

Mycotic Ulcer Treatment Trial II (MUTT II) - 2016



Objective

To determine whether there is clinical benefit with adjunctive use of oral voriconazole to topical antifungal eye-drops in the treatment of severe, filamentous fungal keratitis.

Methods

Design: Double-masked RCT

Sample Size: 240

Treatment Groups:

- 121 to placebo
- 119 to oral voriconazole (400mg loading dose; 200mg BID maintenance dose, 20 days)

All patients received topical voriconazole, 1%, and natamycin, 5%.

Outcome Measures:

- Corneal perforation, need for therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty
- Culture negativity (6 days), BCVA, complications associated with PO voriconazole

Results

Point 1: Oral voriconazole did not reduce the rate of corneal perforation or need for therapeutic penetrating keratoplasty between the two groups

- Hazard ratio analysis yielded a 0.82-fold decreased risk for these complications, but these findings were not statistically significant (95% CI, 0.57-1.18, $P=0.29$)

Point 2: No significant difference in BSCVA at 3 months between the groups.

Point 3: Subgroup analysis for only *Fusarium* species found a trend towards decreased rate of perforation or TPK in the PO voriconazole group.

- Effect coefficient, 0.49 (95% CI, 0.26-0.92, $P=0.03$).
- All patients in this study were enrolled from India and Nepal; it is possible that organisms in this region exhibit different characteristics from those in other regions.

Point 4: Patients in the PO voriconazole treatment group experienced more adverse effects (58, 48.7%) vs. the placebo group (28, 23.1%). These included increased liver enzymes and visual hallucinations.

TLDR: The addition of oral voriconazole to topical antifungal eye drops in the treatment of severe filamentous fungal corneal ulcers did not improve clinical outcomes