

Addenda #4 – March 2025, Update July 2025, Part 1 the background

Re: Ch. 2, Targeted Casualty: The “Nuclear Family”

Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025

Ref: Addenda #6 – May 2025

The War against biological female athletes

Title IX, passed in 1972, was a result of lobbying from feminist groups in the early 1970’s. That was during the “second wave” of feminism was ongoing and most feminists, led by the National Organization for Women (NOW) were truly concerned about expanding the rights of women. (Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025)

The opening text of the original Title IX stated, “No person in the United States shall, on the basis of sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any education program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.” Title IX was expanded further in a 1972 Amendment, which expanded coverage to include all academic activities and high school and collegiate athletics. Women now had to be provided equal opportunities that men had in academics and sports programs.

Note: This legislation eventually led to formation of the Women’s National Soccer Association and the Women’s National Basketball Association.

In 1988, the Civil Rights Restoration Act clarified that any education institution that received federal aid (direct funding), or accepted students who received Department of Education grants (indirect funding) had to comply with Title IX.

Connecticut became the first state to allow biological males (transgender girls) to compete in high school sports as girls. In 2020, the Trump Department of Education notified Connecticut that it was in violation of Title IX, which set rules for discrimination and equal access, on the basis of sex only, and not by one’s choice of gender.

Yes, gender is a choice, not a fact. Want proof? This is a statement by Mx. (??) Francis Kuehnle, MSN, RN-BC, published in Medical News Today on December 21, 2023; “Only an individual can determine their own gender identity, and it does not need to be fixed. The term gender identity refers to the personal sense of an individual’s own gender.” Want more proof that gender is a “choice” and not a fact?

- * Women’s Health, a “a trusted, award-winning source of information”, says that gender is “a social construct”, and lists 20 gender terms, but claims “gender is a spectrum, and there is no finite number of gender identities.”

- * Healthline, whose goal is to “deliver the highest caliber content”, says there are 68 “Terms that describe gender identity and expression.”

- * Medicine.Net, a “trusted source for online health and medical information”, says there are 72 genders.

- * HelpfulProfessor.com, a “higher education website that provides trustworthy, accessible, and accurate peer-reviewed study guides”, lists 81 genders and gender identities.

Even the supposed “professionals” can’t agree on how many genders there are!

The term “social construct”, comes from the sociological theory *social construction*. In 1966, Peter Berger and Thomas Luckmann wrote *The Social Construction of Reality: A Treatise in the Sociology of Knowledge*. Berger and Luckmann claimed that social groups and individuals that interact with each other create concepts about the actions of each other. These concepts are repeated by others and become “institutionalized behaviors” and through this process, our roles become cultural knowledge and are social constructed.

If there are no “finite number” of gender identities, and if gender is a “social construct”, and if a social construct is a category or thing that is made real by collective societal agreement, then gender is not factual. It is made up and any person can decide what gender they are based on how they may feel at any one time. How else do you explain *androgynous*, *bigender*, or *gender fluid*, where an individual changes the way they feel; masculine or feminine, at any time and under any situation? But I digress...

In 2021, President Biden issued two executive orders, EO #13988 and EO #14021 which removed protections from biological females and gave biological males access to female bathrooms, locker rooms and athletic teams. This validated the policy of the state of Connecticut to allow biological males to play on female sports teams and led to the inequality in performances I have detailed in several Addendums.

Lia Thomas (William Thomas) U Penn Women’s swim team, PA
Veronica Garcia (Donavan Brown), East Valley High School Women’s track & field, WA
Sadie Schreiner (Camden Schreiner), RIT Women’s track & field, NY
Becky Pepper-Jackson (???), Harrison County, WV
Blair Fleming (Braydon Fleming), John Champe High School Women’s volleyball, VA
AB Hernandez (???), Jurupa Valley High School Women’s track & field, CA
M.L. (???), Martin Luther King High School Women’s track & field, CA
Clara Adams (???), North Salinas High School Women’s track & field, CA
Marissa Rothenberger (Charlie Dean), Champlin Park High School Women’s softball, MN

One biological female athlete I hadn’t covered is Stephanie Turner. In March 2025, Turner was disqualified from a competition for refusing to compete against a transgender opponent. Turner, 31, entered women’s competitive fencing at age 19 while attending the University of Maryland. After graduating, Turner continued her passion, earning 5 Bronze medals, 1 Silver and 1 Gold medal and 14 other podium finishes over her professional fencing career. On March 30, 2025, Turner was competing in the Cherry Blossom Division 1 Women’s Foil competition, when she was paired with Redmond Sullivan, a biological male fencer from Wagner College. (Sullivan placed 29th out of 58 competitors while competing in the men’s New England Division USFA Pomme De Terre tournament in June 2023.)

