



WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
AGENDA
September 24, 2026 at 7:00 P.M.
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0

Meeting Link:

Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.

<https://meet.goto.com/carlingtwp/wpsoppdb>

Get the app now and be ready when your first meeting starts:

<https://meet.goto.com/install>

1. LAND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

2. CALL TO ORDER

3. OATH AND AFFIRMATIONS

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Moved By: _____

Seconded By: _____

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of September 24, 2026 be approved as circulated.

5. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST



WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD

AGENDA

September 24, 2026 at 7:00 P.M.

2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0

6. PREVIOUS MINUTES

Pages: 4-16

Moved By: _____

Seconded By: _____

THAT the Minutes of the June 29, 2026 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board meeting be adopted.

7. PUBLIC MEETINGS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

None

8. STAFF REPORTS

a. Detachment Commander's Report

i) OPP Detachment Board Report, April – June 2026

Pages: 17-27

ii) Round Table Discussion

9. CORRESPONDENCE

a. Inspector General Memo and Advisory Bulletin #4.1: Political Activity – Police Service Board Members & OPP Detachment Board Members

Pages: 28-37

b. Inspector General Memo #12: Release of the Inspector General of Policing 2025 Annual Report

Pages: 38-105

10. FINANCE

None

11. OTHER BUSINESS



WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD

AGENDA

September 24, 2026 at 7:00 P.M.

2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0

- a. Solicitor General Update

- b. Status of Provincial Appointments

12. CLOSED SESSION

None

13. ADJOURNMENT

Moved By: _____

Seconded By: _____

THAT the meeting be adjourned at _____.



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

Members Present:	Janice Bray
	Lisa Cook
	Bonnie Keith
	Mike Kekkonen
	JJ Blower
	Greg Getty
	Dan Sooley
	Lesley Harries-Jones
Other:	Helena Wall
	Dan MacLeod
Administrative Support:	Kevin McIlwain (Secretary)
	Mackenzie Taylor

Board Secretary Kevin McIlwain called the meeting to order and chaired the proceedings starting with Item 6 the Consent Agenda during which the board adopted the resolutions from the previous meetings including the appointment of the Chair. Upon completion of the Consent Agenda Mr. McIlwain turned the meeting over to Chair Cook, who presided over the remainder of the meeting.

1. LAND ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Chair Cook gave a land acknowledgment.

2. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 12:03 p.m.

3. OATH AND AFFIRMATIONS

Oaths and Affirmations were given to Dan MacLeod, Dan Sooley and Lesley Harries-Jones prior to the start of the meeting.



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

2026-06-001

Moved by Bonnie Keith, Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of June 29, 2026 be approved as circulated.

Carried

5. DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

6. CONSENT AGENDA

2026-01-002

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the Consent agenda Item (1) Board Motions of the Board be adopted.

Carried

- (1) THAT the Minutes of the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Meetings held on April 24, 2025, June 26, 2025, July 24, 2025, October 23, 2025 and February 26, 2026 be adopted.

April 24, 2025.

2025-04-001

Moved by Lisa Cook, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of April 24, 2025 be approved as circulated.

Carried

2025-04-002

Moved by Tom Lundy, Seconded by Greg Getty



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

THAT the Board hereby appoints Kevin McIlwain as the Secretary for the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board.

Carried

2025-04-003

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the Minutes of the January 16, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board be adopted.

Carried

2025-04-004

Moved by Greg Getty and Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the quote from Intact Public Entities Inc. be included as part of the budget.

Carried

2025-04-005

Moved By Lisa Cook, Seconded By Greg Getty

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Meeting does now adjourn at 9:15 p.m. to meet again June 16, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

June 26, 2025

2025-06-001

Moved by Lisa Cook, Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of June 26, 2025 be approved as circulated.

Carried

2025-06-002

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the Minutes of the April 24, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board be adopted.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

2025-06-003

Moved by Lisa Cook, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the Board adopt an honorarium of \$125.00 per meeting for the citizen and provincial appointees to the board.

Carried

2025-06-004

Moved by Tom Lundy, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the Board adopt the 2025 Budget with the changes recommended.

Carried

2025-06-005

Moved by Mike Kekkonen, Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the Board directed the administration to subscribe to the OPSASB membership and the insurance levied with the service.

Carried

2025-06-006

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by JJ Blower

THAT the Board adopt Part 1 of the annual report.

Carried

2025-06-007

Moved by Lisa Cook, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the Board adopt Part 2 of the annual report and authorizes the administration to include the correctly formatted form in the report once it is available.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

2025-06-008

Moved By Greg Getty, Seconded By Mike Kekkonen

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Meeting does now adjourn at 2:52 p.m. to meet again July 24, 2025 at 7:00 p.m. or at the call of the Chair.

Carried

July 24, 2025.

2025-07-001

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of July 24, 2025 be approved as circulated.

Carried

2025-07-002

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the Minutes of the June 26, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board meeting be adopted.

Carried

2025-07-003

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the board directs the administration to open a bank account for the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board.

Carried

2025-07-004

Moved by Janice Bray, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the Chair, the Vice Chair along with the Board Administrator and or his designates be appointed as signing authorities on behalf of the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board.

Carried

2025-07-005

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the board adopt the logo to be used on all correspondence.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

2025-07-006

Moved by Lisa Cook, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the Board appoint Janice Bray and Bonnie Keith to a working committee for HR related activities.

Carried

2025-07-007

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the meeting be adjourned at 7:59.

Carried

October 23, 2025.

2025-10-001

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded By Tom Lundy

THAT the board added the topic "administration of the board" to section 12d Other Business.

Carried

2025-10-002

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of October 23, 2025 be approved as circulated.

Carried

2025-10-003

Moved by Janice Bray, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the Minutes of the July 24, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board meeting be adopted.

Carried

2025-10-004

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the board enter into a Closed Session at 4:05 p.m. to discuss the detachment commander's performance evaluation per CSPA, section 68(1)d.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

2025-10-005

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the board move out of a Closed Session at 4:23 p.m.

Carried

2025-10-006

Moved By Tom Lundy, Seconded by Greg Getty

THAT the board received the Detachment Commander's initial performance feedback report per CSPA, section 68(1)d, it was approved and staff are to proceed as directed in Closed Session.

Carried

2025-10-007

Moved By Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT an auditor statement for the 2025 year is deemed sufficient by the board.

Carried

2025-10-008

Moved by Janice Bray, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT the by-law and procedures be reviewed and that comments be sent to the Secretary who will create a draft.

Carried

2025-10-009

Moved by Janice Bray, Seconded by Lisa Cook

THAT staff be directed to bring back a report on meeting times to the next meeting.

Carried

2025-10-010

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Tom Lundy

THAT the meeting be adjourned at 5:25p.m.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

February 26, 2026.

2026-02-001

Moved by Bonnie Keith, Seconded by JJ Blower

THAT Lisa Cook be appointed as Chair of the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board for the remainder of the term of Council.

Carried

2026-02-002

Moved By Janice Bray, Seconded by JJ Blower

THAT the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board Agenda of February 26, 2026 be approved as circulated.

Carried

2026-02-003

Moved by Bonnie Keith and Seconded by Janis Bray

That the Minutes of the October 23, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board meeting be amended under section 8. To say " That the board received the Detachment Commander's initial performance feedback report per CSPA, Section 68(1)d, it was approved and staff are to proceed as directed in Closed Session.

Carried

2026-02-004

Moved By: Bonnie Keith and Seconded by Janice Bray

THAT the Minutes of the October 23, 2025 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board meeting be adopted as amended.

Carried

2026-02-005

Moved by Bonnie Keith and Seconded by JJ Blower

THAT Gingrich Harris Copeland Chartered Professional Accountants be appointed as the auditor for the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

2026-02-006

Moved By Bonnie Keith and Seconded by JJ Blower

THAT the meeting be adjourned at 5:52 p.m.

Carried

7. VICE CHAIR VOTE

Bonnie Keith nominated Mike Kekkonen, he declined the nomination.

2026-06-003

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Bonnie Keith

THAT Janice Bray be appointed as Vice Chair of the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board for the remainder of the term of Council.

Carried

8. PUBLIC MEETINGS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS

None

9. STAFF REPORTS

- a. Detachment Commander's Report
 - i) OPP Detachment Board Report, January – March 2026

The Board had no comments regarding the Detachment Commander's First Quarter Report; however, members expressed concern over the number of highway fatalities reported. The Board also discussed the possibility of recognizing members of the West Parry Sound OPP for the challenging and important work they perform in serving the community.

2026-06-004

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Bonnie Keith

THAT the board direct the Chair to write a letter on behalf of the board to the West Parry Sound OPP Officers recognizing them for their service.



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

FURTHER that the board authorizes the Chair of the West Parry Sound OPP Board to write letters from time to time to the OPP Officers in situations where recognition is deemed appropriate.

Carried

ii) Round Table Discussion

As part of the round table discussion the board discussed the ongoing quorum challenges, Board representation and community updates.

2026-06-005

Moved by Lesley Harries-Jones, Seconded by Dan Sooley

THAT the board direct the Chair and staff to prepare and send a letter to the Inspector General of Policing and Parry Sound – Muskoka MPP Graydon Smith requesting amendments to the quorum provisions under the Community Safety and Policing Act to address the challenges posed by the vacant appointments.

FURTHER the Board invite MPP Graydon Smith to attend a future Board meeting to discuss these concerns and potential legislative solutions.

The board set the next meeting dates as September 24, 2026 at 7:00 p.m. and October 22, 2026 at 7:00 p.m.

10. 2025 DETACHMENT BOARD ANNUAL REPORT

2026-06-006

Moved by Janis Bray and Seconded by Lesley Harries-Jones

THAT the Board adopt the 2025 Detachment Board Annual Report.

Carried

11. CORRESPONDENCE

a. Police Services Board and OPP Detachment Board Members and Elections

The board had no comments regarding the correspondence.



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

- b. Municipality of McDougall – Highway 69 Four –Laning

The Board had no comments regarding the correspondence; however, members noted that they had discussed earlier in the meeting the importance of the Highway 69 four-laning project and its significance to the region.

- c. Township of Carling – Highway 69 Four-Laning

The board had the comments regarding the correspondence.

12. FINANCE

- a. 2026 Draft Budget

The Secretary reviewed the 2026 draft budget with the board.

2026-06-007

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Bonnie Keith

THAT the 2026 West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board budget be adopted.

Carried

2026-06-008

Moved by Bonnie Keith, Seconded by Janis Bray

THAT the Board approve the continued payment of remuneration to Provincial and Citizen Appointees at the provincially prescribed minimum rate, in accordance with applicable legislation.

Carried



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

13. OTHER BUSINESS

a. Virtual Meetings

2026-06-009

Moved by Bonnie Keith, Seconded by Greg Getty

WHEREAS: a Board member recently questioned the Boards ability of holding electronic meetings

AND WHEREAS: The Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019 S.O. 2019 c. 1, Sched 1 does not prohibit electronic meetings

AND WHEREAS: The Board has historically been using electronic meeting means

AND WHEREAS: The Board feels it is prudent to clarify that electronic meetings are acceptable

AND WHEREAS: The Board is sensitive to ensuring meetings are open and accessible to the public

NOW THEREFORE: the West Parry Sound OPP Detachment Board enacts the following:

1. The Board approves the holding of Board meetings by electronic means at the discretion of the Chair and Board Administrator/Secretary
2. That all members participating electronically shall be deemed present for the purposes of quorum and voting
3. That if communications are disrupted, the meeting will recess until members can reconnect.
4. That if the disruption lasts longer than 15 minutes, the Chair may extend the recess by another 15 minutes, adjourn the meeting to a later date and time, or adjourn the meeting entirely.
5. That if the meeting is adjourned or postponed to a later date, all completed Board actions are confirmed, and any unfinished or outstanding matters will be deferred.
6. That the Administrator/Secretary will ensure that a physical location is provided that allows physical attendance by the public to observe the meeting.

Carried

b. Status of Provincial Appointments

This item was discussed earlier as part of the round table discussion.



<https://wpsoppdb.ca>

**WEST PARRY SOUND OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
MINUTES
June 29, 2026 at 12:00 P.M.
CARLING TOWNSHIP
2 WEST CARLING BAY RD, NOBEL ON P0G 1G0**

14. CLOSED SESSION

None

15. ADJOURNMENT

2026-06-010

Moved by Greg Getty, Seconded by Lesley Harries-Jones


THAT the meeting be adjourned at 2:05 p.m.

Carried

Lisa Cook, Chair

Kevin McIlwain, Secretary

**OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026**



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Collision Reporting System
April - June 2026




Data source (Collision Reporting System) date:
04-Aug-2026

Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND
Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Areas(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 ...
Data source date: 04-Aug-2026
Report Generated on: 05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

**OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026**



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...



Data source date:

4-Aug-26

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...

Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report Collision Reporting System April - June 2026

Fatalities in Detachment Area - Incidents

April - June									
Type	Motor Vehicle			Motorized Snow Vehicle			Off-Road Vehicle		
Year	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change
2024	0	0	-100.0%	0	0	--	0	0	--
2025	0	2	--	0	0	--	1	1	--
2026	0	5	150.0%	0	0	--	0	0	-100.0%

YTD									
Type	Motor Vehicle			Motorized Snow Vehicle			Off-Road Vehicle		
Year	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change	Alcohol/Drugs	Incidents	% Change
2024	0	0	-100.0%	0	0	-100.0%	1	1	--
2025	0	2	--	0	0	--	1	1	0.0%
2026	0	5	150.0%	0	0	--	0	0	-100.0%


Fatalities in Detachment Area - Persons Killed

April - June						
Type	Motor Vehicle		Motorized Snow Vehicle		Off-Road Vehicle	
Year	Persons Killed	% Change	Persons Killed	% Change	Persons Killed	% Change
2024	0	-100.0%	0	--	0	--
2025	2	--	0	--	1	--
2026	7	250.0%	0	--	0	-100.0%

YTD						
Type	Motor Vehicle		Motorized Snow Vehicle		Off-Road Vehicle	
Year	Persons Killed	% Change	Persons Killed	% Change	Persons Killed	% Change
2024	0	-100.0%	0	-100.0%	1	--
2025	2	--	0	--	1	0.0%
2026	7	250.0%	0	--	0	-100.0%

Primary Causal Factors in Fatal Motor Vehicle Collisions

April - June				YTD			
	2024	2025	2026		2024	2025	2026
Speeding	0	1	3	Speeding	0	1	3
Speeding % Change	-100.0%	--	200.0%	Speeding % Change	-100.0%	--	200.0%
Distracted	0	0	1	Distracted	0	0	1
Distracted % Change	-100.0%	--	--	Distracted % Change	-100.0%	--	--
Alcohol/Drugs	0	1	0	Alcohol/Drugs	1	1	0
Alcohol/Drugs % Change	--	--	-100.0%	Alcohol/Drugs % Change	--	0.0%	-100.0%
Wildlife	0	0	0	Wildlife	0	0	0
Wildlife % Change	--	--	--	Wildlife % Change	--	--	--
NoSeatbelt	0	0	1	NoSeatbeltYTD	0	0	1
NoSeatbelt YoY%	--	--	--	NoSeatbeltYTD YoY%	--	--	--



Data source (Collision Reporting System) date:
04-Aug-2026

Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Areas(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - Hwy 142


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

**OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026**



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

OPP Detachment Board Report Records Management System April - June 2026



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Areas(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 ...


Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM

**OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
April - June 2026**



Detachment: 4J - WEST PARRY SOUND

Location code(s): 4J00 - WEST PARRY SOUND, 4J74 - HENVEY INLET FN

Area(s): 2 - Marine, 4069 - Carling, 4071 - Seguin, 4073 - Municipality of Whitestone, 4079 - McDougall, 4080 - McKellar, 4084 - Parry Sound, 4093 - The Archipelago, 4164 - Britt-Byng (Unorg), 4201 - Northeast Reg Unincorporated Area, 4565 - Henvey Inlet FN, 4808 - Killbear Provincial Park, 4813 - Oastler Lake Provincial Park, 4816 - Sturgeon Bay Provincial Park, 4829 - Grundy Lake Provincial Park, 4850 - Massasauga Provincial Park, 4870 - Hwy 69, 4871 - Hwy 124, 4872 - Hwy 141, 4879 - ...

Data source date:

04-Aug-2026

Report Generated on:

05-Aug-2026 1:02:04 PM



**Inspectorate
of Policing**

**Service d'inspection
des services policiers**

Office of the Inspector
General of Policing

777 Bay St.
7th Floor, Suite 701
Toronto ON M5G 2C8

Bureau de l'inspecteur général
des services policiers

777, rue Bay
7^e étage, bureau 701
Toronto ON M5G 2C8

Inspector General of Policing Memorandum and Advisory Bulletin

TO: All Chiefs of Police and
Commissioner Thomas Carrique O.O.M.,
Chairs, Police Service Boards

FROM: Ryan Teschner, Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

DATE: July 24, 2026

SUBJECT: Inspector General Memo and Advisory Bulletin #4.1:
Political Activity -- Police Service Board Members &
OPP Detachment Board Members

As part of my advisory role under section 102(4) of the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019* (CSPA), I am writing to provide information and advice regarding political activity by members of police service boards and OPP detachment boards.

In advance of the upcoming municipal elections, questions may arise regarding the extent to which board members may engage in political activities while continuing to fulfill their governance responsibilities with boards.

The CSPA requires police service board members and OPP detachment board members to comply with each of the applicable Codes of Conduct. These Codes are regulations — that is, they have legal force — and, among other things, establish standards of conduct that may apply when members participate in political activities.

These Codes are set out in:

[Ontario Regulation 408/23 \(Code of Conduct for Police Service Board Members\)](#); and
[Ontario Regulation 409/23 \(Code of Conduct for OPP Detachment Board Members\)](#).

While political activity is not prohibited, board members must ensure that activities in which they engage do not:

- conflict with their statutory duties as board members;
- create actual or perceived conflicts of interest between their role as board members and their political activity;
- compromise their impartiality as board members; or,
- undermine public confidence in the board or the police service.

The enclosed Advisory Bulletin #4.1 is being released in line with my statutory duty to provide advice to the Ontario policing sector concerning compliance and performance. The Advisory Bulletin provides an overview of the legislative, governance, and ethical considerations applicable to board members who participate in political activities or seek elected office. It also highlights key compliance, conflict-of-interest, accountability, and public trust considerations to support effective governance and informed decision-making.

I trust this information will be helpful to you. Should you have any questions, please contact your Police Services Advisor.

All IG Memos and Advisory Bulletins are posted on our website: www.iopontario.ca.

Sincerely,



Ryan Teschner
Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

c: Mario Di Tommaso, O.O.M.
Deputy Solicitor General, Community Safety

Enclosed: Advisory Bulletin
Political Activity: What Board Members Need to Know.

Note: Advisory Bulletins are the IG's advice provided pursuant CSPA s. 102(4) and are intended as a resource for the sector by offering the IG's general interpretation of various provisions of the CSPA. Advisory Bulletins are not legally binding, and they do not purport to address all possible factual scenarios or circumstances. As such, you may wish to consult with legal counsel to determine how this general guidance should be applied in your own local context and to navigate specific situations.



Advisory Bulletin 4.1: Political Activity – Police Service Board Members & OPP Detachment Board Members

Date of issue: July 24, 2026

What you need to know

1. Board members are governed by prescribed Codes of Conduct

The Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019 (CSPA) requires police service board members and OPP detachment board members to comply with prescribed Codes of Conduct. The Codes of Conduct are set out in:

- [Ontario Regulation 408/23 \(Code of Conduct for Police Service Board Members\)](#)
- [Ontario Regulation 409/23 \(Code of Conduct for OPP Detachment Board Members\)](#)

These Codes apply to all board members and establish conduct standards that may be engaged when members participate in **political activity** (e.g., campaigning, canvassing, attending partisan events, fundraising).

2. While political activity is not prohibited for board members, it must not conflict with board duties or undermine public trust in the board or police service

The Codes of Conduct do not contain standalone provisions related to political activity. However, they **require board members to take certain actions** where they are in a conflict of interest (defined below) and not conduct themselves in a manner that undermines, or is likely to undermine, public trust in the board or the police service.

Political activity by a board member **may raise concerns** where it:

- creates (or reasonably appears to create) a conflict of interest;
- involves speaking on behalf of the board without authorization;
- uses confidential/non-public information obtained through board duties;

- uses the member's position to benefit themselves or someone with whom they have a personal relationship (e.g. uses their official title (e.g., "Police Services Board Member") in their own election campaign materials or when publicly endorsing another candidate, creating the impression that the endorsement carries the authority or support of the board.); or,
- otherwise risks the board member or board failing to comply with the CSPA or its regulations.

This list is **not** exhaustive.

3. Key Code of Conduct provisions commonly engaged in relation to a board member's political activity

a. Public trust

Members must not conduct themselves in a way that undermines or is likely to undermine public trust in the board or the police service (subject to limited good-faith considerations).

b. Statements and communications

Members must not knowingly make false statements relating to board duties, must not purport to speak on behalf of the board unless authorized, and must clearly indicate when expressing a personal opinion when commenting on matters related to the board, the service it maintains or members of the service.

c. Confidentiality and information handling

Members must not access, collect, use, or disclose information obtained in the course of their board duties contrary to law, including the CSPA, and must not disclose to the public information obtained through board duties except as authorized by the board or as required by law.

d. Conflicts of interest and recusal

Both Codes of Conduct define "conflict of interest" broadly to include any situation where a board member's private interests or personal relationships, place, or may be reasonably perceived to place, the member in a conflict with their duties as a board member. Board members must make an individual assessment when determining whether a conflict does in fact exist, or may be perceived to exist by a reasonable member of the public. There may be instances where the prudent course of action for a board member is to err on the side of caution where there is uncertainty as to whether a conflict may be perceived to exist.

Where a conflict of interest exists, a board member is required to promptly disclose the conflict, and refrain from participating in discussion or voting with respect to the matter at a board meeting. Members should also be mindful of other applicable legal duties, including the *Municipal Conflict of Interest Act* (where applicable).

- [Municipal Conflict of Interest Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.50](#)

e. Using the position for benefit / advantage

Members must not use their position to benefit themselves, benefit someone with whom they have a personal relationship, or interfere with the administration of justice. An example of where this may be engaged is where a board role/title is used to advance a political candidate or campaign, solicit support, or create the impression of institutional endorsement.

4. Intersection with police officer political activity rules (for awareness)

Ontario Regulation 402/23 (Political Activity) restricts the forms of political activity that a police officer may engage in. While this regulation does **not** apply to board members, board members should be aware of these restrictions and ensure they do not engage with police officers, including command officers, in a manner that may result in that officer contravening the restrictions on political activity set out in this regulation. For example, a board member who is a candidate in a campaign for political office should not seek the attendance of a local chief of police at their political campaign event.

- [Ontario Regulation 402/23 \(Political Activity\)](#)

5. Misconduct disclosures and the IG complaint process

Where a board member reasonably believes another board member's conduct constitutes misconduct, the Codes of Conduct require disclosure to the board chair, or if the misconduct involves the chair, to the Inspector General of Policing (IG). As part of their statutory mandate, the IG receives and investigates complaints about board member misconduct.

- See [Inspectorate of Policing — IG Advisory Bulletin 1.4 \(for related disclosure guidance\)](#)

What you need to do

1. Keep political activity separate from your board member role

When engaging in political activity (e.g., campaigning, canvassing, attending partisan events, fundraising), ensure that you do not act so as to create the impression that you in your capacity as a board member, or the board as a whole endorses a candidate/party/position or that the police service is aligned with a political campaign.

Seeking advice: If you are unsure whether a proposed activity could create a real or perceived conflict of interest, raise concerns about public trust, involve the use of board resources, or otherwise engage your Code of Conduct obligations, seek advice as early as possible. Board members may wish to consult the board chair (or vice-chair), the board's professional staff, their municipal clerk (for election-related rules), the municipality's or board's legal counsel, as appropriate, and their Inspectorate of Policing Police Services Advisor. General IG guidance and resources are also available on the Inspectorate of Policing website ([IG Advisory Bulletins](#)).

Recommended practices:

- Do not use board or police service letterhead, email or social media accounts, contact lists, meeting time, or resources for political purposes/activity.
- If your board title appears in a biography, ensure it is accurate and accompanied by clear language that you are not speaking for the board at a political event.
- Be cautious about social media posts that may undermine public trust, including those that reference the board or police service in the context of political activity by a board member.

Boards and members should be mindful of broader election-related rules that may apply in their context, including:

- [Municipal Elections Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 32, Sched.](#)
- [Election Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.6](#)
- [Canada Elections Act, S.C. 2000, c. 9](#)

2. Align your conduct with the Code of Conduct rules on statements

If you speak publicly on policing matters while engaged in political activity, ensure that your statements and other communications, including social media posts and public comments, comply with the Code of Conduct:

- Do not represent that you are speaking for the board.
- Clearly indicate when you are offering a personal opinion.
- Avoid statements that could reasonably undermine trust in the board or police service.

3. Identify, disclose, and manage political conflicts of interest

Political activity may create an actual or perceived conflict of interest where a member is a candidate, organizer, fundraiser, or endorser in an election, or where a member's political activity relates to matters that may come before the board. For example:

- **Using the board role in political activity** (e.g., campaign messaging or advocacy) may suggest influence over or pre-judgment of matters that may come before the board.
- **Fundraising, organizing, or endorsing a political campaign** tied to policing issues may give rise to a real or perceived conflict (e.g., advocating for increased police funding or decisions the board must consider).

Where the Code of Conduct conflict of interest definition is met, members must:

- Promptly disclose the conflict of interest to the chair (or to the IG if the conflict involves the chair).
- Disclose the conflict of interest on the record at the next board meeting after disclosure.
- Not participate in discussion or voting on the matter (for which there is a conflict of interest) at board meetings.

4. Protect confidential/non-public information

Do not use or disclose information obtained or made available to you in the course of your duties as a board member, including for political purposes. **Address alleged misconduct promptly.**

If you reasonably believe a board member's political activity constitutes misconduct under the Codes of Conduct, disclose it to the chair, or to the IG if it involves the chair. A disclosure to the chair constitutes a complaint under section 106 of the CSPA. The board chair must forward this information to the IG in accordance with section 108 of the CSPA and applicable IG guidance.

