

Addenda #46 Update – November 2025

Re: Addenda #46 – May 2024

SDG 10 – Reduce inequality within and among countries

SDG 10.7 – Migration Policies

New Milestone: Over 2 Million Illegal Aliens Out of the United States in Less Than 250 Days

U.S. Department of Homeland Security, September 23, 2025

President Trump and Secretary Noem’s Robust Immigration Law Enforcement Yields Real Results for Americans

WASHINGTON – On Tuesday, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) announced that 2 million illegal aliens have been removed or have self-deported since January 20.

The Trump administration is on pace to shatter historic records and deport nearly 600,000 illegal aliens by the end of President Donald Trump’s first year since returning to office. Two million illegal aliens have left the United States in less than 250 days, including an estimated 1.6 million who have voluntarily self-deported and more than 400,000 deportations.

In Addenda #46 – May 2024, I detailed the United Nations *Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration*, a plan to move millions of people out of underdeveloped nations in Central and South America and bring them into the United States, where presumably they would no longer be living in poverty, crime and persecution by corrupt governments. Addenda #46 included a map from the 2024 *Regional Refugee and Migrant Response Plan*, showing planned migration routes from South American countries through Colombia, Panama and Costa Rica into Mexico, ending at the U.S. southern border.

In August 2025, the Trilateral Commission, an international non-governmental organization (NGO) made up of global Elites, published a report lamenting that large numbers of migrants headed toward the U.S. on those migration routes had turned around and returned to their home countries. The report supported by the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, stated *... transit restrictions in the Darien jungle, along with stricter immigration policies in the United States, led to a 97% reduction in irregular northbound migration between January and August 2025” ... It is in stark contrast to the more than 260,000 migrants who made the crossing during the same period in 2024.*

In that eight month period in 2025 U.S. Customs and Border Protection reported 80,515 total ‘encounters’ at the U.S. southern border, compared with 1,247,908 in 2024. The study also found that 49% of would-be illegal aliens who decided to stop their journey towards the U.S. did so because they thought it would be impossible to enter the U.S. under President Trump. Likewise, 46% said fears of detention or deportation led to abandoning their attempt to illegally enter the U.S. The Trilateral Commission, and by default the global Elites of the United Nations that funded the report, admitted that immigration enforcement policies put into place by President Trump after taking office have in fact, reduced the flow of illegal immigrants into the U.S. and have prevented thousands from even attempting the journey.

The DHS press release also noted that Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) has received more than 150,000 applications in recent weeks, from Americans looking to join ICE in its efforts to remove criminal illegal immigrants from the United States and prevent any more from entering. The president's plan is working, and despite well-coordinated and well-funded efforts by the progressive left, more Americans are showing up to show support for his policies. But stopping illegal immigration into the U.S. was only part of the plan.

On March 10, 2025, seven weeks after Trump took office, Secretary of Homeland Security Kristi Noem announced that the Department of Homeland Security was launching the CBP Home app with a self-deportation reporting feature for aliens illegally in the country. In addition to the CBP Home app, Homeland Security began a nationwide and international multimillion-dollar ad campaign warning illegal aliens to leave our country now or face deportation with the inability to return to the United States. *"If you are here illegally, we will find you and deport you. You will never return. But if you leave now, you may have an opportunity to return and enjoy our freedom and live the American Dream."* The ad is also working. U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement released information on the self-deportation program in early September, showing that by the end of July, 4,241 illegal immigrants had voluntarily removed themselves from the United States due to the aggressive marketing campaign of Homeland Security.

Two weeks after taking office, President Biden signed executive 14010 titled, *Creating a Comprehensive Regional Framework To Address the Causes of Migration, To Manage Migration Throughout North and Central America, and To Provide Safe and Orderly Processing of Asylum Seekers at the United States Border*. If that title sounds familiar, it's because it mirrors the 2018 United Nations *Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration*, more proof that Joe Biden was taking his orders from global Elites and falling in line with Agenda 2030. This was just one of 605 immigration-related executive actions the Biden administration issued during four years in office. Under these immigration policies, more than 8.3 million immigrants entered the U.S., nearly two-thirds of those illegally. By the end of 2024, the foreign-born or immigrant population (legal and illegal together) hit 53.3 million, 15.8 percent of the total U.S. population. *Illegal immigrants* accounted for 5.4 million or two-thirds of the growth in the foreign-born population under Joe Biden's watch. Source: Center for Immigration Studies, March 12, 2025 | U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics & Census Bureau

On his first day in office, President Trump signed executive order 14159 titled, *Protecting The American People Against Invasion*, beginning the shutdown of illegal immigration into the United States. The president also issued executive order 14013, suspending the *U.S. Refugee Admissions Program*, declaring that the U.S. had been "inundated with record levels of migration," leading to compromised safety and security of American citizens and draining resources that should be used for American citizens first. This order directed the Secretaries of State and Homeland Security to determine that the entry of such aliens as refugees is in the national interest and does not pose a threat to the security or welfare of the United States: *America First*.