Turner ‘took a knee’ in protest of Sullivan’s inclusion in the match and refused to compete against him. “I am a woman, and this is a man, and this is a women’s tournament. I will not

fence this individual.” Turner was shown a ‘black card’ by the referee and disqualified from the match.

Turner told a reporter that she feared her professional fencing career was over as a result of her actions. But it was Sullivan whose career appears to have ended, as Wagner College confirmed he was no longer a member of the women’s fencing team just one week later.

Addenda #4 – March 2025, Update July 2025, Part 2

Re: Ch. 2, Targeted Casualty: The “Nuclear Family”

Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025

Ref: Addenda #6 – May 2025

The War against biological female athletes

The “push-back” continues...

U.S. Department of Education Announces the University of Pennsylvania Has Entered into a Resolution Agreement to Resolve its Title IX Violations

Press Release, July 1, 2025

<https://www.ed.gov/about/news/press-release/us-department-of-education-announces-university-of-pennsylvania-has-entered-resolution-agreement-resolve-its-title-ix-violations>

Today, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) announced the University of Pennsylvania (UPenn) has entered into a Resolution Agreement to comply with Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX). This action follows the Department’s Office for Civil Rights’ (OCR’s) investigation that found UPenn violated Title IX by allowing a male to compete in female athletic programs and occupy female-only intimate facilities.

Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025:

“Today’s resolution agreement with UPenn is yet another example of the Trump effect in action. Thanks to the leadership of President Trump, UPenn has agreed both to apologize for its past Title IX violations and to ensure that women’s sports are protected at the University for future generations of female athletes,” said U.S. Secretary of Education Linda McMahon. “Today is a great victory for women and girls not only at the University of Pennsylvania, but all across our nation. The Department commends UPenn for rectifying its past harms against women and girls, and we will continue to fight relentlessly to restore Title IX’s proper application and enforce it to the fullest extent of the law.”

“As a former UPenn swimmer who had to compete against and share a locker room with a male athlete, I am deeply grateful to the Trump Administration for refusing to back down on protecting women and girls and restoring our rightful accolades. I am also pleased that my alma mater has finally agreed to take not only the lawful path, but the honorable one,” said Paula Scanlan, former University of Pennsylvania swimmer. “Today marks a momentous step in repairing the past mistreatment of female athletes, and forging a future where sex discrimination plays no role in limiting girls’ potential.”

- *UPenn will restore to female athletes all individual UPenn Division I swimming records, titles, or similar recognitions which were misappropriated by male athletes allowed to compete in female categories;*
- *UPenn will issue a public statement to the University community stating that it will comply with Title IX, specifying that UPenn will not allow males to compete in female athletic programs or occupy Penn Athletics female intimate facilities;*
- *The statement will specify that UPenn will adopt biology-based definitions for the words ‘male’ and ‘female’ pursuant to Title IX and consistent with President Trump’s Executive Orders “Defending Women from Gender Ideology Extremism” and “Keeping Men Out of Women’s Sports”;*

- *UPenn will rescind any guidance which violated Title IX, remove or revise any internal and public-facing statements or documents that are inconsistent with Title IX, and notify all staff and women's athletics of all such rescissions; and*
- *UPenn will send a personalized letter of apology to each impacted female swimmer.*

Female UPenn teammates of Lia Thomas hail school's reversal on trans athletes: 'Deep sense of peace and validation'

New York Post, July 6, 2025

Female University of Pennsylvania teammates of trans swimmer Lia Thomas are hailing the school's move to ban biological men from competing on women's teams.

The female Ivy League swimmers were forced to share their locker room with Thomas — who is biologically male but identifies as female — and would often change in bathroom stalls or wait until the trans athlete was in the shower to undress.

"Around Lia, I wasn't going to risk anything," former UPenn swimmer Monika Burzynska recently told Fox News.

Burzynska, whose locker was right next to Thomas' when they both joined the team in 2021, said she was uncomfortable changing in front of the trans swimmer, so at first, she would hunker in a locker-room corner to change. But Burzynska said that as the season dragged on, she became less and less comfortable with the situation and started waiting for Thomas to be in the shower and away from their lockers to change.

Before long, she was removing herself entirely to get away from Thomas — retreating into bathroom stalls or a private bathroom across the hall from the women's locker room whenever she needed to undress, she said.

