

Quantitative Approaches to $\because$ Political Science Research

## Quantitative Approaches

-We've covered:
-Mean, median, mode

- Variance, standard deviation, standard error
- $\chi^{2}$ tests, $t$-tests, and Pearson's correlation coefficients
-Today we'll cover:
- Bivariate and multivariate linear regression
- Time permitting, maximum likelihood approaches (logit/probit)


## Quantitative Approaches

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variables | CR | IJE | IJE-CR | Macro | Firm | Firm | Firm All | Fama McBeth | Firm All |
| CR | $\begin{aligned} & -0.021^{* * *} \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.011^{* * *} \\ & (0.003) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.018^{* * *} \\ & (0.004) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.014 \\ & (0.009) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.018^{* *} \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.018^{* *} \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.019^{* * *} \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.020^{* * *} \\ & (0.001) \end{aligned}$ |
| IJE |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.109^{*} \\ & (0.059) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.095^{* *} \\ & (0.042) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.100^{* * *} \\ & (0.030) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.119^{*} \\ & (0.066) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.131^{*} \\ & (0.066) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.135^{* *} \\ & (0.064) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.132^{* * *} \\ & (0.005) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.126^{* * *} \\ & (0.006) \end{aligned}$ |
| PROF |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.002 \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.019 \\ & (0.026) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.036 \\ & (0.036) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.038^{* * *} \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.005 \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ |
| SZ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.006^{*} \\ & (0.003) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.007^{*} \\ & (0.004) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.007^{*} \\ & (0.004) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.008^{* * *} \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.006^{* * *} \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ |
| COLAT |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.118^{* * *} \\ & (0.018) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.125^{* * *} \\ & (0.017) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.115^{* * *} \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.115^{* * *} \\ & (0.006) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.126^{* * *} \\ & (0.005) \end{aligned}$ |
| RD |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.070 \\ & (0.073) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.069 \\ & (0.080) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.056 \\ & (0.096) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.067^{* * *} \\ & (0.011) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.057^{* * *} \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ |
| LIQ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.005{ }^{* * *} \\ & (0.001) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.005^{* * *} \\ & (0.001) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.007^{* * *} \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.007^{* * *} \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.000^{* * *} \\ & (0.000) \end{aligned}$ |
| TAX |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.038^{* * *} \\ & (0.012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.033^{* * *} \\ & (0.012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.034^{* * *} \\ & (0.012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.035^{* * *} \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.045^{* * *} \\ & (0.004) \end{aligned}$ |
| CAPEX |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.067 \\ & (0.066) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.059 \\ & (0.059) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.113 \\ & (0.078) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.114^{* * * *} \\ & (0.020) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.042^{* * *} \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ |
| DCPSF |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.010 \\ & (0.015) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.020 \\ & (0.017) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.021 \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.023^{* * *} \\ & (0.005) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.019^{* * *} \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ |
| MCAP |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.008 \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ |  | $0.017^{*}$ (0.010) | 0.018* <br> (0.010) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022^{* *} \\ & (0.004) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022^{* *} \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ |
| VOL |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.092^{* * *} \\ & (0.028) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.096^{* * *} \\ & (0.009) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.127^{* * *} \\ & (0.015) \end{aligned}$ |
| ASLF |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.009 \\ & (0.047) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 0.169^{* * *} \\ & (0.026) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.112^{* * *} \\ & (0.020) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.160^{* * *} \\ & (0.020) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.159^{*} \\ & (0.082) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.093^{* *} \\ & (0.041) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.095 \\ & (0.073) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.118 \\ & (0.076) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.103^{* * * *} \\ & (0.021) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.109^{* *} \\ & (0.043) \end{aligned}$ |
| Observations | 303,270 | 189,570 | 189,290 | 181,109 | 131,176 | 126,004 | 100,703 | 100,703 | 100,703 |
| R-squared | 0.129 | 0.127 | 0.139 | 0.138 | 0.218 | 0.221 | 0.227 | 0.239 | 0.268 |
| Industry dummy | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |
| Year dummy | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES |

## Quantitative Approaches

Wable 8 , पanabe gypes and appophate bvanate hyouhesis tests

|  |  | Independent variable type |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Categorical | Continuous |  |
| Dependent <br> variable type | Categorical | tabular analysis | probit/logit (Ch, 12) |
|  |  | Continuous <br> difference of means; <br> regression extensions <br> (Ch. 11) | correlation coefficient; <br> two-variable regression <br> model (Ch. 9) |

Note: Tests in italics are discussed in this chapter.

