§ 3. Natural Inference

- 1 {330} I COMMENCED my remarks upon Inference by saying that reasoning ordinarily
- 2 shows as a simple act, not as a process, as if there were no medium interposed
- 3 between antecedent and consequent, and the transition from one to the other were of
- 4 the nature of an instinct,—that is, the process is altogether unconscious and implicit. It
- 5 is necessary, then, to take some notice of this natural or material Inference, as an
- 6 existing phenomenon of mind; and that the more, because I shall thereby be illustrating
- 7 and supporting what I have been saying of the characteristics of inferential processes
- 8 as carried on in concrete matter, and especially of their being the action of the mind
- 9 itself, that is, by its ratiocinative or illative faculty, not a mere operation as in the rules of
- 10 arithmetic.
- 11 I say, then, that our most natural mode of reasoning is, not from propositions to
- 12 propositions, but from things to things, from concrete to concrete, from wholes to
- wholes. Whether the consequents, at which we arrive from the antecedents with which
- we start, lead us to assent or only towards assent, those antecedents commonly are not
- recognized by us as subjects for analysis; {331} nay, often are only indirectly recognized
- as antecedents at all. Not only is the inference with its process ignored, but the
- antecedent also. To the mind itself the reasoning is a simple divination or prediction; as
- it literally is in the instance of enthusiasts, who mistake their own thoughts for
- 19 inspirations.
- 20 This is the mode in which we ordinarily reason, dealing with things directly, and as they
- stand, one by one, in the concrete, with an intrinsic and personal power, not a
- 22 conscious adoption of an artificial instrument or expedient; and it is especially
- 23 exemplified both in uneducated men, and in men of genius,—in those who know nothing
- of intellectual aids and rules, and in those who care nothing for them,—in those who are
- either without or above mental discipline. As true poetry is a spontaneous outpouring of
- thought, and therefore belongs to rude as well as to gifted minds, whereas no one
- becomes a poet merely by the canons of criticism, so this unscientific reasoning, being
- sometimes a natural, uncultivated faculty, sometimes approaching to a gift, sometimes
- 29 an acquired habit and second nature, has a higher source than logical rule,—"nascitur,
- 30 non fit." When it is characterized by precision, subtlety, promptitude, and truth, it is of
- 31 course a gift and a rarity: in ordinary minds it is biassed and degraded by prejudice,
- passion, and self-interest; but still, after all, this divination comes by nature, and belongs
- to all of us in a measure, to women more than to men, hitting or missing, as the case
- may be, but with a success on the whole sufficient to show that there is a method in it.
- 35 though it be implicit. {332}
- A peasant who is weather-wise may yet be simply unable to assign intelligible reasons
- 37 why he thinks it will be fine tomorrow; and if he attempts to do so, he may give reasons
- wide of the mark; but that will not weaken his own confidence in his prediction. His mind
- does not proceed step by step, but he feels all at once and together the force of various
- 40 combined phenomena, though he is not conscious of them. Again, there are physicians
- 41 who excel in the *diagnosis* of complaints; though it does not follow from this, that they

42 could defend their decision in a particular case against a brother physician who disputed 43 it. They are guided by natural acuteness and varied experience; they have their own idiosyncratic modes of observing, generalizing, and concluding; when questioned, they 44 45 can but rest on their own authority, or appeal to the future event. In a popular novel [Note 1], a lawyer is introduced, who "would know, almost by instinct, whether an 46 accused person was or was not guilty; and he had already perceived by instinct" that 47 the heroine was quilty. "I've no doubt she's a clever woman," he said, and at once 48 49 named an attorney practising at the Old Bailey. So, again, experts and detectives, when employed to investigate mysteries, in cases whether of the civil or criminal law, discern 50 51 and follow out indications which promise solution with a sagacity incomprehensible to ordinary men. A parallel gift is the intuitive perception of character possessed by certain 52 men, while others are as destitute of it, as others again are of an ear for music. What 53 common measure {333} is there between the judgments of those who have this 54 intuition, and those who have not? What but the event can settle any difference of 55 opinion which occurs in their estimation of a third person? These are instances of a 56 natural capacity, or of nature improved by practice and habit, enabling the mind to pass 57 58 promptly from one set of facts to another, not only, I say, without conscious media, but without conscious antecedents. 59

