"The Humiliation of the Eternal Son" 1 2 Parochial and Plain Sermons vol. III sermon 12 3 St. John Henry Newman March 8,1835 4 5 "Who, in the days of His flesh, when He had offered up prayers and supplications with strong crying and tears unto Him that was able to save Him from death, and was heard 6 7 in that He feared, though He were a Son, yet learned He obedience by the things which He suffered." Hebrews v. 7, 8. 8 9 THE chief mystery of our holy faith is the humiliation of the Son of God to temptation and suffering, as described in this passage of Scripture. In truth, it is a more 10 overwhelming mystery even than that which is involved in the doctrine of the Trinity. I 11 say, more overwhelming, not greater—for we cannot measure the more and the less in 12 13 subjects utterly incomprehensible and divine; but with more in it to perplex and subdue our minds. When the mystery of the Trinity is set before us, we see indeed that it is 14 quite beyond our reason; but, at the same time, it is no wonder that human language 15 16 should be unable to convey, and human intellect to receive, truths relating to the incommunicable and infinite essence of Almighty God. But the mystery of the 17 Incarnation relates, in part, to subjects more level with our reason; it lies not only in the 18 19 manner how God and man is one Christ, but in the very fact that so it is. We think we know of God so much as this, that He is altogether separate from imperfection and 20 infirmity; yet we are told that the Eternal Son has taken into Himself a creature's nature, 21 22 which henceforth became as much one with Him, as much belonged to Him, as the 23 divine attributes and powers which He had ever had. The mystery lies as much in what we think we know, as in what we do not know. Reflect, for instance, upon the language 24 25 of the text. The Son of God, who "had glory with the Father" from everlasting, was found, at a certain time, in human flesh, offering up prayers and supplications to Him, 26 crying out and weeping, and exercising obedience in suffering! Do not suppose, from 27 my thus speaking, that I would put the doctrine before you as a hard saving, as a 28 29 stumbling-block, and a yoke of bondage, to which you must perforce submit, however unwillingly. Far be it from us to take such unthankful account of a dispensation which 30 31 has brought us salvation! Those who in the Cross of Christ see the Atonement for sin, cannot choose but glory in it; and its mysteriousness does but make them glory in it the 32 33 more. They boast of it before men and Angels, before an unbelieving world, and before fallen spirits; with no confusion of face, but with a reverent boldness they confess this 34 miracle of grace, and cherish it in their creed, though it gains them but the contempt and 35 36 derision of the proud and ungodly. 37 And as the doctrine of our Lord's humiliation is most mysterious, so the very surface of 38 the narrative in which it is contained is mysterious also, as exciting wonder, and impressing upon us our real ignorance of the nature, manner, and causes of it. Take, for 39 instance, His temptation. Why was it undergone at all, seeing our redemption is 40 ascribed to His death, not to it? Why was it so long? What took place during it? What 41

was Satan's particular object in tempting Him? How came Satan to have such power

over Him as to be able to transport Him from place to place? and what was the precise

42 43

- result of the temptation? These and many other questions admit of no satisfactory
- 45 solution. There is something remarkable too in the period of it, being the same as that of
- the long fasts of Moses and Elijah, and of His own abode on earth after His resurrection.
- A like mystery again is cast around that last period of His earthly mission. Then He was
- engaged we know not how, except that He appeared, from time to time, to His Apostles;
- of the forty days of His temptation we know still less, only that "He did eat nothing," and
- "was with the wild beasts." [Luke iv. 2. Mark i. 13.]
- Again, there is something of mystery in the connection of His temptation with the
- 52 descent of the Holy Ghost upon Him on His baptism. After the voice from heaven had
- proclaimed, "This is My beloved Son, in whom I am well pleased," "immediately," as St.
- Mark says," the Spirit *driveth* Him into the wilderness." As if there were some
- connection, beyond our understanding, between His baptism and temptation, the first
- act of the Holy Spirit is forthwith to "drive Him" (whatever is meant by the word) into the
- 57 wilderness. Observe, too, that it was almost from this solemn recognition, "This is My
- 58 beloved Son." that the Devil took up the temptation, "If Thou be the Son of God,
- command that these stones be made bread;" [Matt. iv. 3.] yet what his thoughts and
- designs were we cannot even conjecture. All we see is a renewal, apparently, of Adam's
- temptation, in the person of the "second Man."
- In like manner, questions might be asked concerning His descent into hell, which could
- as little be solved, with our present limited knowledge of the nature and means of His
- 64 gracious Economy.
- 65 I bring together these various questions in order to impress upon you our depth of
- ignorance on the entire subject under review. The Dispensation of mercy is revealed to
- us in its great and blessed result, our redemption, and in one or two other momentous
- 68 points. Upon all these we ought to dwell and enlarge, mindfully and thankfully, but with
- the constant recollection that after all, as regards the Dispensation itself, only one or two
- 70 partial notices are revealed to us altogether of a great Divine Work. Enlarge upon them
- 71 we ought, even because they are few and partial, not slighting what is given us,
- because it is not all (like the servant who buried his lord's talent), but giving it what
- increase we can. And as there is much danger of the narrow spirit of that slothful
- servant at the present day, in which is strangely combined a profession of knowing
- everything, with an assertion that there is nothing to know concerning the Incarnation, I
- propose now, by God's blessing, to set before you the Scripture doctrine concerning it,
- as the Church Catholic has ever received it; trading with the talent committed to us, so
- that when our Lord comes He may receive His own with usury.
- 79 Bearing in mind, then, that we know nothing truly about the manner or the ultimate ends
- of the humiliation of the Eternal Son, our Lord and Saviour, let us consider what that
- 81 humiliation itself was.
- The text says, "though He were a Son." Now, in these words, "the Son of God," much
- more is implied than at first sight may appear. Many a man gathers up, here and there,
- some fragments of religious knowledge. He hears one thing said in Church, he sees

