QIMAWQS

Revision 1



Organization: Contact(s): Address: 19 Location: He Phone Num Operation: Oasis 7 Contact(s): Location: He Phone Num Operation: Operation: Contact(s): Location: Pd Operation Type: Farm Audit Type: Announce Review of th growing oper records were Vest, South is used as a Date Documentation Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applica Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	e food safety management system ration, in an organization Artesia I e reviewed. The planting is for the h and East, and by North, Keyston a method in 40 acres of planted co 2 14:00	em and the good agricultural a Farms. Yards, water source e spring/summer planting cyo one Road and onion field, irrig	es, storage areas, e cle. The adjacent la gation canals, irriga	mployee's practices, files and nd on this ranch has alfalfa field by ted by a canal water and drip tape
Operation: Contact(s): Location: Point Operation Type: Farm Audit Type: Announce Audit Type: Announce Audit Type: Announce Audit Executive Summary: Review of the growing operecords were West, South is used as a Date Documentation Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on the Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutient	cec Auclit e food safety management system aration, in an organization Artesia e reviewed. The planting is for the h and East, and by North, Keyston a method in 40 acres of planted co	em and the good agricultural a Farms. Yards, water source e spring/summer planting cyo one Road and onion field, irrig	es, storage areas, e cle. The adjacent la gation canals, irriga	mployee's practices, files and nd on this ranch has alfalfa field by ted by a canal water and drip tape
Audit Type: Announce Audit Executive Summary: Review of the growing ope records were West, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing ope records were west, South is used as a conditional state of the growing and the growing and the growing and the growing and the state of the growing and the growing and the state of the growing and thegrowing and the growing and the growing and	e food safety management system ration, in an organization Artesia I e reviewed. The planting is for the h and East, and by North, Keyston a method in 40 acres of planted co 2 14:00	e Farms. Yards, water source e spring/summer planting cyc one Road and onion field, irrig	es, storage areas, e cle. The adjacent la gation canals, irriga	mployee's practices, files and nd on this ranch has alfalfa field by ted by a canal water and drip tape
Audit Executive Summary: Review of the growing ope records wern west, South is used as a construction Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutier	e food safety management system ration, in an organization Artesia I e reviewed. The planting is for the h and East, and by North, Keyston a method in 40 acres of planted co 2 14:00	e Farms. Yards, water source e spring/summer planting cyc one Road and onion field, irrig	es, storage areas, e cle. The adjacent la gation canals, irriga	mployee's practices, files and nd on this ranch has alfalfa field by ted by a canal water and drip tape
Audit Executive Summary: growing oper records were West, South is used as a construction Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Started: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Date Visual Amount of Time on the Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applicat Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutient	eration, in an organization Artesia l e reviewed. The planting is for the h and East, and by North, Keyston a method in 40 acres of planted co 2 14:00	e Farms. Yards, water source e spring/summer planting cyc one Road and onion field, irrig	es, storage areas, e cle. The adjacent la gation canals, irriga	mployee's practices, files and nd on this ranch has alfalfa field by ted by a canal water and drip tape
Date Documentation Review Finished: 09 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on the Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien				
Total Amount of Time on the Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s) applied for but not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	2 17:00			
Documentation Review: 3.00 Hours Date Visual Inspection Started: 08 Apr 2022 Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien				
Date Visual Inspection Finished: 08 Apr 2022 Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Mario Gutien Mario Gutien				
Total Amount of Time on Visual Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applical Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	13:30			
Inspection: 1.50 Hours Addendum(s) included in the audit: Not Applica Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	2 15:00			
Product(s) observed during audit: Cantaloupe Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien				
Similar product(s)/process(es) not observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	ble			
observed: None Product(s) applied for but not observed: None Auditor: Mario Gutien	Melons (RTE (Ready to eat))			
Auditor: <u>Mario Gutien</u>				
Auditor: Mario Gutien				
	rez (WQS, LLC.)			
Preliminary Audit Score: 98%				
Final Audit Score: 100%				
Certificate Valid From: 17 Jun 2022	2 To 16 Jun 2023			
	Latitude Lo	ongitude		
GPS Coordinates:	32° 54' 34" 11	15° 19' 28"	Click here to <u>map</u>	see
		15° 19' 49"		
	32° 54' 34" 11			

Which input(s) are used in the growing operation?

Subcategory Name

Inorganic Fertilizers

e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.

Description

Which water source(s) is/are used in the growing operation?

	What is this water source used for?	Irrigation, Fertigation, Dust abatement
Open Flowing	What type of irrigation is used?	Drip
Surface Water	Does the water come in contact with the edible portion of the crop?	No
	Which product grouping is this water source used for?	Cucurbit Fruit

Information related to the audited operation				
What is the maximum number of workers during peak season?	6	Is work being performed at the time of the audit?	Yes	
Adjacent Land:	The adjacent land on this ranch has alfalfa field by West, South and East, and by North, Keystone Road and onion field, irrigation canals	What work is being performed?	Irrigation	
Operation Size:	40 Acres	Are toilets available at the time of the audit?	Portable Toilet	
Cultural Methods	Conventional			

Product information for each product			
Product Group/Product Name	Observed Product	Seasonality	Country of destination for product
Cantaloupe Melons (RTE (Ready to eat))	Observed on the day of audit	From: January To: June	United States

