apr 2022 03:53:33 -0400

Subject: Copy of All orders of protection previous to 3- 26 -21 and affidavit of service records

Dear kings family court,I need a copy of all oop from 7-31-2018 to 3 26 2021.Also if u have affidavits of service and or service of process records regarding these orders of protectionm.

Thank you,Robert Malek

--- Attachment: 20220406_084530.jpg ---



From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: kingsfamilycourt <kingsfamilycourt@nycourts.gov>

Date: Sat, 16 Apr 2022 03:34:45 -0400

Subject: if these orders of protection are not signed, who is filing these with you ?
kings family court,if these orders of protection are not signed, how are these able to be
filed ?who has access to blank orders of protection documents ?who has access to the
judges signatures ?who checks the boxes on these bogus orders of protections below ?

thank you,robert malek

--- Attachment: no sign order of protection 7-29-21.pdf ---

--- Attachment: 4-20-21 no sign order of protection.pdf ---

Rosmil Almonte | Team Leader

Division of Family Court Legal Services
Phone: 718-802-2733 | Mobile: 646-866-3699
Rosmil.almonte@acs.nyc.gov

From: Almonte, Rosmil (ACS)

Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:05 AM

To: 'robert malek' <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Cc: Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org>; Robert Maes <rmaes@bds.org>; akramlouis09@gmail.com; hbrathwa@nycourts.gov

Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Next court date ?

Good Morning,

Updated therapist letter.



Rosmil Almonte | Team Leader

Division of Family Court Legal Services
Phone: 718-802-2733 | Mobile: 646-866-3699
Rosmil.almonte@acs.nyc.gov

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:46 AM

To: Almonte, Rosmil (ACS) <Rosmil.Almonte@acs.nyc.gov>

Cc: Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org>; Robert Maes <rmaes@bds.org>; akramlouis09@gmail.com

Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Re: Next court date ?

I NEED A LINK FOR TODAY'S HEARING

ROBERT MALEK

On Fri, Nov 12, 2021 at 12:15 PM Almonte, Rosmil (ACS) <Rosmil.Almonte@acs.nyc.gov> wrote:

Family service notes

Rosmil Almonte | Team Leader

Division of Family Court Legal Services
Phone: 718-802-2733 | Mobile: 646-866-3699
Rosmil.almonte@acs.nyc.gov

From: Almonte, Rosmil (ACS)
Sent: Friday, November 12, 2021 12:13 PM
To: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>; Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org>
Cc: Robert Maes <rmaes@bds.org>; akramlouis09@gmail.com
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Re: Next court date ?

Here are the investigation notes again for Mr. Malek's legal advisor

Rosmil Almonte | Team Leader
Division of Family Court Legal Services
Phone: 718-802-2733 | Mobile: 646-866-3699
Rosmil.almonte@acs.nyc.gov

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 5, 2021 4:28 PM
To: Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org>
Cc: Henderson Brathwaite <hbrathwa@nycourts.gov>; Robert Maes <rmaes@bds.org>; Almonte, Rosmil (ACS) <Rosmil.Almonte@acs.nyc.gov>; akramlouis09@gmail.com
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Next court date ?

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe. Forward suspect email to phish@cyber.nyc.gov as an attachment (Click the More button, then forward as attachment).

Thank you.

On Fri, Nov 5, 2021, 10:14 AM Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org> wrote:
We are scheduled for continued fact finding on Nov 16th at 9am.

Travis M. Johnson
Assistant Attorney-in-Charge
The Legal Aid Society
Juvenile Rights Practice
646-784-2853

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 4, 2021 2:22 PM
To: Henderson Brathwaite <hbrathwa@nycourts.gov>
Cc: Robert Maes <rmaes@bds.org>; Almonte, Rosmil (ACS) <Rosmil.Almonte@acs.nyc.gov>; Johnson, Travis <TMJohnson@legal-aid.org>
Subject: Next court date ?

