

[Click here and type return address and phone and fax numbers]

Company Name Here

Fax

To: The Honorable Connie Contes

From: Jeffrey Strobel

Fax: 1-602-372-8642

Pages: 9

Phone: 1-602-506-7768

Date: 10/14/2009

Re: Gail Rosier CR2009140581

CC:

☒ **Urgent** ☒ **For Review** ☐ **Please Comment** ☐ **Please Reply** ☐ **Please Recycle**

● Follow up information to my letter from last week concerning the sentencing of Gail Rosier on 10/22/2009

3 Belgian Place
Nashua, NH 03062

October 14, 2009

The Honorable Connie Contes
Maricopa County Superior Court
222 E Javelina
Mesa, AZ 85210-6234

Dear Judge Contes

This is a follow up note to the letter I sent and faxed to you last week concerning the sentencing for Gail Rosier, case number CR2009140581. Her sentencing date has been rescheduled to October 22, 2009 (from 10/23/09). There are three additional points I would like to bring to your attention **that demonstrate the type of person Gail is and why her sentence should be the maximum allowable under Arizona law**

- **She placed a sizable retainer with an estate lawyer yet used a court appointed attorney for the criminal proceedings.** While this is not illegal, it clearly demonstrates that her priority is a selfish pursuit of money at the expense of others (her step-children, the taxpayers of Arizona).
 - She states in her recent letter to me that this attorney was to have contacted (at least twice) me but I have yet to hear from him
 - She stated in her divorce filing that the property (noted in both the filing and this letter as the Hopkinton property) was worth \$150,000 then \$105,000 yet the initial investment made shortly before the divorce filing was only \$88,000. She states in the letter the asset is tied up in probate. In fact she forced the sale of that asset at a loss (a balance of \$66,000 is in an IRA). She stated she invested \$42,000, then \$150,000 then the \$150,000 was supposedly in a safe with Caruso. This last point is interesting as she her claims that the gun in the safe was hers (Caruso, as a convicted felon, cannot possess a gun) so she would therefore have access to the safe and the contents
 - My point in bring this up is to highlight but one example of how Gail Rosier is a compulsive liar who is not the innocent victim that she claims to be but rather knowingly and willingly lies and cheats everyone, including her own children
- She has stated in writing (copies of two letters are attached) that she knew nothing about the American Express cards opened in my son's name and in the name of her second husband, Howard Bernstein. This is not only a lie (the one in Howard Bernstein's name was taken out while she was still married to Peter Rosier, well before she met John Caruso) but begs the question of intent. The logical conclusion is that she knowingly intended to manipulate the system for her own personal gain since neither Howard nor my son was aware of the cards. This is not a good hearted woman who was conned by a smooth talking felon
- She has stated in the letters to me and to my son that all she is guilty of is essentially failing to pay rent and that only occurred because the police were investigation Caruso. She does not believe she did anything wrong. She does not understand that, while not pay rent is wrong, it is not a felony. She sees the situation as an oversight. She ignores the difference between what happens in a plea bargain versus charges being dropped for lack of evidence. Her statement that what she was accused of was patently untrue (the police reports demonstrate otherwise) clearly shows she does not take responsibility for her actions and will most likely continue to perpetrate her lies and schemes at the expense of others in the future


● Page 2

October 14, 2009

- I have attached excerpts from the Phoenix, AZ police reports specific to the investigation of the current charges. I know this is a sentencing hearing and not a trial. However, I believe her statements and actions clearly demonstrate that she was a willing and active participant in these illegal activities which is the exact opposite of what she states when she states the charges were "patently untrue".

In summary, Gail Rosier, as she has her whole life, fails to take responsibility for her own actions and, as a result, everyone else is left to pay – taxpayers of AZ, landlords, car dealerships, American Express, her own family and her own children. A minimum sentence tells her that her lying still works. She will get out and try to further disrupt the lives of her children. A maximum sentence would at least give some hope that she might reflect and show some remorse for the crimes she committed and the damage it has done to her children and others. I urge you to impose the maximum sentence.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey Strobel