

PAPER – 2: BUSINESS LAWS AND BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPORTING

SECTION A: BUSINESS LAWS

PART – I: RELEVANT AMENDMENTS APPLICABLE FOR MAY 2018 EXAMINATION

Applicability of Relevant Amendments/ Circulars/ Notifications/ Regulations etc.

For May 2018 examinations for Paper 2, Section A: Business Laws, the significant amendments made in the respective subject for the period 1st May 2017 to 31st October, 2017 are relevant and applicable for said examinations.

This RTP of May 2018 examination is very important to the students to update themselves with the relevant amendments pertaining to the Business Laws.

Students are advised to refer the following publications -

1.	Study Material (Edition July 2017) containing Legislative amendments issued upto 30 th April, 2017.
2.	RTP of May 2018 examination containing a gist of all the significant notified legislative amendments from 1 st May 2017 to 31 st October, 2017 along with the suggested sample questions and answers for understanding and practice.

Following is the relevant amendment:

S. No.	Subject Matter
1.	The Ministry of Corporate Affairs vide Notification S.O. 3086(E) dated 20 th September, 2017 has notified the proviso to clause (87) of section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013 w.e.f. 20 th September, 2017. [Proviso to clause (87) of Section 2 of the Companies Act, 2013 is covered on Page No. 5.12, Chapter 5 of the study material]

PART II: QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

QUESTIONS

The Indian Contract Act, 1872

- (i) 'X' agreed to become an assistant for 2 years to 'Y' who was practicing Chartered Accountant at Jodhpur. It was also agreed that during the term of agreement 'X' will not practice as a Chartered Accountant on his own account within 20 kms of the office of 'Y' at Jodhpur. At the end of one year, 'X' left the assistantship of 'Y' and started practice on his own account within the said area of 20 kms.

Referring to the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, decide whether 'X' could be restrained from doing so?

- (ii) A stranger to a contract cannot sue, however in some cases even a stranger to contract may enforce a claim. Explain.
2. (i) PM Ltd., contracts with Gupta Traders to make and deliver certain machinery to them by 30th June 2017 for ₹ 21.50 Lakhs. Due to labour strike, PM Ltd. could not manufacture and deliver the machinery to Gupta Traders. Later Gupta Traders procured the machinery from another manufacturer for ₹ 22.75 lakhs. Gupta Traders was also prevented from performing a contract which it had made with Zenith Traders at the time of their contract with PM Ltd. and were compelled to pay compensation for breach of contract. Calculate the amount of compensation which Gupta Traders can claim from PM Ltd., referring to the legal provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
 - (ii) A student was induced by his teacher to sell his brand new car to the latter at less than the purchase price to secure more marks in the examination. Accordingly the car was sold. However, the father of the student persuaded him to sue his teacher. State on what ground the student can sue the teacher?
 3. (i) Explain the term "coercion" and describe its effect on the validity of a contract?
 - (ii) "Though a minor is not competent to contract, nothing in the Contract Act prevents him from making the other party bound to the minor". Discuss.
 - (iii) A received certain goods from B promising to pay ₹ 1,00,000. Later on, A expressed his inability to make payment. C, who is known to A, pays ₹ 60,000 to B on behalf of A. However, A was not aware of the payment. Now B is intending to sue A for the amount of ₹ 1,00,000. Discuss whether the contention of B is right?
 4. Decide with reasons whether the following agreements are valid or void under the provisions of the Indian Contract Act, 1872:
 - (i) Vijay agrees with Saini to sell his black horse for ₹ 3,00,000. Unknown to both the Parties, the horse was dead at the time of the agreement.
 - (ii) Sarvesh sells the goodwill of his shop to Vikas for ₹ 10,00,000 and promises not to carry on such business forever and anywhere in India.
 - (iii) Mr. X agrees to write a book with a publisher. After few days, X dies in an accident.

The Sale of Goods Act, 1930

5. (i) Explain the term "Delivery and its form" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.
 - (ii) Describe the consequences of "destruction of goods" under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, where the goods have been destroyed after the agreement to sell but before the sale is affected.