## Linear Regression

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG



## Linear Regression

- For linear relationships...
- $y=m x+b$
- Where to fit this line?
- We require a systematic means to find the line of best fit
- Least squares approach

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG


## Linear Regression

-We need to move past the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ formulation

$$
y_{i}=\alpha+\beta x_{i}+u_{i}
$$

$-y_{i}$ : dependent variable
$-\alpha$ : Y intercept
$-\beta$ : slope coefficient

- $x_{i}$ : independent variable
- $u_{i}$ : residual
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## Linear Regression

-We need to move past the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ formulation

$$
y_{i}=\alpha+\beta x_{i}+u_{i}
$$

$-\beta$ : slope coefficient

- Consider this as the effect of your independent variable on the dependent variable ( $\mathrm{Y}=\beta$ * X )
- $u_{i}$ : residual
- Residual here is synonymous with error; this is the degree of deviation from the line of best fit and the observed value


## Linear Regression

-We need to move past the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ formulation

$$
y_{i}=\alpha+\beta x_{i}+u_{i}
$$

-We also need to be conscious that this is the population equation -This is the data generating process (DGP) in the world, not necessarily in our sample

## Linear Regression

-We need to move past the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ formulation

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-We also need to be conscious that this is the population equation
-This is the data generating process (DGP) in the world, not necessarily in our sample
-When are discussing estimated values, we put a little hat on the variables - alpha hat, beta hat, etc.
-This is the sample regression equation

## Linear Regression

-We need to move past the $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b}$ formulation

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-Because the $\alpha, \beta$, and $\hat{u}$ values are not known, but rather estimated, they get hats
-This signals that we don't know these values, and we likely cannot know these values, but we estimate a range of likely values within which the population ('true') value lies - recall confidence intervals

## Linear Regression

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-Recall the difference between stochastic and systematic variation
-Both operate in this equation
-We can dichotomize $\hat{u}_{i}$ into two components

$$
\hat{u}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}+u_{i}
$$

-Where $\hat{u}_{i}$ are our observed residuals, $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the unmodelled systematic variation, and $u_{i}$ is the remaining random (stochastic) variation

## Linear Regression

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG


## Linear Regression

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-Recall the difference between stochastic and systematic variation
-Both operate in this equation
-We can dichotomize $\hat{u}_{i}$ into two components

$$
\hat{u}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}+u_{i}
$$

-We will always have some level of $\hat{u}_{i}$ due to both stochastic and systematic variation, no matter how many IVs we add

## Linear Regression

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-Recall the difference between stochastic and systematic variation
-Both operate in this equation
-We can dichotomize $\hat{u}_{i}$ into two components

$$
\hat{u}_{i}=\varepsilon_{i}+u_{i}
$$

-As we'll see later, it is not always beneficial to add more IVs to decrease $\hat{u}_{i}$

## Linear Regression

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-What do we really care about in this equation?
-We want to find $\hat{\beta}$ as this is the estimated effect of our independent variable(s) on the observed outcome (DV)
-But we also need to know where this effect begins ( $\hat{\alpha}$ ) as well as our degree of confidence about our estimates $\left(\hat{u}_{i}\right)$

## Linear Regression

- Returning to the scatterplot
- We want to find the line of best fit
- This is determined by the line that minimizes distance between our observations and the linear line
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## Linear Regression

- Returning to the scatterplot
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## Linear Regression

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG

- This is performed via the two equations below
- $\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}$
- $\alpha=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta} \bar{x}$


## Linear Regression

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG

- This is performed via the two equations below
- $\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)}$
- $\alpha=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta} \bar{x}$


## Linear Regression

## Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG

- This is performed via the two equations below
- $\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)}$
- $\alpha=\bar{y}-\hat{\beta} \bar{x}$


