



SCIENTIFIC POSTER PRESENTATION EVALUATION RUBRIC

Poster Title: _____

Poster (abstract) #: _____

Presenter Category (check one):

- Undergraduate Student
 Graduate Student
 Professional Student (MD, DMD, PharmD, etc.)
 Medical Resident

Criteria	Excellent (5 pts)	Good (4 pts)	Satisfactory (3 pts)	Needs Improvement (0-2 pts)	Points
Clarity and Organization	Poster is well-organized with clear sections (e.g. introduction, methods, results, conclusions); content flows logically.	Mostly clear and organized; minor issues with structure or transitions between sections.	Basic structure is present; some sections unclear or disorganized.	Poorly structured or difficult to follow.	/5
Content Knowledge and Accuracy	Demonstrates excellent understanding of the topic; accurate, relevant, and well-presented content.	Good understanding with mostly accurate content.	Basic understanding; includes some unclear or inaccurate content.	Limited understanding or significant inaccuracies.	/5
Scientific Rigor and Methods	Methods are clearly described, appropriate, and rigorous for the category of work (<i>e.g., research, education, EBP, QI</i>).	Methods are mostly clear and appropriate, with minor omissions.	Basic description of methods; some gaps in clarity or rigor.	Methods are unclear, inappropriate, or missing.	/5

Rubric continues the other side. ➡

Criteria	Excellent (5 pts)	Good (4 pts)	Satisfactory (3 pts)	Needs Improvement (0-2 pts)	Points
Use of Data and Evidence	Effectively uses charts, graphs, or data to support main points.	Data is mostly appropriate and supportive; minor issues in presentation.	Limited or unclear data; connection to key points is weak.	Little or no data presented or data is confusing.	/5
Relevance and Impact	Clearly articulates the significance of the project and its potential impact.	Relevance and impact are stated but not fully developed.	Relevance is mentioned but lacks depth or clarity.	Significance of the work is unclear or not explained.	/5
Verbal Communication	Presents confidently with clear explanations; engages the audience effectively.	Generally clear and confident; some hesitation or missed engagement.	Basic explanations; limited clarity or engagement.	Presentation is unclear or difficult to understand.	/5
Response to Questions	Answers questions accurately and thoroughly, demonstrating strong understanding.	Responds well to most questions; minor gaps in explanation.	Has difficulty answering some questions; responses may lack depth.	Struggles to answer questions or responses are inaccurate.	/5

Total Score ____ / 35

Evaluator Comments (optional):

Evaluator's Signature: _____