STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

9TH CIRCUIT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION - NASHUA

| GAIL ROSIER, | |) | |
|------------------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------------------|
| | Petitioner, |)) | Family Division Case No 226-2006-DM-00655 |
| and | |) | |
| | |) | Nashua, New Hampshire |
| JEFFREY STROBEL, | |) | March 9, 2010 |
| | |) | 9:29 a.m. |
| | Respondent. |) | |
| | |) | |

MOTION FOR CONTEMPT
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACALYN A. COLBURN
JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT - FAMILY DIVISION

APPEARANCES:

For the Petitioner: (Not Present)

3217 E. Shea Blvd., #186

Phoenix, AZ 85028

For the Respondent: Catherine E. Shanelaris

35 East Pearl Street Nashua, NH 03060

Audio Operator: Electronically Recorded

by Joanna Bailey

TRANSCRIPTION COMPANY: AVTranz

7227 N. 16th Street, #207

Phoenix, AZ 85020 (800) 257-0885 www.avtranz.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by court-approved transcription service.

I N D E X

WITNESS (ES) DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS

FOR THE PETITIONER:

None

FOR THE RESPONDENT:

None

MISCELLANEOUS PAGE

Court's Orders 9

| 1 | (Proceedings commence at 9:29 a.m.) |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | THE COURT: The next matter will be Rosier versus |
| 3 | Strobel. Do I have parties and counsel or counsel on Rosier |
| 4 | versus Strobel? |
| 5 | MS. SHANELARIS: Just Respondent and counsel. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Good morning. |
| 7 | MS. SHANELARIS: Good morning, Your Honor. My name |
| 8 | is Cathy Shanelaris. It's nice to meet you. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Nice to meet you. I understand that this |
| 10 | matter I looked at this file yesterday. (It appears that you |
| 11 | had originally filed a petition for contempt and request for |
| 12 | show cause, and it looks like that was continued because |
| | |
| <u>13</u> | Ms. Rosier is incarcerated in Arizona; is that right? |
| 13 14 | Ms. Rosier is incarcerated in Arizona; is that right? MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, |
| | |
| 14 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, |
| 14 15 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a |
| 14 15 16 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. |
| 14 15 16 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. THE COURT: I saw that. |
| 14 15 16 17 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. THE COURT: I saw that. MS. SHANELARIS: She was in fact released. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. THE COURT: I saw that. MS. SHANELARIS: She was in fact released. Mr. Strobel just received this morning via Fed Ex another |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. THE COURT: I saw that. MS. SHANELARIS: She was in fact released. Mr. Strobel just received this morning via Fed Ex another letter saying she can't be here. However, it's his |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Our understanding, Your Honor, she sent another letter. We were here in December. She sent a letter saying she was going to be released on February 9th. THE COURT: I saw that. MS. SHANELARIS: She was in fact released. Mr. Strobel just received this morning via Fed Ex another letter saying she can't be here. However, it's his understanding that, according to her mom, she's working in New |

some of the facts in this case. It's essentially been pending

25

since 1997 when Ms. Rosier had decided that she couldn't pay 1 2 support. They had an agreement that --3 THE COURT: I reviewed all that, so you don't need to 4 go through it. 5 MS. SHANELARIS: Okay. THE COURT: And as I understand it --6 7 MS. SHANELARIS: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: -- the agreement was that she would pay 9 for the child's college education --MS. SHANELARIS: Correct. 10 THE COURT: -- in lieu of support that she wasn't 11 12 making along the way, right? 13 MS. SHANELARIS: Part of our problem, Your Honor, is 14 that, to be blunt, I mean she is not a truthful person. I 15 mean, she has -- just the tip of the iceberg, as my client said, you know, there's been police reports for theft, for 16 17 theft by deception, forgery, fraud, all kinds of criminal 18 offenses like that. Everything she has said to the Court in 19 her letters has turned out not to be true. 20 There's a pending probate case where she keeps 21 saying, well, she's going to have money to pay for the college then. It's Mr. Strobel's understanding that she was, in fact, 22 23 denied any part of that probate case. (It's on appeal right) 24 now.

She sold property that the Court had ordered in 2006

25

to pay support. She has not paid a dime in support, Your Honor. And we have been -- this is my third time with Mr. Strobel coming to court, and still no receipt of any support whatsoever, and anything she has said has turned out not to be true.

I do have a proposed order.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHANELARIS: Part of our problem, Your Honor, is we would love to adjudicate a figure, which has been our concern. In December when she was found in contempt, she was ordered to pay some attorney's fees. She's not done that. I am asking for an additional \$350 in attorney's fees.

Let me provide you with the order, Your Honor. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. SHANELARIS: The other part of my concern for Mr. Strobel, Your Honor, is that if Connor, who is now 18, he'll graduate in June, if we don't get an order for the Division of Child Support Services before his son turns 18, they're not going to collect this amount at all.