"He wasn't very social," Burzynska added of Thomas, explaining that even though their lockers were right next to each other, they never exchanged more than passing words.

It's a move Burzynska said gave her "a deep sense of peace and validation," explaining that many teammates shared her uneasiness with the Thomas situation.

"Not only for me, but for all the girls on the team, for all the girls in the swim world and in the sport world," she said. "And I think this decision, it brought back — at least for me — a sense of fairness that had been lost.

Women's records belong to women, and that protecting the integrity of women's sports still matters.

#MeToo was a high profile social movement and awareness campaign, to draw attention to the magnitude of the problems women endure with sexual abuse, sexual harassment and rape culture. The movement began in 2017 following the exposure of multiple sexual-abuse allegations against film producer Harvey Weinstein. Multiple cases of sexual harassment were subsequently exposed by victims due to the support this movement generated. Hollywood celebrities and mainstream media jumped on board and #MeToo went global as well as viral, to the point that sexual misconduct allegations became "a bit of a witch-hunt", leading to unfounded persecutions reminiscent of the 2014 Hampstead pedophile hoax, the 2006 Duke lacrosse scandal and the 1987 Tawana Brawley rape hoax.

The slogan “Believe women” rose out of the #MeToo movement, pushing society to accept women's allegations of sexual harassment or sexual assault at face value, and bypass the long held legal principle of “innocent until proven guilty”. Sexual harassment typically refers to “uninvited and unwanted sexual behavior, whether verbal or physical.” But sexual harassment can also be “visual”, and is defined as any unwanted, nonconsensual exposure of sex organs, sexual images or sexually inappropriate gestures. Forcing someone to view sexually offensive or insulting visuals or images is enough to constitute sexual harassment.

Will Thomas came out as transgender in 2018, after his freshman year at the University of Pennsylvania. In 2019, Will began hormone replacement therapy and “came out” as trans during his junior year and joined the women’s swim team for the 2021–2022 season. While Will Thomas chemically transitioned to female, he never surgically transitioned. His presence in the women’s locker-room, with intact genitalia described as “in proportion to the frame of a 6-foot-4 male”, made many of his female teammates so uncomfortable that they refused to undress in front of him and changed in private stalls or other rooms than dedicated to them as female athletes. The UPenn administrators backed Thomas’ inclusion on the women’s team and advised female swimmers to seek counseling if they had an issue with his presence. Some administrators went on to tell the women that if they spoke publicly about their concerns about Thomas’ participation on the Women’s Team, the reputation of those complaining about Thomas being on the team would be tainted with transphobia for the rest of their lives and they would probably never be able to get a job.

If that isn’t a textbook case of sexual harassment, visual by Will Thomas, and verbal by UPenn administrators, then I don’t know what is. But the same voices that cried out in support of women due to the #MeToo movement, were silent when it came to the pleas of the women on the UPenn swim team. Or young women in high school bathrooms and shower rooms. Or young women in YWCA locker rooms. Or young women in college sorority houses. Or mature women in gym and health spa facilities.

The “fourth wave” of feminism had largely succeeded in their goals of achieving a cultural revolution that invaded every institution and implemented gender mainstreaming by the end of 2024. But today, we have a new president, a new administration and new policies and executive actions that protect biological women once again. The efforts made by Susan B. Anthony, Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Jeannette Rankin, Sandra Day O’Connor and many other women are in the position of being upheld and protected once again.

Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025

But while the former teammates of Will/Lia Thomas praised the outcome of this lawsuit, liberals called the UPenn agreement a “surrender” at the expense of trans athletes, that would make all trans students “less safe” and expose them to “renewed and emboldened harassment and discriminatory treatment.” They don’t care about rights or protections of biological women. They only care about continuing the revolutionary “reconstitution” of society envisioned by Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels.

US Olympic & Paralympic Committee bars transgender women from competing in women's sports

Reuters, July 22, 2025

The United States Olympic & Paralympic Committee (USOPC) has updated its policy to align with an executive order signed earlier this year by U.S. President Donald Trump barring transgender women from competing in women's sports.

"The USOPC will continue to collaborate with various stakeholders with oversight responsibilities, e.g., IOC, IPC, NGBs, to ensure that women have a fair and safe competition environment consistent with Executive Order 14201 and the Ted Stevens Olympic & Amateur Sports Act," the USOPC said in an update to its Athlete Safety Policy. "As a federally chartered organization, we have an obligation to comply with federal expectations."

