# International Optic Nerve Trauma Study (IONT) – 1999



## Objective

To determine optimal treatment of traumatic optic neuropathy by comparing visual outcomes for those treated with corticosteroids, optic canal decompression surgery, or observed without treatment

### Methods

**Design:** Comparative nonrandomized interventional study with concurrent treatment groups

#### Sample Size: 127

#### **Treatment Groups:**

- 85 to steroid
- 33 to surgery
- 9 to no treatment

#### **Outcome Measures:**

• Visual acuity

## Results

**Point 1**: No clear benefit found for either corticosteroid therapy or optic canal decompression surgery

- Visual acuity increased by ≥3 lines on Snellen chart in 32% of the surgery group, 57% of the untreated group, and 52% of the steroid group (P = 0.22).
- After adjustment for baseline visual acuity, there were no significant differences in visual acuity between the surgery group and the untreated and steroid groups (P = 0.86 and 0.25, respectively)

**Point 2:** Dosage or timing of corticosteroid therapy did not affect outcome

- A mix of patients with low dose (<100 mg), medium dose (100-499 mg), high dose (500-1999 mg), and megadose (>2 g) were used (daily methylprednisolone equivalent dose)
- No evidence of greater visual recovery in those who began steroid therapy within a few hours or injury

**Point 3:** Baseline visual acuity was the most important prognostic factor

In fact, more patients who did not improve three lines after treatment had an initial visual acuity of NLP than those who did (55% versus 24%, P = 0.002)

### TLDR: There is no clear benefit to treatment for traumatic optic neuropathy and therefore observation should be considered standard of care.

Levin LA, Beck RW, Joseph MP, Seiff S, Kraker R. The treatment of traumatic optic neuropathy: the International Optic Nerve Trauma Study. *Ophthalmology*. 1999;106(7):1268-1277. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/s0161-6420(99)00707-1