- [Advisory Bulletin 1.4 | Inspectorate of Policing](#) (for related disclosure guidance)

What we will do

The Inspectorate of Policing will use information received (including disclosures and complaints relating to board member conduct) to support the IG's mandate, including by monitoring compliance and determining whether an investigation or other action is appropriate.

Where allegations of misconduct are received, the IG will review the information and circumstances to determine the appropriate response under the IG's complaint-handling and oversight framework.

The Inspectorate of Policing will continue to provide sector guidance and resources to support boards in complying with the CSPA and its regulations and maintaining public confidence in the civilian governance of policing.

Note: Advisory Bulletins are provided for information purposes only and are not a substitute for legal advice. They do not purport to address all possible factual scenarios or circumstances. As such, you may wish to consult with legal counsel to determine how this general guidance should be applied in your own local context and to navigate specific situations.

Applicable references (quick links)

- [Ontario Regulation 408/23 — Code of Conduct for Police Service Board Members](#)
- [Ontario Regulation 409/23 — Code of Conduct for OPP Detachment Board Members](#)
- [Ontario Regulation 402/23 — Political Activity](#)
- [Municipal Conflict of Interest Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.50](#)
- [Municipal Elections Act, 1996, S.O. 1996, c. 32, Sched.](#)
- [Election Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. E.6](#)
- [Canada Elections Act, S.C. 2000, c. 9](#)
- [Inspectorate of Policing — IG Advisory Bulletins](#)

Note: Advisory Bulletins are the IG's advice provided pursuant CSPA s. 102(4) and are intended as a resource for the sector by offering the IG's general interpretation of various provisions of the CSPA. Advisory Bulletins are not legally binding, and they do not purport to address all possible factual scenarios or circumstances. As such, you may wish to consult with legal counsel to determine how this general guidance should be applied in your own local context and to navigate specific situations.



Political Activity: What Board Members Need to Know

Political activity is permitted, but board members must avoid conflicts of interest, protect confidential information, and maintain public trust.

Do

- ✓ Keep campaign activity separate from your board role.
- ✓ Use accurate biographies with a disclaimer that you are not speaking for the board.
- ✓ Be cautious with social media posts that reference the board or police service.
- ✓ Seek advice if unsure about conflicts, public trust or board resources.
- ✓ Ensure any personal views expressed align with Code of Conduct obligations and do not undermine public trust.

Don't

- ✗ Use board letterhead, email, contact lists or resources for politics.
- ✗ Use your board title to advance a campaign or imply board endorsement.
- ✗ Post content that creates the impression your board member role is being used for political campaign purposes
- ✗ Assume that using your personal account means there are no Code obligations.
- ✗ Use confidential information obtained through your board duties for political purposes.

If political activity creates a conflict (actual or perceived), members must:

1. Promptly disclose it
2. Disclose it on record at the next board meeting
3. Not participate in discussion or voting on the affected matter



**Inspectorate
of Policing**

**Service d'inspection
des services policiers**

**Office of the Inspector
General of Policing**

777 Bay St.
7th Floor, Suite 701
Toronto ON M5G 2C8

**Bureau de l'inspecteur général
des services policiers**

777, rue Bay
7^e étage, bureau 701
Toronto ON M5G

Inspector General of Policing Memorandum

TO: All Chiefs of Police and
Commissioner Thomas Carrique, C.O.M.
Chairs, Police Service Boards

FROM: Ryan Teschner, Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

DATE: July 28, 2026

SUBJECT: Inspector General Memo #12: Release of the Inspector General of Policing
2025 Annual Report

Each year, the Inspector General of Policing's Annual Report provides an opportunity to reflect on the state of policing and police governance in Ontario, the challenges facing the sector, and the ongoing efforts to strengthen accountability and public confidence. I am pleased to share my 2025 Annual Report, *Oversight in Action: Advancing Integrity, Accountability, and Public Confidence*.

As required by the *Community Safety and Policing Act*, the Annual Report details how I have fulfilled my legislative responsibilities to independently oversee compliance and performance within Ontario's policing sector, including complaints addressed, inspections conducted, directions issued, and other oversight activities carried out throughout the year.

The report reflects a year of continued growth and evolution for both the Inspectorate of Policing (IoP) and Ontario's modernized policing oversight framework. It also highlights the important contributions of the dedicated professionals at the IoP whose expertise, integrity, and commitment are central to advancing our mandate. I am grateful to the team at the IoP who work for the public good by supporting improvements to policing performance to make everyone in Ontario safer.


Thank you for your continued engagement, professionalism, and commitment to serving communities across the province. The perspectives, and experiences shared by police services and police service boards throughout the year have helped inform the insights and content reflected in this report. I look forward to continuing our work together in support of effective policing, responsive governance, and public trust.

Sincerely,

Ryan Teschner

Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

c: Mario Di Tommaso, O.O.M.
Deputy Solicitor General, Community Safety



Oversight in Action: Advancing Integrity, Accountability, and Public Confidence

The Annual Report of the
Inspector General of Policing

2025

June 30, 2026

The Honourable Michael Kerzner
Solicitor General of Ontario
Ministry of the Solicitor General

Dear Solicitor General Kerzner,

I am pleased to submit the 2025 Annual Report of the Inspector General of Policing. This Report reflects a pivotal year in the continued implementation of Ontario's modernized policing oversight framework under the Community Safety and Policing Act (CSPA). In 2025, the Inspectorate of Policing (IoP) advanced from foundational development to full operationalization of its mandate—demonstrating how independent, civilian oversight strengthens accountability, performance, and public trust across Ontario's policing sector.

A central theme of this year's Report is **legitimacy as the foundation of public confidence in policing**. Our work underscores that legitimacy is not only a function of individual conduct, but of institutional design—encompassing governance structures, leadership practices, and system-wide safeguards that shape how authority is exercised and perceived. Legitimate policing is reflected in actions that are grounded in fairness, transparency, and alignment with the public interest. Independent oversight plays a critical role in sustaining this legitimacy by providing impartial scrutiny, reinforcing accountability, and helping to ensure that policing services are delivered and governed in a manner that maintains public trust. Where legitimacy is strong, consent and confidence can be sustained; where it is in question, public trust can quickly erode.

Throughout 2025, the IoP exercised its oversight responsibilities through inspections, investigations, advisory activities, data-driven analysis, and independent research. Notably, we published Ontario's first Inspector General Decisions, providing clear, public articulation of expectations for adequate and effective policing and police governance. These decisions represent an important step in embedding transparency, clarifying standards, and establishing precedents to guide the sector.

The Report also identifies a number of ongoing and intensifying challenges impacting policing and governance across Ontario. Police services and boards continue to operate in an increasingly complex financial and operational environment, marked by rising costs, expanding responsibilities, and sustained pressure on municipal budgets. The implementation of the CSPA has also introduced new legislative and governance requirements, prompting continued adaptation and capacity-building across the sector.

These pressures are compounded by human resource challenges, including recruitment and retention pressures, increased workplace absences, and emerging gaps in leadership succession. At the same time, police services are responding to growing and more complex demands, including mental health and addictions-related calls, public order events, and evolving community safety expectations. Governance bodies face parallel pressures, including turnover, vacancies, and the need for increasingly sophisticated oversight in a rapidly changing environment.

Together, these dynamics underscore the importance of strong governance, clear performance standards, and effective oversight in ensuring that policing services remain adequate, effective, and responsive to community needs.

Looking forward, the Report outlines the IoP's key areas of focus, including the launch of a province-wide inspection into police integrity and anti-corruption practices. This initiative reflects a commitment to identifying and addressing systemic risks, and ensuring that Ontario's policing institutions remain resilient in the face of emerging challenges.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the dedicated professionals of the IoP, whose expertise, integrity, and commitment have been central to advancing this work. I would also like to thank police services, police service boards, and community partners across Ontario for their continued engagement. Advancing effective, accountable, and trusted policing remains a shared responsibility.

I respectfully submit this Report for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Ryan Teschner
Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

Copy: Deputy Solicitor General Mario Di Tommaso, O.O.M.

Table of Contents

Land Acknowledgement	03	The State of Ontario's Policing Sector	26
Message from the Inspector General of Policing	05	Inside the IoP's Operations	50
About Ontario's Inspector General and the IoP	08	Future Initiatives	61
Delivering Oversight: 2025 Activities and Results	15	Acknowledgements	63

**The Inspectorate of Policing is honoured
to collaborate with First Nations, Inuit and
Métis leadership, partners and
communities across their territories.**





Land Acknowledgement

The Inspectorate of Policing recognizes that its work, and the work of its community partners, take place on traditional territory of Indigenous Nations in Ontario, including the Anishinaabe (comprising the Algonquin, Mississauga, Ojibwe, Anisininew (Oji-Cree), Odawa, and Pottawatomi peoples), the Omushkego (also known as Swampy Cree), the Lenape, and the Haudenosaunee (Mohawk, Onondaga, Oneida, Cayuga, Tuscarora, and Seneca), and the Métis peoples.

We acknowledge that there are 46 treaties and other agreements that cover the territory now known as Ontario. We acknowledge that we continue to live and work in these territories and are thankful to the First Nations and Métis peoples who have cared for these lands since time immemorial. Their enduring contributions continue to strengthen Ontario and enrich all communities. We also acknowledge the many other Indigenous peoples from Indigenous nations who call this place home.

The Inspectorate of Policing is honoured to collaborate with First Nations, Inuit, and Métis leadership, partners, and communities across their territories. The Inspectorate of Policing is committed to deepening its understanding of the rich history of this land while honouring the inherent and treaty rights of Indigenous peoples.

The Inspectorate of Policing would also like to acknowledge that its office is located in Toronto and on the traditional territory of many First Nations including the Mississaugas, the Anishinabek Nation, the Chippewas, the Haudenosaunee Confederacy, and the Wendat peoples, and is now the home to many diverse First Nations, Inuit, and Métis persons. We also acknowledge that Toronto is covered by Treaty 13 signed by the Crown and the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation, and the Williams Treaties signed with multiple Mississaugas and Chippewa nations.



Independent
civilian oversight
plays a critical role
by providing
impartial scrutiny
and reinforcing
accountability.”

Ryan Teschner

Inspector General of Policing



Message from the Inspector General of Policing of Ontario

Legitimacy is the foundation upon which public trust in policing is built. It reflects the extent to which policing is perceived as fair, accountable, and aligned with the public interest. Legitimacy is shaped not only by the actions of individual police officers, civilian members of a police service, and police service board members, but by the systems, leadership, and governance structures that guide decision-making across the policing sector. Independent civilian oversight plays a critical role in sustaining this legitimacy by providing impartial scrutiny, reinforcing accountability, and ensuring that policing authority is exercised in a manner that maintains public confidence. Where legitimacy is strong, public trust is reinforced; where it is undermined, confidence can quickly erode.

Sustaining legitimacy is a continuous responsibility—one that extends beyond individual conduct to the institutions and frameworks that shape and oversee policing in Ontario. Civilian oversight bodies, including the Inspectorate of Policing, are central to this effort. By promoting transparency, consistency, and independence in the assessment of policing practices and governance, oversight helps ensure that policing remains responsive to evolving public expectations while grounded in principles that support enduring legitimacy.

While Ontario's policing system operates in a period of heightened public expectations and increasing operational complexity, 2025 marked an important year in the continued implementation and practical application of the Inspector General of Policing's oversight mandate in Ontario, implemented through the dedicated professionals who work at Canada's first Inspectorate of Policing (IoP).

From framework to practice

Building on the foundational work of previous years, the IoP further advanced key elements of its mandate under the CSPA. The release of the first public Inspector General Decisions and Findings Reports represented an important step in demonstrating how independent oversight operates in practice. These decisions clarified expectations for adequate and effective policing, reinforced standards for police service board governance and conduct, and contributed to greater consistency and accountability across the system, supporting continuous improvement.

Throughout 2025, our oversight activity reflected both responsiveness and forward-looking priority setting. Alongside receiving and processing hundreds of public complaints, work continued on the inspection of the Thunder Bay Police Service and Board, and system-wide initiatives advanced, including research on police response times and the first successful cycle of full data collection from Ontario's municipal police services and boards. We also released our first Spotlight Report, [Policing of Protests and Major Events: Public Order Maintenance in Ontario](#), which drew on a province-wide inspection to assess the state of public order policing. The Spotlight Report identified a system that is generally strong and responsive, but increasingly under strain due to rising demand and complexity, and set out targeted recommendations to support greater consistency and long-term sustainability across the sector.

A defining feature of 2025 was direct engagement with the policing sector. Through my Inspector General Tours, I completed visits to all 43 municipal police services and boards, as well as the Ontario Provincial Police and the Nishnawbe Aski Police Service and Board. These visits reinforced the importance of understanding local realities while also highlighting that organizational culture, leadership, and day-to-day practices all play a critical role in sustaining integrity.

The IoP's engagement also extended nationally and internationally, contributing to ongoing dialogue with policing oversight partners around the world, and helping to situate Ontario's approach within a broader accountability landscape.