After a review of options proposed by officials, the Trump administration announced in October that they were considering a major overhaul of the U.S. refugee system. Changes to the refugee system would place an emphasis on whether applicants would be able to assimilate into the United States and require refugees to take classes on “American history and values” and “respect for cultural norms.” To understand why assimilation and honoring cultural norms of the host country is so important, read *A Clear and Present Danger: Threat #2*, Addenda #65 – October 2024 and *Threat #3*, Addenda #40 – October 2025.

The new plan also included proposals to prioritize people who had been targeted for persecution in their own countries, including Europeans who had been persecuted for their political views, and Afrikaners allegedly subjected to racial persecution in their home country. Under the Biden administration and U.N. Agenda 2030, only individuals subject to persecution in underdeveloped nations (Hispanics/Latinos and people of color) were given priority for entry into the U.S. The outcry from the left was swift and predictably slanted towards accusations of racism and white supremacy.

The Trump administration’s call to ‘reframe’ the global asylum system would harm people seeking safety

Amnesty International, September 26, 2025

Trump Considers Overhaul of Refugee System That Would Favor White People

New York Times, October 15, 2025

Refugee system overhaul could favor English speakers, white people: Report

MSN News, October 16, 2025

Trump’s New Refugee Plan: Only White Right-Wingers Wanted

New York Intelligencer, October 16, 2025


‘Revolting’: Report On Trump’s Potential New Refugee Policies Sparks Outrage

Huffington Post, October 16, 2025

Trump Plans New Refugee Program That Would Prioritize White Applicants

BlackNews.com, October 20, 2025

Nationally recognized Monmouth University Polling Institute published the results of a February 2024 poll, showing that 61% of Americans surveyed called illegal immigration a “very serious problem,” a dramatic change in opinion from a 2019 poll that showed only 46% of Americans called illegal immigration a “very serious problem.” Even those who identified as Democrats polled at 41% in 2024 versus 26% in 2019. A majority in the 2024 poll also favored the continued building of a wall along the U.S. border with Mexico. As usual, progressive controlled mainstream media outlets were out of step with ordinary Americans on this issue, preferring to stay in lockstep with promoters of Agenda 2030 and illegal immigration. So what caused this 20 point change in public opinion in just five years, what caused this shift in public opinion?



Source: Nationwide Encounters, Fiscal Years 2022 – 2025, U.S. Customs and Border Protection website

Border Protection agents encounters of immigrants at all U.S. borders surged from 95,000 the last month of the Trump administration, to over 115,000 immediately after Joe Biden took office. Numbers continued to increase, peaking at 370,883 in December 2023 before beginning to decrease. By this point, the Biden administration was dealing with this mass migration by transporting the immigrants to large cities all over the United States. By bus and airplane, the Biden administration sent hundreds of thousands of illegal immigrants to New York City, Chicago, Los Angeles and other towns and cities across the U.S., causing shortages in housing, overcrowding in schools and hospitals and draining resources from legal taxpaying citizens. Mayor's and residents of so called "sanctuary cities" suddenly changed their tune and begged the president not to send them any more immigrants. New York City Mayor Eric Adams warned of "extremely painful" spending cuts to offset the hundreds of millions of dollars the city had to spend sheltering and providing services for the tens of thousands of immigrants who have arrived in the city. Adam's criticisms of the Biden administration resulted in the Democrat Party declining to endorse his 2026 reelection bid, forcing him to run as an Independent in the Democrat primary.

Massachusetts "right to shelter" law, resulted in more than 80 cities and towns receiving migrants. Local mayors called for a suspension of the law, and Governor Maura Healey (D) pleaded with residents to house illegal aliens, mostly Haitians and Central Americans, in their own homes to temporarily address the crisis. The hypocrisy of leftist sanctuary city proponents was exposed in the elite, liberal wealthy community of Martha's Vineyard, where residents first greeted a plane load of 50 illegal immigrants with full press coverage (photo opp), then transferred them to a military base in Cape Cod less than 48 hours after they touched down. "We cannot, we don't have the services to take care of 50 immigrants. And we certainly don't have housing. We're in a housing crisis as we are on this island." Note: The housing *crisis* that liberal referred to is a crisis of cost, not quantity. The median home price on the Cape, home to millionaires like Barack and Michelle Obama, Bill and Hillary Clinton, Spike Lee and David Letterman, has reached \$1.5 million, a 120 per cent increase over the last decade. Illegal immigrants are *not* welcome in that community!

In sworn testimony before Congress in April 2023, Department of Homeland Security Secretary Alejandro Mayorkas claimed the U.S. border was *not* open and immigrants were *not* crossing into the United States as border encounters were continuing to climb and hundreds of thousands of illegal immigrants were being admitted into the U.S. But a series of high profile murders committed by illegal immigrants (see Addenda #65 – October 2024) were being reported by the media, resulting in President Biden and Democrat leaders coming under increasing criticism and pressure to do something about the problem. Biden was going to run for reelection the following year and he needed to slow the flow of illegals into the country to bolster sinking poll numbers, which were approaching 60% disapproval. *This*, was the only reason the flow of illegals slowed so dramatically in 2024...