60 Sometimes, I say, this illative faculty is nothing short of genius. Such seems to have been Newton's perception of truths mathematical and physical, though proof was 61 absent. At least that is the impression left on my own mind by various stories which are 62 told of him, one of which was stated in the public papers a few years ago. "Professor 63 Sylvester," it was said, "has just discovered the proof of Sir Isaac Newton's rule for 64 ascertaining the imaginary roots of equations ... This rule has been a Gordian-knot 65 among algebraists for the last century and a half. The proof being wanting, authors 66 became ashamed at length of advancing a proposition, the evidence for which rested on 67 no other foundation than belief in Newton's sagacity." [Note 2] 68

Such is the gift of the calculating boys who now and then make their appearance, who seem to have certain short-cuts to conclusions, which they cannot explain to themselves. Some are said to have been able to determine off-hand what numbers are prime,—numbers I think, up to seven places. {334}

73

74

75

76 77

78 79

80

81

82 83

84

In a very different subject-matter, Napoleon supplies us with an instance of a parallel genius in reasoning, by which he was enabled to look at things in his own province, and to interpret them truly, apparently without any ratiocinative media. "By long experience," says Alison, "joined to great natural quickness and precision of eye, he had acquired the power of judging, with extraordinary accuracy, both of the amount of the enemy's force opposed to him in the field, and of the probable result of the movements, even the most complicated, going forward in the opposite armies ... He looked around him for a little while with his telescope, and immediately formed a clear conception of the position, forces, and intention of the whole hostile array. In this way he could, with surprising accuracy, calculate in a few minutes, according to what he could see of their formation and the extent of the ground which they occupied, the numerical force of armies of 60,000 or 80,000 men; and if their troops were at all scattered, he knew at once how

long it would require for them to concentrate, and how many hours must elapse before they could make their attack." [Note 3]

87 It is difficult to avoid calling such clear presentiments by the name of instinct; and I think they may so be called, if by instinct be understood, not a natural sense, one and the 88 same in all, and incapable of cultivation, but a perception of facts without assignable 89 media of perceiving. There are those who can tell at once what is conducive or injurious 90 to their welfare, {335} who are their friends, who their enemies, what is to happen to 91 them, and how they are to meet it. Presence of mind, fathoming of motives, talent for 92 repartee, are instances of this gift. As to that divination of personal danger which is 93 94 found in the young and innocent, we find a description of it in one of Scott's romances. in which the heroine, "without being able to discover what was wrong either in the 95 scenes of unusual luxury with which she was surrounded, or in the manner of her 96 hostess," is said nevertheless to have felt "an instinctive apprehension that all was not 97 right,—a feeling in the human mind," the author proceeds to say, "allied perhaps to that 98 99 sense of danger, which animals exhibit, when placed in the vicinity of the natural 100 enemies of their race, and which makes birds cower when the hawk is in the air, and 101 beasts tremble when the tiger is abroad in the desert." [Note 4]

A religious biography, lately published, affords us an instance of this spontaneous perception of truth in the province of revealed doctrine. "Her firm faith," says the Author of the Preface, "was so vivid in its character, that it was almost like an intuition of the entire prospect of revealed truth. Let an error against faith be concealed under expressions however abstruse, and her sure instinct found it out. I have tried this experiment repeatedly. She might not be able to separate the heresy by analysis, but she saw, and felt, and suffered from its presence." [Note 5] {336}

109 And so of the great fundamental truths of religion, natural and revealed, and as regards the mass of religious men: these truths, doubtless, may be proved and defended by an 110 array of invincible logical arguments, but such is not commonly the method in which 111 those same logical arguments make their way into our minds. The grounds, on which 112 113 we hold the divine origin of the Church, and the previous truths which are taught us by 114 nature—the being of a God, and the immortality of the soul—are felt by most men to be recondite and impalpable, in proportion to their depth and reality. As we cannot see 115 116 ourselves, so we cannot well see intellectual motives which are so intimately ours, and 117 which spring up from the very constitution of our minds; and while we refuse to admit 118 the notion that religion has not irrefragable arguments in its behalf, still the attempts to argue, on the part of an individual hic et nunc, will sometimes only confuse his 119 120 apprehension of sacred objects, and subtracts from his devotion guite as much as it 121 adds to his knowledge.