First Nations policing and partnerships

Progress also continued in supporting First Nations policing and governance. Through the work of the First Nations Policing Services Liaison Unit, the IoP supported the Nishnawbe Aski Police Service and its Board following their decision to opt into the CSPA.

This work included strengthening governance capacity through training and advisory support, advancing policy development to align with new requirements, and maintaining engagement with First Nations leadership and policing partners. It also extended to supporting other First Nations police services as they explore pathways within Ontario's modernized legislative policing framework.

Governance and transparency

Strengthening policing governance and transparency remained central throughout the year. The introduction of new Inspector General Advisory Bulletins, the provision of specific and real-time guidance from the IoP's Policing Liaison Advisors, and the public posting of Inspector General Decisions each marked important firsts in Ontario's policing oversight landscape. Together, these tools supported clarity and shared understanding across the policing system, helping ensure that oversight is not only exercised, but visible—reinforcing public confidence through transparency and evidence.

This work also provided the IoP with a clearer line of sight into where broader risks and vulnerabilities may exist across the system, particularly in relation to integrity. In that context and based on the allegations emerging from the criminal investigation titled 'Project South,' in February 2026, I announced the launch of a province-wide inspection into police integrity and anti-corruption practices across Ontario's police services and police service boards.

This inspection was not part of the IoP's original work plan for 2025. It was initiated in response to events that raised legitimate questions about the strength of existing integrity, supervision, and accountability systems within the policing sector. While those events originated in a single jurisdiction, the issues they revealed are not confined to one police service. Addressing them effectively requires a system-wide approach, consistent with the intent of Ontario's policing legislation and a commitment to fortifying the entirety of Ontario's policing system against corruption threats posed, among others, by organized crime.

Accordingly, the inspection is focused on structural safeguards rather than individual cases. It will assess whether policing institutions are equipped to prevent, detect, and respond to corruption threats, and whether the systems designed to support integrity are functioning well. This approach reflects a core principle of modern policing oversight that I have sought to embed in the IoP's work broadly: institutional design is as important as individual conduct.

Legitimacy, oversight, and the future of policing

My Annual Report provides both a record of the IoP's oversight activities in 2025 and a broader view of policing and governance in Ontario. It reflects a policing system that is fundamentally strong, while also navigating real operational, financial, and governance pressures at a moment where various 'winds of change' are impacting policing worldwide. In this environment, legitimacy is emerging as the defining strategic variable for the future of policing—where authority is increasingly contested, technology presents both opportunity and risk, and demand continues to expand unless deliberately constrained. The choices made now—about partnerships, consent, capability, and narrative leadership—will shape whether policing remains a trusted anchor of social stability or becomes overstretched and reactive.

This Annual Report also reflects the work of an oversight organization committed to independence, fairness, transparency, and continuous improvement—the policing sector's, as well as the IoP's.

I am deeply grateful to the dedicated professionals at the IoP, to police service boards, chiefs of police and the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police, and First Nations leadership across Ontario, as well as the many community organizations who engaged with our work this year. Advancing effective, accountable, and trusted policing is a shared responsibility. As policing evolves, so too must oversight. Oversight bodies are increasingly moving beyond traditional compliance functions toward a broader role as stewards of legitimacy—shifting from retrospective fault-finding to system-level sensemaking, and from detached review to active contributors to institutional trust.

I look forward to the work ahead as we continue to strengthen an oversight system that supports public confidence and reinforces trust in policing through transparency, accountability, and fairness. Future effectiveness will depend on our ability to adapt—ensuring that oversight frameworks, capabilities, and public narratives remain responsive in environments where trust is more fragile, systems are more complex, and authority is more actively questioned.



Ryan Teschner
Inspector General of Policing of Ontario
June 2026

About Ontario's Inspector General and the Inspectorate of Policing



The Role of the Inspector General

The Inspector General of Policing (IG) drives improved performance and accountability in policing and police governance by overseeing the delivery of adequate and effective policing across Ontario. The IG ensures compliance with the province's policing legislation and standards, and has the authority to issue progressive, risk-based and binding directions and measures to protect public safety. Ontario's Community Safety and Policing Act, which came into effect on April 1, 2024, embeds protections to ensure the IG's legal role is delivered arm's-length of government.

The IG leads the Inspectorate of Policing. The IoP provides operational support to inspect, investigate, monitor, and advise Ontario's police services, boards and special constable employers. By leveraging independent research and data intelligence, the IoP promotes leading practices and identifies areas for improvement, ensuring that high-quality policing and police governance is delivered to make everyone in Ontario safer.

In March 2023, Ryan Teschner was appointed as Ontario's first IG with duties and authorities under the CSPA. Mr. Teschner is a recognized expert in public administration, policing and police governance.

The Mandate of the IG

The IG's duties, powers and responsibilities are described in Part VII of the CSPA. The IG, supported by the IoP, serves the public interest by promoting improved performance and accountability in the policing sector, while ensuring compliance with the CSPA and its regulations. Under Ontario's CSPA, the IG is empowered to:

- Independently assess and monitor legislated policing entities;
- Provide advice and support to legislated policing entities on governance and operational matters by sharing evidence-based research and data related to performance;
- Monitor and conduct inspections of legislated policing entities and develop, maintain and manage records and conduct research and analysis to ensure compliance with the CSPA and its regulations;
- Investigate complaints concerning the delivery of policing services and the conduct of police board members;
- Issue directions to ensure compliance with the CSPA and its regulations – and, if necessary – impose measures, if there is a failure to comply; and,
- Publicly report on the activities of the IG, including publishing inspection results and an annual report.

Who the IG oversees

Under the CSPA, the IG oversees the following Ontario policing entities:

- Municipal police services and police service boards;
- Chiefs of Police;
- The Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) and OPP detachment boards;
- First Nations OPP boards and First Nations police service boards that opt-in to the CSPA;
- Any entity providing policing by an agreement authorized by the CSPA;
- Any public sector body that may be prescribed to provide policing; and
- Organizations that employ special constables.

Our Values

Driving improvements in policing performance begins with a shared commitment to values that guide the Inspectorate of Policing's work, decisions, and organizational culture.

We believe in:

Continuous Improvement

We identify effective performance in the policing sector and where improvements are needed. We focus on addressing the areas that matter most to the public.

Risk-informed approaches

We select the right tools for the right problems and consider the level of risk present when enforcing policing and police governance compliance.

Independence

We operate at arm's-length from government or any other influence to ensure impartiality and confidentiality in our operations and decision-making.

Integrity

We engage in activities in a way that inspires public confidence and that preserves our objectivity, integrity, and impartiality.

Fairness

We address issues of non-compliance in an objective and timely manner that considers local context, with a focus on ensuring trust and confidence in the policing sector.

Transparency


We are transparent in our decision-making and publicly report on our work and their results with the sector and the public.

Collaboration

We work collaboratively with our partners and the regulated entities we oversee to support their success in our shared mission of making Ontario safer.

IoP Organizational Framework

The IoP's three branches effectively support the IG in enhancing policing performance and public safety in Ontario.



Office of the Inspector General: Supports the arm's-length decision-making authority of the IG through independent legal counsel, police sector and stakeholder relations and public communications.

Investigations, Inspections, and Liaison Branch is comprised of:

- **Policing Investigations Unit:** Investigates public complaints concerning the delivery of policing services and conduct of police service board members.
- **Policing Inspections Unit:** Monitors and conducts inspections of police services and boards to ensure compliance with the CSPA and its regulations.
- **Policing Services Liaison Unit:** Provides monitoring, advisory and liaison services to support police services and boards, Ontario Provincial Policing (OPP) Detachment Boards and Special Constable Employers.
- **First Nations Policing Services Liaison Unit:** Provides advice to First Nation police services, chiefs, and boards who opt-in to the CSPA, and facilitates cultural awareness training to the IoP.

The Centre for Data Intelligence and Innovation is comprised of:

- **Analytics Unit:** Establishes and maintains the IoP's capacity for comprehensive case management, sector-wide data collection and advanced analytics.
- **Measurement, Research & Reporting Unit:** Conducts research on relevant issues faced by the sector and develops a performance measurement framework to support evidence-based decision-making and evaluation.

Complaints and Disclosures Within the IG's Jurisdiction

Under the CSPA, the IG can receive and address specific types of complaints and disclosures to support accountability, compliance, and effective police governance across Ontario.

These include the following:



Individual police service board member complaints (s.106)

Complaints may be made about the conduct of individual police service board members where it is alleged that a member has breached the provincially prescribed Code of Conduct, including obligations related to integrity, accountability, confidentiality, or conflicts of interest.



Policing complaints (s.107)

Members of the public may raise concerns related to:

- The adequacy and effectiveness of policing provided to a community;
- or its regulations;
- The policies of a police service board, OPP detachment board, First Nation OPP board, or the Minister; and
- Procedures established by a chief of police.



Disclosures of misconduct (s.185)

Members of police services and special constables may confidentially disclose information to the IG where they believe misconduct has occurred within their current or former organization.

Together, these mechanisms enable the IG to promote lawful, consistent, and accountable policing and governance across the province.

Statutory Authority to Address Inadequate Policing or Emergencies

Oversight does not pause during emergencies; in fact, it becomes even more important as decisions are made quickly and under pressure. Section 20 of the CSPA provides the IG with the authority to intervene when adequate and effective policing is not being provided in a community, or when an emergency situation exists that puts public safety at risk. This authority is intended to ensure that communities continue to receive the policing services they need in urgent or high-risk circumstances.

Where the IG determines that policing in a particular area is inadequate, or that an emergency requires immediate intervention, they may take steps to ensure policing is provided in that area. Depending on the circumstances, this may include issuing a direction to the Commissioner of the Ontario Provincial Police to provide policing, or requesting that a different municipal police service board ensure that appropriate policing services are in place.

If the IG issues an order to the OPP Commissioner, the Commissioner is required to provide policing in the affected area and to continue doing so until the IG determines that the order is no longer necessary. This ensures continuity of policing where urgent or sustained intervention is required to protect public safety.

Where a municipal police service board is engaged, the IG may request that the board ensure appropriate policing is provided in the affected area. The board may determine whether it will provide policing itself and, if so, the scope and duration of that response. This flexibility reflects the differing capacities and circumstances of local police services while still ensuring that communities receive the policing they require.


This authority is intended to be protective, focused, and proportionate. It ensures that, in urgent situations, the IG can act decisively to safeguard communities, while maintaining appropriate oversight, accountability, and transparency within Ontario's policing system.

Delivering Oversight: 2025 Activities and Results



The IG's Reporting Requirements

My Annual Report details activities from January 1 to December 31 of each calendar year.



* This figure does not include 3581 protest-related complaints received in bulk through online campaigns, many of which do not include valid contact information for complainants. Large “bulk” complaint campaigns—often tied to broader political advocacy—can significantly increase complaint volumes but may include duplicates or general expressions of concern rather than specific allegations.

** Complaints can be refused for being frivolous, vexatious, made in bad faith, or deemed not in the public interest to inspect or investigate.

Note: Although not part of our mandatory reporting requirements, the IoP received nine s. 185 disclosures from police service or special constable employees in 2025, five were refused under s. 187, three were referred to LECA under s. 189, and one was deemed to be outside the IG’s jurisdiction.


Improving Timeliness and Consistency in Complaint Screening

In 2025, the IoP reorganized our resources to create a dedicated team lead for complaint intake. Since then, we have significantly improved how quickly we review incoming complaints. On average, screening times have dropped from more than 60 days to less than one week.

This improvement is particularly notable given the growing demand on the IoP. Complaints increased by 25% over this same period, and by more than 60% since April 1, 2024.

We achieved these results by streamlining how decisions are made and introducing more consistent ways of handling common types of complaints. We have also introduced better internal tracking so we can monitor how long each stage of the complaint process takes and identify opportunities to improve.

We will continue to build on this progress by strengthening how our operational work is supported by data, in line with our broader data strategy, and by focusing on ongoing improvements to better serve the public.





Public Complaints: What We Are Hearing

Public complaints continue to provide a critical window into how policing is experienced across Ontario and how the oversight system is functioning in practice. Many complaints raise multiple issues, reflecting the interconnected nature of individual interactions, service delivery concerns and the policies and processes that guide policing.

IoP data indicates that a significant portion of complaints relate to the adequacy and effectiveness of policing, often focusing on service delivery concerns such as failure to act, investigative quality, and response times. Concerns about communication, human rights and Charter protections, and interactions in complex contexts—including mental health, neighbour disputes, and incidents involving violence or fraud—further highlight the range and seriousness of issues raised by the public.

While the largest category of complaints we investigate concerns the delivery of adequate and effective policing, there is also a clear and growing focus on governance. Complaints related to police service boards and chiefs' policies, though smaller in number, signal increasing public awareness that accountability extends beyond individual officers to include those responsible for oversight, direction, and standards of policing.

At the same time, there is a proportion of complaints that fall outside the IoP's jurisdiction, underscoring the complexity of Ontario's multi-body oversight framework. The consistent two-way flow of referrals between the IoP and LECA demonstrates that the system is functioning as intended, with complaints directed to the body best positioned to address them.

