

Global Elites, whether supporters of the United Nations *Agenda 2030* or the World Economic Forums *Great Reset*, had an agenda to implement. They could not allow resistance of uncooperative nations or individuals to stand in the way of that implementation. Some countries, like the United States, had strong cultures rooted in *nationalism*. These countries prioritized self-rule, independence from other nations and national sovereignty, maintaining traditional culture and values, and the importance of their own citizens above others. These countries were a problem for the global Elites and their vision of *globalization*, where there are no national borders, no restrictions on people, information and goods moving from one nation to another. These countries were also a roadblock for global Elites plans of a *one world government*, in which single bodies of authority; the United Nations, the World Health Organization, the World Bank, would implement a “one size fits all” system of authority and rule. If countries resisted the change, their society and culture had to be changed.

In 2018, the United Nations *Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration* laid out the policy for all member nations to encourage and accept mass migration into their nations. In the first full year of the plan, UNICEF reported it had assisted some 120,560 ‘refugees and migrants’ arriving in Europe, at a cost of \$27.5 million (US\$). From June 2018 through June 2023, the European Union saw net migration flows from non-EU countries totaling 6.883 million people. One of the U.N.s *Regional Refugee and Migrant Response Plans*, targeted the United States for migration from Central and South America. The effects of this regional plan have been felt in the United States in increased crime, drug-related deaths and the cost to local communities. I also spoke about how progressive Democrats have been using the migration plan to permanently alter the demographics of the United States, to increase the Hispanic and poor migrant population in the U.S. It is a fact that the Hispanic population in general, has traditionally supported Democrat candidates. It’s also fact that people of all nationalities will support the party that promises to support *them* with lots of “free stuff.”

This ‘demographics for permanent change’ plan was being implemented in the European Union as well. In June 2012, U.N. migration chief Peter Sutherland told the British House of Lords that their immigration policy had to change, and that the future prosperity of developed EU states depended on becoming “multicultural.” Millions of migrants from Middle Eastern countries have entered European countries legally since the UN migration compact was implemented. Hundreds of thousands more have entered European countries illegally. They speak little or no English, most are not “skilled workers” and the majority refuse to assimilate into the culture of the country they’ve entered, bringing the culture of their home country into their host country. Mass migration from Muslim countries has imported the culture of Islam, legitimizing Sharia law, polygamy, child marriages, and honor violence.

The France government has identified more than 750 Sensitive Urban Zones (Zones Urbaines Sensibles or ZUS), as they are referred to, home to an estimated 6 million Muslims who are not assimilated into French society. In Germany, police have identified at least 40 so-called problem neighborhoods (Problemviertel), areas where there are large concentrations of migrants, high levels of unemployment and chronic welfare dependency.

Source: Immigration without Assimilation Endangers Europe's Future; Middle East Forum, Oct 6, 2023

Europe Shows a Clear Link Between Immigration and Crime -- Like the One the U.S. Seriously Downplays

RealClear Investigations; December 1, 2022

"...homicides have risen during the last decade across the European Union, from Hungary and Germany to Denmark and Finland. An analysis of EU and United Nations crime data by RealClearInvestigations shows that, as in Sweden, the broader crime wave is strongly correlated with immigration. "The country-level data for EU countries keeps track of immigration data that allows you to look at many different places over time in a way that we simply aren't able to do looking across U.S. states," said Carl Moody, an economics professor at William & Mary College who specializes in criminology.

Former Swedish Prime Minister Magdalena Andersson has said the country's growing problems of gangs and violence are due to its failure to integrate foreign-born residents, whose numbers have doubled during the last two decades to about two million people (or almost 20% of the total population).

The RealClearInvestigations report notes that while the vast majority of foreign-born residents and their children *are not* engaged in crime, each one percent point increase in each country's foreign born population, is associated with a 3.8% increase in the countries homicide rate. In the UK, citizens complain of feeling unsafe and "taken advantage of" by the Government's migrant dispersal policy. Migrants and asylum seekers have had an impact on housing availability and driving up rents, overcrowding in schools, and local services.

Councils reveal devastating impact of asylum crisis on local communities as 38,000 migrants in hotels

GBN News; March 23, 2025

Wolverhampton City Council highlighted "significant impacts on local services, including housing, safeguarding, community cohesion, and resources."

East Midlands Councils suggested asylum seekers should receive education on behavioural expectations, noting "instances where individuals have acted inappropriately within the standards of expected behaviour."

Halton Borough Council reported: "We're seeing increased elements of criminality and neighbour nuisance."