another thing in the Prayer-book; and among religious people, or in the world, he gains 85 something more. In this way he gets possession of sacred words and statements, 86 knowing very little about them really. He interprets them, as it may happen, according to 87 the various and inconsistent opinions which he has met with, or he puts his own 88 89 meaning upon them, that is, the meaning, as must needs be, of an untaught, not to say a carnal and irreverent mind. How can a man expect he shall discern and apprehend 90 the real meaning and language of Scripture, if he has never approached it as a learner. 91 and waited on the Divine Author of it for the gift of wisdom? By continual meditation on 92 the sacred text, by diligent use of the Church's instruction, he will come to understand 93 94 what the Gospel doctrines are; but, most surely, if all the knowledge he has be gathered 95 from a sentence caught up here, and an argument heard there, even when he is most orthodox in word, he has but a collection of phrases, on which he puts, not the right 96 meaning, but his own meaning. And the least reflection must show you what a very poor 97 98 and unworthy meaning, or rather how false a meaning "the natural man" will put upon "the things of the Spirit of God." I have been led to say this from having used the words, 99 "The Son of God," which, I much fear, convey, to a great many minds, little or no idea, 100 little or nothing of a high, religious, solemn idea. We have, perhaps, a vague general 101 notion that they mean something extraordinary and supernatural; but we know that we 102 ourselves are called, in one sense, sons of God in Scripture. Moreover we have heard, 103 104 perhaps (and even though we do not recollect it, yet may retain the impression of it), that the Angels are sons of God. In consequence, we collect just thus much from the 105 title as applied to our Lord, that He came from God, that He was the well-beloved of 106 107 God, and that He is much more than a mere man. This is all that the words convey to many men at the most; while many more refer them merely to His human nature. How 108 different is the state of those who have been duly initiated into the mysteries of the 109 kingdom of heaven! How different was the mind of the primitive Christians, who so 110 111 eagerly and vigorously apprehended the gracious announcement, that in this title, "The Son of God," they saw and enjoyed the full glories of the Gospel doctrine! When times 112 grew cold and unbelieving, then indeed, as at this day, public explanations were 113 necessary of those simple and sacred words; but the first Christians needed none. They 114 115 felt that in saying that Christ was the Son of God, they were witnessing to a thousand marvellous and salutary truths, which they could not indeed understand, but by which 116 117 they might gain life, and for which they could dare to die.

118 What, then, is meant by the "Son of God?" It is meant that our Lord is the very or true Son of God, that is, His Son by nature. We are but called the sons of God—we are 119 120 adopted to be sons—but our Lord and Saviour is the Son of God, really and by birth, and He alone is such. Hence Scripture calls Him the Only-begotten Son. "Such 121 knowledge is too excellent for" us; yet, however high it be, we learn as from His own 122 mouth that God is not solitary, if we may dare so to speak, but that in His own 123 124 incomprehensible essence, in His perfection of His one indivisible and eternal nature, His Dearly-beloved Son has ever existed with Him, who is called the Word, and, being 125 His Son, is partaker in all the fulness of His Godhead. "In the beginning was the Word, 126 and the Word was with God, and the Word was God." Thus when the early Christians 127 used the title, "The Son of God," they meant, after the manner of the Apostles when 128 they use it in Scripture, all we mean in the Creed, when, by way of explaining ourselves. 129

we confess Him to be "God from God, Light from Light, Very or True God from True 130