PAPER – 2 : BUSINESS LAWS AND BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPORTING 3

6. (i) Describe the term “unpaid seller” under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? When can an unpaid seller exercise the right of stoppage of goods in transit?
- (ii) Explain the “condition as to Merchantability” and “condition as to wholesomeness” under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930.
7. (i) J the owner of a Fiat car wants to sell his car. For this purpose he hand over the car to P, a mercantile agent for sale at a price not less than ₹ 50, 000. The agent sells the car for ₹ 40, 000 to A, who buys the car in good faith and without notice of any fraud. P misappropriated the money also. J sues A to recover the Car. Decide given reasons whether J would succeed.
- (ii) Explain the term “Caveat-Emptor” under the Sale of Goods Act, 1930? What are the exceptions to this rule?

The Indian Partnership Act, 1932

8. (i) Whether a minor may be admitted in the business of a partnership firm? Explain the rights of a minor in the partnership firm.
- (ii) A & Co. is registered as a partnership firm in 2015 with A, B and C partners. In 2016, A dies. In 2017, B and C sue X in the name and on behalf of A & Co., without fresh registration. Decide whether the suit is maintainable. Whether your answer would be same if in 2017 B and C had taken a new partner D and then filed a suit against X without fresh registration?
9. (i) A, B and C are partners in a firm. As per terms of the partnership deed, A is entitled to 20 percent of the partnership property and profits. A retires from the firm and dies after 15 days. B and C continue business of the firm without settling accounts. Explain the rights of A's legal representatives against the firm under the Indian Partnership Act, 1932?
- (ii) State the differences between Partnership and Hindu Undivided Family.

The Limited Liability Partnership Act, 2008

10. (i) What do you mean by Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)? What are the advantages for forming a LLP for doing business?
- (ii) List the differences between the Limited Liability Partnership and the Limited Liability Company.

The Companies Act, 2013

11. (i) Explain the concept of "Dormant Company" as envisaged in the Companies Act, 2013.
- (ii) The Articles of Association of XYZ Ltd. provides that Board of Directors has authority to issue bonds provided such issue is authorized by the shareholders by a necessary resolution in the general meeting of the company. The company was in dire need of

funds and therefore, it issued the bonds to Mr. X without passing any such resolution in general meeting. Can Mr. X recover the money from the company? Decide referring the relevant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

12. (i) State whether a non-profit organization be registered as a company under the Companies Act, 2013? If so, what procedure does it have to adopt?
- (ii) When a company is registered, it is clothed with a legal personality. Explain.

SUGGESTED ANSWERS / HINTS

1. (i) **Agreement in Restraint of Trade:** Section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 deals with agreements in restraint of trade. According to the said section, every agreement by which any person is restrained from exercising a lawful profession, trade or business of any kind, is to that extent void. However, in the case of the service agreements restraint of trade is valid. In an agreement of service by which a person binds himself during the term of agreement not to take service with anyone else directly or indirectly to promote any business in direct competition with that of his employer is not in restraint of trade, so it is a valid contract.

In the instant case, agreement entered by 'X' with 'Y' is reasonable, and do not amount to restraint of trade and hence enforceable.

Therefore, 'X' can be restrained by an injunction from practicing on his own account in within the said area of 20 Kms for another one year.
- (ii) **Stranger to a contract cannot sue is known as a "doctrine of privity of contract".** This rule is however, subject to certain exceptions. In other words, even a stranger to a contract may enforce a claim in the following cases:
 - (1) **In the case of trust**, a beneficiary can enforce his right under the trust, though he was not a party to the contract between the settler and the trustee.
 - (2) **In the case of a family settlement**, if the terms of the settlement are reduced into writing, the members of family who originally had not been parties to the settlement may enforce the agreement.
 - (3) **In the case of certain marriage contracts, or arrangements**, a provision may be made for the benefit of a person. The person may enforce the agreement though he is not a party to the agreement.
 - (4) **In the case of assignment of a contract**, when the benefit under a contract has been assigned, the assignee can enforce the contract.
 - (5) **Acknowledgement or estoppel** – where the promisor by his conduct acknowledges himself as an agent of the third party, it would result into a binding obligation towards third party.

(6) **In the case of covenant running with the land**, the person who purchases land with notice that the owner of land is bound by certain duties affecting land, the covenant affecting the land may be enforced by the successor of the seller.

(7) **Contracts entered into through an agent**: The principal can enforce the contracts entered by his agent where the agent has acted within the scope of his authority and in the name of the principal.