President Trump signed Executive Order 14201, "Keeping Men Out of Women's Sports" in February, to prevent biological males from competing in female sports. Supporters said this order will restore fairness. Critics argue it infringes on the rights of transgender athletes. The order directs the Department of Justice to make sure all government agencies enforce a ban on transgender girls and women from participating in female school sports under Title IX. As a result of this order and the continued pressure put on the woke left by pro-woman activists like Riley Gaines, Stephanie Turner and Abigail Wilson are turning the conversation from rights of transgender women to the rights of biological women. This movement is enabling more and more women who have been victims of pro-transgender discrimination to come forward and demand change.

Fencer takes knee in protest against transgender opponent
MSN Sports; April 3, 2025

A fencer was disqualified from a women's competition in the United States after refusing to compete against a transgender opponent.

Stephanie Turner took a knee in protest after standing on the piste before her bout against Redmond Sullivan at the University of Maryland. She was shown a black card and informed she would not be allowed to continue in the women's foil tournament.



Disc golfer refuses to compete against transgender opponent, walks out of competition: 'Females must be protected'

New York Post; April 5, 2025

Abigail Wilson's refusal to face the trans athlete came on Friday in Nashville, Tennessee, at the MVP Music City Open, which is an event that is officially sanctioned by the Disc Golf Pro Tour and the Professional Disc Golf Association (PDGA). When her name was called to step up to compete, she walked up to the tee box, wound up her toss, and flung her arm forward, but didn't let go of the disc. "Females must be protected in our division!" Wilson yelled to the crowd. "This is unfair. I refuse to play!"

3 Oregon students file lawsuit to ban trans athletes from women's sports
Oregon Public Broadcasting; July 10, 2025

Three Oregon student athletes filed a lawsuit in federal court Monday in an effort to prevent transgender girls from participating in women's sports.



Ada Gallagher wins state title in 200-meter run

The three student plaintiffs, all of whom are track and field athletes, argue they are the victims of discrimination due to an Oregon law that allows students to compete on sports teams that match their gender identity, according to the official complaint. The lawsuit also argues that trans athletes competing in women's sports amounts to a Title IX violation.

"[Gallagher] displaced other female high school athletes and their teams from acquiring the necessary 'points' as a team to compete in championship events, which impacted the annual team rankings for female-only track and field teams," the complaint states.



Oregon girls who went viral for refusing to stand on podium with trans athlete file lawsuit
Fox News; July 24, 2025

Two of the state's girls' track and field stars, Alexa Anderson and Reese Eckard, filed a lawsuit against the Oregon School Athletics Association (OSAA) after an incident on May 31 when they refused to stand on a medal podium with a transgender competitor at a state title meet... Anderson later told

Fox News that officials instructed them to step away from the podium and get out of the shots of photos. Their lawsuit alleges that the OSAA not only excluded them from official photos, but also withheld their medals. The suit argues that the girls' First Amendment rights were infringed upon by the officials.

In 2014, Schuyler Bailar, a senior at Georgetown Day School in Washington, D.C., was one of the fastest female high school swimmers in the country. Bailar was a USA Swimming Scholastic All American and a member of the women's 400 yard relay team that set the U.S. high school record in 2013 alongside Olympic gold medalist Katie Ledecky. Bailar claimed she never felt comfortable as a girl and was bullied constantly in high school for not being feminine enough. Toward the end of her senior year, Bailar broke her back in a biking accident, limiting her ability to swim. Her mental health deteriorated, and she developed an eating disorder for which she entered a treatment facility. Bailar said this is when she "realized she was not a girl."

Bailar, who already received a scholarship for the women's swim team at Harvard, underwent medical and surgical transition after returning from treatment and competed on the men's swim team, placing among the top 15 NCAA men in the 100-yard breaststroke.

As an advocate for transgender athletes, Bailar downplayed the advantages of male/female trans athletes, claiming in 2022, “You cannot name three (and I’ll be impressed if you can even name one) transgender woman who have won a national or international competition... The inclusion of trans women is not a threat to the women’s category, excluding them is.”

These 29 trans athletes have won state, national or international titles in women’s sports
Outsports.com; December 6, 2024

Outsports co-founder Cyd Zeigler wrote this commentary in December 2024, after multiple trans athletes won state, national or international titles, to refute the claim made by Bailar that trans women are not a threat to biological women in sports. The list he compiled includes several trans athletes I have already included in Threat #1 Addendums: Veronica Garcia, AB Hernandez, Sadie Schreiner, Lia Thomas and Aayden Gallagher. Zeigler goes on to list 24 other biological men who have won titles in women’s cycling, swimming, volleyball, weightlifting, and other sports, as well as 6 other biological males who have successfully competed at high levels against biological women.