Rmalek

--- Attachment: MalekPsychiatric- R.pdf ---



02/18/2020

Psychiatric Assessment: Shilpika Varma, MD

Current Medications

None

Past Medical History

Sometimes urinary infections , given antibiotics.

Surgical History

denies

Family History

Father: alive
 Mother: alive
 Siblings: alive
 1 brother(s) .
 Mother reports that daughter has been through "lot of trauma, through primarily father." Mother attempted leaving father , few times and would threaten mother if she planned to leave him. Tried to resolve relationship. Family had been living in "ex's" father' s house in Canarsie. Mother reports mental and emotional abuse of family, "he controlled everything." Son has another father. From Feb. 2018 untill July 2018 son was living with them and her father put son through hell. Push him against wall and thretened to "put him in hospital."Threatened mother," he would punch me so hard i would die." Mother reports .. pt. saw threats, screaming yelling, at four, he took herr away and wuuld grab her and tell mother ."you can't have her. "
 Mother reports he would take her to grandfather for days to keep her. Mother reports going back and forth between two houses that grandfather owned with "stuff scattered everywhere, never knew where I lived..."
 Mother reports that pt. is "scared of him, only wants toys from him."Mother reports father obsessed.
 Additional family support includes mother's mother who lives in assisted living facility, however, mother fights often with her.(Mother is only child.)
 Mother notes that pt. is close to friend of mother, "Martin," who lives in assisted living facility.

Reason for Appointment

1. New PSA, seen for intake on 1/31/2020

History of Present Illness

BH Health Monitoring:

External PCP Documentation:

Data incorporated in EMR via: *scanned document*

Primary Care Provider Information: *Pediatrician Cheryl Clark 212-2016-5200*

MH - History of Present Illness::

HPI I AGREE WTH THE LCSW ASSESSMENT AS DOCUMENTED BELOW.

PT HAS A HX OF SPEECH AND LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT. PATIENT IS IN KG, HAS AN IEP FOR SPEECH AND GETS SPEECH AND OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY SERVICES AT SCHOOL. DOES NOT MEET CRITERIA FOR MED MX AT THIS TIME, NO IMMINET SAFETY CONCERNS AT THIS TIME. CAN PROCEED WTIH ADMITTIOSN TO ARTICLE 31 CLINIC FOR TRAUMA INFORMED/FOCUSED PLAY THERAPY ONLY.

"Pt. is a 5 yo female presents for psych eval accompanied by mother for mental health eval

Pt's mother is a single, [REDACTED] Caucasian . Pt is being seen because of mother's concern of pt's aggressive behavior following years of experience with father's DV of mother which mother feels has affected pt. .

[REDACTED] mother reports receiving counseling with the family at the Family Center . Mother reports that two children have different father's, having her oldest at the age of 25. (She reports that their relationship was rocky, reports that her oldest son's father is diagnosed with Bipolar disorder). Mother reports five y/old 's father engaged in DV with mother and describes father as exceptionally controlling, "treated me like I was the maid taking care of children, he took over everything. " Pt's mother stated discipline was doled out by

From: robert malek <abc75abc@gmail.com>

To: anderson.thimote@nypd.org

Date: Mon, 5 Dec 2022 03:56:44 -0500

Subject: Please provide copy of report

Detective thimote,

Please provide copy of report / complaint or at least pertinent details sufficient for me and or my attorney to foil it. My attorneys name is Stuart birbach and his email is birbachlaw@aol.com Phone number is :(212) 764-2468

Thank you, Robert Malek

A Plus Process Service
 PO Box 582
 Guilderland, NY 12084

INVOICE: 7803952
 Issued: Oct 14, 2022
 Sent to: Robert Malek

Robert Malek
 Robert Malek
 1936 Hempstead Tpke #109
 East Meadow, NY 11554

PAY TO:
A Plus Process Service
 PO Box 582
 Guilderland, NY 12084

Case: 22-cv-855	Plaintiff / Petitioner: ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK, CARE OF M.M.
Job: 7803952	Defendant / Respondent: NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COURT SYSTEM, et al.