2. (i) Section 73 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 provides for compensation for loss or damage caused by breach of contract. According to it, when a contract has been broken, the party who suffers by such a breach is entitled to receive from the party who has broken the contract, compensation for any loss or damage caused to him thereby which naturally arose in the usual course of things from such breach or which the parties knew when they made the contract, to be likely to result from the breach of it.

Such compensation is not to be given for any remote and indirect loss or damage sustained by reason of the breach.

It is further provided in the explanation to the section that in estimating the loss or damage from a breach of contract, the means which existed of remedying the inconvenience caused by the non-performance of the contract must be taken into account.

Applying the above principle of law to the given case, PM Ltd. is obliged to compensate for the loss of ₹ 1.25 lakhs (i.e. ₹ 22.75 lakhs – ₹ 21.50 lakhs) which had naturally arisen due to default in performing the contract by the specified date.

Regarding the amount of compensation which Gupta Traders were compelled to make to Zenith Traders, it depends upon the fact whether PM Ltd. knew about the contract of Gupta Traders for supply of the contracted machinery to Zenith Traders on the specified date. If so, PM Ltd. is also obliged to reimburse the compensation which Gupta Traders had to pay to Zenith Traders for breach of contract. Otherwise PM Ltd. is not liable for that.

- (ii) Yes, the student can sue his teacher on the ground of undue influence under the provisions of Indian Contract Act, 1872. A contract brought as a result of coercion, undue influence, fraud or misrepresentation would be voidable at the option of the person whose consent was caused.

3. (i) **“Coercion”** is the committing or threatening to commit any act forbidden by the Indian Penal Code 1860, or the unlawful detaining or threatening to detain any property, to the prejudice of any person whatever, with the intention of causing any person to enter into an agreement. (Section 15 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872).

It is also important to note that it is immaterial whether the Indian Penal Code, 1860 is or is not in force at the place where the coercion is employed.

Effects on validity: According to section 19 of the Act, when consent to an agreement is caused by coercion, the contract is voidable at the option of the party, whose consent was so caused. The aggrieved party, whose consent was so caused can enforce the agreement or treat it as void and rescind it. It is seen that in all these cases though the agreement amounts to a contract, it is voidable. The injured party might insist on being placed in the same position in which he might have been had the vitiating circumstances not been present.

Where a contract is voidable and the party entitled to avoid it decides to do so by rescinding it, he must restore any benefit which he might have received from the other party. He cannot avoid the contract and at the same time enjoy the benefit under the rescinded/avoided contract. (Section 64)

- (ii) **Minor can be a beneficiary or can take benefit out of a contract:** Though a minor is not competent to contract, nothing in the Contract Act prevents him from making the other party bound to the minor.

For example: A promissory note duly executed in favour of a minor is not void and can be sued upon by him, because he though incompetent to contract, may yet accept a benefit.

A minor cannot become partner in a partnership firm. However, he may with the consent of all the partners, be admitted to the benefits of partnership (Section 30 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932).

- (iii) As per Section 41 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, when a promisee accepts performance of the promise from a third person, he cannot afterwards enforce it against the promisor. That is, performance by a stranger, accepted by the promisee, produces the result of discharging the promisor, although the latter has neither authorized nor ratified the act of the third party. Therefore, in the present instance, B can sue only for the balance amount i.e. ₹ 40,000 and not for the whole amount.
4. (i) As per Section 20 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, an agreement under by mistake of fact are void. In this case, there is mistake of fact as to the existence of the subject-matter, i.e., with respect to the selling of horse which was dead at the time of the agreement. It is unknown to both the parties. Therefore, **it is a void agreement.**
- (ii) As per Section 27 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, an agreement in restraint of trade is void. However, a buyer can put such a condition on the seller of goodwill, not to carry on same business, provided that the conditions must be reasonable regarding the duration and place of the business. Since in the given case, restraint to carry on business was forever and anywhere in India, so **the agreement in question is void.**
- (iii) As per section 2(j) of the Contract Act, "A contract which ceases to be enforceable by law becomes void when it ceases to be enforceable". In the present case, Mr. X agrees to write a book with a publisher. After few days, X dies in an accident. Here **the contract becomes void** due to the impossibility of performance of the contract.

5. (i) **Delivery and its forms:** Delivery means voluntary transfer of possession from one person to another [Section 2(2)]. As a general rule, delivery of goods may be made by doing anything, which has the effect of putting the goods in the possession of the buyer, or any person authorized to hold them on his behalf.