Driving Continuous Improvement through Policies and Procedure Review

Sections 107(1)(c) and (d) of the CSPA allow members of the public to make complaints to the IG about the policies and procedures of police service boards and the Minister as well as the operational practices and directives established by chiefs of police.

When the IoP receives these types of complaints, they are shared with the relevant police service board or the Solicitor General and a formal response is requested. This process not only ensures accountability but also creates opportunities for continuous improvement.

Over the past year, we have seen encouraging results. In several cases, police services and boards identified gaps in their policies or procedures and took proactive steps to address them. Many also engaged directly with complainants—providing clear explanations, offering formal apologies where appropriate, and taking corrective action. These efforts have led to meaningful improvements and, in many cases, positive outcomes for those who raised concerns.

Examples of these improvements include:

- **Modernizing policies:** Several police service boards identified outdated policies and updated them to align with current legislative requirements. In doing so, they also reviewed related policies to ensure consistency and compliance.
- **Working collaboratively with the public:** In one case, a police service worked directly with a complainant who had subject-matter expertise to co-develop training, policies, and procedures aligned with the *Provincial Offences Act*.
- **Enhancing public safety at demonstrations:** A board recognized that its policies did not adequately address the safety and rights of bystanders at public demonstrations and committed to addressing this gap.
- **Strengthening oversight of procedures:** One board directed its chief of police to conduct a formal review of procedures related to coroner notifications to ensure compliance with legal obligations.
- **Improving public communications:** A police service revised how it uses social media for missing person reports to ensure information is clear, accurate, and accessible.


These examples demonstrate the value of public complaints as a tool for continuous improvement. They help identify issues, strengthen public trust, and ensure that policing policies and practices evolve to meet community needs and raise the performance bar across the Ontario policing sector.

2025 IG Decisions with Sector-Wide Impact

This section highlights select decisions issued in 2025, the inaugural year of published IG Decisions under the CSPA, chosen for their broader, sector-wide significance.

IG Decisions are legally binding, written determinations issued following investigations within the IG's mandate, often arising from public complaints. They are informed by detailed Findings Reports and set out whether police services and police service boards have met provincial laws, standards, and expectations. Where issues are identified, Decisions may include Directions or Measures to address those issues, while also recognizing areas of strong performance.

The below examples show how oversight is applied in practice to clarify expectations, address concerns, and recognize both strong performance and areas requiring accountability. Taken together, they demonstrate how independent oversight supports lawful, effective, and trusted policing and police governance across the province. IG Decisions are regularly posted on the IoP's website: www.iopontario.ca and the Canadian Legal Information Institute website: www.canlii.org.



Ensuring Use-of-Force Options Used by Police Services Comply with Legal Requirements and Align with Good Governance

The IoP completed an investigation into a public complaint concerning the acquisition and deployment of a less-lethal weapons system (the PepperBall System) by an Ontario police service. In [Peel Regional Police – Decision INV-24-45](#), the investigation examined compliance with the CSPA and related regulations governing the authorization, training, and oversight of police use-of-force options.

I found that although the police service undertook internal due diligence and training initiatives, provincial law requires that members may only carry or use this regulated weapon once training standards have been formally prescribed by the Minister. At the time the weapon was authorized for use, no such provincially approved training standards existed. Internal or manufacturer-led training, while well-intentioned, could not substitute for legislated requirements. As a result, the service was found to be non-compliant with provincial law.

To address this issue, I issued a binding direction requiring the immediate cessation of the weapon's use until provincially prescribed training requirements are established and completed by all police members that would be deployed with the weapon. The decision also reinforced the governance role of police service boards, emphasizing the importance of transparency and board awareness regarding significant operational decisions, such as the procurement and deployment of regulated weapons.

This decision highlights my role in promoting legality, consistency, and accountability across Ontario's policing system.

Recognizing Strong Practices in Police Investigation and Enforcement

The IoP completed an investigation into a public complaint about whether a municipal police service took appropriate enforcement action in relation to unlicensed cannabis retail activity.

In [Waterloo Regional Police – Decision INV-24-14](#), I concluded the police service complied with the CSPA and regulations and provided adequate and effective policing. I described the service's actions as "an excellent example" of good policing, strong coordination with other law enforcement and Crown partners, and good "customer service" to a member of the public who raised a safety concern, and noted the service exceeded the applicable standards in the circumstances.

In terms of principles that will have broader application to future decisions, I also emphasized that "adequate and effective" policing is assessed using a reasonableness standard—focused on whether actions fall within a range of appropriate responses in context, rather than requiring perfection. In this case, I found the service's approach fell well within that range and, importantly, praised it as a strong example of effective planning, coordination, and communication with the public.

Clarifying Board Member Duties and the Need for Confidentiality

Concerns raised by a member of the public led to a review of how a police service board member handled confidential information obtained through closed board meetings (these are meetings from which the public are excluded, which is permitted under the CSPA in certain circumstances). The complaint alleged that information about a planned police response to a public safety event was disclosed publicly by the board member without authorization.

In [Gananoque Police Service Board – Decision INV-24-34](#), after reviewing the IoP’s investigation and the board member’s submissions, I found that the member breached Ontario’s Code of Conduct for Police Service Board Members. The decision confirmed that board members have a clear obligation to protect confidential information obtained through their governance role.

The decision provides important guidance for Ontario’s police governance sector by clarifying the “two hats” concept for municipal officials (mayors and municipal councillors) who also serve on police service boards. It emphasizes that municipal responsibilities do not override board member obligations, particularly the duty to protect confidential information obtained through closed board proceedings.

As I made clear, holding dual roles does not dilute or diminish the obligations attached to each: board members must “respect and safeguard the confidentiality of information obtained in the course of their duties.” The decision underscores that these obligations are foundational to effective governance and informed oversight, and cannot be set aside in favour of broader municipal or political considerations.

I found there was no actual conflict between the two roles this board member occupied, the role of mayor and the role of board member—noting that both could have been fulfilled while maintaining confidentiality. I went on to decide that while a suspension would normally have been considered, no additional measure was imposed because the member had already been restricted from board duties during the investigation. This decision reinforces the importance of confidentiality in effective police governance and maintaining public trust.



Compliance with the CSPA

My statutory mandate requires a sector-wide and continuous assessment of compliance with the CSPA and its regulations.

Following the implementation of the CSPA, the Ontario Civilian Police Commission (OCPC) was dissolved and as IG, I assumed responsibility for oversight functions that continue to be relevant to compliance with Ontario's policing laws and governance standards. As OCPC investigations or reports were completed under the former framework, my role is to ensure continuity and accountability by monitoring the implementation of OCPC's recommendations. This approach reinforces the expectation that outstanding issues identified under the previous system continue to be addressed within the new legislative framework, as appropriate.

Through the work of its Policing Services Liaison Unit, the IoP has been monitoring specific police services to ensure they are implementing recommendations from past OCPC reports. To support our commitment to public transparency, we provide updates on our work on the [monitoring section](#) of our website.

Looking ahead, the IoP will begin to include summary reporting on these monitoring activities, providing greater insight into how recommendations are being implemented across police services and boards over time.

Durham Regional Police Service and Durham Regional Police Service Board

The IoP is monitoring the Durham Regional Police Service and Board following the completion of an OCPC investigation in June 2025. That investigation resulted in a series of recommendations addressing governance, workplace culture, leadership, accountability, and oversight practices.

My role is to ensure continuity and accountability by actively monitoring how those recommendations are being implemented under the CSPA. This has included assessing actions taken by the Service and Board, identifying key themes and risks, engaging directly with leadership and associations, and setting clear expectations for sustained improvement. The IoP's monitoring approach emphasizes addressing not only individual recommendations, but, importantly, the underlying systemic issues identified through the investigation, with the goal of supporting effective policing, strengthening governance, and fostering a healthier and more respectful workplace in the long-term.

Thunder Bay Police Service and Thunder Bay Police Service Board

The IoP is undertaking an **enhanced monitoring role** focused on tracking and supporting the implementation of key recommendations from the *Sinclair* and *Broken Trust* reports — both of which identified significant concerns related to police governance, investigative practices, and systemic issues affecting public trust, particularly in relation to Indigenous communities.

The IoP requires the Police Service Board and Chief of Police to provide regular, standardized reports on progress, priorities, and any decisions not to implement specific recommendations, with submissions required twice annually. To support our monitoring work, the IoP has introduced structured reporting instruments that enable consistent tracking of implementation, clarify expected outcomes, and support prioritization of actions aligned with legislative requirements, as well as good policing and governance practices.

Beyond formal reporting, the IoP maintains ongoing engagement and direct advisory support, working closely with the Board and Service to highlight progress, identify gaps, and provide guidance on governance, compliance, and operational practices. This includes monitoring local issues and the Service's capacity to address them, with a focus on continuous improvement.

Through this enhanced approach, the IoP is providing active, sustained oversight in Thunder Bay, combining performance tracking, transparency, and advisory support to help ensure meaningful and measurable progress.

Directions Issued

Under section 125(1), if the IG is of the opinion that there is evidence of non-compliance with the CSPA or its regulations, the IG may issue Directions to prevent or remedy non-compliance.

In December 2025, I issued a Direction to the Peel Regional Police's (PRP) Chief of Police to do the following:

- a) Ensure that all reasonable steps are taken so that no PRP member carries or uses the PepperBall system until such time as (i) training for the carrying and use of the PepperBall system has been prescribed by law, and (ii) this training is successfully completed by those PRP members whom the Chief of Police authorizes to carry or use the PepperBall system;
- b) Communicate this Direction to all appropriate PRP members who have a role in weapons deployment or the supervision of weapons use; and
- c) Advise the IG within 15 days of the date of this Decision that no PRP member is permitted to carry or use the PepperBall system, and that items a) and b) of this Direction have been implemented.

The PRP has complied with the Direction.

Measures Imposed Under Section 126

If an entity fails to comply with a section 125(1) Direction, the IG is empowered to impose any of the following Measures pursuant to section 126(1) of the CSPA:

- Removing or suspending a chief of police, one or more members of a police services board, or the whole board;
- Appointing an administrator to a police service; or,
- Dissolving a police services board or disbanding a police service.

I did not impose any Measures under Section 126 in 2025.

Additional Prescribed Matters

I do not have any other prescribed matters to report on under this section.

The State of Ontario's Policing Sector



Oversight in Practice: Monitoring and Advisory Work

A key component of the IoP's work is its ongoing engagement with police services and police service boards to monitor the state of policing across Ontario. This function is carried out through the Police Services Liaison Unit (PSLU), whose advisors provide continuous, front-line insight into emerging issues, support compliance with legislative requirements, and promote effective governance and accountability.

Police Services Advisors work closely with services and boards to monitor activities and assess compliance with the CSPA and its regulations. This includes identifying potential areas of risk, bringing emerging concerns to the attention of those responsible, and seeking commitments for corrective action where appropriate. Where issues cannot be resolved through advisory engagement, matters may be escalated to formal inspection or directive processes. Advisors also provide practical guidance within the legislative framework and maintain ongoing relationships with policing partners to support awareness of requirements and encourage sustained compliance.

Over the past year, this work has included targeted advisory support on a range of compliance and governance matters. Areas of focus have included the implementation of the Conflicts of Interest Regulation and related notification obligations; requirements governing the provision of policing services, including agreements between police service boards and service providers, as well as requests for temporary policing assistance; and board member conduct issues, including disclosures related to misconduct and conflicts. The PSLU has also monitored planning for major events, including preparations for the FIFA World Cup 2026™, where early engagement has supported readiness and identified areas where the IoP may need to provide further assistance.

Through this ongoing monitoring and advisory work, the PSLU contributes to a current, system-wide understanding of policing in Ontario—identifying trends, supporting compliance, and helping to address issues before they require formal intervention.

Issue Specific Inspection: Thunder Bay Police Service and Board

On October 10, 2024, I initiated an issue-specific inspection of the Thunder Bay Police Service and the Thunder Bay Police Services Board in response to several public complaints. The inspection examines whether the Service's practices for investigating sudden deaths and missing persons comply with the requirements of the CSPA. It also assesses the Board's governance responsibilities and reviews how both the Service and Board have responded to longstanding concerns identified through previous investigations and inquiries, including *Broken Trust*, the Seven Youth Inquest, and *Re-Broken Trust*.

The inspection focuses on factors that influence investigative quality and on the Service's interactions with Indigenous communities in the context of these investigations. In assessing progress, the IoP's Inspection Team has considered a decade of recommendations aimed at improving cultural competency, investigative practices, and responses to incidents occurring near waterways. The inspection also takes into account the transition to the CSPA, which introduced new legal standards for governance, investigations, and training, effective April 1, 2024.

Recognizing the sensitive nature of this work, the Inspection Team undertook trauma-informed and cultural competency training before the inspection began. This approach was intended to support respectful engagement with affected individuals, families, and communities. Throughout the inspection, the team emphasized transparency and communication, offering participants flexibility in how they engaged and providing regular updates to affected families.

The inspection to date has followed a structured, multi-phase process that included extensive document review, direct access to investigative records, and wide-ranging interviews with Thunder Bay Police Service members and external stakeholders across the province. This breadth of engagement was intended to ensure the inspection reflects diverse perspectives and lived experience.

