

Addenda #34 – April 2025

Re: Ch. 3 The World Economic Forum

Re: Ch. 4 The Fourth Industrial Revolution - Digital Identities and the Social Credit System

Davos 2025: Special address by Pedro Sánchez, Prime Minister of Spain

January 22, 2025

We need to create a common front that unites all who believe in democracy – regardless of their political ideologies – and take bold measures to tackle this bold threat.

Today, I would like to advance three ideas. Three measures that I will propose to all European leaders in the next formal council meeting that will take place in Brussels.

First, I propose putting an end to anonymity on social media. In our countries, no-one can walk the streets with a mask on their face, or drive a car without a licence plate. No-one can send packages without showing an ID, or buy a hunting weapon without giving their name.

And yet, we are allowing people to roam freely on social networks without linking their profiles to a real identity. This is paving the way for misinformation, hate speech and cyber harassment. Because it is facilitating the use of bots, and it is allowing people to act without being held accountable for their actions.

Such an anomaly cannot continue. In a democracy, citizens have the right to privacy – not to anonymity or impunity. Because with those two, social coexistence would be impossible. That's why I believe we must push forward the principle of 'pseudonymity' as the functioning element of social media, and force all these platforms to link every user account to an European Digital Identity Wallet.

Prime Minister Sánchez wants to mandate that all users must be identified by a digital identity wallet in order access any online platform. (See Ch. 4, The Fourth Industrial Revolution, Digital Identities), enabling the government to know all there is to know about every individual. There will be no anonymity, no way to keep your activities from the prying eyes of the government.

"Welcome to 2030. I own nothing, have no privacy, and life has never been better"

Ida Auken, Member of Parliament of Denmark, November 12, 2016

"Big Brother is Watching You"

George Orwell, 1984

This way, citizens could use nicknames if they want, but in the case of a crime, public authorities would be able to connect those nicknames to real people and hold them responsible. Because accountability is not an obstacle to freedom of speech; it is an essential complement to it.

One social media user, one real ID. This is the only way to really ensure that minors do not access inappropriate content, that people who commit crimes are banned or prosecuted from social networks, and that the millions of fake profiles that exist and influence the public conversation are removed.

By using the noble premise that digital identities and Real ID's will be use to protect minors from online threats and to identify criminals and hold them accountable, Prime Minister Sánchez is creating an excuse for massive intrusions on individual privacy and civil liberties. I'm sure the European Commission's interpretation of crimes, especially "hate speech", and "fake news" may differ substantially from mine.

My second proposal is to force open the black box of social media algorithms, once and for all. The values of the European Union are not for sale. Safeguards like content moderation and fact-checking are both legal and moral requirements that must be followed by all. That's why I think we have to fully enforce the Digital Services Act, making it clear to big companies that its provisions are non-negotiable, and strengthening sanctions for those who do not comply.

Note: The 2022 EU Digital Services Act regulates online social networks and other platforms to “prevent illegal and harmful activities online and the spread of disinformation.” The European Union Commission supervises compliance, investigates allegations of violations and assesses fines and penalties against the provider found guilty of violating the rules.

Of course, there is no point in holding social media users and employees accountable if their owners are not. Especially considering that they are among the richest and most powerful people in the world.

So that's my third and final proposal – that we make sure that social media CEOs are held personally accountable for noncompliance with laws and norms in their platforms – just as it happens in other sectors. For instance, the owner of a small restaurant is responsible if their food poisons customers. Social media tycoons should be held responsible if their algorithms poison our society.

Social “norms” are what a society determines the behavior and actions of people within a particular group, culture, or structure. An “enlightened society” like the European Union, can determine that gender identity is a “norm”, and that anyone who refuses to acknowledge a person’s “pronouns” and publicly disagrees with gender ideology or gender affirming care on an online platform, is guilty of violating the rules of the European Commission and subject to individual penalties.

Jordan Peterson, a professor emeritus with the University of Toronto psychology department, was found guilty by an Ontario court of personal misconduct for his social media posts about his views on women, masculinity and gender identity, namely refusing to use people's preferred pronouns.

The College of Psychologists of Ontario ordered Peterson to undergo a media training program, saying some of his tweets may be “degrading” the profession. Failure to comply could mean the loss of his license to practice psychology in the province.