Forms of delivery: Following are the kinds of delivery for transfer of possession:

- (a) **Actual delivery:** When the goods are physically delivered to the buyer.
 - (b) **Constructive delivery:** When it is effected without any change in the custody or actual possession of the thing as in the case of delivery by attornment (acknowledgement) e.g., where a warehouseman holding the goods of A agrees to hold them on behalf of B, at A's request.
 - (c) **Symbolic delivery:** When there is a delivery of a thing in token of a transfer of something else, i.e., delivery of goods in the course of transit may be made by handing over documents of title to goods, like bill of lading or railway receipt or delivery orders or the key of a warehouse containing the goods is handed over to buyer.
- (ii) **Destruction of Goods-Consequences:** In accordance with the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 as contained in Section 7, a contract for the sale of specific goods is void if at the time when the contract was made; the goods without the knowledge of the seller, perished or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in the contract, then the contract is *void ab initio*. This section is based on the rule that where both the parties to a contract are under a mistake as to a matter of fact essential to a contract, the contract is void.

In a similar way Section 8 provides that an agreement to sell specific goods becomes void if subsequently the goods, without any fault on the part of the seller or buyer, perish or become so damaged as no longer to answer to their description in agreement before the risk passes to the buyer. This rule is also based on the ground of impossibility of performance as stated above.

It may, however, be noted that section 7 and 8 apply only to specific goods and not to unascertained goods. If the agreement is to sell a certain quantity of unascertained goods, the perishing of even the whole quantity of such goods in the possession of the seller will not relieve him of his obligation to deliver the goods.

6. (i) **Unpaid Seller :** According to Section 45 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 the seller of goods is deemed to be an 'Unpaid Seller' when-
- (a) the whole of the price has not been paid or tendered.
 - (b) a bill of exchange or other negotiable instrument has been received as conditional payment, and it has been dishonoured.

Right of stoppage of goods in transit

When the unpaid seller has parted with the goods to a carrier and the buyer has become insolvent, he can exercise this right by asking the carrier to return the goods back, or not to deliver the goods to the buyer.

However, the right of stoppage in transit is exercised only when the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (a) The seller must be unpaid.
- (b) The seller must have parted with the possession of goods.
- (c) The goods must be in the course of transit.
- (d) The buyer must have become insolvent.
- (e) The right is subject to provisions of the Act.

(ii) Condition as to Merchantability [Section 16(2) of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930]:

Where goods are bought by description from a seller who deals in goods of that description (whether he is the manufacturer or producer or not), there is an implied condition that the goods shall be of merchantable quality.

Provided that, if the buyer has examined the goods, there shall be no implied condition as regards defects which such examination ought to have revealed.

The expression "merchantable quality", though not defined, nevertheless connotes goods of such a quality and in such a condition a man of ordinary prudence would accept them as goods of that description. It does not imply any legal right or legal title to sell.

Example: If a person orders motor horns from a manufacturer of horns, and the horns supplied are scratched and damaged owing to bad packing, he is entitled to reject them as unmerchantable.

Condition as to wholesomeness: In the case of eatables and provisions, in addition to the implied condition as to merchantability, there is another implied condition that the goods shall be wholesome.

Example: A supplied F with milk. The milk contained typhoid germs. F's wife consumed the milk and was infected and died. Held, there was a breach of condition as to fitness and A was liable to pay damages.

7. (i) The problem in this case is based on the provisions of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 contained in the proviso to Section 27. The proviso provides that a mercantile agent is one who in the customary course of his business, has, as such agent, authority either to sell goods, or to consign goods, for the purpose of sale, or to buy goods, or to raise money on the security of goods [Section 2(9)]. The buyer of goods from a mercantile agent, who has no authority from the principal to sell, gets a good title to the goods if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The agent should be in possession of the goods or documents of title to the goods with the consent of the owner.
- (2) The agent should sell the goods while acting in the ordinary course of business of a mercantile agent.
- (3) The buyer should act in good faith.
- (4) The buyer should not have at the time of the contract of sale notice that the agent has no authority to sell.

In the instant case, P, the agent, was in the possession of the car with J's consent for the purpose of sale. A, the buyer, therefore obtained a good title to the car. Hence, J in this case, cannot recover the car from A.