## Linear Regression

-Once we have our coefficient estimates, we calculate the standard error of the $\beta$ and $\alpha$ coefficients through the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{S E}(\hat{\beta})=\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^{2}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \\
& \hat{\sigma}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-k-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{u}_{i}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear Regression

- In doing, we can construct a confidence interval about our regression estimate
- Generally, 95\%
- We are $95 \%$ confident that the 'true' effect of X on Y falls within this range both slope and intercept
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## Linear Regression

-This is called Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)
-The name derives from the process of finding the line that minimizes the square distances between the regression line and the observations
-This is also termed linear regression or least squares regression
-This process has several benefits as well as a number of restrictions

## Linear Regression: BLUE

-We use OLS because it is BLUE
-The best, linear, unbiased estimator
-Best: Minimum variance between $\beta$ and $\hat{\beta}$, and $\alpha$ and $\hat{\alpha}$, as the sample size approaches $\infty$
-Linear: Where the relationship under study is linear, we use a linear estimator
-Unbiased: Accurately estimates the regression coefficients ( $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}$ )

# Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions 

-OLS has a number of assumptions/requirements
-These are known as the Gauss-Markov Assumptions
-The relationship under study must be linear in the population

# Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions 

-OLS has a number of assumptions/requirements
-These are known as the Gauss-Markov Assumptions
-Our data is randomly drawn from the population

# Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions 

-OLS has a number of assumptions/requirements
-These are known as the Gauss-Markov Assumptions
-The IVs are not perfectly correlated with one another

- Non-collinearity


# Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions 

-OLS has a number of assumptions/requirements
-These are known as the Gauss-Markov Assumptions
-The IVs are not correlated with the error term/residuals

# Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions 

-OLS has a number of assumptions/requirements
-These are known as the Gauss-Markov Assumptions
-The errors (residuals) are uncorrelated with each other, and the IVs, and with an expected value of 0
$-\operatorname{cov}\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=0$

- $E\left(u_{i}\right)=0$


## Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions

-'Spherical' errors
-The errors should be normally distributed about the regression line
-If skewed, this means that the regression line is not 'splitting' the data, and is thus biased
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## Linear Regression: Gauss Markov Assumptions

-'Spherical' errors
-With more than a single IV, we need to conceptualize this in three dimensions

- A circle in three dimensions is a sphere
-68/95/99 of the error distribution

Scatterplot of Weight of Car vs City MPG


## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

- How do we know if the linear regression line is doing a 'good' job in predicting the observed data
- Such a measure is inherently contingent on the dispersion of the observed data


## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

- How do we know if the linear regression line is doing a 'good' job in predicting the observed data
- Such a measure is inherently contingent on the dispersion of the observed data - the variance of the data




## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

- How do we know if the linear regression line is doing a 'good' job in predicting the observed data
- Such a measure is inherently contingent on the dispersion of the observed data
- Where there is a greater degree of stochastic and systematic variation at work in the observed data, the linear regression estimator will do the best it can
-We can only reduce such variation to a limited degree


## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

- How do we know if the linear regression line is doing a 'good' job in predicting the observed data
-We quantify the degree of variation explained by the linear regression process by the metric of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ and adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
-This is also termed the coefficient of determination or "goodness of fit" measure


## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

-Total Sum of Squares: the total variation in $Y_{i}$
-Residual Sum of Squares: the variation in $Y_{i}$ not explained by $X_{i}$
$\cdot \mathrm{R}^{2}=1-\frac{R S S}{T S S}$

## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

-Total Sum of Squares: $T S S=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$
-Residual Sum of Squares: $R S S=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\widehat{u}_{i}^{2}\right)$
$\cdot \mathrm{R}^{2}=1-\frac{R S S}{T S S}$

## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

-As we can see from these equations, there is no way to quantify how many independent variables are being used

- If you add more independent variables, you will explain more of the observed variation
$-T S S=\Sigma_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}$
- $R S S=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{u}_{i}^{2}\right)$
$\cdot \mathrm{R}^{2}=1-\frac{R S S}{T S S}$


