

1. On my mother's side, we are related to the Kent and Zumwalt Families who settled in the Gonzales area of Texas. Both of these families were very involved in the Texas Revolution. Andrew Kent, who fought and died at the Alamo, is my ancestor. I am also related to a distant cousin, named Caroline Zumwalt, who was one of two young ladies who designed the original "Come and Take It" flag during the Battle of Gonzales. I have been a proud member of the Daughters of the Republic of Texas - Alamo Mission Chapter for more than 25 years. Although I live in the Houston area, my grandmother insisted we join her chapter in San Antonio due to its connection to the Alamo. My grandmother lived in San Antonio all her life.

I had no idea the Daughters would reference my website as the opposing view regarding the permit to relocate the Cenotaph, but I am grateful and surprised they did. I have been careful to NOT speak on behalf of the DRT. I am also grateful the DRT respects their members' right to our own opinions and the freedom to express our opinions on an individual basis. Adina de Zevala and Clara Driscoll, two founding Daughters, also disagreed on the treatment of the Alamo, especially over the Long Barrack and whether or not to preserve it. My priority will always be to Texas History, and in this case, I believe not relocating the Cenotaph is the correct decision.

2 & 3. I personally want the Cenotaph to remain in its present location because it was placed there with intention to Honor the Alamo Heroes "in the center of the battlefield where our heroes' blood stained that sacred spot." The Cenotaph is a State Antiquities Landmark, just like the Alamo, and it has historic significance in its own right. The City of San Antonio, along with the State Board of Control and Pompeo Coppini already decided the location in 1937. This is an integral part of the Cenotaph history which should not be ignored.

4. If the Cenotaph is repaired in its current location, which HKS has stated CAN be done, I would support the Alamo Plan **as long as the plan complies with the Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties. (please use this entire sentence; not just part of the sentence).**

5. Douglass McDonald did say on several occasions if the Cenotaph is not moved, the entire plan would fail. Why?? Why can't Texans have a compromise? The Museum could absolutely go ahead as planned. I do think a Museum is very much needed to display the vast collection of Relics that belong to the DRT and the GLO. As long as Guidelines are followed and historical agreements are honored, certain aspects of the Alamo Plan could move forward with the Cenotaph repaired in its current and historical location.

6. I was one of only 13 people who spoke to the Texas Historical Commission on January 28, 2020 regarding the Alamo Plan. I have already signed up to speak to the THC during the Zoom meeting and I have also emailed my letter and research to the Commission.

On Thursday, September 17, 2020, 07:48:01 AM CDT, Huddleston, Scott <shuddleston@express-news.net> wrote:

Ms. Gaskill/Cindy, thank you for being accessible. Here are my questions:

1. Remind me what your family lineage is in connection to Texas history, or refer me to a resource where that's discussed – I think you have it on your website?
2. What is the best reason for your personally to want the Cenotaph to remain in its present location?
3. What are a couple of the best reasons everyone should want it to stay there?
4. In a nutshell, do you support the Alamo plan, aside from the Cenotaph relocation as proposed?
5. Some say that the plan would fail if the Cenotaph is not moved. Do you feel other aspects of the plan, such as a museum, could go forward with the Cenotaph remaining in place?
6. Will you be addressing the THC during the virtual meeting on Tuesday?