I include all this information, just to reinforce what I stated in the opening paragraph of this Addenda. The chaos that mass migration has caused in the European Union and in the United Kingdom was *intentional*, just as it has been in the United States. The outcome, is what the designers of the migration plan had desired. Klaus Schwab once said, "Designing the future usually needs a common will of the people." But he recognized that people were

too self-centered and have become a roadblock to collectively getting this done. So he decided to surround himself with like-minded people of wealth, power and influence, in order to “shape the future.” The future Schwab and other global Elites envisioned, did not include the ability of common, ordinary individuals “to shape global, regional and industry agendas” themselves.

The future is built by us, by a powerful community as you here in this room...

Klaus Schwab, World Economic Forum founder, WEF annual Meeting, Davos Switzerland, May 2022

Think about that statement for a minute... How arrogant and egotistical is Klaus Schwab and the “powerful community” in attendance at that WEF meeting. Schwab and a group of more than 300 public figures, including heads of state and government, ministers, central bank governors, and leaders from international organizations, businesses and universities, had decided that *they* had the right to dictate change that would impact *us*. That they believed they were more educated and more enlightened gave them the right to redesign the future and transform societies and economies into their vision. And, of course, it would be to *their* benefit. The promotional statement for Schwab’s 2018 book *Shaping the Fourth Industrial Revolution* said, “We cannot let the brave new world technology is creating simply emerge. We must shape the future we want to live in.”

People, individuals, cannot be allowed to stand in the way of the Elites plan. A broad array of enforcement measures have and are still being implemented to steer people into cooperation and prevent dissent and non-compliance. Among these measures for control that came out of the pandemic, were digital vaccine passports. Digital proof of COVID-19 vaccination was implemented by governments to identify (track) those who had been vaccinated and would be less likely to become infected or infect others with the virus. Those who had digital vaccine passports, were allowed access to public spaces and events (restaurants, bars, concerts and sporting events) and public transportation, while those who did not have the passports were barred from these places.

While the United States did not have a federal level passport, ten Democrat controlled states did. Government issued COVID-19 vaccine record cards were required by certain employers, some private businesses and event promoters. Many public and private universities required vaccine cards as a requirement for in-person class attendance and on-campus housing. Digital vaccine passports and cards were an effective way to ensure compliance with government vaccine mandates. New York State created the Excelsior Pass, the first digital vaccine pass in the U.S. in March 2021, with almost thirty percent of New York residents applying for it. In October 2021, New York state went one step further, creating the SMART Health Card, to keep a digital record of vaccination history as well as a person’s medical and prescription records. Medical records are uploaded from providers and pharmacies to central servers at the SMART Health IT project in Boston. The good thing about this system is that all healthcare providers have access to a person’s complete medical history for testing, diagnostic and treatment purposes. The bad thing about this system, is that it is susceptible to security threats (hacking and data breaches) and privacy issues.

Another potential enforcement measure, is the increasing use of digital IDs to replace physical identification cards like drivers licenses or passports. In many places across the U.S., you can use your smartphone to legally prove who you are. Twenty-one states have already launched mobile driver's licenses, allowing residents to board flights, purchase alcohol, and present proof of identity to law enforcement using just their phones. States are now moving toward making physical identification cards obsolete, replacing them with digital identification on smartphones. My 'Google wallet' holds digital proof of event ticket purchases and can be linked to my bank account or credit card for instant payment. When I purchase movie tickets online, I show the digital ticket on my phone for admittance.

One of the features promoted for use of digital IDs, is they can't be counterfeited like a driver's license, and any changes to your identity can appear instantly. But this also means that the government that issued your digital ID can also make changes or impose restrictions. The recently launched *digital ID scheme* will be available to all UK citizens and legal residents by the end of the year. According to the government website, "A new digital ID scheme will make it easier for people across the UK to use vital government services." The digital ID, stored (accessed) by smartphone will be proof of identity for access to government and private sector services, including free childcare, welfare and other benefits. It will include personal information, name, date of birth, nationality and residency status and a photo for biometric security use. The government has also made the digital ID mandatory for employment in the country; you won't be able to be hired for a job without providing your digital ID to your employer. The official reason for implementing the digital ID, is to prevent illegal immigrants from getting a job and therefore entering the UK illegally. (See first two pages of this Addenda for this reasoning) For students, pensioners or others not seeking work, having a digital ID will be optional.

Note: Germany, France, Greece, Spain, Italy and Switzerland already require citizens and legal residents to have digital IDs.