Some additional inspection work is being undertaken in the final phase. Following this, the Inspection Team will prepare a Findings Report for my review and decision-making. If areas of non-compliance are identified, steps will be taken to support improvement and validate corrective action. The Findings Report and my Decision will be publicly released, and made available on our website, consistent with my commitment to transparency and accountability.



Results of the IoP's 2024 Policing Insight Statement Survey

In our first year of operations, the IoP's Centre for Data Intelligence and Innovation (CDII) administered the **Policing Insight Statement** survey to gain information and perspectives from those directly engaged in delivering and governing local policing services — that is, chiefs of police and police service boards. The insights generated from this survey, including key challenges faced by services and boards, informed last year's *State of Policing* section of the Annual Report. The section below is a more fulsome report of the survey's methods and additional key findings that were not previously reported.

At its core, the Policing Insight Statement provides the IoP with valuable information concerning the realities, gaps, and challenges facing Ontario policing. These findings help inform the IoP's priorities and guide its work to address key sector challenges, advance leading practices, and support improved performance in community safety.

Survey Details	
Participation Criteria	43 municipal police services 43 police service boards
Response Rate	40 municipal police services (93%) 38 police service boards (88%)

Police services and boards were asked open-ended questions that explored the following areas of focus:

Adequate & Effective Policing

This survey area asked for descriptions of the information and approaches police services and boards use to assess the adequacy and effectiveness of police service delivery and governance, in accordance with the requirements of O. Reg. 392/23: Adequate and Effective Policing (General), a regulation made under the CSPA. This regulation creates legal obligations for the delivery and governance of police services and boards, grounded in the principle that communities should receive high-quality services that are responsive and accountable.

Responses revealed a range of approaches used by police service boards to evaluate performance, from community engagement and data analytics to strategic planning and policy review (Table 1). Taken together, these methods reflect a commitment to supporting public safety, fostering trust, and meeting both legislative standards and community expectations. The IoP’s analysis also revealed variation in the approaches, rigour, and sophistication of the evaluative processes employed by police services and boards, highlighting an opportunity for further work in how policing and police governance performance is measured and assessed.

Table 1. Approaches Used by Police Services and Boards to Assess Adequate and Effective Policing

	Community Engagement & Feedback	Builds relationships to identify concerns and support service delivery.
	Reports & Data Analytics	Measures and monitors various key performance indicators (KPIs) and effectiveness of initiatives and programs.
	Board Reviews	Ensures adequacy through review of reports and timely communications.
	Partnerships with Local Organizations	Supports public safety through collaboration with community partners.
	Strategic Plans	Enhances transparency and reports on progress of objectives, KPIs, and targets.
	Review of Internal Processes & Policies	Ensures compliance and accountability and identifies areas of improvement.

Internal & External Challenges

Police services and boards were asked to identify the most challenging internal (occurring within the organization) and external (occurring outside the organization) issues that they were experiencing and which impacted policing and its governance. Identifying these issues raises awareness of common and unique challenges faced by the policing sector across Ontario. These insights also support the IoP's planning, prioritization, research, and investigation of key sector issues that enables the identification of leading practices to promote continuous improvement.

A thematic analysis of responses revealed the top five internal and external challenges identified by police services and police service boards. While these challenges were described in detail in my 2024 Annual Report's State of Policing section, the graphic below shows the challenges shared by police services and boards, as well as those unique to each group.



Response Times

Police services, police service boards, and communities across the province have increasingly identified emergency response times as a critical issue and a key measure of police performance. The importance of this issue is also reflected in complaints submitted to the IoP related to the delivery of adequate and effective policing.

In response, and consistent with our mandate to address pressing sector-wide challenges, the IoP is conducting detailed research on police response time practices across Ontario (see the Examining Police Response Times Across Ontario section of this Annual Report). The research focuses on trends and challenges affecting response times in policing jurisdictions across Ontario, including issues concerning standards, measurement and performance analysis.

Municipal Police Services

A subset of police services responded to questions on trends in response time (26) and overall call volume (22). The data shows that across services of all sizes – small, medium, and large – and varying geographic regions, the most commonly reported trend is an increase in both response times (in other words, the length of time for a response to be provided has increased in general) and the volume of calls for service (Table 3). **As evidenced by these findings, rising response times are not isolated to specific jurisdictions but reflect a broader, province-wide reality.**

Table 3. Trends in Response Time and Calls for Service Reported by Municipal Police Services

	Responses Received*	Increase	Decrease	No Change
Response Time	26	14 (54%)	3 (12%)	9 (35%)
Call for Service	22	19 (86%)	1 (5%)	2 (9%)

**Notes: Although 40 police services responded to the survey, not all services reported trends in response time or calls for service.*

Percentages for response time trends sum over 100% due to rounding.

Municipal Police Service Boards Information Sharing and Decision-Making

Police service boards were asked about the type of information they received from police services regarding response times, and how that information is considered in decision-making processes.

Currently, boards receive a range of response time data from police services, including:

- Average or median response time, often specified by priority level.
- Queue, dispatch, and travel times for calls for service.
- General statistics on calls for service and responses.

Across responses, boards reported using this information to support several key functions, including operational budget planning, strategic planning, and staffing and resource allocation. However, what still remains unclear is how boards are more concretely leveraging this information to measure police service performance or identify where further governance interventions may be required.

What We Heard

- Lack of standardization in response time definitions and metrics limits comparability across services.
- The IG should consider establishing a standard definition of 'police response time.'
- An absence of provincial standards makes it difficult to determine the reliability and consistency of response time.



Pressures and Progress Across Policing and Governance

Consistent with what we heard from our 2024 Policing Insight Statement survey, sustained sector engagement through our advisory and liaison work, daily media tracking, research consultations, and continued inspection and investigation efforts point to a number of recurring challenges. Police services and police service boards across Ontario continue to operate in an increasingly complex financial and operational environment. Rising police budgets, coupled with the financial pressures associated with implementing the CSPA, have required boards and municipalities to carefully navigate budget approval processes and competing local priorities.

These pressures are compounded by expanding responsibilities, including increased expectations related to court security, as well as delays in receiving grant funding that can disrupt planning and place strain on services relying on time-limited financial support. At the operational level, services are also managing challenges related to court and bail processes, including the impacts of bail releases on workload and community safety, alongside increased Workplace Safety and Insurance Board (WSIB)-related pressures as more members are off work due to illness or injury.

At the same time, police services and boards are facing persistent human resource and governance challenges. Recruitment and retention pressures continue across the sector, making it more difficult to sustain staffing levels and to develop future leaders. Fewer members are pursuing leadership pathways, creating challenges for succession planning and long-term organizational stability. These pressures are also reflected at the governance level, where police service boards—and particularly OPP detachment boards—are experiencing turnover, vacancies, and challenges related to the timely appointment of members.

While these challenges are significant and persist across much of the province, there are also examples of practical approaches that support clarity, accountability, and public transparency, even in a demanding environment.


Enhancing Community Safety Through Partnership-Based Policing and Integrated Care



In response to community concerns related to open-air substance use and mental health, the **London Police Service** has implemented modern, partnership-based response models that combine targeted deployment with compassionate approaches to service delivery. The Open-Air Substance Use Strategy represents a shift from enforcement to collaboration, with officers working in partnership with mental health and addiction professionals in priority areas. This approach centers on community safety through coordinated engagement, referrals to supports, and targeted enforcement, when necessary, while also enhancing visibility, strengthening relationships, and building trust through trauma-informed interactions. Officers are better equipped to resolve issues on scene with partner expertise, helping to reduce reliance on police enforcement and hospital emergency department use.

The LPS Nurse Police Response Teams pair officers with registered nurses to respond to calls involving a healthcare component. These teams conduct on-scene assessments, support de-escalation, and connect individuals to appropriate community resources, ensuring compassionate care while reducing unnecessary hospital visits.

Overall, these modern response models have strengthened the LPS's ability to respond to complex social issues, while easing pressures on frontline resources, reinforcing community partnerships, and improving public trust.



Enhancing Downtown Safety with Proactive Policing



Police services are strengthening community safety efforts in targeted and efficient ways by increasing officer presence and patrols in their respective downtown areas, in response to concerns from residents and businesses. The **Barrie Police Service** implemented a mobile deployment model for Special Constables to enhance public safety through increased visibility, proactive patrols, and stronger community engagement. The model was designed to improve public confidence and perceptions of safety by providing a flexible, responsive presence throughout key community locations and the downtown core.



The **Kingston Police Service** expanded its police patrol presence in the downtown areas of concern, making positive contributions to public safety by deterring crime through visibility, prevention and early intervention. Having dedicated foot patrol officers has played a key role in strengthening community relationships through consistent presence in the downtown core, enhancing visibility, trust, and proactive community safety efforts. This ongoing engagement has improved accessibility and encourages direct interaction with officers, helping to foster a safer, more welcoming and vibrant downtown environment for everyone.





Enhancing Downtown Safety with Proactive Policing

- The **Hamilton Police Service** implemented a similar initiative with their data-driven Core Patrol pilot project, focusing on a concentrated downtown area accounting for 28.1% of citizen-generated calls for service in 2023. They have expanded patrol staffing from two to six officers, and statistical evaluation confirmed that the increase in staffing correlated with a significant reduction in both calls for service and crime occurrences within the Core Patrol area.
- The **Guelph Police Service's** Downtown Public Safety Initiative focused on enhancing safety and wellbeing in the downtown core through a coordinated, partnership-based approach. In 2025, the initiative combined targeted enforcement with proactive outreach and integrated support from community partners, including mental health and social service agencies.

A key component of the initiative is the Downtown IMPACT Worker Program, delivered in partnership with the Canadian Mental Health Association (CMHA). Through this program, officers and embedded community partners provided compassionate, immediate support to individuals in crisis, prioritizing diversion and connection to care. In 2025, this approach resulted in 150+ referrals to community support services, alongside hundreds of direct interactions with vulnerable individuals.

In 2025, members responded to over 5,000 calls for service in the downtown area while also undertaking more than 1,000 proactive initiatives, including special projects, directed patrols, and community engagement activities. This included over 550 directed patrols and 340+ community contacts, demonstrating a sustained commitment to visible, proactive policing.

The initiative also contributed to a substantial number of arrests and charges addressing open drug use and associated criminal behaviour, resulting in the seizure of illegal drugs, weapons, and stolen property. These outcomes underscore the positive impact that focused resource deployment can have on community safety.



Strengthening Police Response to Hate Incidents and Public Order Challenges



The **Durham Regional Police Service** reported a 173% increase in hate-motivated incidents in 2024 compared to the five-year average, leading to the creation of a dedicated Hate Crime Unit. The unit manages all hate crime investigations while providing specialized support to frontline officers and investigators, including report review, expert guidance, and service-wide training to improve recognition, legal understanding, and investigative practices. It has helped reduce pressure on the Criminal Investigations Bureau and strengthened response consistency.

The unit also streamlined prosecution through coordination with a dedicated Crown and a defined process for obtaining Attorney General consent, improving case quality and reducing delays. In addition, participation in provincial initiatives enables real-time intelligence sharing and supports awareness of emerging hate-related trends and threats.



In response to dynamic geopolitical developments and heightened risks to vulnerable communities in 2024, the **Toronto Police Service** introduced Project Resolute as a coordinated, city-wide operational initiative to enhance public safety, maintain lawful protest activity, and strengthen community reassurance. Project Resolute has significantly enhanced the Service's ability to respond to rapidly evolving public order events while maintaining proactive engagement with affected communities.

In 2024, the Service responded to over 2,000 unplanned events, with more than half linked to Project Resolute, while officers conducted over 60,000 community engagements at key institutions to enhance visibility and trust. The Service expanded online reporting for hate crimes and graffiti and increased transparency through public release of hate crime data. Together, these measures improved accessibility, situational awareness, early risk identification, and public confidence in the Service's response to hate-motivated incidents.

Increasing Public Safety with Technological Advancements

- The **Brantford Police Service** expanded its implementation of Versaterm CommunityConnect (formerly SPIDR Tech), a communication platform that sends timely updates to the public by text or email regarding their requests for service. The Service has been using it to deliver post-incident surveys to assess community trust and satisfaction, and has recently expanded it to connect individuals with appropriate support services. For designated incident types, the system provides timely information about resources available through Victim Services of Brant, ensuring those impacted by crime are informed and supported. A total of 16,912 texts were sent in 2025.
- The **Waterloo Police Service** is leading the Emergency Services Cooperative (ESCO), a shared IT and technology-modernization model that streamlines digital infrastructure to enhance service delivery across public safety agencies. By providing scalable, modern systems under a unified framework, ESCO works to reduce duplication and lower costs. The shared model ensures that all partners and customers, regardless of size, can access enterprise-grade tools.

ESCO agencies will gain valuable insights into emergency situations and respond more effectively. A data-driven approach enables the identification of patterns and trends, allowing for proactive measures to prevent emergencies and improve response times. By leading ESCO, the Service is helping to improve community safety, strengthen interoperability across jurisdictions, and ensure that other organizations are positioned to take advantage of innovative and sustainable technologies as they emerge.