In each one of these situations, a biological female athlete, who may have dedicated herself to sacrifice and training for years, was displaced by a biological male, that had distinct physiological advantages over biological female athletes. On the simplest of levels, girls/women’s sports were created to give biological females the chance to perform in sports, while protecting them from biological males that are inherently stronger and faster. The top 100 athletes performing at the high school, college, Olympic or professional level will always be biological males. (Bobby Riggs was 55 years old, well past his prime when he was defeated by 30-year old Wimbledon champion Billy Jean King in 1973; don’t go there)

*“Transgender MTF athletes **LIKELY** retain some degree of biological advantage even after lowering testosterone levels”. Studies in transwomen pretty universally show that lean mass and strength decline by about 5% after 12 months of lowering testosterone levels. The initial typical advantage in mass and strength that biological males enjoy over females is between 20% and 100% (depending on task), and the composite outcome of mass and strength may be even greater (think kinetic energy = mass x velocity squared).*

In other words, the studies that exist for strength suggest that about one-tenth of the male-vs-female advantage is removed. That is nowhere close to what would ensure fairness. It means that “some” above means “most of”.

On Transgender athletes and performance advantages; The Science of Sport, December 2nd, 2022
Ross Tucker, PhD in Exercise Physiology and is science and research consultant for World Rugby.

"in athletic events and sports relying on endurance, muscle strength, speed, and power, men typically outperform women because of fundamental sex differences dictated by their sex chromosomes and sex hormones at puberty, in particular, testosterone."

Trans women — who were assigned to the male sex at birth but identify as female — also have these advantages. If they undergo hormone therapy as part of gender-affirming

measures, the differences to cisgender women are reduced. But even then, there are still advantages.”

Fact check: Do trans women have unfair athletic advantage?; DW Global; March 20, 2025

In this study, we confirmed that use of gender affirming hormones are associated with changes in athletic performance and demonstrated that the pretreatment differences between transgender and cis gender women persist beyond the 12 month time requirement currently being proposed for athletic competition by the World Athletics and the IOC. [10](#) This study suggests that more than 12 months of testosterone suppression may be needed to ensure that transgender women do not have an unfair competitive advantage when participating in elite level athletic competition.

British Journal of Sports Medicine; Volume 55, Issue 11 December 2020

“In sports, we like to have a sense that the playing field is level, that there is fairness in competition... Another really important value in sports is that we want everybody to have an opportunity to play, particularly when we're talking about young people. And as a result, with this particular topic, there is apparent conflict between those two values.”

Dr. Bradley Anawalt, University of Washington School of Medicine

“Advantages are not necessarily unfair, ... the question isn't 'do trans women have advantages?' - but instead, 'can trans women and women compete against one another in meaningful competition?'”

Joanna Harper; medical physicist and male/female transgender

Progressives continue to lie, obscure and twist the truth, but their agenda is now out in the open. Biological females and agenda opponents are pushing back against the war on biological women; a war that is being waged by alleged feminists who seek to replace biology with gender and continue to try to destroy parental authority and the nuclear family. This war on women is the “cultural revolution” that second-wave feminist Kate Millet advocated for in 1969. [Ref: Addenda #4 – March 2025]

“Why are we here today?” she asked.

“To make revolution,” they answered.

“What kind of revolution?” she replied.

“The Cultural Revolution,” they chanted.

“And how do we make Cultural Revolution?” she demanded.

“By destroying the American family!” they answered.

Note: Kate Millett received her PhD from Columbia University, taught young and impressionable female students at women’s liberal arts colleges Bryn Mawr and Barnard College, and also at the University of California, Berkeley. Millet was a committee member of the National Organization of Women, New York Radical Women, Radical lesbians, and Downtown Radical Women organizations.

Addenda #8 – July 2025
Continuation of the Revolution

Zohran Mamdani shares vision for New York City after stunning victory in primary
abc7, June 25, 2025

Former Gov. Andrew Cuomo conceded New York City's Democratic mayoral primary to self-described Democratic Socialist state Assemblyman Zohran Mamdani on Tuesday night.

The race's outcome will still be decided by a ranked-choice count but the 33-year-old front-runner remains in a commanding position... with more than an estimated 80% of ballots counted.

Zohran Mamdani has been a member of the New York State Assembly, serving the 36th district in Queens since 2021. Mamdani began his political career as campaign manager for Khader El-Yateem, in his unsuccessful run for City Council in 2017.