God." For in that He is the Son of God, He must be whatever God is, all-holy, all-wise, 131

all-powerful, all-good, eternal, infinite; yet since there is only one God, He must be at 132

the same time not separate from God, but ever one with and in Him, one indivisibly; so 133

134 that it would be as idle language to speak of Him as separated in essence from His

Father, as to say that our reason, or intellect, or will, was separate from our minds—as 135

rash and profane language to deny to the Father His Only-begotten Word, in whom He 136

has ever delighted, as to deny His Wisdom or Goodness, or Power, which also have 137

been in and with Him from everlasting. 138

The text goes on to say: "Though He were a Son, yet learned He obedience by the 139

things which He suffered." Obedience belongs to a servant, but accordance, 140

concurrence, co-operation, are the characteristics of a Son. In His eternal union with 141

142 God there was no distinction of will and work between Him and His Father; as the

Father's life was the Son's life, and the Father's glory the Son's also, so the Son was the 143

very Word and Wisdom of the Father, His Power and Co-equal Minister in all things, the 144

same and not the same as He Himself. But in the days of His flesh, when He had 145

humbled Himself to "the form of a servant," taking on Himself a separate will and a 146

separate work, and the toil and sufferings incident to a creature, then what had been 147

mere concurrence became obedience. This, then, is the force of the words, "Though He 148

149 was a Son, yet had He experience of obedience." He took on Him a lower nature, and

wrought in it towards a Will higher and more perfect than it. Further, "He learned 150

obedience amid suffering," and, therefore, amid temptation. His mysterious agony under 151

it is described in the former part of the text; which declares that "in the days of His 152

flesh," He "offered up prayers and supplications, with strong crying and tears, unto Him 153

that was able to save Him from death, and was heard in that He feared." Or, in the 154

155 words of the foregoing chapter, He "was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without

sin." 156

164

157 I am only concerned here in setting before you the sacred truth itself, not how it was, or 158

why, or with what result. Let us, then, reverently consider what is implied in it. "The

Word was made flesh;" by which is meant, not that He selected some particular existing 159

160 man and dwelt in him (which in no sense would answer to the force of those words, and

which He condescends to do continually in the case of all His elect, through His Spirit), 161

162 but that He became what He was not before, that He took into His own Infinite Essence

man's nature itself in all its completeness, creating a soul and body, and, at the moment 163

of creation, making them His own, so that they never were other than His, never existed

by themselves or except as in Him, being properties or attributes of Him (to use 165

defective words) as really as His divine goodness, or His eternal Sonship, or His perfect 166

likeness to the Father. And, while thus adding a new nature to Himself, He did not in 167

168 any respect cease to be what He was before. How was that possible? All the while He

was on earth, when He was conceived, when He was born, when He was tempted, on 169

the cross, in the grave, and now at God's right hand—all the time through, He was the 170

Eternal and Unchangeable Word, the Son of God. The flesh which He had assumed 171

was but the instrument through which He acted for and towards us. As He acts in 172

173 creation by His wisdom and power, towards Angels by His love, towards devils by His

wrath, so He has acted for our redemption through our own nature, which in His great 174 mercy He attached to His own Person, as if an attribute, simply, absolutely, indissolubly. 175 Thus St. Paul speaks—as in other places, of the love of God, and the holiness of God— 176 so in one place expressly of "the blood of God," if I may venture to use such words out 177 178 of the sacred context. "Feed the Church of God," he says to the elders of Ephesus, "which He hath purchased with His own blood." [Acts xx. 28.] Accordingly, whatever our 179 180 Lord said or did upon earth was strictly and literally the word and deed of God Himself. Just as we speak of seeing our friends, though we do not see their souls but merely 181 their bodies, so the Apostles, Disciples, Priests, and Pharisees, and the multitude, all 182 183 who saw Christ in the flesh, saw, as the whole earth will see at the last day, the Very 184 and Eternal Son of God.