Coordinated Enforcement Through Interagency Partnership



In 2025, the **Ontario Provincial Police**-led Provincial Auto Theft and Towing (PATT) Team, in partnership with the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), concluded Project Chickadee, a complex investigation that disrupted an organized criminal network involved in the theft and international export of stolen vehicles. The investigation targeted individuals and businesses allegedly facilitating the movement of stolen vehicles through the freight forwarding sector, demonstrating the value of intelligence-led policing and interagency collaboration in combatting organized crime. By dismantling a sophisticated international criminal operation, Project Chickadee strengthened efforts to protect Ontario communities, disrupt transnational auto theft networks, and safeguard the integrity of Canada's supply chain and border security.



Supporting Member Wellness Through Leadership and Governance



To address the mental health and wellness of its officers and staff, the **North Bay Police Service** hired a Wellness Coordinator who has served as a champion for mental health being embedded in the Service's culture. They organized a First Responders Wellness Symposium in October of 2025 for all agencies in the area.



The **Barrie Police Service** offers a strong example of how leadership and governance can support member wellness and long-term organizational resilience. Building on the intent of the *Supporting Ontario's First Responders Act*, the Service—supported by its Police Service Board—made a deliberate decision to strengthen mental health supports for members by expanding annual mental health benefits to unlimited coverage for both members and their dependents. This investment was guided by the belief that early, preventative care can reduce long-term absences and support operational sustainability.

Recognizing that access to supports alone does not address stigma, the Service introduced a Mental Health Incentive in 2024 to encourage annual mental health check-ups. Members who participate receive additional time off, helping to normalize conversations about mental health and significantly increase the use of available benefits. These efforts have contributed to a culture where mental health care is viewed as a proactive and routine aspect of overall wellness.

Education has also played an important role. Supervisors received targeted training on the complexities of WSIB processes and the operational impacts associated with member absences, leading to greater understanding and more supportive workplace responses. The Service also moved away from standardized return-to-work timelines, adopting a fully individualized approach that recognizes the unique nature of mental stress injuries.



Strengthening Frontline Capacity and Recruitment

- In response to the enactment of the CSPA and the expansion of authorities and responsibilities of Special Constables under it, the **Hamilton Police Service** launched the 180 Wagon program, assigning Special Constables to non-core policing tasks that had traditionally drawn resources away from frontline patrol officers. A total of 805 events were diverted from frontline patrol officers, representing an estimated 2,172 hours of work. The program was successful in reducing pressure on officer resources and improved the Service's overall capacity to deliver public safety.
- Recognizing that there was an experience gap in candidates who had applied to be police officers, the **South Simcoe Police Service** started a cadet program to help hire candidates who have potential but may require more time to develop. This program enabled the Service to develop candidates into police officers themselves, resulting in two cadets hired as police constables. It has been successful in supporting resourcing and succession planning within the Service.



Supporting Community Understanding Through Information-Sharing




In August 2025, the **Peterborough Police Service** introduced its Community Safety Map, a public-facing tool, created and maintained by in-house resources, and designed to improve transparency and support community involvement in crime prevention. The interactive map provides residents with access to recent calls-for-service information, displayed in an aggregated and privacy-protective way, to help explain patterns and trends in police activity over time.

By making this information accessible and easy to understand, the Service supports community awareness and informed dialogue about public safety, while clearly communicating the limits of the data and the importance of responsible interpretation. This approach reflects a practical way policing organizations can strengthen transparency and partnership with the public using existing information and technology, without compromising privacy or operational integrity.



The **Aylmer Police Service Board** has started live streaming its board meetings to the town's YouTube channel, thus increasing transparency and accountability to the public. By appointing a Board Secretary, the Board has been able to streamline their operations and provide recordings of meetings online.



Advancing Victim-Centered Policing

- The **Stratford Police Service Board** acquired an accredited facility dog named Dusty through National Service Dogs and Wounded Warriors Canada, to support member mental health and wellness initiatives, aid victims and vulnerable persons, and engage with the community at large.



- The **Peel Regional Police Service Board** convened Ontario's first Victims and Survivors Symposium to address gaps in integrating lived experience into policing governance and policy. Co-hosted with **Peel Regional Police**, the event brought together approximately 300 participants—including survivors, community organizations, police leaders, and all levels of government—to elevate victim perspectives and strengthen collaboration. Insights from the symposium are informing Board priorities, policy development, and advocacy, ensuring decisions are more grounded in community experience and social impact. The symposium produced a series of recommendations focused on bail reform, victim supports, information sharing, and justice system accountability, which continue to inform the Board's advocacy efforts with provincial and federal partners. It also established a foundation for ongoing engagement with victims and survivors, ensuring lived experience remains a key consideration in policing governance, community safety, and public policy discussions.


- The Victim Response Support Unit (VRSU) was established to enhance the **Ontario Provincial Police's** response to abuse-related investigations by strengthening trauma-informed, victim-centred policing across the province. In 2025, the VRSU advanced the Detachment Abuse Issues Investigator (DAII) Program through formal program evaluation, enhanced oversight, mentorship, and the development of new investigative resources, reporting tools, compliance reports, and performance dashboards to support consistent, high-quality investigations. The VRSU also strengthened investigative excellence by expanding multidisciplinary reviews of sexual assault investigations through The Regional Collaborative Review Committee and enhancing frontline resources, specialized training, and partnerships to support coordinated, victim-centred responses.

Strengthening Governance Through Public Transparency



One governance practice that merits highlighting is the approach adopted by the **Owen Sound Police Service Board** to track and report on strategic priorities. In March 2023, the Board approved a four-year operations plan that set out clear goals supported by specific, measurable actions. Each action was assigned responsibility to a designated position and individual, reinforcing accountability, ownership and regularized reporting.

Progress against the plan is reported publicly to the Board every six months, with detailed updates presented during open Board meetings. To date, the Board has received regular progress updates showing substantial completion of planned actions, along with candid discussion of outcomes achieved and challenges affecting items still underway. This structured and transparent approach supports effective board governance, strengthens accountability, and enhances public understanding of how strategic priorities are being implemented over time.





Supporting First Nations Policing and Governance

Throughout 2025, the IoP's First Nations Policing Liaison Unit (FNPLU) continued to support the Nishnawbe Aski Police Service (NAPS) and the Nishnawbe Aski Police Service Board following their historic decision to opt into the CSPA in December 2024. This milestone marked an important step forward for First Nations policing in Ontario. It brought NAPS within the province's modern oversight framework while recognizing the need for oversight that is culturally responsive and grounded in the realities of northern and remote First Nations communities.

Strengthening Governance and Board Leadership

Over the course of the year, the IoP supported governance continuity as the Board experienced routine leadership transitions. The year included ongoing work to refine governance processes and maintain continuity during changes in Board composition. These activities ensured that the Board remained focused on its core responsibilities, including policy development, oversight planning, and preparation for future leadership transitions.

Earlier in the year, the Board completed mandatory governance training under the CSPA. This training strengthened its understanding of legislative roles and responsibilities and supported its ability to carry out effective oversight. It also helped ensure that governance practices remained aligned with provincial requirements while reflecting community realities.

Advancing Priority Policies

Progress was also made on key policy development during the year. Work on the Board's new Critical Points Policy—which sets out how and when significant information about serious or high-impact policing incidents is brought to the attention of the Board—advanced steadily throughout 2025, moving from initial discussion into more formal review later in the year. This progress reflects the Board's growing capacity and its commitment to strengthening governance over time.

Engagement with Partners and First Nations Leadership

Throughout the year, the IoP remained engaged with policing partners, including the OPP Indigenous Policing Bureau, to support shared understanding of oversight responsibilities across Ontario's different First Nations policing models.

Sector engagement also included attendance at the joint Indigenous Police Chiefs of Ontario and Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police Indigenous Policing Symposium, where the IoP contributed to discussions on oversight, governance capacity, and special constable employer obligations.

Additional engagement included coordination of a meeting with Nishnawbe Aski Nation (NAN) Deputy Grand Chief Mike Metatawabin to ensure NAN perspectives informed the oversight activities related to the IoP's Thunder Bay Police Service inspection work.

Supporting Additional First Nations Policing Transitions

Through relationships established by the FNPLU, the IoP has provided advice and information to First Nations police services about the opt-in process under the CSPA. The IoP also supported First Nations police services that received Ministerial approval to operate as special constable employers by helping them better understand their legislative responsibilities, training requirements, and potential future pathways within the CSPA. In addition, the IoP supported several First Nations communities interested in engaging with OPP detachment boards, helping to strengthen shared governance and oversight arrangements across the province.

Challenges and Lessons Learned

While meaningful progress was made, several ongoing challenges continued to shape First Nations policing and oversight across Ontario.

- **Capacity limitations in remote and northern communities**—driven by geography, infrastructure constraints, and long-standing under-resourcing—continued to affect both policing delivery and oversight activities. These realities required flexibility in travel, scheduling, and community engagement.
- **The coexistence of multiple policing models**—including opt-in First Nations services, non-opt-in services, OPP Indigenous policing arrangements, and special constable employers—also created complexity. Navigating this landscape requires ongoing clarification, education, and careful risk monitoring to ensure effective oversight.
- **Governance transitions and logistical challenges**—particularly for boards operating in remote regions, leadership changes, and travel logistics to host meetings created recurring pressures for governance stability. While the NAPS Board completed required training, other boards operating outside the CSPA may require more intensive advisory support if they choose to engage.
- **Fragmented Legislative Responsibilities for Special Constable Employers**—the emergence of several First Nations police services as special constable employers, responsible only for special constable functions under the CSPA, created oversight gaps and uncertainty regarding roles, expectations, and compliance pathways.

Looking Ahead

The FNPLU will focus on several initiatives in 2026 to strengthen oversight, enhance relationships, and ensure that policing across First Nations communities is safe, accountable, and culturally aligned.

Strengthening Relationships with Non-Opt-In First Nations Police Services

With several First Nations police services at different stages of interest in opting into the CSPA—some having already applied, others exploring the option, and others choosing to remain outside the framework—the FNPLU will work toward a more structured and collaborative relationship with non-opt-in agencies.

This approach will include bilateral engagement, readiness discussions, training supports, and the development of transition pathways tailored to each service's governance structure, community mandate, and cultural expectations. Importantly, we recognize the opportunity to learn from these Services—their practices, community-driven approaches, and cultural protocols—and to reflect those lessons in our oversight methods. Through these efforts, the FNPLU aims to enhance consistency, cultural responsiveness, and mutual learning in oversight supports, regardless of a police service board's opt-in status.

Oversight Support for First Nations Special Constable Employers


As more First Nations police services become special constable employers, the FNPLU will develop and deliver tailored advisory supports to help these agencies meet their CSPA-specific legislative responsibilities. This includes clarifying notification obligations, ensuring special constable training and discipline frameworks align with provincial standards, and supporting these agencies in establishing governance structures capable of meeting both cultural and legislative expectations.

Addressing Oversight Gaps Affecting First Nations Public Safety

A major priority in 2026 will be to identify and close oversight gaps across the province that affect public safety outcomes for First Nations people. This includes mapping communities without access to consistent police oversight, examining First Nations and municipal communities where oversight responsibilities may be unclear, and assessing unique risks in regions with limited infrastructure or high-priority public safety issues. The IoP will support policing partners, First Nations leadership, and provincial ministries to uphold community safety principles and policing standards.

Inside the Inspectorate of Policing's Operations





Driving System-Wide Improvement in Policing

In carrying out my oversight mandate, I have taken a deliberate and practical approach to measuring performance by focusing on outcomes and impact. Our performance is assessed by how effectively our inspections, investigations, monitoring, data collection, analysis and advisory work contribute to compliance with policing laws, strengthen police governance, identify and address emerging risks, and support improved policing performance to enhance public confidence.

This Annual Report is a central part of that approach. It provides a transparent account of the IoP's activities over the past year and explains how our work is influencing policing practice and governance across Ontario. Throughout the Report, I have sought to demonstrate not only what we have done, but how that work is contributing to system-wide learning, accountability, and continuous improvement as Ontario's modern policing framework continues to mature.

Modernizing Oversight Through a Risk-Based Framework

The IoP’s **Risk-Based Compliance and Enforcement Framework** sets out how policing oversight is carried out in Ontario, using a model that focuses attention where it is needed most. Rather than applying a uniform oversight approach to all police services and boards, the Framework is built on the principle that risks to public safety, compliance, and effective policing vary across the system and over time, and must inform how oversight is calibrated and applied.

At the Framework’s core is continuous risk assessment. The IoP analyzes a range of factors—such as compliance with legislation, the strength of local governance, resource capacity, and the ability to respond to emerging community issues—to understand where problems may exist or be developing. These factors are considered alongside the seriousness of any non-compliance or misconduct and the presence of conditions that may increase or reduce risk.

The Framework also establishes a graduated approach to oversight, meaning the response is tailored to the level of risk identified. Lower-risk situations may involve monitoring and guidance to support improvement, while higher-risk or persistent issues may lead to formal inspections or enforcement action. This approach allows the IoP to intervene early where needed, while also promoting voluntary compliance and continuous improvement across the sector.

Overall, the Framework reflects a shift to proactive, intelligence-led oversight, where data, relationships, and system awareness are used to prevent problems, strengthen performance, and maintain public confidence in policing.