EU sues several countries for not properly implementing Digital Services Act
Reuters; May 7, 2025

The European Commission said in a statement it had decided to take the Czech Republic, Spain, Cyprus, Poland and Portugal to the Court of Justice of the European Union for failing to implement the Digital Services Act (DSA) effectively.

It said these countries had failed to designate or empower a national Digital Services Coordinator (DSC) and to lay down the rules on penalties applicable to infringements under the DSA.

Welcome to the Agenda of the European Union... You will have no privacy, the government will know everything about you. You will be monitored on everything you say and do. You will be punished for not following the laws and norms.

Addenda #35 – April 2025

Re: Ch. 3, The World Economic Forum

Ch. 12, A New Form of ‘Fascism’ for the 21st Century –
The World’s Premier Organizer

World Economic Forum Announces Governance Transition

Geneva, Switzerland, 21 April 2025 – Klaus Schwab, Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the World Economic Forum, has informed the Board:

“Following my recent announcement, and as I enter my 88th year, I have decided to step down from the position of Chair and as a member of the Board of Trustees, with immediate effect.”

The Board acknowledged the outstanding achievements of the retired Chairman and Founder of the World Economic Forum, Klaus Schwab. He created the leading global platform for dialogue and progress, and the Board expressed its gratitude for his 55 years of relentless leadership at the helm of the Forum.

At a time when the world is undergoing rapid transformation, the need for inclusive dialogue to navigate complexity and shape the future has never been more critical. The Board of Trustees of the World Economic Forum underlines the importance of remaining steadfast in its mission and values as a facilitator of progress.

The World Economic Forum showed its gratitude for the years of service founder Klaus Schwab gave the organization and the “outstanding achievements” he has overseen. The WEF Board of Directors also emphasized that the job of seeing the Great Reset Agenda is not yet complete, that continuing to be “the facilitator of progress” is its top priority.

Schwab’s reason for resigning was not due to his age, but due to allegations of financial misconduct and abuse of power.

Klaus Schwab Resigns from WEF Amid Ethics Investigation

Sweden Herald; April 23, 2025

Klaus Schwab – the founder of the World Economic Forum (WEF), which annually holds the so-called Davos meeting – has resigned. He is being investigated by the WEF since a whistleblower sounded the alarm about ethical and financial transgressions, reports The Wall Street Journal.

WEF’s board received the whistleblower’s allegations in a letter last week, in which the 87-year-old Klaus Schwab and his family are alleged to have mixed their private business with WEF’s finances, according to the newspaper’s sources. This is said to include the use of luxury villas, cash, and travel arrangements financed by WEF.

The whistleblower has also sounded the alarm about how Klaus Schwab, and WEF under his leadership, have treated female employees.

On the World Economic Forum website section “About us”, the Forums Code of Conduct states; *The World Economic Forum adheres to the principles of independence, impartiality, moral integrity and intellectual integrity.*

Klaus Schwab also promotes the achievements and reputation of the World Economic Forum in his Message of the Chairman... *“Today, I am incredibly proud of what we have achieved collectively at the Forum... The cornerstone of our great achievement has been our team spirit and impeccable reputation, which continue to inspire trust in our constituents and remain true to our vision”*

Apparently, Schwab considers himself and his family to be exempt from the “moral integrity” and “impeccable reputation” promoted by the World Economic Forum. Schwab and his wife allegedly misused WEF funds for personal benefit, including luxury property, travel, cash withdrawals and private massages, in violation of the organization’s governance rules. The WEF was already under investigation for alleged workplace harassment and discrimination of employees. The allegations included,

- * Discrimination against pregnant women, with reports of at least six female employees being fired during pregnancy or after returning from parental leave.
- * Multiple women reporting inappropriate behavior from top supervisors, including allegations against Schwab himself.
- * Black employees reportedly facing unfair treatment, including being singled out, denied access to the forum or passed over for advancement opportunities.
- * Internal complaints about white managers using racial slurs around Black employees.
- * Schwab singling out employees over the age of 50 years old and instructing his human resources chief to get rid of them all, in order to lower the average age of the workforce.