This is found in the case of other perceptions besides that of faith. It is the case of nature against art: of course, if possible, nature and art should be combined, but sometimes they are incompatible. Thus, in the case of calculating boys, it is said, I know not with what truth, that to teach them the ordinary rules of arithmetic is to endanger or to destroy the extraordinary endowment. And men who have the gift of playing on an instrument by ear, are sometimes afraid to learn by rule, lest they should lose it.

There is an analogy, in this respect, between Ratiocination {337} and Memory, though the latter may be exercised without antecedents or media, whereas the former requires them in its very idea. At the same time association has so much to do with memory, that we may not unfairly consider memory, as well as reasoning, as depending on certain previous conditions. Writing, as I have already observed, is a memoria technica, or logic of memory. Now it will be found, I think, that indispensable as is the use of letters, still, in fact, we weaken our memory in proportion as we habituate ourselves to commit all that we wish to remember to memorandums. Of course in proportion as our memory is weak or over-burdened, and thereby treacherous, we cannot act otherwise; but in the case of men of strong memory in any particular subject-matter, as in that of dates, all artificial expedients, from the "Thirty days has September," &c., to the more formidable formulas which are offered for their use, are as difficult and repulsive as the natural exercise of memory is healthy and easy to them; just as the clear-headed and practical reasoner, who sees conclusions at a glance, is uncomfortable under the drill of a logician, being oppressed and hampered, as David in Saul's armour, by what is intended to be a benefit.

I need not say more on this part of the subject. What is called reasoning is often only a peculiar and personal mode of abstraction, and so far, like memory, may be said to exist without antecedents. It is a power of looking at things in some particular aspect, and of determining their internal and external relations thereby. And according to the subtlety and versatility of their gift, are men able to read what comes before {338} them justly, variously, and fruitfully. Hence, too, it is, that in our intercourse with others, in business and family matters, in social and political transactions, a word or an act on the part of another is sometimes a sudden revelation; light breaks in upon us, and our whole judgment of a course of events, or of an undertaking, is changed. We determine correctly or otherwise, as it may be; but in either case, it is by a sense proper to ourselves, for another may see the objects which we are thus using, and give them quite a different interpretation, inasmuch as he abstracts another set of general notions from those same phenomena which present themselves to us also.

What I have been saying of Ratiocination, may be said of Taste, and is confirmed by the obvious analogy between the two. Taste, skill, invention in the fine arts—and so, again, discretion or judgment in conduct—are exerted spontaneously, when once acquired, and could not give a clear account of themselves, or of their mode of proceeding. They do not go by rule, though to a certain point their exercise may be analyzed, and may take the shape of an art or method. But these parallels will come before us presently.

And now I come to a further peculiarity of this natural and spontaneous ratiocination.
This faculty, as it is actually found in us, proceeding from concrete to concrete, is
attached to a definite subject-matter, according to the individual. In spite of Aristotle, I
will not allow that genuine reasoning is an instrumental art; and in spite of Dr. Johnson, I
will assert that genius, as far as it is manifested in ratiocination, is not equal to all {339}

168 undertakings, but has its own peculiar subject-matter, and is circumscribed in its range. 169 No one would for a moment expect that because Newton and Napoleon both had a genius for ratiocination, that, in consequence, Napoleon could have generalized the 170 171 principle of gravitation, or Newton have seen how to concentrate a hundred thousand 172 men at Austerlitz. The ratiocinative faculty, then, as found in individuals, is not a general 173 instrument of knowledge, but has its province, or is what may be called departmental. It 174 is not so much one faculty, as a collection of similar or analogous faculties under one 175 name, there being really as many faculties as there are distinct subject-matters, though 176 in the same person some of them may, if it so happen, be united,—nay, though some 177 men have a sort of literary power in arguing in all subject-matters, de omni scibili, a 178 power extensive, but not deep or real.

