## THE ENFORCER



BY DAVID FINDLING
THE FINDLING LAW FIRM

## Why Was My Paycheck Only For One Dollar?

Practitioners regularly prepare Uniform Support Orders which provide for the payment of current support and arrearages. Many fail to recognize the dictates of 15 USC §1673, entitled Restrictions on Garnishment. It limits the amount which may be attached from a support payer's earnings for any work week. Those limits vary based on whether the debt is civil or for support, whether the payer is married and currently paying support and how long he/she has been in arrears.

So why is this important? Due to federal restrictions, even if a Family Court were to order a support payment, it still must comply with §1673. It provides in part:

No court of the United States or any State, and no State (or officer or agency thereof), may make, execute, or enforce any order or process in violation of this section.

Therefore, a support order in violation of §1673 is not enforceable due to the federal restriction. Also, many practitioners (and the Friend of the Court) simply limit the support to fifty (50%) of the payer's wages because they are unfamiliar with the restrictions.

On an initial reading of the statute, it would appear that the maximum allowable garnishment of one's weekly earnings is only twenty-five percent (25%). However, there are four exceptions that allow garnishment of more than the twenty-five percent (25%) maximum if certain conditions are met. Exceptions to the maximum allowable garnishment do not come into play until there is an order for the support of a person. This would include a spouse or dependent children.

The first exception is §1673(b)(2)(A). Under this section, when an individual is married or has a child other than the one the support order is for, the maximum garnishment of that person's earnings increases to fifty percent (50%). An example of this would when an individual is married or has dependent children and the court issues and order for alimony for a former spouse. When this occurs the person's income can be garnished for fifty percent (50%). However,

this limit does not increase when there are additional support orders. The maximum allowed to be garnished for all support orders under these circumstances remains fifty percent (50%).

The second exception is §1673(b)(2)(B). Under this section when an individual is *not* married and does *not* have another dependent child other than the one provided in the support order, the maximum garnishment increases to sixty percent (60%). An example would be when the person is single and has no other dependent children.

The first and second exceptions get further complicated when there are arrears outstanding for more than twelve (12) weeks. Then, the maximum allowable garnishment of one's income increases. When the individual is married or has a child other than the one he is supporting, the maximum garnishment of that person's earnings increases to fifty-five percent (55%). However, this is only when the person has arrears outstanding for more than twelve (12) weeks. Furthermore, if the person is *not* married and does *not* have another dependent child other than the one the support order is for and that person has arrears outstanding for more than twelve weeks the maximum garnishment of that person's earnings increases to sixty-five percent (65%).

A support order takes precedence over a nonexempt garnishment. This occurs when a person owes both a civil creditor as well as is responsible for a support order. When this occurs, the support order for the dependent child or spouse takes precedence over the creditor and if the support order absorbs the maximum allowable garnishment then the creditor would not be able to garnish any amount. Marshall v District Court for Forty-First Dist. Of Michigan, Mount Clemens Division, 444 F.Supp. 1110, 1117, 23 Wage & Hour Cas. (BNA) 677 (E.D. Mich, 1978).

In summary, the maximum garnishment amounts under §1673 are as follows:

- For a civil debt, (25%);
- For current support, when married or has another dependent child (not the subject of the support order), (50%);



- For current support, when single and does not another dependent child (not the subject of the support order, (60%);
- For current support and arrearages which are more than 12 weeks old, married or has another dependent child (not the subject of the support order) (55%); and
- For current support and arrearages which are more than 12 weeks old, when single and does not another dependent child (not the subject of the support order, (65%).

With special thanks to Erica J. Ehrlichman, Esq. and John W. Polderman, Esq. for their invaluable assistance in preparation.

FAMILY LAW POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE			
Committee. The PA the PAC lobbyist has are one way for you PAC is the most imp and contribution is a	ary Political Action Committee (PAC) vacance of and against legislar is contact with, and access to, legislators to help influence legislation that directly portant PAC, since it affects the lives of some eded to ensure that this PAC's voice was nate and House of Representatives. Plean	tion that directly affects for involved with family law is: y affects your practice as a so many people, adults and will continue to be heard ar	amily law practitioners, and sues. Contributions to the PAC family lawyer. The Family Law children alike. Your assistance and listened to by the legislators
(PLEASE COPY AND USE THIS FORM)			
TO:	Neil M. Colman • 12900 Hall Rd. •	Ste. 180 • Sterling Heig	ghts, MI 48313
Attached is my check payable to the Family Law PAC in the amount of:			
\$50	\$100	\$150	Other
Name and P-number	er		
Street Address			
City		State	Zip
Telephone	Fax		E-Mail
	Please make your check pay Please, no corporate checks. Th		ce!