- (ii) **Caveat emptor**' means "let the buyer beware", i.e. in sale of goods the seller is under no duty to reveal unflattering truths about the goods sold. Therefore, when a person buys some goods, he must examine them thoroughly. If the goods turn out to be defective or do not suit his purpose, or if he depends upon his skill and judgment and makes a bad selection, he cannot blame any body excepting himself.

The rule is enunciated in the opening words of section 16 of the Sale of Goods Act, 1930 which runs thus: "Subject to the provisions of this Act and of any other law for the time being in force, there is no implied warranty or condition as to the quality or fitness for any particular purpose of goods supplied under a contract of sale"

The rule of caveat emptor does not apply in the following cases:

- (a) **Fitness for buyer's purpose:** Where the buyer, expressly or by implication, makes known to the seller the particular purpose for which he requires the goods and relies on the seller's skill or judgment and the goods are of a description which it is in the course of the seller's business to supply, the seller must supply the goods which shall be fit for the buyer's purpose. [Section 16(1)].
- (b) **Sale under a patent or trade name:** In the case of a contract for the sale of a specified article under its patent or other trade name, there is no implied condition that the goods shall be reasonably fit for any particular purpose [Section 16(1)].
- (c) **Merchantable quality:** Where goods are bought by description from a seller who deals in goods of that description (whether he is in the manufacturer or producer or not), there is an implied condition that the goods shall be of merchantable quality. But if the buyer has examined the goods, there is no implied condition as regards defects which such examination ought to have revealed. [Section 16(2)].
- (d) **Usage of trade:** An implied warranty or condition as to quality or fitness for a particular purpose may be annexed by the usage of trade. [Section 16(3)].

- (e) **Consent by fraud:** Where the consent of the buyer, in a contract of sale, is obtained by the seller by fraud or where the seller knowingly conceals a defect which could not be discovered on a reasonable examination, the doctrine of caveat emptor does not apply.
8. (i) **Minor as a partner:** A minor is incompetent to do the contract and such contract is void-ab-initio. Therefore, a minor cannot be admitted in the business of the partnership firm because the partnership is formed on a contract. Though a minor cannot be a partner in a firm, he can nevertheless be admitted to the benefits of partnership under section 30 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932. He may be validly have a share in the profit of the firm but this can be done with the consent of all the partners of the firm.

Rights of the minor in the firm:

- (a) a minor has a right to his agreed share of the profits and of the firm.
- (b) he can have access to, inspect and copy the accounts of the firm.
- (c) he can sue the partners for accounts or for payments of his share but only, when severing his connection with the firm, and not otherwise. The amount of share shall be determined by a valuation made in accordance with the rules upon a dissolution.
- (d) on attaining majority he may within 6 months elect to become a partner or not to become a partner. If he elects to become a partner, then he is entitled to the share to which he was entitled as a minor. If he does not, then his share is not liable for any acts of the firm after the date of the public notice served to that effect.
- (ii) As regards the question whether in the case of a registered firm (whose business was carried on after its dissolution by death of one of the partners), a suit can be filed by the remaining partners in respect of any subsequent dealings or transactions without notifying to the Registrar of Firms, the changes in the constitution of the firm, it was decided that the remaining partners should sue in respect of such subsequent dealings or transactions even though the firm was not registered again after such dissolution and no notice of the partner was given to the Registrar.

The test applied in these cases was whether the plaintiff satisfied the only two requirements of Section 69 (2) of the Act namely,

- (a) the suit must be instituted by or on behalf of the firm which had been registered;
- (b) the person suing had been shown as partner in the register of firms. In view of this position of law, the suit is in the case by B and C against X in the name and on behalf of A & Co. is maintainable.

Now, in 2017, B and C had taken a new partner, D, and then filed a suit against X without fresh registration. Where a new partner is introduced, the fact is to be notified

PAPER – 2 : BUSINESS LAWS AND BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPORTING 11

to Registrar who shall make a record of the notice in the entry relating to the firm in the Register of firms. Therefore, the firm cannot sue as D's (new partner's) name has not been entered in the register of firms. It was pointed out that in the second requirement, the phrase "person suing" means persons in the sense of individuals whose names appear in the register as partners and who must be all partners in the firm at the date of the suit.