Americans were being affected firsthand by this mass migration, leading to that 20 point change in public opinion and the election of Donald Trump in November 2024. They showed their disapproval with President Biden's immigration policies (among other things) and Trump responded with executive orders and policies to stop "the invasion" and remove criminal illegal immigrants from the country. Opponents of the Great Reset like Trump and Argentina's Libertarian president Javier Milei shook the World Economic Forums 2025 Davos meeting by announcing new alliances and announcing their intent to practice their own ideas of liberty and become sovereign nations again.

See A Clear and Present Danger: Threat #3, Addenda #32 – February 2025

Then in a September 2025 speech before the U.N. General Assembly, President Trump scolded the U.N. for failing to live up to its ideals and potential. "The U.N. has such tremendous potential. I've always said it. It has such tremendous, tremendous potential, but it's not even coming close to living up to that potential." The president also described the U.N. migration plan as "an assault on Western countries and their borders". Representatives of the European Union came under direct criticism by the president. "Europe is in serious trouble. They have been invaded by a force of illegal aliens like nobody has ever seen before... You're doing it because you want to be nice, you want to be politically correct and you're destroying your heritage," he said. Trump also took on EU climate policy during his speech. "If you don't get away from the green energy scam, your country is going to fail," he said. "I love Europe. I love the people of Europe. And I hate to see it being devastated by energy and immigration. This double-tailed monster destroys everything in its wake... you want to be politically correct and you are destroying your heritage."

Donald Trump does not want the United States to travel down the same path that Europe has taken, encouraging mass migration at the expense of undermining national sovereignty, traditional cultures and values. (See A Clear and Present Danger: Threat #3, Addenda #40 – October 2025) The president will continue his America First policy, removing criminal illegal immigrants and properly vetting refugees before allowing them to enter the United States. When you hear the left screaming in outrage about his policies, when you see them demonstrating in the streets and attacking ICE agents, you hear and see supporters of Agenda 2030 and the Great Reset.

They, not Donald Trump, are the greatest threat to liberty and Democracy!

➤ *The United Nations:*

Established on June 26, 1945, its original mission was to preserve world peace, protect human rights and prevent future wars. The UN is “funded” by its 193 member states paying annual assessments (the U.S. paid \$3.3 billion in 2024) and contributions from other sources. Let’s see how well the UN has done with its original charter mission statement...

Global conflict levels highest since end of Second World War

A total of 59 active conflicts are currently raging in more than 35 countries – the most since 1945 – with 152,000 conflict-related deaths recorded in 2024, according to the 2025 Global Peace Index, an annual report on armed violence.

The Telegraph, June 18, 2025

The Global Peace Index is an annual report published by the Institute for Economics and Peace, a global think tank headquartered in Sydney, Australia. The 2025 edition of the report noted that “global peacefulness continues to decline,” marking the 13th year-over-year deterioration in the past 17 years. Taking this report at face value, it appears what even the United Nations is doing to preserve world peace, protect human rights and prevent future wars, is *not* working. The question in my mind is, just what has the U.N. been doing to preserve world peace?

The United Nations has often been criticized that its policies are directed by the ideological biases of its leadership and the influence of think tanks and advocacy organizations. Critics complain the U.N. takes no pre-emptive measures to prevent conflicts from occurring or de-escalating conflicts once they happen. Many of the decisions made by the United Nations are seen as failures in preventing armed conflicts and meeting the intent of the original U.N. Charter. Since 1945 there have been dozens of wars, conflicts, and civil wars around the world, leading to as many as 13 million combatant and civilian deaths. Over the past four years alone, nearly 740,000 people were killed in conflicts around the world. Besides lust for conquest and power by tyrannical leaders of countries like Russia, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Sudan, Nigeria, Yemen, and Somalia, there has been a systematic persecution of individuals for their religious beliefs. Article One of the Charter of the United Nations states its original goal of “*encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion.*” Yet many member nations of the General Assembly have shown antisemitism in their criticism of Israel and their support for groups that have targeted Israel over the past fifty years. U.N. Resolution 3237 of 1974, recognized the right of the Palestinian people to exist as a nation, and invited the Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO), whose stated goal at its creation was “the liberation of Palestine and destroying the existence of Zionism (the Jewish state) in the Middle East, to engage in “international diplomacy.”

The October 7, 2023, surprise attack on Israel by Hamas, killed 1,139 Israeli citizens, foreigners and IDF soldiers. Hamas is an Islamist militant group, designated as a terrorist organization by dozens of countries, that has controlled Palestine for nearly two decades. After the attack, the U.N. Human Rights Council placed the direct blame for the attack on “almost six decades of hostile [Israeli] military rule...” In the two years after the terrorist attack, the United Nations has continued to condemn Israel for its efforts to wipe out the terrorists and provide aid to Palestine, in effect to Hamas. Israeli intelligence reports claimed at least 12 employees of the U.N. agency for Palestinian refugees participated in or aided the Oct. 7 terrorist attack on Israel, including kidnapping Israeli hostages.