Note: El-Yateem is a member of the Democratic Socialists of America, the political organization that backed Bernie Sanders for president in 2016, and the same organization Mamdani is affiliated with.

Mamdani announced his candidacy for mayor of New York City in October 2024. His campaign platform calls for fare-free city buses, publicly funded childcare and elder care, city-owned and run grocery stores, comprehensive public safety reform, higher taxes on corporations, higher taxes on millionaires and a citywide \$30 minimum wage by 2030, an 82% increase from the current \$16.50 wage. His campaign platform also focuses on affordable housing and bringing down rent pricing, a major problem for three quarters of New York City residents. Mamdani blames Mayor Eric Adams administration for “jacking up rents” on stabilized apartments. His rallying cry is ‘Freeze the rent’ and his pledge is to immediately freeze the rent for all stabilized tenants.

New York City is regarded as one of the most expensive cities in the world to live in, fueled by the cost of housing which has surged after the pandemic. Almost 70% of NYC households rent their apartments and roughly half of them live in ‘rent-stabilized’ apartments. About 44% of all NYC rental apartments are subject to rent-stabilization guidelines, in which the Rent Guidelines Board (RGB) sets the maximum increase in rent that landlords can charge the tenants in an effort to keep housing costs lower. As a result of these rent controls and the increasing costs of utilities and services in the city, building owners lose money on stabilized units and have left apartments empty and pulled their listings from the RGB inventory. In 2023, an estimated 26,310 rent-stabilized apartments were “vacant but unavailable for rent.” Thousands of rent-stabilized apartments in NYC are under threat of foreclosure as an increasing number of landlords have stopped mortgage payments, increasing the shortage of these highly sought after units. Price (and wage) controls didn’t work for President Roosevelt in 1942 or President Nixon in 1971. They won’t work for Mamdani in NY City.

Mamdani's socialist campaign resonated with the few voters who bothered to show up for the June 24th primary. Mamdani surprisingly won the higher-income (wealthy, college educated professionals) neighborhoods in the city. Disgraced former governor Andrew Cuomo did best in less affluent working-class Black and Hispanic neighborhoods. In Round 1 of the 'ranked choice' voting, Mamdani received 43.5% of all votes with Cuomo coming in second place with 36.5%. Nine other candidates and an undisclosed number of write-in candidates received the remaining 20% of votes cast. Round 2 saw the elimination of Write-in candidates with 1,473 votes being distributed among the eleven remaining candidates. In Round 3, the other nine candidates were eliminated, leaving Mamdani still in first place with 56% of the vote and Cuomo still in second place with 44% of votes cast.

In the 2025 NYC Democrat primary, choosing the top position of power in the largest city in the United States, with 7.9 million residents, *less than one-third* of all registered Democrats bothered to cast a ballot in an election that would determine policies and taxes *they* would have to live under!! With Mamdani and Cuomo remaining the top two vote getters in all three rounds, it looks like the system is still weighed towards the top contenders with the most name recognition and campaign funding. Following his stunning victory, Mamdani, potentially the first Muslim mayor of New York City was interviewed by the press about his campaign platform going into the November election. "Where are we finding all of this money for all of these programs?" Mamdani was asked. He responded, "We will raise the corporate tax rate in New York State to match that of New Jersey to bring 7.25 percent to 11.5 percent, which raises \$5 billion... and adding a flat 2 percent tax for those making \$1 million annually will generate over \$9 billion."

Note: New Jersey ranks 30th in CNBC's America's Top States for Business in 2025, with rankings in "business friendliness" (49th) and "cost of doing business" (38th) continuing to decline. New York ranks slightly above New Jersey in the CNBC poll at 23rd, last in "business friendliness" and 45th in "cost of doing business." In 2020, New York was ranked 19th in the CNBC poll. If Mamdani is elected mayor and his tax policies go into effect, New York's business ranking will only continue to decline, leading to more businesses and residents leaving the city and state.

"I will be the mayor for *every* New Yorker", Mamdani stated after his primary win. Yet just before the election, an internal campaign memo titled "Stop the Squeeze on NYC Homeowners", promised to "fix" inequality in the property tax system which he claimed targets families in Black and Latino neighborhoods. Mamdani's solution was to "shift the tax burden" from "overtaxed" black and brown homeowners to more expensive homes in richer and "whiter neighborhoods", the very class of people that voted for him... Huh???