The benefits of having a digital ID in the previous paragraph is allegedly to "make it easier for people across the UK to use vital government services." Besides the privacy issues of having a digital ID or health pass previously identified, if the digital ID can allow access to government services, it can also be used to deny access to government services. The "Digital ID scheme" is explained on the UK Government website...

A new digital ID scheme will make it easier for people across the UK to use vital government services. It will also be required for right to work checks to stop those with no right to be in the country from finding work. This is to send a clear message that if you come here illegally, you will not be able to work...

The form of identification currently used for employers is the National Insurance number, made up of three letters and six numbers, for example: QQ123456B. The National Insurance number facilitates the correct deduction of payroll taxes and tracks contributions towards state benefits and pensions, helping administer the social security system. Like a social security number, the National Insurance number is easily "borrowed" or stolen to use for illegal immigrants. The digital ID system will be designed with "state-of-the-art encryption

and authentication technology” according to the government. Proponents of the digital ID say the biometric identification of a photograph would make it much harder for identity theft to occur and prevent migrants from entering the UK illegally. The government has also said that, in time, digital IDs would make it easier to apply for services such as driving licenses, childcare and welfare. It said it would also simplify access to tax records.

But critics of the digital ID argue that there needs to be a national debate about its implementation. "Can we really trust [Labour] to implement an expensive national programme that will impact all of our lives and put additional burdens on law abiding people? I doubt it" Conservative leader Kemi Badenoch said. Civil liberties groups claim that even a limited digital ID could eventually result in a more intrusive system, raising concerns about privacy, data security and government overreach. Within two week of the government’s announcement, more than 2.8 million citizens and residents have already signed the *Do not introduce Digital ID cards* online petition. The petition stated, “We think this would be a step towards mass surveillance and digital control, and that no one should be forced to register with a state-controlled ID system. We oppose the creation of any national ID system.”

2024 was the worst year yet for healthcare data breaches in the United States. There were 720 data breaches affecting the medical information of more than 180 million people. More than 2,400 data breaches in the financial sector exposed billions of financial records in 2024, affecting individuals, banks and other institutions. Many, if not most, Americans have already had their Social Security number, address, driver’s license number or other identity information exposed by data breaches. With a government digital ID scheme, everyone’s data would be accessible through one location, government servers, instead of thousands of individual medical providers, banks, mortgage lenders and other companies. Although biometric data is unique to each individual, biometric data is a prime target for cybercriminals because of that uniqueness. Individuals have to trust the government to safeguard their most personal information, to protect them and act in their best interests. Look how well that has turned out in the past.

During the 2022 truckers’ blockade in Canada protesting government vaccine mandates, the Canadian government invoked the Emergencies Act and ordered financial institutions to cease providing financial services to individuals associated with the protests. From 2013 to 2017, the Department of Justice pressured banks to terminate relationships with a wide variety of lawful and legitimate small businesses, who’s operations did not meet the approval of the Obama administration. Gun and ammunition dealers, ‘payday lenders’, escort services, pawn shops, pornography entertainers and home based charities were among the businesses targeted by the Obama DOJ. During the COVID-19 pandemic, individuals who publicly protested vaccine and mask mandates had their banking services cut off due to public-private partnerships, and social media accounts suspended or closed, through pressure exerted on social media companies by the Biden administration. There is no reason to believe that the UK government, or the United States government would remain impartial to dissenters of their policies.

The current Prime Minister of the United Kingdom who unveiled the *digital ID scheme*, is Labour Party leader Keir Starmer. The British Labour Party platform emphasizes greater state intervention, social justice and strengthening workers' rights. They are full members of the Party of European Socialists and Progressive Alliance. Starmer is an attendee at World Economic Forum annual meetings and has his own web page on the WEF website. While former UK Prime Ministers have rejected the Davos crowd as representing the global Elites, Starmer has embraced them. In a January 2023 interview, Starmer was asked if he had to choose between Davos or Westminster (British Parliament). Starmer replied, “Westminster is too constrained. It’s closed and we’re not having meaning. Once you get out of Westminster whether it’s Davos or anywhere else, you actually engage with people that you can see working with in the future. Westminster is just a tribal shouting place.”

