




# **Tips for Writing a clinical evaluation report**

*A. Papan, Ph.D*

A detailed literature review is necessary.





Literature reviews are an integral part of almost all evaluation reports. Incomplete or inaccurate literature reviews can lead to inaccurate conclusions about medical device safety and effectiveness.



# An in-depth analysis of the data

A significant research limitation is the lack of critical analysis of some of the data presented. Inadequate evaluation of clinical data can result in inaccurate or incomplete analysis, resulting in errors in the clinical evaluation report.



# Communicate clearly and concisely

Finding all relevant clinical data is one thing, but combining it in a way that makes sense is another. Reports with unclear content may lead to faulty clinical assessment. Having a confusing or unclear report structure makes understanding the findings difficult. Clinical evaluation reports that are disorganized do not meet regulatory requirements. Failure to provide sufficient information to enable an evaluator to reproduce the literature search is also problematic.

# Effectively address risks and safety concerns

In some cases, the medical device's intended purpose(s) is unclear. This can result in an incorrect interpretation of the data. CERs assess medical device safety and performance. There may be serious consequences, including injury or death if the report does not adequately address risks and safety concerns.



# Maintain consistency throughout the report

An inconsistency in a CER can undermine its credibility.

In some cases, regulatory bodies may have difficulty verifying the authenticity of all data. Therefore, the approval or rejection of the device may be delayed.



# Use the most recent clinical data

Non-clinical data, such as animal studies, can be used to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of medical devices.

It can be problematic if a CER relies heavily on non-clinical data without adequate clinical data. Clinical data is often considered the gold standard when evaluating medical devices. Non-clinical data may not always be directly applicable to humans, so overreliance on these data could lead to the approval of a device that is ultimately ineffective or unsafe.



# THANK YOU

**A.PAPAN,PH.D**

**[HTTPS://WWW.LINKEDIN.COM/IN/MOHAMMADKAZEM-AMIR-PAPAN/](https://www.linkedin.com/in/mohammadkazem-amir-papan/)**