

SUPPORTING MATERIALS PACKAGE

Correctional Legal Equality and Access to Justice Act (CLEAJ Act)

1. BACKGROUND

Access to justice is a fundamental constitutional principle. However, for incarcerated individuals, this access is often severely limited in practice.

When incarcerated individuals experience harm—whether through excessive force, denial of medical care, or other rights violations—they must navigate legal systems from within confinement, without financial resources or meaningful legal support.

2. CORE PROBLEM

A structural imbalance exists:

- Correctional staff are typically represented by attorneys funded by the State
 - Incarcerated individuals must rely on limited pro bono resources or represent themselves
 - This imbalance affects outcomes, delays justice, and undermines confidence in the system
-

3. VIRGINIA CONTEXT

Virginia correctional facilities operate under internal systems that:

- Control access to evidence and documentation
- Limit communication and legal coordination
- Require individuals to pursue claims under constrained conditions

At the same time, litigation involving correctional misconduct has demonstrated the complexity of these cases and the difficulty individuals face in successfully pursuing them without legal representation.

4. WHY CURRENT LAW IS INSUFFICIENT

While existing laws allow for civil rights claims:

- They do not guarantee access to legal representation
 - Fee-shifting provisions only apply after successful litigation
 - Many valid claims are never fully developed due to lack of counsel
-

5. SOLUTION: THE CLEAJ ACT

The CLEAJ Act introduces:

- A structured system for providing legal representation in serious cases
 - Independent oversight through the ILAO
 - Safeguards to ensure only legitimate claims are funded
 - Mechanisms for cost control and recovery
-

6. BENEFITS TO VIRGINIA

Fairness & Constitutional Integrity

- Ensures individuals can meaningfully exercise their legal rights

Accountability

- Improves oversight and resolution of misconduct claims

Fiscal Responsibility

- Reduces long-term litigation costs and settlements

Institutional Integrity

- Supports ethical staff and strengthens public trust

7. STAKEHOLDER SUPPORT

Key supporters may include:

- Civil rights organizations
- Legal aid groups
- Criminal justice reform advocates
- Faith-based organizations
- Families of incarcerated individuals

8. PERSONAL IMPACT STATEMENT

My husband is currently incarcerated in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Through this experience, I have witnessed firsthand the reality that incarcerated individuals face when attempting to seek justice from within the system that controls every aspect of their lives.

While incarcerated, individuals are entirely dependent on the State—not only for their safety and well-being, but also for access to information, communication, and the ability to defend themselves. When something goes wrong—whether it involves misconduct, mistreatment, or a violation of rights—they are at a profound disadvantage in trying to challenge it.

One of the most difficult realities is the imbalance in access to legal support. Correctional staff are backed by the institution, often with legal representation and resources provided by the State. Meanwhile, incarcerated individuals, regardless of the seriousness of the harm they may have experienced, are typically left to navigate complex legal processes alone or rely on limited and overburdened pro bono assistance.

They do not have the ability to work freely, earn meaningful income, or retain private counsel. Their access to legal materials and communication is restricted. Even when they have legitimate claims, the barriers to pursuing justice can be overwhelming.

As a spouse, this has been deeply frustrating and, at times, disheartening. You are forced to rely on a system that may also be the subject of the complaint. Families are left searching for answers, often without the tools or resources needed to uncover the truth or advocate effectively for their loved ones.

This legislation is not about creating advantage—it is about restoring balance. It is about ensuring that when harm occurs, there is a fair and meaningful opportunity for it to be addressed through lawful and constitutional means.

The Correctional Legal Equality and Access to Justice Act is rooted in a simple principle: justice should not depend on whether someone has the means to access it. When the State has full control over an individual, it must also ensure that individual has a fair path to accountability when wronged.

This issue is not abstract—it is personal. And it is urgent.

9. REQUEST

We respectfully request:

- A legislative sponsor in Virginia
- Co-sponsors committed to fairness and accountability
- An opportunity to present this proposal

10. ATTACHMENTS

- Full Bill Text
- Executive Summary
- Supporting Documentation

Contact Information:

[Name / Organization / Contact Info]