179

180

181 182

183

184

185

186

187 188

189

190

191 192

193 194

195

196 197

198

199

200

201202

203204

205

206207

208

209210

211

This surely is the conclusion, to which we are brought by our ordinary experience of men. It is almost proverbial that a hard-headed mathematician may have no head at all for what is called historical evidence. Successful experimentalists need not have talent for legal research or pleading. A shrewd man of business may be a bad arguer in philosophical questions. Able statesmen and politicians have been before now eccentric or superstitious in their religious views. It is notorious how ridiculous a clever man may make himself, who ventures to argue with professed theologians, critics, or geologists, though without positive defects in knowledge of his subject. Priestley, great in electricity and chemistry, was but a poor ecclesiastical historian. The {340} Author of the Minute Philosopher is also the Author of the Analyst. Newton wrote not only his "Principia." but his comments on the Apocalypse; Cromwell, whose actions savoured of the boldest logic, was a confused speaker. In these, and various similar instances, the defect lay, not so much in an ignorance of facts, as in an inability to handle those facts suitably; in feeble or perverse modes of abstraction, observation, comparison, analysis, inference, which nothing could have obviated, but that which was wanting,—a specific talent, and a ready exercise of it.

I have already referred to the faculty of memory in illustration; it will serve me also here. We can form an abstract idea of memory, and call it one faculty, which has for its subject-matter all past facts of our personal experience; but this is really only an illusion; for there is no such gift of universal memory. Of course we all remember in a way, as we reason, in all subject-matters; but I am speaking of remembering rightly, as I spoke of reasoning rightly. In real fact memory, as a talent, is not one indivisible faculty, but a power of retaining and recalling the past in this or that department of our experience, not in any whatever. Two memories, which are both specially retentive, may also be incommensurate. Some men can recite the canto of a poem, or good part of a speech, after once reading it, but have no head for dates. Others have great capacity for the vocabulary of languages, but recollect nothing of the small occurrences of the day or year. Others never forget any statement which they have read, and can give volume and page, but have no {341} memory for faces. I have known those who could, without effort, run through the succession of days on which Easter fell for years back; or could say where they were, or what they were doing, on a given day, in a given year; or could recollect accurately the Christian names of friends and strangers; or could enumerate in exact order the names on all the shops from Hyde Park Corner to the Bank; or had so

- 212 mastered the University Calender as to be able to bear an examination in the
- 213 academical history of any M.A. taken at random. And I believe in most of these cases
- 214 the talent, in its exceptional character, did not extend beyond several classes of
- subjects. There are a hundred memories, as there are a hundred virtues. Virtue is one
- indeed in the abstract; but, in fact, gentle and kind natures are not therefore heroic, and
- 217 prudent and self-controlled minds need not be open-handed. At the utmost such virtue
- is one only *in posse*; as developed in the concrete, it takes the shape of species which
- in no sense imply each other.
- So is it with Ratiocination; and as we should betake ourselves to Newton for physical,
- 221 not for theological conclusions, and to Wellington for his military experience, not for
- statesmanship, so the maxim holds good generally, "Cuique in arte suâ credendum
- est:" or, to use the grand words of Aristotle, "We are bound to give heed to the
- 224 undemonstrated sayings and opinions of the experienced and aged, not less than to
- demonstrations; because, from their having the eye of experience, they behold the
- principles of things." [Note 6] Instead {342} of trusting logical science, we must trust
- persons, namely, those who by long acquaintance with their subject have a right to
- judge. And if we wish ourselves to share in their convictions and the grounds of them,
- we must follow their history, and learn as they have learned. We must take up their
- particular subject as they took it up, beginning at the beginning, give ourselves to it,
- depend on practice and experience more than on reasoning, and thus gain that mental
- insight into truth, whatever its subject-matter may be, which our masters have gained
- before us. By following this course, we may make ourselves of their number, and then
- we rightly lean upon ourselves, directing ourselves by our own moral or intellectual
- 235 judgment, not by our skill in argumentation.
- 236 This doctrine, stated in substance as above by the great philosopher of antiquity, is
- more fully expounded in a passage which he elsewhere quotes from Hesiod. "Best of all
- is he," says that poet, "who is wise by his own wit; next best he who is wise by the wit of
- others; but whoso is neither able to see, nor willing to hear, he is a good-for-nothing
- fellow." Judgment then in all concrete matter is the architectonic faculty; and what may
- be called the Illative Sense, or right judgment in ratiocination, is one branch of it.
- 242 Notes
- 243 1. "Orley Farm."
- 244 2. *Guardian*, June 28, 1865.
- 245 3. History, vol. x. pp. 286, 287.
- 246 4. "Peveril of the Peak."
- 5. "Life of Mother Margaret M. Hallahan," p. [?].
- 248 6. Eth. Nicom. vi. 11, fin.