Item	Description	Cost	Quantity	Total
Service	MARGARET F. INGOGLIA 2520 Tilden Ave APT. 7N Brooklyn, NY 11226	\$35.00	1	\$35.00
Printing of Documents	444 pages @ \$.10 per page	\$0.10	444	\$44.40
Postage	Tracking # 9505 5103 4793 2287 1058 17 + Pre-paid Return Envelope	\$12.00	1	\$12.00

Thanks for your business. Please pay the "Balance Due" within 21 days.	Total: \$91.40
	Amount Paid: (\$0.00)
	Balance Due: \$91.40

A Plus Process Service • PO Box 582, Guilderland, NY 12084

Call: (518) 470-6552 • Fax: (518) 514-1275 • Email: austin.aplusprocess@gmail.com • Visit: www.APlusProcess.net

Plaintiff / Petitioner:
ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK, CARE OF M.M.
Defendant / Respondent:
NEW YORK STATE UNIFIED COUR SYSTEM, et al.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


Index No:
22-cv-855


The undersigned being duly sworn, deposes and says; deponent is not a party herein, is over 18 years of age and resides at PO Box 582, Guilderland, NY 12084. That on Fri, Oct 14 2022 AT 09:23 AM AT 2520 Tilden Ave APT. 7N, Brooklyn, NY 11226 deponent served the within COMPLAINT (with Exhibits), NOTICE OF A LAWSUIT AND (2) COPIES OF REQUEST TO WAIVE SERVICE OF SUMMONS & POSTAGE-PAID RETURN ENVELOPE on MARGARET F. INGOGLIA

- Individual:** by delivering a true copy of each to said defendant, personally; deponent knew the person so served to be the person described as said defendant therein.
- Corporation:** _____ a defendant, therein named, by delivering a true copy of each to _____ personally, deponent knew said corporation so served to be the corporation described, and knew said individual to be _____ thereof.
- Suitable Person:** by delivering thereat, a true copy of each to _____ a person of suitable age and discretion.
- Affixing to Door:** by affixing a true copy of each to the door thereof, deponent was unable with due diligence to find defendant, or a person of suitable age or discretion thereat, having called thereon; at

- USPS MAIL:** Deponent also enclosed a copy of same, in a postpaid sealed wrapper properly addressed to said defendant, marked "Personal and Confidential", at defendant's last known residence, 2520 Tilden Ave APT. 7N, Brooklyn, NY 11226, and depositing said wrapper in a post office, official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Post Office, department, with New York State. Mailed on Fri, Oct 14 2022.
- Military Service:** I asked the person spoken to whether defendant was in active military service of the United States or of the State of New York in any capacity whatever and received a negative reply. *Defendant wore ordinary civilian clothes and no military uniform.* The source of my information and the ground of my belief are the conversations and observations above narrated. Upon information and belief I aver that the defendant is not in the military service of New York State or of the United States as that term is defined in either the State or in the Federal statutes.

Description:
Age: _____ Ethnicity: _____ Gender: _____ Weight: _____
Height: _____ Hair: _____ Eyes: _____ Relationship: _____
Other _____


Austin Taylor

Sworn to before me on 10/14/2022

Notary Public

CHRISTOPHER E. NEUMEISTER
Notary Public, State of New York
Reg. No. 01NE6409273
Qualified in Albany County
Commission Expires 09/28/2024



GUILDERLAND
 11 NEW KARNER RD
 GUILDERLAND, NY 12084-9998
 (800)275-8777

10/14/2022 12:41 PM

Product	Qty	Unit Price	Price
Priority Mail® Brooklyn, NY 11226 Weight: 2 lb 5.40 oz Expected Delivery Date Mon 10/17/2022 Tracking #: 9505 5103 4793 2287 1058 17 Insurance Up to \$100.00 included	1		\$10.95 \$0.00
Total			\$10.95