So much for *“encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language, or religion.”*

Note: Since 1948, there have been almost 1,800 terrorist attacks in Israel, resulting in 5,340 killed and 19,936 injured. These numbers include terrorist casualties as well as the deaths of at least 225 children killed and 244 injured. No help from the United Nations in preventing these attacks either...

Source: Summary of Terrorist Attacks in Israel; Wm. Robert Johnston, Johnston’s Archive, April 6, 2025

Besides not discouraging terrorist attacks on Israel or condemning Hamas, the United Nations has done nothing to prevent or stop the systematic persecutions of Christians around the world. The *2025 World Watch List* top 50 countries list, shows that 310 million Christians face very high or extreme levels of persecution and discrimination for their faith in 2024. The report showed that in 2024, 4,476 Christians were murdered, 7,679 Churches and Christian properties were attacked, and 4,744 Christians were imprisoned for faith related reasons. Most of the violence against Christians is in African nations, where the majority of the world’s Muslims live and Islam is the continents largest religion behind Christianity. While the UN Secretary-General makes statements condemning religious hate, and the U.N. High Commission on Human Rights calls for measures to counter religious hatred and combat discrimination and violence due to religious intolerance, the U.N. has not imposed sanctions or penalties on countries that systematically persecute Christians. Instead, the United Nations home page promotes *Advancing human rights through education and social justice* and the *UN Sustainable Development Goals*.

World leaders and individuals have claimed the real purpose of the U.N. is to undermine national sovereignty and promote globalism. I’ve written extensively on the failure of the United Nations in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals of Agenda 2030 and the detrimental effects any of the progress that has been made on migration, “fighting” climate change and sustainability has had on people and countries.

UN scorecard on achieving its charter mission to preserve world peace, protect human rights and prevent future wars



UN efforts to promote globalism and build a “One World Government”



Addenda #68 – November 2025 Update

Re: Addenda #68 – November 2024

Ch. 8, 2050 Net-Zero Emissions; Impossible!

Ch. 9, Progressive States Climate Policies

SDG 13 – Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts

President Biden signaled his support for U.N. Agenda 2030 immediately after taking office in January 2021. He first announced plans to ‘electrify’ the entire fleet of about 380,000 federal vehicles (passenger vehicles, light, medium and heavy duty trucks, ambulances and buses) at an estimated cost to taxpayers of \$4.2 trillion. [Source: Addenda #67 – November 2024]

Then in August 2021, President Biden issued Executive Order 14037, mandating that 50% of all passenger cars and light-duty truck sales must be made up of battery electric, plug-in hybrid electric and fuel cell electric vehicles by 2030. In March 2024, the Biden Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) passed even more severe emissions standards on light-duty and medium-duty vehicles starting with model year 2027 and being completely “phased in” by model year 2032. The mandate ensured that fully electric, zero-emissions vehicles must be a substantial percentage of sales in order to reach the required 50% reduction levels. [Addenda #68 – November 2024]

Also in March 2024, the Biden EPA imposed new emissions regulations on heavy-duty vehicles beginning with model year 2027. Trucking industry advocates noted at the time that the new standards would more than double the cost of purchasing compliant semi-trailers and result in higher shipping costs that consumers would end up paying for. Promoters of the new standards didn’t care. [Addenda #68 – November 2024]

In March 2025, Trump EPA administrator Lee Zelden announced that the agency intended to “reconsider” more than 30 rules on greenhouse gas emissions put into place under the Biden administration. *“We are driving a dagger straight into the heart of the climate change religion to drive down cost of living for American families, unleash American energy, bring auto jobs back to the U.S., and more.... As we reconsider nearly \$1 trillion of regulatory costs, we will abide by the rule of law to protect consumer choice and the environment... There are people who, in the name of climate change, are willing to bankrupt the country.”* Environmentalists and supporters of U.N. Agenda 2030 reacted with fury and frustration. Climate alarmist Al Gore called the Trump administrations energy policy “an attempt to ignore reality” and “a tragedy for America.”

California has always experienced a higher degree of air pollution problems than other states due to its mountainous terrain which causes air pollution to be trapped above its most populous cities. The federal *Clean Air Act* allowed California the power to implement more stringent clean air and emissions regulations, making California standards the strictest in the nation. In 2005, Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger signed an executive order mandating an 80% reduction below 1990 levels by 2050. In 2006, the California Assembly passed the *Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006*, giving the California Air Resources Board (CARB) the authority to implement the emissions reduction program.