185

186

187 188

189 190

191 192

193

194

195

196

197

198 199

200

201202

203

204

205

206

207208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216217

After this manner, then, must be understood His suffering, temptation, and obedience, not as if He ceased to be what He had ever been, but, having clothed Himself with a created essence, He made it the instrument of His humiliation; He acted in it, He obeyed and suffered through it. Do not we see among men, circumstances of a peculiar kind throw one of our own race out of himself, so that he, the same man, acts as if his usual self were not in being, and he had fresh feelings and faculties, for the occasion. higher or lower than before? Far be it from our thoughts to parallel the incarnation of the Eternal Word with such an accidental change! but I mention it, not to explain a Mystery (which I relinquished the thought of from the first), but to facilitate your conception of Him who is the subject of it, to help you towards contemplating Him as God and man at once, as still the Son of God though He had assumed a nature short of His original perfection. That Eternal Power, which, till then, had thought and acted as God, began to think and act as a man, with all man's faculties, affections, and imperfections, sin excepted. Before He came on earth, He was infinitely above joy and grief, fear and anger, pain and heaviness; but afterwards all these properties and many more were His as fully as they are ours. Before He came on earth, He had but the perfections of God, but afterwards He had also the virtues of a creature, such as faith, meekness, selfdenial. Before He came on earth He could not be tempted of evil; but afterwards He had a man's heart, a man's tears, and a man's wants and infirmities. His Divine Nature indeed pervaded His manhood, so that every deed and word of His in the flesh savoured of eternity and infinity; but, on the other hand, from the time He was born of the Virgin Mary, he had a natural fear of danger, a natural shrinking from pain, though ever subject to the ruling influence of that Holy and Eternal Essence which was in Him. For instance, we read on one occasion of His praying that the cup might pass from Him; and, at another, when Peter showed surprise at the prospect of His crucifixion, He rebuked him sharply, as if for tempting Him to murmur and disobey.

Thus He possessed at once a double assemblage of attributes, divine and human. Still he was all-powerful, though in the form of a servant; still He was all-knowing, though seemingly ignorant; still incapable of temptation, though exposed to it; and if any one stumble at this, as not a mere mystery, but in the very form of language a contradiction of terms, I would have him reflect on those peculiarities of human nature itself, which I just now hinted at. Let him consider the condition of his own mind, and see how like a contradiction it is. Let him reflect upon the faculty of memory, and try to determine

whether he does or does not know a thing which he cannot recollect, or rather, whether it may not be said of him, that one self-same person, that in one sense he knows it, in another he does not know it. This may serve to appease his imagination, if it startles at the mystery. Or let him consider the state of an infant, which seems, indeed, to be without a soul for many months, which seems to have only the senses and functions of animal life, yet has, we know, a soul, which may even be regenerated. What, indeed, can be more mysterious than the Baptism of an infant? How strange is it, yet how transporting a sight, what a source of meditation is opened on us, while we look upon what seems so helpless, so reason-less, and know that at that moment it has a soul so fully formed, as on the one hand, indeed, to be a child of wrath; and, on the other (blessed be God), to be capable of a new birth through the Spirit! Who can say, if we had eyes to see, in what state that infant soul is? Who can say it has not its energies of reason and of will in some unknown sphere, quite consistently with the reality of its insensibility to the external world? Who can say that all of us, or at least all who are living in the faith of Christ, have not some strange but unconscious life in God's presence all the while we are here, seeing what we do not know we see, impressed yet without power of reflection, and this, without having a double self in consequence, and with an increase to us, not a diminution, of the practical reality of our earthly sojourn and probation? Are there not men before now who, like Elisha, when his spirit followed Gehazi, or St. Peter, when he announced the coming of Sapphira's bearers, or St. Paul, when his presence went before him to Corinth [2 Kings v. 26. Acts v. 9. 1 Cor. iv. 19; v. 3.1, seem to range beyond themselves, even while in the flesh? Who knows where he is "in visions of the night?" And this being so, how can we pronounce it to be any contradiction that, while the Word of God was upon earth, in our flesh, compassed within and without with human virtues and feelings, with faith and patience, fear and joy, grief, misgivings, infirmities, temptations, still He was, according to His Divine Nature, as from the first, passing in thought from one end of heaven even to the other, reading all hearts, foreseeing all events, and receiving all worship as in the bosom of the Father? This, indeed, is what He suggests to us Himself in those surprising words addressed to Nicodemus, which might even be taken to imply that even His human nature was at that very time in heaven while He spoke to him. "No man hath ascended up to heaven, but He that came down from heaven, even the Son of man, which is in heaven." [John iii. 13.]