9. (i) Section 37 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932 provides that where a partner dies or otherwise ceases to be a partner and there is no final settlement of account between the legal representatives of the deceased partner or the firms with the property of the firm, then, in the absence of a contract to the contrary, the legal representatives of the deceased partner or the retired partner are entitled to claim either.
- (1) Such shares of the profits earned after the death or retirement of the partner which is attributable to the use of his share in the property of the firm; or
 - (2) Interest at the rate of 6 per cent annum on the amount of his share in the property.

Based on the aforesaid provisions of Section 37 of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, in the given problem, A's Legal representatives shall be entitled, at their option to:

- (a) the 20% shares of profits (as per the partnership deed); or
- (b) interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum on the amount of A's share in the property.

(ii) **Differences between the Partnership & Joint Hindus Family.**

Basis of difference	Partnership	Joint Hindu family
Mode of creation	Partnership is created necessarily by an agreement.	The right in the joint family is created by status means its creation by birth in the family.
Death of a member	Death of a partner ordinarily leads to the dissolution of partnership.	The death of a member in the Hindu undivided family does not give rise to dissolution of the family business.
Management	All the partners are equally entitled to take part in the partnership business.	The right of management of joint family business generally vests in the Karta, the

		governing male member or female member of the family. ¹
Authority to bind	Every partner can, by his act, bind the firm.	The Karta or the manager, has the authority to contract for the family business and the other members in the family.
Liability	In a partnership, the liability of a partner is unlimited.	In a Hindu undivided family, only the liability of the Karta is unlimited, and the other co-partners are liable only to the extent of their share in the profits of the family business.
Calling for accounts on closure	A partner can bring a suit against the firm for accounts, provided he also seeks the dissolution of the firm.	On the separation of the joint family, a member is not entitled to ask for account of the family business.
Governing Law	A partnership is governed by the Indian Partnership Act, 1932.	A Joint Hindu Family business is governed by the Hindu Law.
Minor's capacity	In a partnership, a minor cannot become a partner, though he can be admitted to the benefits of partnership, only with the consent of all the partners.	In Hindu undivided family business, a minor becomes a member of the ancestral business by the incidence of birth. He does not have to wait for attaining majority.
Continuity	A firm subject to a contract between the partners gets dissolved by death or insolvency of a partner.	A Joint Hindu family has the continuity till it is divided. The status of Joint Hindu family is not thereby affected by the death of a member.
Number of Members	In case of Partnership number of members should not exceed 50	Members of HUF who carry on a business may be unlimited in number.

¹ **Joint Hindu Family:** The amendment in the Hindu Succession Act, 2005, entitled all adult members – Hindu males and females to become coparceners in a HUF. They now enjoy equal rights of inheritance due to this amendment. On 1st February 2016, Justice Najmi Waziri gave a landmark judgement which allowed the eldest female coparceners of an HUF to become its Karta.

PAPER – 2 : BUSINESS LAWS AND BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPORTING 13

Share in the business	In a partnership each partner has a defined share by virtue of an agreement between the partners.	In a HUF, no coparceners has a definite share. His interest is a fluctuating one. It is capable of being enlarged by deaths in the family diminished by births in the family.
------------------------------	---	---

10. (i) **LLP:** A LLP is a new form of legal business entity with limited liability. It is an alternative corporate business vehicle that not only gives the benefits of limited liability at low compliance cost but allows its partners the flexibility of organising their internal structure as a traditional partnership. The LLP is a separate legal entity and, while the LLP itself will be liable for the full extent of its assets, the liability of the partners will be limited.

LLP is an alternative corporate business form that gives the benefits of limited liability of a company and the flexibility of a partnership.

Since LLP contains elements of both 'a corporate structure' as well as 'a partnership firm structure' LLP is called a hybrid between a company and a partnership.

Advantages of LLP form:

- (a) LLP is organized and operates on the basis of an agreement.
- (b) It provides flexibility without imposing detailed legal and procedural requirements
- (c) It enables professional/technical expertise and initiative to combine with financial risk taking capacity in an innovative and efficient manner.
- (d) It is easy to form
- (e) In LLP form, all partners enjoy limited liability
- (f) Flexible capital structure is there in this form
- (g) It is easy to dissolve

- (ii) **Distinction between LLP and Limited Liability Company:** The points of distinction between a limited liability partnership and Limited Liability Company are tabulated as follows:

	Basis	LLP	Limited Liability Company
1.	Regulating Act	The LLP Act, 2008.	The Companies Act, 2013.
2.	Members/Partners	The persons who contribute to LLP are known as partners of the LLP.	The persons who invest the money in the shares are known as members of the company.