Developing the IoP's Policing Performance Measurement Framework

In line with my commitment detailed in last year's Annual Report, we have continued to advance the ongoing development of a **Policing Performance Measurement Framework** (PPMF), the first-of-its-kind in Ontario and, in fact, Canada.

The PPMF is designed to answer the fundamental question: *how well are police services and boards performing in Ontario?* It provides a clear, structured, and evidence-based approach to evaluating performance, while recognizing the diversity of policing communities across the province.

The PPMF will rely on high quality, timely, and reliable data to assess performance across key pillars while accounting for differences in service size, geography, population served, and operational complexity. Of course, as the IoP's data collection and analytics approaches become more sophisticated and build on a baseline, the information available to the PPMF will become richer and more real-time.

The PPMF reflects the IoP's commitment to continuous improvement. Rather than focusing only on compliance, the PPMF is intended to be a practical tool that helps police services and boards identify their strengths, address areas for improvement, and focus on outcomes that matter most to the communities they serve. Put another way, the approach the IoP is taking to the PPMF and its implementation is consistent with our philosophy that oversight should not be feared; instead, it should be embraced as a path to improve overall performance through insight.

Aligned with the IoP's strategic goal of establishing an evidence-based performance measurement framework, the PPMF represents an important step forward in promoting effective policing, strong governance, and public confidence in police services across Ontario.

Laying the Groundwork for an IoP Data Strategy


As policing and police governance continue to grow in complexity, effective oversight increasingly depends on access to reliable information and the ability to interpret it responsibly. In 2025, foundational work continued toward the development of a data strategy intended to guide how the IoP collects, manages, and uses information in support of my oversight mandate. At the core of this strategy is our vision to create a trusted, connected policing data ecosystem that drives insight, accountability, and better policing performance for Ontario. The three core pillars that underpin the IoP's Data Strategy are Data Foundations, Data Infrastructure and Data Insights.

At its core, data strategy sets out how data is governed, protected, and made reliable for use over time. The **Data Foundations** pillar establishes responsible data collection practices, shared standards, and strong safeguards so that information is accurate, comparable, and can be used consistently across different oversight activities. Strong data foundations are essential for building trust in the information relied upon for oversight, analysis, and public reporting.

The **Data Infrastructures** pillar explores how data infrastructure could be modernized to better support oversight. This includes the development of secure and reliable platforms that allow information to be collected, integrated, stored, and analyzed in a consistent way. When data systems are well integrated and dependable, information can be used and leveraged across oversight functions and made available for analysis, research, and long-term planning.

Finally, this work recognizes that data has limited value unless it produces insights that are understandable and useful. The **Data Insights** pillar would focus on translating information into clear measures, dashboards, and reporting products that support decision-making and public understanding. For the policing sector, this helps shift discussions from isolated indicators toward broader patterns, emerging risks, and opportunities for improvement, while reinforcing transparency and accountability.

Together, this groundwork reflects a continued shift toward evidence-informed oversight that supports learning, comparability, and continuous improvement across Ontario's policing and governance framework. As this approach evolves, the focus remains on using data thoughtfully and responsibly — ensuring insights strengthen oversight while respecting privacy, operational realities, and public trust.



Advancing Data-Driven Policing Through the IoP Intelligence Hub


The IoP is advancing data-driven oversight through the launch of the **IoP Intelligence Hub**, a centralized platform for the IoP's policing data and analytics across Ontario.

The Hub provides a secure, restricted digital environment with a single point of access to policing data, reporting, and analytics. Access is limited to Ontario police services and police service boards, ensuring information is shared responsibly within the sector.

The Hub is designed to deliver timely, relevant information to the right users, supporting both operational and governance decision-making. It provides access to high-quality data, standardized dashboards—including the Police Service Board Information Dashboard and Police Service Information Dashboard—and analytical tools that enable users to understand trends, identify risks and opportunities, and generate actionable insights.

Through intuitive dashboards and curated resources, the IoP Intelligence Hub enhances transparency, consistency, and system-wide insight, while reducing reliance on fragmented or manual data sources. It supports both day-to-day awareness and longer-term planning across the policing sector.

As the IoP continues to advance its mandate, additional data products, analytical tools, and reporting capabilities will be progressively introduced through the Intelligence Hub. This ongoing development will further enhance the Hub's value as a comprehensive resource, supporting evolving information needs and strengthening data-driven decision making across Ontario's policing sector.



Police Service Board Information (PSBI) Dashboard

Offers a comprehensive view of police service boards to support governance oversight and sector awareness, including:

- Board structure and composition
- Administrative capacity
- Status of policy approvals
- Availability of strategic and annual planning documents
- Key themes from board insights



Police Service Information (PSI) Dashboard

Provides up-to-date, data-driven insights on municipal police services to support comparative analysis and informed decision-making, including:

- Budgets and financial context
- Workforce composition and staffing profiles
- Operational characteristics and specialized services
- Emerging themes from police service insights



Together, these dashboards improve the accessibility and usability of policing information and represent a significant step forward in enabling data-informed oversight, collaboration, and continuous improvement across Ontario's policing sector.

Examining Police Response Times Across Ontario

In the 2024 Annual Report, I identified police response times as an emerging province-wide concern, reflected in public complaints, sector engagement, and insights gathered through the IoP's early oversight work. Complaints received from communities across Ontario—ranging in size and landscape—highlighted questions about the adequacy and effectiveness of how police services manage and prioritize responding to calls for service in the context of local needs, constraints and operational pressures. Findings from literature reviews, jurisdictional scans, and sector-wide surveys revealed **significant variability in how Ontario's police services define, measure, and report response time information**. Considered together with the concerns raised by multiple stakeholders along with themes identified through complaints made to the IoP regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of police response times, it is evident that a more consistent, structured framework for understanding police response time is necessary to drive improvement in this fundamental aspect of police service delivery.


In 2025, the IoP's Centre for Data Intelligence and Innovation advanced research in this area in several important ways:

- Conducted in-depth consultations with subject matter experts from 14 municipal police services and the OPP to gain a deeper understanding of the end-to-end police response process—from receiving and prioritizing a 9-1-1 call to dispatch and the arrival of the first responding officer.
- To gain a fulsome, province-wide perspective, the remaining 30 municipal police services^[1] were asked how they define, measure, and report response time.
- Spent over 70 hours with call takers and dispatchers from various police services, as well as conducting ride-alongs with frontline officers and supervisors. This allowed our research team to directly observe variations and consistencies in operational response processes and identify unique and common factors that impact resource demands, as well as leading practices.

An early finding of this work highlights the absence of a standard definition and associated measures and reporting practices for police response times, reinforcing the need for greater clarity and consistency to support transparency, comparability, and informed decision-making.

Our research findings will be released publicly in 2026, upholding the IoP's commitment to promoting policing excellence and knowledge exchange that enables services to meet the expectations of the communities they serve.

[1] Development of data collection tools and strategies for First Nations police services opted into the CSPA remain ongoing at the time of report writing.



Response Time Province-Wide Inspection

Building on this foundation, the IoP's Policing Inspections Unit will be initiating a province-wide **Police Response Times Inspection** in the near future. This inspection will represent the first province-wide application of the Generally Applicable Standard under the Adequate and Effective Policing Regulation, assessing whether response functions are delivered in a manner that is reasonable in light of local context.

The inspection will examine operational practices alongside governance oversight, quality assurance processes, and the use of data and technology. Introduced through a risk-informed and phased approach, this work reflects a continued commitment to evidence-based oversight, transparency, and continuous improvement, recognizing that while communities differ, the public expectation of timely and effective policing is shared across Ontario.

Sector Engagement, Guidance, and Public Reporting

Throughout 2025, I remained actively engaged with police services, police service boards, oversight partners, and the public to support shared understanding of Ontario's modernized policing framework and the role of independent oversight.

Engagement with the Policing Sector

A significant focus of this year's engagement involved direct, in-person connection with the sector. In 2025, I completed my **Inspector General Tours**, which included personal visits to all 43 municipal police services and police service boards across Ontario, as well as the Ontario Provincial Police and Nishnawbe Aski Police Service. These visits provided valuable opportunities to hear directly from local leaders, better understand emerging challenges and priorities, and raise awareness of the Inspector General's mandate with both the sector and the public.

National and International Engagements

My engagement with the policing sector was informed by continued dialogue with oversight bodies beyond Ontario. In 2025, I maintained active connections with national and international oversight agencies, including through participation in the **Canadian Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (CACOLE)**, where I serve as a board member, and through regular discussions with the heads of oversight agencies in other jurisdictions, including the **United Kingdom, Ireland and the United States**. These exchanges supported mutual learning, informed comparative oversight approaches, and contributed to ongoing consideration of broader developments affecting police accountability and governance.

Maintaining these knowledge exchanges helps ensure that Ontario's policing oversight framework remains informed by emerging practices and lessons learned in other jurisdictions, while remaining responsive to the unique context of policing across Ontario's communities.



Providing Advice Through IG Memos and Advisory Bulletins

IG Memos and Advisory Bulletins remained important oversight tools in 2025. These advisory mechanisms helped clarify statutory requirements, communicate expectations arising from the CSPA and its regulations, and support consistent understanding and application of policing service delivery and governance responsibilities across the policing sector.

A key priority in 2025 was supporting the sharing of learning across the sector. An IG Advisory Bulletin on Critical Points Policies, informed by practices developed in Toronto and Halton, supported the adoption of similar approaches by police service boards across the province. To date, 34 out of Ontario's 43 police service boards have adopted this policy. By encouraging stronger governance practices at organizationally significant moments, this guidance contributed to greater consistency and clarity in board oversight responsibilities and reflects my commitment to lifting standards across the policing system through practical, system-wide learning.

Public Reporting, Digital Presence, and Transparency

Transparency and public accountability are central to my oversight mandate. In 2025, the IoP continued to publicly report on my work to reinforce accountability, promote confidence in the policing oversight system, and provide visibility into how oversight authorities are exercised in the public interest.

Information made publicly available throughout the year included IG Memos and Advisory Bulletins, oversight Decisions, and binding Directions and Measures imposed, as well as updates on the IoP's monitoring work with specific police services and police service boards. Publishing this information supports clarity within the policing system, enables police services and police service boards to better understand oversight expectations, and allows the public to see how concerns about policing governance and performance are addressed.

The IoP's website serves as the primary vehicle for public reporting, ensuring that oversight outcomes, guidance, and key information are accessible and centralized. Public-facing communications, including the use of social media, supports awareness of published materials and reporting activity. Together, these efforts advances transparency, supports informed public understanding, and reinforces my commitment to visible and accountable oversight.



IG Ryan Teschner on TVO's The Agenda (June 13, 2025).

Future Initiatives



Province-Wide Inspection on Police Integrity and Anti-Corruption Practices

In February 2026 and based on issues identified in the ‘Project South’ criminal investigation, I announced a province-wide inspection into police integrity and anti-corruption practices across Ontario’s policing sector. While this inspection was initiated following a request from the Toronto Police Service and the Toronto Police Service Board, I determined that the issues raised extended beyond any single service. The matters engaged core integrity safeguards that are fundamental to public confidence in policing, and warranted examination on a sector-wide basis.

The inspection applies to all municipal police services, the Ontario Provincial Police, Nishnawbe Aski Police Service and police service boards, recognizing that integrity, accountability, and effective oversight are shared responsibilities within Ontario’s policing system. To support independence and public confidence in the process, I have appointed the Honourable William Hourigan as lead inspector and have vested him with the same statutory authorities as I have as the IG. This approach ensures that the inspection is conducted impartially and positioned to assess both systemic strengths and areas requiring improvement across the sector.

The inspection will focus on five key areas: supervision and span of control; screening and vetting at recruitment and throughout officers’ careers; access to police databases and information systems; evidence and property management practices; and substance use and fitness for duty. These areas were selected because of their central role in organizations preventing, detecting, and responding to corruption, and in reinforcing professional standards that sustain public trust. The scope of the inspection will remain flexible to consider additional issues should they arise during the course of the work.

The inspection is expected to take at least 18 months and will be designed so that, if any non-compliance with the CSPA requiring immediate corrective action is identified, I can issue legally binding Directions and monitor impacted police services and/or boards to ensure those measures are implemented during the inspection, rather than waiting for it to conclude.

I remain confident in the capacity of Ontario’s policing sector to uphold strong standards of integrity and accountability. This inspection provides an important opportunity to reinforce public confidence and clarify expectations across the sector.





Acknowledgements

I would like to thank all boards, chiefs of police, and the members of their police services in Ontario, including the OPP and the Commissioner, for their assistance and cooperation during the creation of my Annual Report.

I also would like to thank the Ontario Association of Chiefs of Police, Police Governance Ontario and police associations across the province for their ongoing support of my and the IoP's work.

Lastly, I want to express my gratitude to the many individuals in the IoP who were involved in the creation of my Annual Report and brought their talent and creativity to this endeavour.



Inspectorate of Policing
777 Bay Street, 7th Floor
Toronto, ON M5G 2C8



Tel: +1-416-314-4130 or 1-888-333-5078



www.loPOntario.ca

Improving policing performance to make everyone in Ontario safer

Follow us on our social media channels [X](#) and [LinkedIn](#)

Ce rapport est aussi disponible en français