Grand Total: \$10.95

Credit Card Remit \$10.95

Card Name: MasterCard
 Account #: XXXXXXXXXXXX9249
 Approval #: 632722
 Transaction #: 991
 AID: A0000000042203 Chip
 AL: Debit
 PIN: Not Required

Text your tracking number to 28777 (2USPS) to get the latest status. Standard Message and Data rates may apply. You may also visit www.usps.com USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Save this receipt as evidence of insurance. For information on filing an insurance claim go to <https://www.usps.com/help/claims.htm> or call 1-800-222-1811

Preview your Mail
 Track your Packages
 Sign up for FREE @
<https://informedelivery.usps.com>

All sales final on stamps and postage.
 Refunds for guaranteed services only.
 Thank you for your business.

Tell us about your experience.
 Go to: <https://postalexperience.com/Pos>
 or scan this code with your mobile device,



or call 1-800-410-7420.

EXHIBIT B

School:

Subject children Joe and M [REDACTED] continues to attend school both in-person and remotely. The children are attending regularly. Currently the children are not having any technical issues regarding remote learning. Their devices are efficient currently. SC M [REDACTED] are bused to school and Joe utilizes public transportation. On 12/23/20, Joe's social worker Ms. Ali, reported that child has made some improvement in his classes. On 01/04/21, CPS attempted phone contact with SC Margaret guidance counselor for an updated report. CPS left a detailed message for the counselor and will continue to attempt contact.

Medical:

The subject children Joe and M [REDACTED] are medically up to date. Their immunizations are up to date as well. On 09/15/20, subject child M [REDACTED] received her annual medical exam and Joe on 12/28/20. Joe is monitored by his endocrinologist and nutritionist currently. Joe is not on any medication currently. M [REDACTED] is being monitored by Pediatric Urologist and is continues to be prescribed Cephalexin 250mg, 5ml once a day.

The Non-Respondent mother takes the children to their medical care and follow through with specialized referrals.

Services and referrals:

On 12/23/20, Ms. Ingoglia and children were transferred for therapeutic PPRS. They are now receiving services from Jewish Board, Family Functional Therapy (FFT). This is a strength-based model built on a foundation of acceptance and respect. Its focus is on assessment and intervention to address risk and protective factors within and outside of the family that impact the adolescent and his or her adaptive development. Services are generally conducted in both clinic and home settings.

Ms. Ingoglia, Joe and M [REDACTED] continues to receive individual talk therapy on a weekly basis. CPS is in contact with the family therapists. The therapists reported the family is consistent with weekly therapy and follow through with all recommendations and suggestions. Hence the reason they now have therapeutic preventive services in place. Neither Ms. Ingoglia nor her children are prescribed psychotropic medication currently.

It is unknown if Mr. Malek is engaged in any mental health service as he has not agreed to give consent for CPS to communicate with the psychologist, he identified Dr. Lloyd Glauberman.

DIR/Criminal History:

CPS requested updated clearances for respondent father Mr. Malek and non-respondent mother Ms. Ingoglia. An updated report was received on 11/27/20, it showed no new DIR's or criminal reports filed vis-à-vis Ms. Margaret Ingoglia; however, one (1) new criminal report for Mr. Robert Malek was discovered through E Justice, E courts and Accurint NYC. On 01/02/20, Mr. Malek was arrested for auto stripping, Criminal trespass, possession of burglar tools and criminal possession of stolen property. On 04/19/20, Mr. Malek pleaded to criminal possession of stolen property.

Summary:

Both children are safe, healthy and currently meeting all the required developmental milestones. The children continue to be assessed without marks and bruises during home visits. The Non-

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O M.M.

VS.

CASE NUMBER :

ADDENDUM TO FILED COMPLAINT

MARGARET INGOGLIA, ET. AL.

