CORVELVA Papers

Beyond the mainstream censorship



All the insights and references on:

corvelva.it/corvelva-papers/

Monthly editorial made by



#1 December 2019

This project was born in December 2019 in conjunction with the increase in the "soft censorship" applied by the various social and search engines. Our answer is clear:

nobody can censor us!



World vaccinology's godfather attests vaccines failure

Explosive new publication by Stanley A. Plotkin.

Order to retreat: vaccines are neither safe nor effective! Undersigning it is the world leading expert on vaccination.

n article was published on No-vember 1, 2019 which was to say the least explosive, that strangely enough has nevertheless gone un-der the radar: no one is talking about it, at least in public. Perhaps because the impact of its contents is remarkable. However, rumors tell us that the World Health Organization would be rather worried about these blackadmissions and-white that unequivocally certify those problems that have been reported for a long time - on the one hand by doctors, epidemiologists and researchers an on the other hand, by a large slice of the population that questions the enforced expansion of vaccination practice today.

First of all, the weight of these considerations is considerable because of the author: we are not talking about just any university professor or any immunologist, but **Stanley A. Plotkin**, re-garded for all intents and purposes as "the father of world vaccinology" -author of the book "Vaccines" which is the reference text with regard to vaccinations in general.

Plotkin has lately ringed-up a series of public admissions of enormous importance. He was summoned as an expert witness in favor of forced vaccination in a legal dispute between parents divided over whether or not to vaccinate their daughter. Aaron Siri, the mother's lawyer who was opposed to her daughter being vaccinated, questioned the doctor under oath in New Hope, Pennsylvania, on January 11, 2018. The footage of the deposition is easily available on youtube.

Subsequently, just last November, the same November, the same Plotkin published this ar-ticle, entitled: "Is there a correlate of protection for measles vaccine?", which aims to re-establish the effectiveness (in other words revising current beliefs about the effectiveness) of measles vaccinations in the light of the latest "epidemics" found or declared in Europe and the USA. The article contains facts and deductions that demonstrate the bad faith of the whole propaganda operation underpinning alobal vaccination programs. In practice, many of the doubts that parents have when they critically consider whether to have their children administered vaccines are justified. The doyen of vaccinology certifies in black and white the same issues that parents who are against compulsory vaccination are calling for. Many con-



Order to retreat: vaccines are neither safe nor effective! Undersigning it is the

by Nassim K. Langrudi

cepts are exposed, let us move on to the points. It turns out that:

1) It is not possible to rely on the antibody titer that has so far been deemed appropriate to confer immunity. More precisely, "the fully pro-tective level of neutralizing antibodies is not **known".** So. how can we establish

the effectiveness of the vaccine?

2) It is not possible to say with certainty that the measles vaccine gives permanent (lifeti-me) immunity as the na-tural disease does-and this is contrary to what has so far been propagated with regard to vaccinations: "However, the vaccine gives an attenuated infection, and it is not the case that antibody levels remain permanently elevated in vaccinees. The current situation is responsible for reevaluation of the long-term efficacy of measles vaccine".

So what are we suppo-sed to do? Vaccinate throughout life with live virus vaccines without even knowing when and to what extent you will be protected? And what consequences could this have on the immune system?

3) We have no idea exactly how antibody protection works, what the role of antibodies or the role of cells themselves in providing protection at various levels against infections.

It goes without saying that if we are not sure how these immune processes work, it will be impossible to talk with certainty about the effectiveness of vaccines... If we do not know these mechanisms, how can we sustain a priori the legislation to vaccinate everyone and pretend that there is no doubt about it?

4) As if that were not enough, it is finally admitted that the circulation of new genotypes of measles virus (as well as mumps) make vaccination campaigns ineffective, since vaccinated do not appear to be immune to the new viruses currently circulating (!!!) Have these new ge-notypes always existed or are they "off-spring" of massive vaccination campaigns against genotype A? The answer already exists: it is well known how viruses have the ability to mutate, especially under conditions of selective pressure (that is, exactly what we are exercising with vaccination campaigns), and it is for this reason that talking about the eradication of" measles"" is a colossal mockery. Why are we vaccinating billions of people against a genotype that is probably no longer the main culprit of epidemics? But most importantly: why do not genotyping proceed on all subjects who are dia-gnosed with the disease? Is the purpose of immunisation and health protection, or is it to vaccinate everyone regardless of the need or effectiveness of this intervention?

5) It is finally admitted that vaccinees can spread the virus!!!

This admission is epo-chal. For years it was denied (without proof) that this could happen, snubbing research that demonstrated the presence of the virus vaccine in the oropharynx and in the urine of vaccinated people, relegating to "non-contagious me-asles" events exantheasles" events exanthe-matic in the vaccinated (and continuing to load the blame purely on the unvaccinated), and now, before our ears, as it turns out, is - and always was - a risk that is real and plausible that the infection due to the subjects just vaccinated! Plotkin writes "the possibility that a subclinical infection or a few symptoms with measles virus occurs between vaccinated people should be taken into account. Although I am not aware of evidence on the excretion of viruses from vaccinated with some but not all measles symptoms, one should attempt isolation of the virus from these patients."

Plotkin's conclusion also interesting: "The measles epidemics oc-"The curring in Europe and the United States could serve a useful purpo-se if specimens were obtained from exposed contacts before they are or are not infected. The scientific community should take advantage of the current situation brought on by vaccine resistance and vaccine ignorance to better define the correlates of measles immunity". I think it means more or less: we have no idea how the situation is changing, not we have no idea if vaccinations are effective, we have no idea how a vaccinated's immune

system reacts compared

to that of a non-vaccina-

ted, so we should take advantage of unvaccinated individuals and current epidemics to find out.

The real news, therefore, is that we are subjecting the world population to mass experimentation, including by means of compulsory vaccination laws, without having the slightest idea of the consequences that this and will bring on public heal-

And now perhaps the most important question: how will the "scientific community" react to these considerations? Will they remain silent? Will they pretend that nothing has been said? Will it invoke even more stringent and even more massive vaccination pro-

grams? What will the WHO say about these admissions?

Note one thing: here we are not talking about "new discoveries" or a single newly published study; simply, these data have been available to everyone for years, the pure evidence, only that now they have been put together and declared in writing by a personage that the "scientific community" can neither scoff at nor accuse of propaga-ting "fake news"; at best the great strategists will be able to decide to ignore everything, as is usually done with uncomfortable issues that are difficult to

credibly deny ...

This article basically shows that those who spread false news and those who work on scare-mongering, those who ignore scientific evidence are not the non-vaccinators, those who pretend to have reliable data and certainties, those who play with people's lives are not parents who are concerned about health of their children, but the authorities, the scientific community, the in-ternational bodies that pass off these vaccines "safe and effective" without having the sli-ghtest scientific proof of what they say.

So pay attention to the next moves from above: the health organizations will be facing from now on with new problems, not related to vaccinations, but probably **cau**sed by vaccinations.

Age of Autism

Special thanks to our John Stone for translation