Since then, California reset the emissions target to a 100% (net-zero) emissions reduction by 2045, required all new car sales in the state to be zero-emission by 2035, required the sales of heavy-duty trucks to transition to 100% electric beginning in 2024, required sales of all “off road” engines (tractors, lawn mowers, leaf blowers, chain saws, etc.) to be electric starting in 2024, and banning the sale of natural gas furnaces, space heaters and water heaters by 2030. California energy policies have led to the state having the highest energy cost in the continental United States, with residential electricity rates averaging \$0.3158 per kilowatt hour, almost 80% higher than the national average, and an average cost of \$4.64 per gallon of unleaded gas, 50% higher than the national average. According to CNBC’s annual competitiveness study, California is ranked the most expensive state in the nation, with a cost of living score of just 3 out of 50. Yes, policies do matter.

After the election of Donald Trump, California decided to abandon regulations phasing out diesel trucks and requiring cleaner locomotives because the incoming Trump administration would be unlikely to allow the state to implement them. The California Air Resources Board (CARB) knew it was only a matter of time until new EPA administrator Lee Zelden would submit California’s Advanced Clean Fleet rule to Congress for a vote to revoke the waiver. On May 22, 2025, the U.S. Senate voted to revoke three waivers of preemption issued by the Biden EPA. Waivers permitting CARB to implement the *Advanced Clean Trucks Act* and the *Heavy-Duty Low NOx Omnibus Regulation*, were both revoked. While there are conflicting opinions about whether this use of the Congressional Review Act is legal or not, the new rulings stand, and California cannot mandate the shift from diesel semi-trucks to electric or require additional emissions reductions on heavy-duty trucks already in service on California highways. Another waiver permitting CARB to implement the *Advanced Clean Cars II* regulation, mandating reduced emissions and increased mileage standards beginning with model year 2026, effectively requiring all light-duty vehicles sold in California to be zero-emission by model year 2035.

Ten states had originally followed California’s lead on mandating the *Advanced Clean Trucks Act* and the *Heavy-Duty Low NOx Omnibus Regulation*, choosing to follow CARB rules instead of federal EPA rules on emissions. California, Oregon, Maryland, Massachusetts and Vermont had already postponed the enactment of regulations in their own states, after concluding that the rules are not economically or technologically feasible. Even before Donald Trump took office, auto manufacturers began scaling back efforts to achieve net-zero vehicle fleet production, as they finally began to acknowledge customers were not accepting electrification mandates at levels they had expected. The EV divisions of Ford Motor company were “hemorrhaging money”, leading Ford to pause a \$12 billion battery plant project, cut production of its F-150 Lightning truck and Mustang Mach-E. General Motors announced a “pivot” to hybrid vehicles, as sales of EV’s plummeted.

Despite all the evidence showing climate regulations are not working as intended and consumers are not willingly going along with the Sustainable Development Goals, Colorado, New Jersey, New Mexico, Rhode Island, Washington state and New York are still moving forward with their states plans to achieve net-zero vehicle and utility emissions.

Addenda #95 – November 2025

Ch. 8, 2050 Net-Zero Emissions; Impossible!

Ch. 17, S.D.G. Enforcement by Global Organizations

SDG 13 – Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts



This November, the UN Climate Conference ([COP30](#)) convenes in Belém, Brazil, bringing together leaders from governments, businesses, and civil society to tackle the defining challenge of our era. With global temperatures hitting record highs and extreme weather reshaping lives worldwide, the stakes couldn't be higher.

COP30 will spotlight the race to keep warming below 1.5°C, unveil new national climate plans (NDCs), and assess progress on critical finance commitments made at COP29.

*Join us in Belém from **10–21 November 2025** as the world charts a path toward a sustainable future.*

Even before the 30th annual climate change summit opened, there were signs that coming to a consensus on “new national climate plans” was going to be a difficult proposition.

EU struggles on climate plan ahead of Brazil conference

Divisions in the EU over emissions-cutting targets are stymieing work to reach an agreement on a climate plan in time to meet a UN deadline.

DW Global Media, September 18, 2025

“Environmental ministers” from the 27 member states of the European Union showed divisions in attempts to come up with an agreeable plan to cut emissions, in order to meet the legally binding agreements made at the 2015 Paris Agreement. (Reference: *A Clear and Present Danger – Threat #2*, Ch. 5 Agenda 2030) The *Emissions Gap Report 2025*, issued just prior to the opening of COP30 stated that, “*available new climate pledges under the Paris Agreement have only slightly lowered global temperature rise over the course of this century, leaving the world heading for a serious escalation of climate risks and damages.*”

There are several ways in which to interpret this statement. From the perspective of climate alarmists and supporters of UN Agenda 2030, it says we have not been doing enough, we need to do even more in order to achieve the goal of the Paris Climate Agreement.

Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts. (Agenda 2030 SDG #13)

From the perspective of people who look at climate change with *objective reality*, this statement says that the response by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in their 2018 Special Report *Global Warming of 1.5 °C*, was scientifically correct. U.N. climate scientists determined that reducing global warming to a level to halt climate change would require almost *impossible policies* to achieve.