Established in 1971 as a not-for-profit foundation, the Forum received the status of an international organization for public-private cooperation from the Swiss authorities in 2015. It engages organizations and leaders through dialogue, debate and commitments for action in the service of building more future-ready, resilient, inclusive and sustainable economies and societies.

Our Institutional Framework; World Economic Forum About Us webpage

The World Economic Forum leader and its top executives are guilty of violating the very principles the group has claimed to be promoting and protecting in its Great Reset Agenda. There’s nothing “inclusive” about pregnancy discrimination, sexual harassment, racial discrimination or harassment or age discrimination. This comes as no surprise to me as I have stated all along that the WEF Agenda has nothing to do with achieving “better outcomes.” It has everything to do with power and control.

Many of the worlds political, financial, business and educational leaders are members of the WEF, hold positions on the WEF Board of Directors, and are “Agenda Contributors”, all in positions of power required *“to shape global, regional and industry agendas.”* The agendas these multi-millionaires and billionaires work to implement are supposed to improve skills, health, education, employment, reduce poverty and address climate change, all to make a better and more inclusive society and to “improve the state of the world”, it would appear that the oligarchs who lead and support the Great Reset Agenda are the ones who benefit the most and have the least concern for the rest of society.

Remember, it’s all about control!

Addenda #36 – April 2025

Re: Ch. 3, The World Economic Forum

Ch. 12, A New Form of 'Fascism' for the 21st Century –
The World's Premier Organizer

Mark Carney named as Canada's prime minister-elect amid US trade war

Justin Trudeau announced his intention to resign on Jan. 6.

abc News; March 9, 2025

Mark Carney sworn in as Canada's PM after Trudeau steps down

CNN World; March 14, 2025

The Liberal Party of Canada (LPC) has held power in Canada for much of the twentieth century. The office of the Prime Minister and the governing body in Parliament, has been under control of the LPC since December 2015. Canada and the European Union have similar government structures and share similar political, economic, and cultural values. Their approaches to fighting climate change, ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) regulations, mass surveillance, “digital transition” and central bank digital currency, are also very similar. Canada has followed the World Economic Forums Great Reset playbook to the letter under former Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and the Liberal Party of Canada for the past nine years. But Trudeau's reign came to an end in January 2025.

Public approval ratings due to the increasing cost of living, the deteriorating economy, housing shortage and immigration policies, dipped below 30 percent, showing that Canadians had lost faith in Trudeau and the Liberal Party. Trudeaus handling of the trade tariffs imposed by President Trump and budget spending, led to the resignation of deputy prime minister and finance minister Chrystia Freeland in December 2024, leading to senior LPC party members calling for Trudeau to resign before the general election in 2025. Mark Carney was appointed party leader and interim prime minister following Trudeaus resignation and then became the prime minister and leader of the country when the Liberal Party won the popular vote and gained seventeen more seats in the Parliament.

The 29-point swing in voter support for the Liberal Party and its social liberalism policies is particularly puzzling, since it was that party's leadership that have created the current economic and social problems facing Canadians. Supposedly it was the trade war launched by President Trump and his constant denigration of Trudeau, and rhetoric suggesting that Canada should become the 51st U.S. state, that riled up the nationalism of the citizens of Canada. Pierre Poilievre leader of the Conservative Party and a populist like Trump, looked to be a shoo-in to become Canada's next prime minister and lead the Conservatives back into power for the first time in a decade. Instead, the Conservative Party lost the election by 482,000 votes and the Liberal Party retained leadership role for another four years.

Mark Carney will now lead Canada for the next four years. So, who exactly *is* Mark Carney?