## Linear Regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

-As we can see from these equations, there is no way to quantify how many independent variables are being used

- If you add more independent variables, you will explain more of the observed variation
-Thus, we prefer to use adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
$R_{a d j}^{2}=1-\frac{\left(1-R^{2}\right)(n-1)}{n-p-1}$
-This weights our measure to account for the number of IVs we're using
where :
$R^{2}=R-$ squared
$n=$ number of samples/rows in the data set
$p=$ number of predictors $/$ features


## Linear Regression: Significance

- We've covered how to calculate the linear regression estimates, how uncertainty is modeled, and how well the model explains observed variation in the outcome variable
-What about statistical significance?
-We calculate a t-statistic by comparing observed values to the value posited by the null hypothesis, over the standard error of the model

$$
t_{n-k}=\frac{\hat{\beta}-\beta^{*}}{s e(\hat{\beta})}
$$

## Linear Regression: Significance

- We've covered how to calculate the linear regression estimates, how uncertainty is modeled, and how well the model explains observed variation in the outcome variable
-What about statistical significance?
-We calculate a t-statistic by comparing observed values to the value posited by the null hypothesis, over the standard error of the model

$$
t_{n-k}=\frac{\hat{\beta}-0}{s e(\hat{\beta})}
$$

## Linear Regression: Tables

-What does this look like? Mitigation Behaviors.

| Conspiratorial Ideation | $\begin{gathered} -0.518^{* * *} \\ {[0.062]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.465^{* * *} \\ {[0.086]} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Institutional Trust: State |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.182^{* * *} \\ {[0.047]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.176^{* * *} \\ & (0.057) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Institutional Trust: <br> Federal |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.288^{* * *} \\ & {[0.040]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.301^{* * *} \\ (0.051) \end{gathered}$ |
| Female |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.196 \\ {[0.134]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.088 \\ (0.134) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.134 \\ (0.123) \end{gathered}$ |
| Age |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.070 \\ {[0.088]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.130 \\ (0.092) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.115 \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ |
| Income |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.095 \\ {[0.084]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.069 \\ (0.089) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.044 \\ (0.082) \end{gathered}$ |
| Education |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.044 \\ & {[0.110]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.037 \\ & (0.109) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.146 \\ (0.101) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ideology |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.064 \\ {[0.042]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.155^{* * *} \\ (0.049) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.058 \\ & (0.048) \end{aligned}$ |
| Person of Color |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.100 \\ & {[0.182]} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.091 \\ & (0.207) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.015 \\ (0.189) \end{gathered}$ |
| COVID-19 Personal Experience |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.055 \\ {[0.086]} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.097 \\ & (0.097) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.045 \\ & (0.090) \end{aligned}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{aligned} & 1.345^{* * *} \\ & {[0.155]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.819^{* * *} \\ & {[0.649]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.542^{* * *} \\ {[0.180]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.395 \\ (0.640) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.839^{* * *} \\ {[0.150]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.360 \\ (0.605) \end{gathered}$ |
| N | 177 | 137 | 280 | 134 | 281 | 134 |
| Adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.250 | 0.211 | 0.060 | 0.101 | 0.184 | 0.242 |
| Note. Values presented are linear regression estimates. Dependent variable is predicted COVID-19 behaviors - coded such that higher values indicate a higher likelihood of behaving in line with scientific recommendations for COVID-19 transmission mitigation. Gender and race are dummy variables coded such that 1 denotes female and person of color respectively. Standard errors in parentheses. Efron (1982) variant standard errors in brackets. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1 ;{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05 ;{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Linear Regression: Tables

| Table 1: Effect of Conspiratorial Ideation and Institutional Trust on Predicted COVID-19 Mitigation Behaviors. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Independent Variables } \Longrightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |
| Institutional Trust: State |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 0.182^{* * *} & 0.176^{* * *} \\ {[0.047]} & (0.057) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Institutional Trust: Federal |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.288^{* * *} \\ {[0.040]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.301^{* * *} \\ (0.051) \end{gathered}$ |
| Female | $\begin{gathered} 0.196 \\ {[0.134]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.088 \\ (0.134) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.134 \\ (0.123) \end{gathered}$ |
| Age | $\begin{gathered} 0.070 \\ {[0.088]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.130 \\ (0.092) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.115 \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ |
| Income | $\begin{aligned} & -0.095 \\ & {[0.084]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.069 \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.044 \\ & (0.082) \end{aligned}$ |
| Education | -0.044 | -0.037 |  | -0.146 |



## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

-We state the linear regression equation as:

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

- It is important to note that this is functionally shorthand -In the single IV case, $x_{i}$ is a vector
-This equation works for multiple IVs as well


## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

-We state the linear regression equation as:

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta} x_{i}+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

-It is important to note that this is functionally shorthand -In the single IV case, $x_{i}$ is a vector
-This equation works for multiple IVs as well

$$
y_{i}=\hat{\alpha}+\hat{\beta}_{1} x_{1 i}+\hat{\beta}_{2} x_{2 i}+\hat{\beta}_{3} x_{3 i}+\cdots+\hat{u}_{i}
$$

## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

| $y_{i}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{1}$ | $x_{\mathbf{1} i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2 | 1.2 | 1 |
| 2.4 | 1.2 | 2 |
| 3.6 | 1.2 | 3 |
| 4.8 | 1.2 | 4 |
| 6.0 | 1.2 | 5 |
| 7.2 | 1.2 | 6 |
| 8.4 | 1.2 | 7 |
| 9.6 | 1.2 | 8 |
| 10.8 | 1.2 | 9 |
| 12 | 1.2 | 10 |

## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

| $y_{i}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $x_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $x_{\mathbf{2 i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.8 | 1.2 | 1 | -2 | 1 |
| -1.6 | 1.2 | 2 | -2 | 2 |
| -2.4 | 1.2 | 3 | -2 | 3 |
| -3.2 | 1.2 | 4 | -2 | 4 |
| -4 | 1.2 | 5 | -2 | 5 |
| -4.8 | 1.2 | 6 | -2 | 6 |
| -5.6 | 1.2 | 7 | -2 | 7 |
| -6.4 | 1.2 | 8 | -2 | 8 |
| -7.2 | 1.2 | 9 | -2 | 9 |
| -8 | 1.2 | 10 | -2 | 10 |

## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

| $y_{i}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $x_{\mathbf{1} i}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $x_{\mathbf{2} i}$ | $\hat{\beta}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $x_{\mathbf{3 i}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -0.3 | 1.2 | 1 | -2 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |
| -0.6 | 1.2 | 2 | -2 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 |
| -0.9 | 1.2 | 3 | -2 | 3 | 0.5 | 3 |
| -1.2 | 1.2 | 4 | -2 | 4 | 0.5 | 4 |
| -1.5 | 1.2 | 5 | -2 | 5 | 0.5 | 5 |
| -1.8 | 1.2 | 6 | -2 | 6 | 0.5 | 6 |
| -2.1 | 1.2 | 7 | -2 | 7 | 0.5 | 7 |
| -2.4 | 1.2 | 8 | -2 | 8 | 0.5 | 8 |
| -2.7 | 1.2 | 9 | -2 | 9 | 0.5 | 9 |
| -3 | 1.2 | 10 | -2 | 10 | 0.5 | 10 |

## Let's Try an Example Together

-Data from 2012 ANES
-Effect of SES on Party Identification
-Think about your data structure, and how this would apply as we go through this example

## Linear Regression: Multiple IVs

-Multiple IVs complicate matters in two key ways
-First, the IVs may be correlated with each other violating one of the GM assumptions
-Second, the model is less capable of assigning the variance in outcomes due to one IV over another
-This issue increases exponentially, not linearly, with the addition of more and more Ivs

## Linear Regression: Conclusion

- We know how to:
- Calculate the linear best fit line (regression coefficient and constant)
-Calculate uncertainty about the regression line
- Calculate the coefficient of determination
- Interpret linear regression results
-Remember: linear regression requires a continuous DV and a number of assumptions to function properly
-With observational data, regression cannot make causal claims


## For Next Class

-Read the excerpt on iCollege for Thursday
-Complete Final Paper and submit by Thursday (7/28) by midnight