Starmer admitted his dislike of working within British Parliamentary democracy, in favor of working with global Elites, shaping and building the future that all citizens of the United Kingdom will live under. The 2.76 million people in the UK that have signed a petition demanding no digital ID are being ignored by Starmer. He refused to address the topic at the Labour Party’s Annual Conference this month and has doubled down on his support for the “scheme, stating that it will not be a criminal offence to not hold a digital ID and police will not be able to demand to see a digital ID as part of a 'stop and search.'” British civil liberties organization, Big Brother Watch says the national ID system is a “serious threat to civil liberties.” “Digital ID systems can be uniquely harmful to privacy, equality and civil liberties. They would allow the state to amass vast amounts of personal information about the public in centralised government databases. By linking government records through a unique single identifier, digital ID systems would make it very easy to build up a comprehensive picture of an individual's life.” Starmer’s digital ID scheme is just the beginning of that future...

Progressive politicians are in full support of the World Economic Forum’s Great Reset plan because they benefit from it, politically and financially. Countries that have a strong, informed and influential middle class are a threat to that plan. Cultures built around independent rule, national sovereignty and traditional values have to be forcefully changed, in order for the Great Reset to succeed in their country, and the U.N. global compact for migration is a catalyst for that change. Mass migration over a short period of time, has had a dramatic effect on the demographics of Europe and the United States. The total population of the European Union has grown by 20.8 million (4.8%) from 2000 to 2024, but the “International migrant stock”, the number of migrants residing in the EU has grown by 31.3 million (98.2%). International migrants now make up 14% of the total EU population, almost double that of 2000.

The total population of the United States has grown by 58.9 million (20.8%) from 2000 to 2024, but the “International migrant stock”, the number of migrants residing in the U.S. has grown by 17.5 million (50.4%). International migrants now make up 15% of the total U.S. population, a 25% increase from 2000.

Source: Population, Total – European Union | Data / Population, Total – United States | Data World Bank Open Data; <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=>

Mass migration has permanently altered the population and culture of European nations, just as it has in the United States. History shows that Hispanics (in general) support Democrat candidates. Voting statistics from the 2000 through 2020 presidential elections, show 64% support of Democrat candidates by Hispanic voters. Data from the 2000 U.S. Census show 22.9 million Hispanic/Latinos of voting age lived in the United States. The 2024 U.S. Census estimates 29.1 million Hispanic/Latinos of voting age live in the U.S. As the Hispanic population of the U.S. has increased, that 64% support for Democrat candidates translated to 4 million potential votes for Democrat candidates.

Traditionally, the majority of lower income individuals and households, and those who receive some form of public assistance, support Democrat candidates. A 2012 Pew Research paper titled, *A Bipartisan Nation of Beneficiaries*, found that 59% of “low-earning adults” had received some sort of benefit from a poverty program: Medicaid, welfare, food stamps, or unemployment benefits. (50% of Hispanics had received assistance from one or more entitlement benefit programs) Another Pew Research poll done in April 2024 titled, *Partisanship by family income, home ownership, union membership and veteran status*, showed that 58% of registered voters that are considered “lower income”, vote Democrat or lean Democrat. (Lower income is defined as a person or household with income at or below the federal poverty level; \$15,650 for an individual in 2025, \$21,150 for a 2-person household and \$32,150 for a 4-person household) This should come as no surprise, since Democrat candidates have supported a variety of “free for all” policies over the past decade. Free college for all, free Medicare for all and free childcare for all policies appeal to those who will benefit from them, and no one benefits more than a migrant population that speaks little or no English, has little or no education and job skills suitable for minimum wage jobs.

Foreign born workers accounted for 19.2 percent of the U.S. civilian labor force in 2024, typically employed in construction and maintenance, food preparation and serving, housekeeping and other lower wage, entry-level jobs. Even if illegal immigrants are not legally eligible to receive federal government assistance, many receive benefits at the state level. In New York, refugees and asylees, lawfully present and “parolees” are eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI), Family Assistance (TANF), food stamps (SNAP), public housing assistance, Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance (CHIP) programs.

The *Replacement Theory*, considered a false conspiracy theory by those on the left, claims there is an ongoing effort to replace white populations in current white-majority countries, with non-white (i.e., Black, Hispanic, Asian, or Arab) immigrants. Eventually, the new non-white majority in those countries will be in a position of control and dilute or destroy the distinctive cultures and values of that nation. The theory claims that national governments and left-leaning domestic or international elites are behind these efforts.

"The future is not just happening. The future is built by us, by a powerful community as you here in this room.

Klaus Schwab, World Economic Forum founder, WEF annual Meeting, Davos Switzerland, May 2022

Conspiracy theory, or fact? I’ll leave that determination to you. I already know my opinion.