- > Mark Carney received a bachelor's degree in economics from Harvard University in 1987. Harvard's reputation as being one of the most "progressive" universities in the U.S. is well known.
- > Carney then attended the University of Oxford, earning a master's degree in 1993 and doctorate in 1995. Oxford has the reputation of being the second-most 'radical progressive' university in the UK.
- > Carney worked for Goldman Sachs as co-head of sovereign risk department during the time that the Russian financial crisis of 1998 and the collapse of Long Term Capital Management hedge fund occurred. Sachs profited from the collapse of LTCM and may have "did things in markets that might have ended up hurting LTCM" according to former Goldman co-CEO Jon Corzine. During the 2007-2008 financial crisis, Goldman Sachs was vilified for its role in the housing bubble and sub-prime mortgage crisis which brought on bank failures and the crisis itself.
- > Carney then headed the Central Bank of Canada and later the Central Bank of England. A big banker in charge of monetary policy, Carney oversaw the \$114 billion bailout of the Canadian banking system while Canadians lost their jobs, houses and declared bankruptcy just as Americans did. The banks survived while many businesses and individuals didn't.
- > Mark Carney is a member of the Group of Thirty (aka G30), a global body of economic and financial leaders from the public and private sectors and academia. In a 2019 speech to a gathering of global central bankers, Carney called for a new global monetary system to replace the U.S. dollar as the world's reserve currency. This would have a tremendous impact on dollar denominated investments and lead to a global digital currency.
- > Mark Carney is the current U.N. Special Envoy for Climate Action and Finance, working hard to ensure successful transition to a net-zero economy.
- > Mark Carney is an "Agenda Contributor" to the World Economic Forum and a member of the Foundation Board of the WEF, which "serves as the guardian of the World Economic Forum's mission and values."

The new Prime Minister of Canada, Mark Carney, was elected on his globalist resume and anti-Trump MAGA platform, accusing Trump of seeking to "destroy the Canadian way of life."

America wants our land, our resources, our water, our country: never. But these are not idle threats: President Trump is trying to break us, so America can own us. "

Although Carney is a globalist and an active World Economic Forum supporter, one of his campaign promises was to have a "balanced approach" to immigration in Canada. Few countries embrace immigration like Canada. With nearly half a million newcomers arriving each year, Canada has one of the highest immigration rates per capita in the world. This steady influx of immigrants has shaped the country's economy, culture, and demographics, making it a global model for managed migration.

Since the United Nations *Global Compact for Safe, Orderly and Regular Migration* was signed in 2018, Canada has welcomed almost 2 million immigrants into the country. At the end of 2024, there were more than 9 million immigrants living in Canada making up 22% of the total population. Canada has experienced the same difficulties resulting from large-scale immigration over a short period of time as Europe and the United States have, including significant cost increases in healthcare and social services and a shortage of housing.

Despite ever higher costs of living and shortages of services faced by countries and their citizens, the World Economic Forum still pushes the global migration policy. A May 2024 opinion article on the WEF website titled *Migration is a global strategic asset. We must not undermine it*, claimed immigration was a benefit to all countries. “The evidence is clear. The long-term trend data speaks for itself: migration is a major driver of human development globally... The reality is that migrants and diaspora are remaining on trend to positively contribute to the delivery of the sustainable development goals more than any other group of state and non-state actors.”

Ironically, or maybe predictably, the focus of the article was revealed in the first paragraph. “International remittances have increased massively over time, rising from an estimated \$128 billion in 2000 to reach around \$831 billion in 2022. The flows to low- and middle-income countries have trended up to reach around \$650 billion...”

Global Elites at the World Economic Forum (and the United Nations) see migration as a tool of income redistribution. Immigrants making money in a foreign country send a significant portion of those earnings back to their native countries, improving the situation in poorer nations and reducing economic growth in the host nations. Redistribution of wealth from richer nations to poorer nations, just like the sustainable goals of the U.N. intended. The result for Canadians, Europeans and Americans, however, have been higher healthcare and social services costs, higher budget deficits, housing shortages and increased poverty and crime in an immigrant population that is unable or unwilling to assimilate into the host country society. These outcomes have not been well accepted by the Canadian population.

Emigration from Canada to the U.S. hits a 10-year high as tens of thousands head south
CBC News [Canadian Broadcasting Corporation]; May 30, 2024

Tens of thousands of Canadians are emigrating from Canada to the United States and the number of people packing up and moving south has hit a level not seen in 10 years or more, according to data compiled by CBC News.

The real estate agents and immigration lawyers who help Canadians make the move say the surge is being driven partly by a desire for a more affordable life.

But there are also people who say they have lost faith in Canada under Prime Minister Justin Trudeau's leadership and want to pursue the American dream instead

Canadians are leaving their home country for a variety of reasons. The CBC article listed the higher cost of living, the Canadian universal healthcare system, high taxes and increasing crime, all as a result of Liberal Party policies under former prime minister Trudeau, and the pro migration policies the party embraced originating from the U.N. and the WEF.