Source: Stop the Squeeze on NYC Homeowners; Zohran for New York City

Zohran Mamdani is another millennial who has bought into the theory of socialism and the class struggle revolution of Marxism, influenced by his parents politics and his education. Zohran's father, Mahmood Mamdani, was born in India, raised in Uganda and obtained a PhD from Harvard University in 1974. He is currently a professor of anthropology, political

science and African studies at Columbia University. Mamdani participated in the 2024 pro-Palestine protests at Columbia and called for the “dismantlement” of the state of Israel, compared Israelis to Nazis and spread hatred of Israel and Zionism. Mahmood Mamdani has said he was introduced to Karl Marx in 1965. “I went to the library to look for Marx... then of course, I took a class on Marx... which gave me a new take on my own experience...” Zohran’s mother, Mira Nair, was born in India and graduated from Harvard in 1979 and is an adjunct professor in the Film Division of the School of Arts for Columbia University. An internationally recognized film director and Oscar nominee, Nair refused to attend the 2013 Haifa International Film Festival in Israel as a guest of honor, in protest of Israel’s “apartheid policy” in Palestine.

Both of Zohran Mamdani’s parents were educated at progressive left-wing universities. Both parents are anti-Israel activists, and his father admits to being influenced by Karl Marx. Mamdani’s campaign for mayor is being covertly guided by Partrick Gaspard, a longtime Democratic leader and strategist. Gaspard served as executive director of the Democratic National Committee from 2011 to 2013, and U.S. Ambassador to South Africa under President Obama, from 2013 to 2016. From 2017 to 2020, Gaspard served as president of George Soros’ Open Society Foundations, a global advocacy organization that funds “independent groups working for rights, equity, and justice.” Gaspard’s social media profile still proudly reads: “Forever @OpenSociety.” In 2021, Gaspard became president and CEO of the Center for American Progress (CAP), a left-wing “think tank” with significant ties to the Obama and Biden administrations and George Soros. Not surprisingly, Gaspard attended Columbia University and is openly hostile to Israel and U.S. foreign policy.

Zohran Mamdani’s has been described as a “grassroots” campaign, primarily funded by small, individual working-class donors. Just days after winning the Democratic primary, Mamdani told NBC’s Meet the Press, “I don’t think that we should have billionaires because, frankly, it is so much money in a moment of such inequality, and ultimately, what we need more of is equality across our city and across our state and across our country.” But the New York City Campaign Finance Board “Follow the Money” site tells a different story about how Mamdani’s campaign was financed. The disclosure statement filed by the Mamdani campaign on July 11 shows total contributions of \$2.256 million from 38,429 “individuals” and an additional \$7.050 million received from NY Cities Public Matching Funds program. This matching fund program was approved by NYC voters in 2018 with the intent that candidates would be supported by NYC residents *instead of* outside special interests. Candidates must agree to transparency and financial oversight of campaign donations, to be eligible for the matching funds program and the donations must come from private donors with a maximum donation of \$175 to be eligible for the \$8 to \$1 match rate.

White Collar Fraud is an organization that exposes financial misconduct and fraud, through the use of forensic accounting and investigation. They have published several articles indicating that Mamdani’s private contributions are *not* a result of a grassroots movement of concerned voters sending small dollar contributions to the candidate of their choice.

Instead, they are the result of a “bundling” campaign, in which professional fundraising groups and lobbyists solicit and collect contributions from multiple individuals with common links, like having the same employer. All candidates use bundlers to collect funds for their campaigns. The 2016 Hillary Clinton presidential campaign is a notable example of successful bundling. The campaign recruited over 600 bundlers, who collectively raised hundreds of millions of dollars. But bundled contributions typically represent a portion of campaign fundraising, not *all* of it.

The Mamdani Deception: The Grassroots Campaign That Never Existed

Whitecollarfraud.com; June 30, 2025

Zohran Mamdani has built his political brand on a simple promise: that his campaign is powered by the people. He calls it grassroots. He markets it as revolutionary. But when you strip away the slogans and look at the structure — the website, the bundlers, the Super PACs, the money — a very different picture emerges: astroturfing masquerading as authentic public support.

*As of June 27, 2025, out of 27,674 individual contributions made to Mamdani’s campaign, exactly **77 had no bundler attribution** — and collectively, they amounted to **\$0.00**. These entries appear to be refunds, chargebacks, or bookkeeping adjustments rather than legitimate standalone donations.*

The investigation by White Collar Fraud claims that every single dollar contributed to Mamdani’s campaign, was raised by bundlers. A quick scroll through the Campaign Finance Board Candidate Contribution database shows 27,674 contributions. There are many small dollar contributions of \$10 and \$20 from multiple people listing the same employer.

