

robert malek (me, the caller) (00:00):

April 14, 2023. Calling Judge Gonzalez Chambers.

gonzalez clerk (automated phone message) (00:27):

Hello you have reached the chambers of Judge Hector Gonzalez. No one is available to take your call right now, but please leave a message and someone who will return your call as soon as possible. Thank you.

Speaker 3 (00:50):

Record your message at the tone. When you are finished, hang up or press pound for more options.

robert malek (me, the caller) (00:57):

Yes. Hi, this is Robert Malek calling back. The reason why I'm calling is because I can't even trust that the clerks will even provide to you what I file. But believe me, everything I'm saying is going to go onto another file. I don't know if you'll ever get it. Okay. So there are some more points here that I want to address. One is that I attached to a cover letter containing a hateful symbol, Swastika and using offensive names for the clerk of court and the magistrate judge assigned to these cases. That's not correct, it's just not true.

(01:36):

As far as offensive names for the clerk of court, no, I didn't. It's just not so. There were two words there in German and that simply meant if you translate it to the court, there was no such offensive name for the clerk of court. And as far as to the magistrates judge, this proves that, which I already knew this from your order, you didn't read what I filed in this case. You read the government stuff. You didn't read what I filed. Because if you did, you would know the whole history of the master. You didn't read what I filed in this case, nor anything that I've ever sent to you. I have provided the evidence that Judge Rise himself considers himself a monster, the minaster.

(02:22):

I provided this documentary evidence. It's public information. And I provided it. So, I'm not calling him a monster or master. That's what he calls himself. I provided this evidence. So, believe me, I would not call him a minaster unless if he called himself that. And I provided this evidence on this case as well as on the website and to the head judge at your courthouse and other people. Look, like I said, I don't know. I litigate to a picture. I don't know where you are, where you were. I guess you didn't know because you don't read what I file or have anything to do with anything that I've worked on in this case. I don't even know if you wrote this because I never see you, so I don't know.

(03:13):

Anyway, it's just very odd that you write this when I provided the evidence extensively that he publicly refers himself as the minaster. And you don't know about it? Strange. Oh, the other thing is you forgot or just intentionally omitted the whole issue of you award my motion for ECF along with Rise and Sands and Stewart from day one. So why'd you do that? Why'd you just ignore that? That was a major issue. That was my issue. Okay? And you didn't even have it in your order.

(03:53):

Then on top of this, you write, "Plaintiff asserts that he was informed by the Second Circuit the money order was returned to him." The money order was not returned to me. I wasn't standing there and they put it in my hand. "Oh, here it's returned." They didn't return it to me. They claim they mailed it by bulk

mail. How do you mail \$505 money order by bulk mail with no tracking? That's not returning something. They had no proof that they gave me anything. They probably destroyed it like everything else. So I didn't get it. And then as far as notably, "Plaintiff has refused to provide his current mailing address." Wait a minute, that appeal's from Northern District. We're talking about a time of approximately July. The mailing address issue wasn't until November. You know that. You know that appeal was very different. And the whole circumstances on the mailing address being shut down in reference to 22CB7815 and what that was about.

(04:49):

Very different issues in a very different timeframe. So now you're trying to blend two into one? That's very strange, and of course factually false. And then on top of that, you said, "Plaintiff claims he was previously submitted a money order for a filing fee in one of his cases which was not properly credited." That's not true. What do you mean not properly credited? I could care less how they properly or improperly credited anything, as long as they credit it somewhere and they didn't. They just simply threw it away. That's all. What do you mean properly credited? You took my money and you tossed it out the window. All right? Properly credited. And then finally, I addressed the whole issue here of, "will not accept any filing by electronic or any means that includes any hateful symbols, offensive language." I...

Speaker 3 (05:41):

You are close to the maximum length for a recording. Finish your recording after the tone.

robert malek (me, the caller) (05:48):

Hey, I already addressed that. Okay, in the previous call. So anyway, that's about it, and I will put this all in writing. Thank you. Bye.

Speaker 3 (06:04):

You have reached the maximum length for a recording. Your message has been sent. If you would like to try an extension, you may do so now. Goodbye.