218

219

220

221222

223224

225

226227

228

229

230231

232

233

234

235

236237

238

239

240

241

242

243244

245

246

247248

249

250

251

252253

254255

256257

258

259

260

261

262

To conclude, if any one is tempted to consider such subjects as the foregoing, abstract, speculative, and unprofitable, I would observe, in answer, that I have taken it on the very ground of its being, as I believe, especially practical. Let it not be thought a strange thing to say, though I say it, that there is much in the religious belief, even of the more serious part of the community at present, to make observant men very anxious where it will end. It would be no very difficult matter, I suspect, to perplex the faith of a great many persons who believe themselves to be orthodox, and, indeed, are so, according to their light. They have been accustomed to call Christ God, but that is all; they have not considered what is meant by applying that title to One who was really a man, and from the vague way in which they use it, they would be in no small danger, if assailed by a subtle disputant, of being robbed of the sacred truth in its substance, even if they kept it in name. In truth, until we contemplate our Lord and Saviour, God and man, as a really

existing being, external to our minds, as complete and entire in His personality as we show ourselves to be to each other, as one and the same in all His various and contrary attributes, "the same yesterday, today, and for ever," we are using words which profit not. Till then we do not realize that Object of faith, which is not a mere name on which titles and properties may be affixed without congruity and meaning, but has a personal existence and an identity distinct from everything else. In what true sense do we "know" Him, if our idea of Him be not such as to take up and incorporate into itself the manifold attributes and offices which we ascribe to Him? What do we gain from words, however correct and abundant, if they end with themselves, instead of lighting up the image of the Incarnate Son in our hearts? Yet this charge may too surely be brought against the theology of late centuries, which, under the pretence of guarding against presumption, denies us what is revealed; like Ahaz, refusing to ask for a sign, lest it should tempt the Lord.

263

264

265

266267

268

269

270

271272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280 281

282

283

284 285

286

287 288

289

290 291

292

293

294

295

296297

298

299 300

301 302

303

304

305

Influenced by it, we have well-nigh forgotten the sacred truth, graciously disclosed for our support, that Christ is the Son of God in His Divine nature, as well as His human; we have well-nigh ceased to regard Him, after the pattern of the Nicene Creed, as "God from God, and Light from Light," ever one with Him, yet ever distinct from Him. We speak of Him in a vague way as God, which is true, but not the whole truth; and, in consequence when we proceed to consider His humiliation, we are unable to carry on the notion of His personality from heaven to earth. He who was but now spoken of as God, without mention of the Father from whom He is, is next described as if a creature; but how do these distinct notions of Him hold together in our minds? We are able indeed to continue the idea of a Son into that of a servant, though the descent was infinite, and, to our reason, incomprehensible; but when we merely speak first of God, then of man, we seem to change the Nature without preserving the Person. In truth, His Divine Sonship is that portion of the sacred doctrine on which the mind is providentially intended to rest throughout, and so to preserve for itself His identity unbroken. But when we abandon this gracious help afforded to our faith, how can we hope to gain the one true and simple vision of Him? how shall we possibly look beyond our own words, or apprehend, in any sort, what we say? In consequence we are too often led, as a matter of necessity, in discoursing of His words and works, to distinguish between the Christ who lived on earth and the Son of God Most High, speaking of His human nature and His Divine nature so separately as not to feel or understand that God is man and man is God. I am speaking of those of us who have learned to reflect, reason, and dispute, to inquire and pursue their thoughts, not of the incurious or illiterate, who are not exposed to the temptation in question; and of the former I fear I must say (to use the language of ancient theology), that they begin by being Sabellians, that they go on to be Nestorians, and that they tend to be Ebionites and to deny Christ's Divinity altogether. Meanwhile, the religious world little thinks whither its opinions are leading; and will not discover that it is adoring a mere abstract name or a vague creation of the mind for the Ever-living Son, till the defection of its members from the faith startle it, and teach it that the socalled religion of the heart, without orthodoxy of doctrine, is but the warmth of a corpse, real for a time, but sure to fail.

306	How long will that complicated Error last under which our Church now labours? How
307	long are human traditions of modern date to obscure, in so many ways, the majestic
308	interpretations of Holy Writ which the Church Catholic has inherited from the age of the
309	Apostles? When shall we be content to enjoy the wisdom and the pureness which Christ
310	has bequeathed to His Church as a perpetual gift, instead of attempting to draw our
311	Creed, each for himself, as he best may, from the deep wells of truth? Surely in vain
312	have we escaped from the superstitions of the middle ages, if the corruptions of a rash
313	and self-trusting philosophy spread over our faith!
314	May God, even the Father, give us a heart and understanding to realize, as well as to
315	confess that doctrine into which we were baptized, that His Only-begotten Son, our
316	Lord, was conceived by the Holy Ghost, was born of the Virgin Mary, suffered, and was
317	buried, rose again from the dead, ascended into heaven, from whence He shall come
318	again, at the end of the world, to judge the quick and the dead.