“The report finds that limiting global warming to 1.5°C would require “rapid and far-reaching” transitions in land, energy, industry, buildings, transport, and cities. Global net human-caused emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂) would need to fall by about 45 percent from 2010 levels by 2030, reaching ‘net zero’ around 2050.”

Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5°C, The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, February 2018

Achieve a 45% reduction in emissions... within thirteen years!


The report also stated that here was a “higher probability” of limiting warming to 1.5-Deg C if the net-zero 2050 mitigation pathway was pursued. *Probability*, not guarantee.

probability (noun): the chance that a given event will occur

Source: Merriam-Webster dictionary

The IPCC had already determined in 2014 that the key for effective climate mitigation was to bring average temperature change back to below 1.5-degrees Celsius by the year 2100. One year later, global Elites then agreed to this target, voting it into the *Paris Agreement*. The U.N. then directed the IPCC to come up with a special report on the impacts of global warming and how to return global warming to below 1.5°C.

Question: Isn’t that an “ass-backward” process? Come up with a number, vote to mandate achieving it, then ask for scientific confirmation it could be achieved? Shouldn’t they have voted on a mandate only after finding out if it was achievable or not?




Scientists agree that the rise in CO₂ Emissions began during the Industrial Age, a period of time characterized by the replacement of hand tools with power-driven machines and industrial factories. These machines and factories were fueled by the burning of coal to produce steam power, releasing greenhouse gases into the atmosphere in large quantities.

Source: OurWorldinData.org/co2-and-greenhouse-gas-emissions, November 2025

CO₂ levels have risen dramatically due to continued industrialization and population growth since 1950, from about 5.9 billion metric tons to 38.6 billion metric tons. Besides air pollution, smog, acid rain and associated health problems humans have experienced, high concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere have prevented the natural cooling of the earth’s surface and resulted in increased global temperatures.

Global warming has been linked to the continued decline in sea ice and retreating glaciers as well as the increased number of heat waves, wildfires, hurricanes and other extreme events. I am not a “climate denier.” I acknowledge what man has done to this planet and that we need to become better stewards of our resources. I am, however, an objective thinker and I believe that the steps global Elites have been taking over the past decade are not working to mitigate global warming (climate change) but are doing serious harm to our economy and population. I also believe that mitigating global warming was never their intent anyway...

In November 2019, members of the European Parliament agreed to reduce CO2 emissions by 40% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels, and to achieve climate neutrality (net-zero emissions) by the year 2050. In September 2020, the European Commission voted to reduce emissions “by at least 55%” by 2030. In July 2025, Member States added an intermediate target of 90% reduction by 2040. The EU continued to double down on emissions goals, to show the world how serious they were in achieving the agreements of the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement and the sustainable development goals of the U.N. 2030 Agenda. But talk is cheap, so let’s look at how the European Union is progressing on its climate mandate.



Source: CO₂ emissions; Our World in Data, November 2025

In order to reach the 55% reduction mandate for 2030, the EU must cut emissions an additional 26.6% over a six year period. That’s an increase of almost 40% in what the EU has been able to achieve since they agreed to the new emissions targets.

EU agrees weakened climate target in final-hour deal for COP30

EU climate ministers agreed a 2040 climate change target in the early hours of Wednesday after watering down the goal in last-minute negotiations, as they raced to clinch the deal before the U.N. COP30 summit in Brazil.

After negotiating late into Tuesday night, climate ministers from European Union countries approved in a public vote a compromise to cut emissions 90% by 2040, from 1990 levels, but with flexibilities to weaken this aim.

Reuters, November 5, 2025

In 1990, CO₂ emissions levels for the 28 member European Union were 4.47 billion tons.

By 2019, when the European Parliament agreed to the 40% reduction by 2030, CO₂ emissions had dropped to 3.27 billion tons.

By 2024, CO₂ emissions had dropped to 2.74 billion tons.

That’s a 16% decrease in 5-years and a 38% decrease from 1990. Pretty impressive, right?

The “flexibilities” agreed to by EU “climate ministers” are the purchases of *carbon credits*, a green energy scam to begin with, that would count towards emissions reduction targets. Up to 5% of the 90% emissions reduction mandated by 2040 can be achieved by purchasing carbon credits. The EU agreement also opens the door to use carbon credits to meet an additional 5% of the emissions reductions target for 2040.

Ref: EU Emissions Trading Scheme, Addenda #48, June 2024

Without actually saying that they cannot meet legally binding emissions targets legitimately, EU climate ministers are finding a way to claim they *can* meet them, while also cutting back mandates on European industries that would severely reduce economic growth and cause increased costs to citizens of EU nations.

“Setting a climate target is not just picking a number, it is a political decision with far-reaching consequences for the continent” said Danish climate minister Lars Aagaard.

“Therefore, we have also worked to provide comfort that it can be reached in a way that preserves competitiveness, social balance and security.”

Finally, a sane and logical statement from a political leader in the EU!