And so it's -- it's been pending for a long time now. The amount that we have alleged that she owes in support is based on several factors. One is the property that she liquidated in Massachusetts. First she said it was worth 150,000, then she said 105,000. Essentially, she's not paid

any child support in almost 13 years.

So we don't believe that that figure to be -although it's high, not to be -- to be completely reasonable
based on the things that she's presented to the Court and
financial affidavits and made representations to.

Mr. Strobel has certainly made a claim in the Arizona probate court to try to get something, but as I said, I don't think that's going to be helpful, Your Honor. So he's looking at there being no money whatsoever.

I've asked -- in the hearing notice for today's hearing, it was very clear to her that a capias was going to issue if she didn't appear. It's a little disingenuous for her to send a Fed Ex, you know, the day before the hearing and say, oh, I can't be there.

THE COURT: Well, she actually asked for this hearing date because she said this was subsequent to --

MS. SHANELARIS: She did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- her release in Arizona.

MS. SHANELARIS: Correct, correct. And we asked the Court to schedule it after she was released.

What I think most important, Your Honor, is I ask that there be \$25,000 on the capias and that she not be released until she pays that amount. Mr. Strobel would --

THE COURT: The problem is going to be effecting that, right, if she's in New York or Arizona or somewhere else?



I mean, I can issue that, and that I will issue that, but
realistically, I'm not quite sure what it will get me until she
steps foot in New Hampshire.

MS. SHANELARIS: Right. But do us a little hope,
Your Honor, that maybe --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SHANELARIS: -- if something happens and, you know, she gets arrested for blowing through a stop sign or something, that someone might see that.

But it's very difficult for Mr. Strobel. He would testify that his son is ready to go to college and he's been extremely gracious and fair to her, and she's returned that with nothing.

THE COURT: Marsha, do you have a capias form?

And just remind me again how you arrived at the number? Were you using the original uniform support order amount to arrive --

MS. SHANELARIS: That, Your Honor, the representation that she owned \$150,000 worth of property, which she told Master Forrest in 2006 that she was selling to liquidate to provide to Connor, which we believe she did sell and took the money.

The other thing the Court should know is that my client believes, on information and belief he's received from the probate court, that she received last year \$150,000 in life

| 1 | insurance proceeds from her ex-husband who passed away. She |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | got that last year. Not one cent was spent on child support. |
| 3 | THE COURT: But I just want to be clear, the |
| 4 | \$202,500, as of 10/31/09 |
| 5 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. |
| 6 | THE COURT: (is a calculation based on a prior) |
| 7 | uniform support order approved by Master Forrest. Is that |
| 8 | right? |
| 9 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. Prior uniform support order |
| 10 | THE COURT: I haven't done the math, that's why I'm |
| 11 | asking. |
| 12 | MS. SHANELARIS: Yes. That, Your Honor, as well as |
| 13 | the property and the life insurance proceeds, so she far could |
| 14 | exceed that figure in paying for college. But yes, we've done |
| <mark>15</mark>) | calculations to show it was the property she owned and the |
| 16 | child support she should have paid, yes. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I guess my question is I understand that |
| 18 | there's some property issue, but let's just say she had that in |
| 19 | liquidated damages. Would she have been required to pay that |
| 20 | in child support? |
| 21 | MS. SHANELARIS: She was ordered to pay that, Your |
| 22) | Honor, in child support, she was. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 24 | MS. SHANELARIS: She was ordered to liquidate it |
| 25 | immediately and turn it over to Mr Strobel which she didn't |

| | 9 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | do. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. And as I understand it, Connor is |
| 3 | in his senior year of high school; is that right? |
| 4 | MR. STROBEL: Yes. |
| 5 | THE COURT: So he's getting ready to engage in the |
| 6 | college application process? |
| 7 | MS. SHANELARIS: He's already doing that, yes, Your |
| 8 | Honor. |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. I'm going to issue an order |
| 10 | for her arrest in the amount of \$25,000 and I'm going to |
| 11 | approve your proposed order. [I'll take a look at the uniform |
| 12 | support order more closely when I have a few more moments |
| 13 | MS. SHANELARIS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: but I anticipate that I'll sign that |
| 15 | as well. |
| 16 | MS. SHANELARIS: Okay. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 18 | MS. SHANELARIS: Thank you very much, Your Honor. |
| 19 | (Proceedings concluded at 9:37 a.m.) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| | |

25

CERTIFICATE

I, Misty R. Peto, CET-612, a court approved proofreader, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities.

TRANSCRIPTIONIST: LISA HULM, CET-783

MISTY R. PETO, CET-612 Proofreader December 6, 2015