HON HECTOR GONZALES

HON RAMON REYES

THE FOLLOWING ATTACHED IS THE NEW FEDERAL COMPLAINT FOR A MALICIOUS ANTI-SEMITIC, POLITICALLY MOTIVATED PROSECUTION THAT WAS INTENDED TO PREVENT ME FROM LITIGATING MY 4 FEDERAL CASES UPON THE DEFENDANTS BY PUTTING ME IN JAIL VIA FRAUDULENT BASIS. SUCH COMPLAINT WILL BE FILED ON TUESDAY. 12/20/2022.

ACS SHUT DOWN RECORDS, SHUT DOWN FOIL AND CONSPIRED TO PUT ME IN JAIL TO PREVENT ME FROM EXPOSING THEIR CRIMES UPON PARENTS AND CHILDREN.

I CAN NOW GO BACK TO THE OTHER CASES I HAVE TO ADDRESS THE DEFENDANTS FILINGS AND SERVICE REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE OUTSTANDING UPON WHAT I FILED.

I HAVE MADE CLEAR IN THE COMPLAINT THAT I WILL BE AMENDING TO ADD ALL DETAILS OF THE 21 CV 5532 CASE DUE TO THE ONGOING UNLAWFUL POLICY OF THE DEFENDANTS TO NOT SERVE ORDERS OF PROTECTIONS.

I LOOK FORWARD TO SHOWING A JURY WHAT UNDERHANDED, MALICIOUS, FRAUDULENT ACTIONS THE DEFENDANTS HAVE TAKEN TO PREVENT ME FROM

LITIGATING BY PLACING ME IN JAIL WITH FRAUDULENT BASIS.

ITS DISGUSTING.

THEY CANT DEFEND THEIR ACTIONS ON THE MERITS SO THEY CHOOSE TO FABRICATE A FALSE CRIMINAL CASE TO PREVENT ME FROM EXPOSING THEM FOR THE CRIMINAL CHILD TRAFFICING RICO ENTERPRISE THAT THEY TRULY ARE.

FYI, THIS IS THE THIRD TIME IN THE PAST 5 YEARS THAT THE DEFENDANTS HAVE TRIED TO PUT ME IN JAIL. TWICE BEFORE THEY HAVE FAILED WITH MY CASES DISMISSED. NOT ACD. JUST WHOLLY DISMISSED, ONE OF THEM BEING YET ANOTHER BOGUS ORDER OF PROTECTION CLAIM.

IN THE PAST 5 YEARS, THE NEW YORK CITY GOVERNMENT HAS LAUNCHED TWO BOGUS DISMISSED CRIMINAL CASES, A NEGLECT CASE OF WHICH THEY WONT EVEN SWEAR TO AND FINALLY, NOW YET ANOTHER BOGUS CRIMINAL CASE. THIS IS 4 CASES IN 5 YEARS. IT IS CLEAR THAT THE ANTI- SEMITIC COMMENT OF ME BEING JEWISH AND NOT AMERICAN UNLIKE MY CHILDS MOTHER IS RESULTING IN NEVER ENDING HARASSMENT, MALICIOUS PROSECUTIONS AND RELIGIOUS PERSECUTIONS TO NOT JUST PUT ME IN JAIL AND TERMINATE MY PARENTAL RIGHTS BUT TO TERMINATE THE PRE EXISTING RELIGIOUS RELATIONSHIP MY DAUGHTER AND I HAD WITH EACH OTHER. HATE SPEECH, MEET HATE CRIME. THE DEFENDANTS HAVE COVERED ALL BASES AND SHOULD CLEARLY BE CRIMINALLY PROSECUTED IN FEDERAL COURT.

PLEASE VIEW

EXHIBIT C

" THE NYPD IS COMMITTED TO UPHOLDING THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUALS..... "

SO WHAT ABOUT MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ?