More than 56,000 global Elites, support staff, security, reporters and lobbyists attended the twelve day COP30 climate conference in Belém Brazil this month. They traveled hundreds of thousands of miles combined, mostly by private and chartered jets, releasing thousands of tons of CO₂ into the atmosphere. Note: 65 private jets flew into Heydar Aliyev International Airport at Baku Azerbaijan in November 2024, so global Elites could preach to the rest of the world about global warming and the need to reduce CO₂ emissions at COP29. “The number of arrivals by private jet we are seeing at COP29 puts front and centre the hypocrisy of using a private jet while claiming to be fighting climate change, particularly from an equity point of view. An executive taking one long-haul private flight will burn more CO₂ than several normal people do in an entire year,” said Denise Auclair of the *Travel Smart Campaign*, which promotes reducing corporate air travel emissions.

Once on the ground in Brazil, attendees traveled by luxury motor coach (releasing more emissions into the atmosphere), on the Avenida Liberdade highway to Belém. The new four lane highway, repeatedly delayed due to “environmental concerns”, was completed earlier this year in order to bring attendees from the Val-de-Cans International Airport to Belém. During its construction, tens of thousands of acres of CO₂ absorbing trees in the “protected” Amazon rainforest were cut down. (Ref: Addenda #79, March 2025)

During the COP30 climate conference, global Elites pushed for a special tax on luxury flights, including business-class passengers and private jets. The ultra-rich, they claim, create far more emissions through luxury air travel, so they should compensate for the pollution they cause through a special tax. “It’s only fair that those who have the most, and therefore pollute the most, pay their fair share,” Spanish Prime Minister Pedro Sanchez said. Members of the *Global Solidarity Levies Task Force* are targeting passengers flying first class and business class on commercial flights, as well as those flying on private jets. My question is, will global Elites travelling to climate conferences where they are “saving the planet” be exempt from these taxes?

“I don't have a private jet (he charters one instead) and what the carbon emissions come from my trips on southwest airlines are *offset*,” claimed Al Gore, when asked if he was a ‘climate hypocrite’ in a June 2017 interview with CNN’s Jake Tapper. “I live a carbon-free lifestyle to the maximum extent possible.” Gore lives in a 20-room, 10,000 plus square foot mansion in Tennessee, with solar panels, geothermal heating and cooling, rainwater collection, and energy-efficient lighting and insulation. Despite earning ‘gold’ certification from the U.S. Green Building Council for sustainable design, an analysis done by the National Center for Public Policy Research in 2017 found that Gore's home consumed 20 times more electricity than the average American household. The report found that Gore spent almost \$22,000 on electricity bills in a 12-month period. “Gore guzzles more electricity in one year than the average American family uses in 21 years,” the report stated.

Al Gore has gotten rich, in part, from investments in companies that will profit from the federal regulations he has promoted. With an estimated net worth in excess of \$300 million, Gore can easily afford \$1,800 monthly electricity bills and a tax on his private jet flights. The offsets he claims that make his chartered flights and 10,000 plus foot mansion carbon neutral, are purchases of carbon offsets, which range anywhere from \$10 to \$144 per metric ton of CO₂ emissions. The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has a neat Carbon Footprint Calculator on its website, where you can enter your home energy usage, vehicle mileage and household waste produced. My wife and I produce an estimated 23,466 lbs., or 10.644 metric tons of CO₂ per year according to this calculator. If I buy \$106 in carbon offsets, I too can claim our carbon footprint is neutral, just like Al Gore! Just imagine what Al Gore’s carbon offsets come to on *his* home energy and transportation emissions! Or Bill Gates. Or Jeff Bezos. Fifty of the world’s richest billionaires on average produce more carbon through their investments, private jets and yachts in just over an hour and a half than the average person does in their entire lifetime, an October 2024 report published by Oxfam International revealed. *“The super-rich are treating our planet like their personal playground, setting it ablaze for pleasure and profit. Their dirty investments and luxury toys—private jets and yachts—aren’t just symbols of excess; they’re a direct threat to people and the planet,”*

Remember, it was *never* about “saving the planet.” It has always been about **control!** Global Elites will be sure that they are never inconvenienced, even as they create policies that impose restrictions and crippling costs on the rest of us.

Global Elites took pot shots at the United States for not sending a delegation to the COP30 conference, making it the first time since the COP1 conference in 1995 that the U.S. was not officially represented. Unofficially, climate action promoting states like California, did send representatives. California governor Gavin Newsom, showed up in Belém, to help build his credibility towards his 2028 presidential campaign. “California is a stable and reliable partner in low carbon, green growth,” Newsom claimed. Anyone who has read A Clear and Present Danger, Threat #2 and Addenda’s dealing with the failed California green energy plan, including this one, knows how ridiculous this claim is.

While former V.P. and climate alarmist Al Gore criticized the conference for not drafting an agreement to completely phase out fossil fuels, they did come to agreements on two key initiatives.