3.	Internal governance structure	The internal governance structure of a LLP is governed by contract agreement between the partners.	The internal governance structure of a company is regulated by statute (i.e., Companies Act, 2013).
4.	Name	Name of the LLP to contain the word "Limited Liability partnership" or "LLP" as suffix.	Name of the public company to contain the word "limited" and Pvt. Co. to contain the word "Private limited" as suffix.
5.	No. of members/partners	Minimum – 2 members Maximum – No such limit on the members in the Act. The members of the LLP can be individuals/or body corporate through the nominees.	Private company: Minimum – 2 members Maximum 200 members Public company: Minimum – 7 members Maximum – No such limit on the members. Members can be organizations, trusts, another business form or individuals.
6.	Liability of members/partners	Liability of a partners is limited to the extent of agreed contribution in case of intention is fraud.	Liability of a member is limited to the amount unpaid on the shares held by them.
7.	Management	The business of the company managed by the partners including the designated partners authorized in the agreement.	The affairs of the company are managed by board of directors elected by the shareholders.
8.	Minimum number of directors/designated partners	Minimum 2 designated partners.	Pvt. Co. – 2 directors Public co. – 3 directors

11. (i) Dormant Company (Section 455 of the Companies Act, 2013)

Where a company is formed and registered under this Act for a future project or to hold an asset or intellectual property and has no significant accounting transaction, such a company or an inactive company may make an application to the Registrar in such manner as may be prescribed for obtaining the status of dormant company.

PAPER – 2 : BUSINESS LAWS AND BUSINESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPORTING 15

“Inactive company” means a company which has not been carrying on any business or operation, or has not made any significant accounting transaction during the last two financial years, or has not filed financial statements and annual returns during the last two financial years.

“Significant accounting transaction” means any transaction other than –

- (a) payment of fees by a company to the Registrar;
 - (b) payments made by it to fulfil the requirements of this Act or any other law;
 - (c) allotment of shares to fulfil the requirements of this Act; and
 - (d) payments for maintenance of its office and records.
- (ii) According to the Doctrine of Indoor Management, if an act is authorised by the articles or memorandum, an outsider is entitled to assume that all the detailed formalities for doing that act have been observed. As per the case of *the Royal British Bank vs. Turquand* [1856] 6E & B 327, the directors of R.B.B. Ltd. gave a bond to T. The articles empowered the directors to issue such bonds under the authority of a proper resolution. In fact, no such resolution was passed. Notwithstanding that, it was held that T could sue on the bonds on the ground that he was entitled to assume that the resolution had been duly passed. This is the doctrine of indoor management, popularly known as Turquand Rule.

Since, the given question is based on the above facts, accordingly here in this case Mr. X can recover the money from the company considering that all required formalities for the passing of the resolution have been duly complied.

12. (i) Yes, a non-profit organization be registered as a company under the Companies Act, 2013 by following the provisions of section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013. Section 8 of the Companies Act, 2013 deals with the formation of companies which are formed to

- promote the charitable objects of commerce, art, science, sports, education, research, social welfare, religion, charity, protection of environment etc.

Such company intends to apply its profit in

- promoting its objects and
- prohibiting the payment of any dividend to its members.

The Central Government has the power to issue license for registering a section 8 company.

- (a) Section 8 allows the Central Government to register such person or association of persons as a company with limited liability without the addition of words ‘Limited’ or ‘Private limited’ to its name, by issuing licence on such conditions as it deems fit.

- (b) The registrar shall on application register such person or association of persons as a company under this section.
 - (c) On registration the company shall enjoy same privileges and obligations as of a limited company.
- (ii) When a company is registered, it is clothed with a legal personality. It comes to have almost the same rights and powers as a human being. Its existence is distinct and separate from that of its members. A company can own property, have bank account, raise loans, incur liabilities and enter into contracts.
- (a) It is at law, a person different altogether from the subscribers to the memorandum of association. Its personality is distinct and separate from the personality of those who compose it.
 - (b) Even members can contract with company, acquire right against it or incur liability to it. For the debts of the company, only its creditors can sue it and not its members.

A company is capable of owning, enjoying and disposing of property in its own name. Although the capital and assets are contributed by the shareholders, the company becomes the owner of its capital and assets. The shareholders are not the private or joint owners of the company's property.