Development of Social and Emotional Maturity as Competing Factors to Unhealthy, Harmful Behavior in Social Responsibility Therapy

Source: Yokley, J. Social Responsibility Therapy: Counseling for Development of Social and Emotional Maturity as Competing Factors to Harmful, Abusive Behavior. 119th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association, Washington, DC., 2011 (August). APA Division 17, Society of Counseling Psychology. [For non-profit educational use]

Introduction

Social Responsibility Therapy (SRT) aims to increase socially responsible behavior and decrease harmful, abusive behavior, an important step in the creation of a more socially just community. SRT involves skills-based counseling aimed at achieving developmental mastery of the multicultural prosocial values, beliefs, and behaviors needed for a socially responsible lifestyle. A socially responsible person has well-developed social-emotional maturity. In SRT harmful behavior is considered to be the primary symptom of a social-emotional maturity deficit. The goal of SRT is to develop social maturity and emotional maturity as competing factors to multiple forms of harmful, abusive behavior (i.e., sexual abuse, physical abuse, property abuse, substance abuse and trust abuse).

Counseling for Development of Social and Emotional Maturity

In SRT, social maturity development involves teaching multicultural prosocial values for: Harmful behavior prevention (i.e., providing competing factors to harmful behavior); Personal success (i.e., improving interpersonal and occupational relationships) and; Community unity (i.e., developing common, multicultural values, a position referred to as "Diversity within Unity"- Etzioni, 2001). In SRT, social maturity involves learning the multicultural prospcial values of honesty, trust, loyalty, concern and responsibility as Healthy Relationship Success Skills and competing factors to unhealthy, harmful behavior. Social maturity development in SRT draws on multicultural values theory (e.g., Schwartz et al., 2001) to select basic prosocial values accepted by multiple cultural groups. Consumer preference for the multicultural prosocial values selected for development in SRT (i.e., honesty, trust, loyalty, concern, and responsibility) has been validated in structured clinical exercise surveys of multicultural population youth, their caretakers and clinical staff (Yokley, 2008). Developing honesty and trust through the therapeutic relationship has decades of research support as a robust factor in positive treatment outcome (e.g., Lambert & Barley, 2001) and is gaining recognition in cognitivebehavioral therapy (e.g., Giovazolias, 2004). With respect to developing concern, an empathy deficit has been identified as a primary contributing factor to harmful, abusive behavior (e.g., Regehr & Glancy, 2001; Sgroi, 1982) where less empathic concern has been found in more serious forms of abuse. The competing factor of empathy development facilitates prosocial behavior, helps prevent aggressive behavior (Foubert & Newberry, 2006; Miller & Eisenberg, 1988; Sams & Truscott, 2004; Swick, 2005), and can act as a protective factor against serious offending for both males and females (Broidy et al., 2003). Loyalty and responsibility development are key to success in interpersonal relationships and occupational achievement as indicated by findings that the development of early family loyalty experiences play a significant role in future relationships (e.g., Leibig & Green, 1999) and personal responsibility can be a better single predictor of academic achievement than verbal intelligence (e.g., Martel, McKelvie & Standing, 1987).

Although there are many indicators of emotional maturity, SRT focuses on developing self-awareness, self-efficacy and self-control. Self-awareness of emotions is prerequisite to emotional regulation and has been an important treatment objective for harmful, abusive behavior for over 25 years (e.g., Bays & Freeman-Longo, 1989). Developing emotional self-awareness has been an important treatment objective in multiple forms of harmful behavior including sexual abuse, physical abuse, substance abuse and food abuse/overeating (e.g., Bowen et al., 2006; Moriarty et al., 2001; Proulx, 2008; Rathus,

Cavuoto & Passarelli, 2006). Self-efficacy, empowers clients to persist in efforts to control harmful behavior and be more resistant to pressures or temptations to re-engage in harmful behavior. This makes it important in the treatment of multiple forms of harmful behavior including sexual abuse, physical abuse, substance abuse, smoking and food abuse/overeating (e.g., Bogenschutz et al., 2006; Marshall et al., 2008; Morrel et al., 2003; Patten et al., 2008; Wolff & Clark, 2001). Self-control is a critical strength to target for development in harmful behavior treatment and a key treatment focus in multiple forms of harmful behavior including sexual abuse, substance abuse, smoking and food abuse/overeating (e.g., Chapple & Hope, 2003; Feng, 2005; Harris, Mazorelle & Knight, 2008; Higgins, 2005; van den Bos & de Ridder, 2006; Wills et al., 2008). Targeting both social and emotional maturity for development is important as self-control appears to be bolstered by the presence of prosocial moral values (e.g., Schoepfer & Piquero, 2006).

Awareness, Responsibility and Tolerance Training

SRT increases intervention intensity to promote positive change by combining interventions and procedures demonstrated effective in achieving behavior change using different methods and pathways. Awareness Training in SRT develops self-awareness across a broad range of internal, external and social learning events. SRT uses Structured Discovery workbooks in Awareness Training that are structured to help clients discover important connections between their experiences, thoughts, feelings and behaviors (e.g., Yokley, 2010a). The most important responsibility in SRT is self-control and Responsibility Training in SRT involves self-control development across multiple forms of harmful, abusive behavior. Among other things, Tolerance Training in SRT develops both social tolerance and multicultural interaction self-efficacy as well as emotional tolerance and emotional regulation self-efficacy. Intervention methods in SRT are integrated across pathways such that the development of self-awareness and self-efficacy enables self-control success which enhances self-efficacy.

Conclusion

SRT exhibits strong social validity and initial outcome data is encouraging. A recent outcome study on youth removed from their homes for multiple forms of harmful, abusive behavior (Yokley, 2010b) revealed a significant improvement of SRT over the "treatment as usual" control group across all of the outcome measures that were evaluated. Specifically, regarding rule violation severity, the SRT group exhibited a significantly higher positive to negative outcome ratio than the "treatment as usual" control group on: program rule vs legal violations; less severe vs more severe abusive behaviors and; less severe responsibility problem reports vs more severe concern problem incident reports. Compared to "treatment as usual", youth in SRT demonstrated less severe rule violation and abusive behaviors along with more responsibility and concern behaviors. These findings support SRT as a promising counseling approach for the development of social and emotional maturity as competing factors to harmful, abusive behavior. In order to create a more socially just community, we have to establish and maintain a human development infrastructure by providing counseling programs that develop social responsibility.

Treatment Manual and Outcome References

Yokley, J. (2010a). *How did I get this problem? Social Responsibility Therapy: Understanding Harmful Behavior Workbook 1.* Hudson, OH: Social Solutions Press. ISBN: 978-0-9832449-0-5.

Yokley, J. (2010b). Social Responsibility Therapy for Harmful, Abusive Behavior, *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*, 40(2), p. 105-113. DOI 10.1007/s10879-009-9131-7.

Yokley, J. (2008). Social Responsibility Therapy for Adolescents & Young Adults: A Multicultural Treatment Manual for Harmful Behavior, New York, NY, US: Routledge Mental Health/Taylor & Francis Group. ISBN: 978-0-7890-3121-1.