But one of Carney's campaign promises emphasized a balanced approach to immigration while still being a welcoming nation. The "new Liberal Party" platform suddenly took a turn to the right, in response to the polling before the Trump tariffs were announced. The "new Liberal Party" announced plans to limit new permanent resident admissions at less than 1% of Canada's population annually beyond 2027. Based on the 2024 estimate of 41.5 million residents, that would equal an allowance of around 415,000 new residents allowed or just 49,000 fewer than entered the country in 2024.

To build back better, we must reinvent capitalism. Here's how

World Economic Forum; July 13, 2020

An inclusive and green recovery is vital if we are to create more resilient economies and a world in which business can thrive, not just now but long into the future.

A true recovery from COVID-19 will not be about putting things back together the way they were: we need to 'build back better', to 'reset'...

The *Great Reset* envisioned by Global Elites of the World Economic Forum, included the same Build Back Better framework that was touted by Joe Biden during his campaign for president in 2020. Biden laid out his economic agenda for the country at the same time the WEF made public their Build Back Better agenda, no coincidence there! Bidens BBB agenda called for three massive spending bills to help the United States Build Back Better from the COVID-19 pandemic.

- * The American Rescue PLAN - \$1.90 trillion
- * The American Jobs Plan - \$2.65 trillion (later the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act)
- * The American Families Plan - \$1.75 trillion (later the Inflation Reduction Act)

At a total spending request of \$6.69 trillion, which exceeded the federal budget spending request for fiscal year 2020, the Biden Build Back Better framework outlined spending on pandemic stimulus payments, infrastructure, social policy initiatives and climate change remediation. Bidens Build Back Better spending promised to create 1.5 million jobs a year and reduce the debt by \$500 billion over the plans ten year spending window. The Committee for a Responsible Federal Budget, a public policy organization that analyzes and comments on federal spending, said in November 2021 that the Inflation Reduction Act would cost twice as much as originally promised and increase federal deficits by \$2.8 trillion if sunset expirations were allowed to become permanent.

Note: Republican and Independent Congress members eventually whittled down total approved spending of \$3.585 trillion on the three bills.

When candidate Biden announced his Build Back Better agenda spending, U.S. Debt held by the public was \$20.583 trillion. The day that President Biden left office, the Public Debt had grown to \$28.845 trillion, an increase of 39% in his four years in office. The Presidents final federal budget noted that by the year 2030, the end of the 10-year Build Back Better spending window, U.S. Public Debt would reach \$38.139 trillion, an additional 32% increase. Despite promises of the Inflation Reduction Act, deficit reduction failed to materialize.

Mark Carney wasn't much more serious about getting government spending under control either, announcing \$129 billion in additional spending over the next four years in his own version of the World Economic Forums *Build Back Better* framework during his campaign in the spring of 2025. Carney described his plan in terms of solving "the biggest crisis of our lifetime", referring to President Trump policies as, "rupturing the global economy." Hmmm.... Another "crisis", requiring massive spending... Sounds familiar...

Carney insisted that spending \$129 billion more would bring government spending "under control." Canada's net national debt reached \$1.37 trillion Canadian dollars (\$980 billion US dollars) in March 2025, and while a majority of Canadians feel that government spending is too high, yet the Liberal Party government postponed debt-reduction goals multiple times. Carneys Build Back Better plan proposes additional spending on infrastructure, healthcare, affordable housing, and funding for a transition to "digital infrastructure." Carney claims that Canadians can trust him to "prudently manage public funds" that his policies would be more beneficial than those of his party under Trudeau.

*We'll be fighting in the streets
With our children at our feet
And the morals that they worship will be gone
And the men who spurred us on
Sit in judgment of all wrong
They decide and the shotgun sings the song*

*I'll tip my hat to the new Constitution
Take a bow for the new revolution
Smile and grin at the change all around
Pick up my guitar and play
Just like yesterday
Then I'll get on my knees and pray
We don't get fooled again*

*Meet the new boss
Same as the old boss*
Peter Townshend, The Who - 1971