Initiative #1, was an agreement to help countries to transition away from fossil fuel use, double energy efficiency and triple renewable energy production. The *Conference of the Parties*, the supreme global Elites of the Convention, called for member nations to come up with a combined \$1.3 trillion annually by 2035, to help achieve these goals.

Note: President's Obama and Biden pledged a combined \$6 billion to the U.N. Green Climate Fund (GCF) but only delivered \$2 billion of that promised money. The \$4 billion balance was cancelled by President Trump after taking office in February 2025. GCF executive director Mafalda Duarte called for other countries not to pull back on pledges and to give even more to make up for the cancelled pledges.

The second initiative, the *Declaration on Information Integrity on Climate Change*, was signed by 12 member nations to fight “disinformation” about climate change, by “dismantling networks of climate lies and shielding evidence-based voices from harassment and attacks.” UN Secretary-General António Guterres said, “We must fight mis- and disinformation, online harassment, and greenwashing... Without access to reliable information about climate disruption we can never hope to overcome it.” The Declaration calls for the promotion of “consistent, reliable, accurate and evidence-based information on climate change,” to fight climate mis-and-disinformation. Climate misinformation includes telling the truth, that climate change efforts are *not* working and that events like COP30 don’t make a difference.

Efforts to “dismantle networks” will almost certainly include the same flagging and censoring of social media posts and cancelling user accounts (deplatforming) Facebook and other social media platforms did during the Biden administration. It could also include the practice of “debunking” used during both the Obama and Biden administrations and by Canadian Prime Minister Justin Trudeau during the 2022 truckers protests in Canada.

Wow, my blog may be labeled “misinformation” and I may be accused of “harassing and attacking Al Gore! How cool is that!

References: A Clear and Present Danger Threat #3, Ch. 4 The Fourth Industrial Revolution, Addenda #27 – Oct. 2024, Addenda #4 – Nov. 2023, Addenda #29 – Dec. 2024 and Addenda #40 – Oct. 2025

The combination of social media controls, digital identities (already being used in 50 countries) and digital currency (already in use in 52 countries) give global Elites powerful tools to fight climate mis-and-disinformation, which is why global Elites of the United Nations and World Economic Forum, have pushed so hard to get countries to adopt these plans. During the final days of the COP30 climate conference, Brazilian scientist Carlos Nobre issued a stark warning: fossil fuel use must fall to zero by 2040 – 2045 at the latest to avoid catastrophic temperature rises of up to 2.5°C by mid-century. That trajectory, he said, would spell the near-total loss of coral reefs, the collapse of the Amazon rainforest and an accelerated melt of the Greenland ice sheet. Sounds pretty drastic, right?


“A top climate scientist is warning that climate change will wipe out all of humanity unless we stop using fossil fuels over the next five years.”

Greta Thunberg, June 21, 2018 (Twitter tweet)

Note: Thunberg deleted her tweet in 2023, five years later...

“The chance that there will be any permanent ice left in the Arctic after 2022 is essentially zero.”

Harvard University professor James Anderson, January 15, 2018 (Forbes Magazine interview)



Note: While the Arctic ice cover in 2023 had receded significantly (a 43 percent decrease since 1979) NASA satellite images from September 2025 show ice stretching across the Arctic Ocean from the northern most provinces of Canada, to Russian islands in the Laptev, Siberian and Chukci Seas, a distance of around 1,000 miles.

NASA satellite image, September 24, 2025

“These figures are fresh. Some of the models suggest to Dr [Wieslaw] Maslowski that there is a 75 per cent chance that the entire north polar ice cap, during the summer months, could be completely ice-free within five to seven years.”

Al Gore, March 2009 (UN Climate Change Summit COP15)

“Entire nations could be wiped off the face of the Earth by rising sea levels if the global warming trend is not reversed by the year 2000.”

Noel Brown, Director of NY office of the U.N. Environment Program, June 29, 1989 (AP News)

“... 90 percent of tropical rainforests and 50 percent of species will disappear within 30 years.”

Stanford Biologist Paul Erlich, 1975

“The world has been chilling sharply for about twenty years. If present trends continue, the world will be about four degrees colder for the global mean temperature in 1990, but eleven degrees colder in the year 2000. This is about twice what it would take to put us into an ice age.”

Kenneth Watt, Professor of Environmental Studies at the University of California at Davis, 1970

And of course, there's my personal all-time favorite...

“The world is gonna end in 12 years if we don't address climate change”

U.S. House Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, January 22, 2019

Remember, facts don't matter!! It's all about the Agenda... **It's all about control!!**

“The interesting thing about the Green New Deal, is it wasn't originally a climate thing at all.... Do you guys think of it as a climate thing?” Because we really think of it as a how-do-you-change-the-entire-economy thing.”

Saikat Chakrabarti, chief of staff for Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, Washington Post, 2019

“It doesn't matter what is true, it only matters what people believe is true.”

Paul Watson, Co-founder of Greenpeace