- * Legal Services NYC, 17 contributions, \$1,085
- * Memorial Sloan Kettering, 40 contributions, \$2,255
- * OSE Engineering, 64 contributions, \$4,133
- * Columbia University, 227 contributions, \$21,909

<https://www.nyccfb.info/FTMSearch/Candidates/Contributions?ec=2025&rt=can&cand=2899&emp=Columbia%20University>

Contributions that are not made directly by individuals to candidates, are collected by ‘intermediaries’. The NYC Campaign Finance Board Candidate Contribution database shows that 15 intermediaries collected \$119,630 in contributions for Mamdani.

- * Cynthia Nixon, Hollywood Elite and member of the Democratic Socialists of America, is a Mamdani supporter. She collected \$25,920 in contributions for Mamdani.
- * Jack Gross collected \$21,962 in contributions for Mamdani.
- * Juliana DeVaun collected \$10,454 in contributions for Mamdani.

<https://www.nyccfb.info/FTMSearch/Candidates/Intermediaries?ec=2025&cand=2899>

EXPOSED: The \$2 Million Grassroots Laundering Operation Behind Mamdani’s Revolution.

Whitecollarfraud.com; July 8, 2025

Zohran Mamdani’s “grassroots revolution” was a lie. Campaign finance records reveal his supposedly working-class movement was actually powered by a \$2 million elite PAC operation funded by Silicon Valley billionaires, Hollywood celebrities, and out-of-state tech entrepreneurs—spending more than his entire direct campaign raised from actual voters.

Besides money collected by bundlers and intermediaries, multiple political action committee organizations (PACs) spent more than \$2 million either directly supporting Mamdani or attacking his main opponent, Andrew Cuomo. The Working Families Party National PAC spent \$60,955 in support of Mamdani in the Democrat primary and \$539,616 in attack ads against Andrew Cuomo. New Yorkers for Lower Costs, a PAC formed specifically to support Mamdani in the 2025 election, spent \$893,877 in support of Mamdani in the Democrat primary and \$424,069 in attack ads against Andrew Cuomo. (Note: Cuomo received his share of money from PAC's as well.)

Source: NYC Campaign Finance Board – Follow the Money - Independent Expenditure Summary 2025 Citywide Elections - Mayor [all data taken from Mamdani campaign report filed dated July 11, 2025]

I've made several references to George Soros and his Open Society Foundations in the Clear and Present Danger Threats articles, especially in *A Clear and Present Danger Threat #3 – The Great Reset*, Addenda #25 – September 2024. Soros has donated hundreds of millions to back progressive Democrat candidates (including Hillary Clinton) and ballot initiatives over the years. He has gained the most notoriety for funding district-attorney candidates across the country that ran on platforms of criminal justice reform and defunding the police, which resulted in spikes in violent crime and murders in 2020 and 2021. Soros is a true revolutionary, who's vast multi-billion web of organizations, finance candidates that will create chaos and "reconstitution" of society" with their policies. And Zohran Mamdani is his next candidate of choice to help his plans succeed in New York City.

The Working Families Party National PAC spent \$701,792 in the 2025 Democrat New York City Mayor primary directly supporting Mamdani, on ads attacking Andrew Cuomo or on other ranked choice endorsements. Numerous donors and grantors that fund the WFP PAC's, receive funding from Soros' Open Society Foundations members, including The Center For Popular Democracy (CPD) and Make the Road New York. The White Collar Fraud article notes that since January 2020, Soros' organizations contributions to political campaigns and causes total roughly half a billion dollars, with Open Society Foundations publicly announcing a \$20 million pledge that specifically names Working Families Party as a *core partner*.

<https://whitecollarfraud.com/2025/07/08/exposed-the-2-million-grassroots-laundering-operation-behind-mamdani-revolution/>

Mamdani has received money from Hollywood Elites, high-dollar individuals that don't even live in New York City, bundlers, intermediaries, out-of-state political action committees and George Soros, raising legal questions of whether New York City's independent expenditure rules have been violated. Every dollar his campaign received, came from professional bundlers and intermediaries; they weren't part of his funding, they were all of it. Seven PACs spent \$1 million in direct support of Mamdani. How can you call your campaign "the biggest grassroots campaign in NYC history", when 100% of contributions comes through bundlers and intermediaries? How can you claim your campaign is a revolution powered by the people, when Political Action Campaigns spend more than \$2 million on your campaign?