I KNOW. I AM JEWISH AND NOT AMERICAN SO I DONT HAVE ANY....

THIS SAID, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, CONTRARY TO THE DECEPTION OF NYPD BEING THE " FINEST ", THE CITY DOES NOT CARE ABOUT ITS OFFICERS. THAT IS A FRAUDULENT MISSREPRESENTATION.

IF THEY DID, THEY WOULD REQUIRE AND PAY THE DETECTIVES TO DO THEIR JOB TO DETERMINE PROBABILITY UNDER THE LAW AND NOT MERELY..... POSSIBILITY.

ANYTHING IS POSSIBLE. POSSIBLE ISNT POLICE WORK. POSSIBLE IS AN INSULT TO NYPD OFFICERS AND MUST STOP.

I WAS AN AUXILLARY NYPD OFFICER FOR 3 YEARS, 1990, 1991, AND 1992 WHICH WAS BEFORE THE CELLPHONE.

BACK THEN, WE ALWAYS HAD ISSUES WITH NON WORKING RADIOS AND WOULD HAVE TO REPORT A CRIME VIA PAYPHONE !!!! SO YES. THE CITY OF NEW YORK CHEATED ITS AUXILLARIES 30 YEARS AGO AND IS CHEATING ITS DETECTIVES STILL TODAY.

DOES THIS COMPLETELY VINDICATE THIMOTES ACTIONS ? NO. HOWEVER, THE MATTER OF HIM NOT TO TAKE THE TIME TO INVESTIGATE THIS CASE BECAUSE THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS TOO DAMN CHEAP TO PAY HIM TO DO A PROPER INVESTIGATION AND FOR HIS SUPERIORS TO SIGN OFF ON THESE BOGUS INVESTIGATIONS DUE TO ONCE AGAIN, THE CITY OF NEW YORK NOT PAYING THEM TO TAKE THE TIME TO PROPERLY REVIEW SUCH INVESTIATIONS BEFORE THEY SIGN OFF ON THEM IS TO BE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AT THIS TIME, A NEW YORK CITY CREATED ISSUE UNLESS IF UNDER CROSS EXAMINATION ,DEPOSITIONS AND DISCOVERY I FIND OUT OTHERWISE.

THIS IS WHY OFFICERS LEAVE NYPD. THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS A DISGRACEFUL CORRUPT SELF SERVING PIECE OF S**T.

SINCE THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS NOT PROSECUTING FOR FALSE OATHS VIA FALSE CRIMINAL REPORTS (GO TO ACS COMPLAINTS.COM AND LISTEN TO THE RECORDING OF A EX NYPD OFFICER TAKING PART IN HIS INVESTIGATION OF THE BROOKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEYS OFFICE REGARDING THIS MATTER) AND PAYING THOSE THAT GO THROUGH THE CRIMINAL SYSTEM JUST 10 GRAND AFTER SUIT FOR COMPENSATION (NO LAWYER WILL TAKE THE CASE FOR THAT MUCH AND YOU CANT GO TO SMALL CLAIMS EITHER SO 99.99% OF PEOPLE ARE SCREWED .) IT IS CLEAR THAT THE CITY OF NEW YORK HAS A POLICY THAT VICTIMIZES ITS OFFICERS, AND AMERICAN CITIZENS SUCH AS MYSELF, ALIKE.

WITH ME, UNFORTUNATELY, IT ISNT A ONE TIME MATTER. THE CITY OF NEW YORK IS REPEATEDLY PERSECUTING ME AS A JEW, AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN AND AGAIN.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/S/ ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C.O. M.M.

ROBERT MALEK, ROBERT MALEK C/O M.M.

1936 HEMPSTEAD TURNPIKE # 109

EAST MEADOW, NY 11554

929 441 8429

ABC75ABC@GMAIL.COM

12-18-2022

EXHIBIT C

<https://www.cnn.com/2022/12/17/us/nypd-detain-background-checks-settlement/index.html>