AUDIT SCORING SUMMARY	Pre-Corrective Action Review		Post-Corrective Action Review	
	Score:	213	Score:	223
Food Safety Management System Requirements	Possible Points:	223	Possible Points:	223
	Percent Score:	95%	Percent Score:	100%
	Score:	559	Score:	559
Module 2 - Farm	Possible Points:	559	Possible Points:	559
	Percent Score:	100%	Percent Score:	100%
	Score:	772	Score:	782
TOTAL	Possible Points:	782	Possible Points:	782
	Percent Score:	98%	Percent Score:	100%

Non-Conformance Summary By Count	Pre-Corrective Action Non- Conformances	Post-Corrective Action Non- Conformances
Food Safety Management System Requirements	1	0
Module 2 - Farm	0	0
TOTAL	1	0

SECTIONS:

Food Safety Management System Requirements	Module 2 - Farm
Management System	General
Control of Documents and Records	Site
Procedures and Corrective Actions	Ground History
Internal and External Inspections	Adjacent Land Use
Release of Items/Product	Inspection
Supplier Monitoring/Control	Training
Traceability and Recall	Field Worker Hygiene (Applies to on-the-farm workers, not the harvesting
Food Defense	workers)
	Inorganic Fertilizers
	Open Flowing Surface Water
	Pesticide Usage

1.01.01	Question: Is there a documented food safety policy detailing the company's commitment to food safety?	Possible Points Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC Commitment to Food Safety establish that this organization adheres to a strict food safety guidelines and procedures. As a grower and packer makes a commitment to constantly follow GAP's and a strong belief ina culture of food safety at all times and follow all Federal, State and County Regulations. Signed in 3/30/2022 by Brian Strahm, president and COO of the company and posted in visible areas as board of information in shop and in offices, clearly visible for all visitors and workers.		
1.01.02	Question: Is there an organizational chart showing all management and workers who are involved in food safety related activities and documentation (job descriptions) detailing their food safety responsibilities?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes, organizational chart, alternates and job description (in DOC Organizational Chart) was available to review, signed and updated in 3/30/2022, headed by Brian Strahm, President and COO of the company; Cliff Strahm, Farm Manager; Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager; Irrigators; Tractor Drivers; Thinning and Weeding Crews.		
1.01.03	Question: Is there a food safety committee and are there logs of food safety meetings with topics covered and attendees?	Possible Points Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The food safety committee is composed by 3 members, headed by Brian Strahm, President & COO; Cliff Strahm Farm Manager; Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager. The auditor review meetings from 3/30/2022; since this is their first-time certification for these farms and the cycle is less than 6 months. Topics covered refers to Hygiene and GMPs; Safety and Abuse of Substances; HACCP review; Sanitation Procedures review and Defense Assessment. Frequency: At least quarterly.		
		Describle D. L.C.	E
1.01.04	Question: Is there a training management system in place that shows what types of training are required for various job roles of specific workers, including who has been trained, when they were trained, which trainings they still need to take, and a training schedule?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE Rev1 Training SOP and Training Matrix developed. contain scope, responsibility, requirements for the training of workers involved in this operation. Training Matrix has the classification of type of worker in one side and the type of training received related to food safety, food security, HACCP and safety.		
1.01.05	Question: Is there documented management verification review of the entire food safety management system at least every 12 months, including an evaluation of resources, and are there records of changes made?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes, procedures indicates that verification of the program is based in internal and external audits, corrective actions conducted, policies and SOP's reviews, resources analysis, customer complains, FSMS review. Verification signed off on 3/31/2022 by the Food Safety Team. Food Safety Resources Assessment documented on same date and contains their commitment to provide all the resources needed to develop their food safety program, the review considers training, security based time clock system, microbiological testing, services and materials.		
1.01.06	Question: Where specific industry guidelines or best practices exist for the crop and/or product, does the operation have a current copy of the document?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. They have in file the National Commodity-Specific Food Safety Guidelines for Cantaloupes and Netted Melons, v1 February, 8th, 2013. Also have in hands the FSMA Safety Produce Rues.		
FSMS	Control of Documents and Records		
4 00 04	Question: Is there a written document control procedure (including document control register/record) describing how documents will be maintained, updated and replaced?	Possible Points Points Scored: Score:	
1.02.01	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.02.01 Document Control v1 4/3/2022 was on file, with the description of retention of records, legal implications, organization of the records, master copy, effective dates, changes, proper record signatures and keeping protocols, origination and reviews, handle, destroy authority, falsification, disciplinary actions. Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager takes the control of this duty and producer office keep the records stored under lock and key to prevent unwanted access.		

1.02.02	Question: Is there a documented and implemented procedure that requires all records to be stored for a minimum period of 24 months (or greater if legally required) or for at least the shelf life of the product if it is greater than 24 months?	Possible Points: 5Points Scored: 5Score: Tot	tal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.02.01 Document Control establish that their records are retained for auditing purposes and in the case there are legal issues, customer queries, etc. All monitoring and process control records are kept for a minimum of 2 years. Any records required by law to be kept longer than two years are kept legally mandated period. All documents are kept electronically and backed up.		
		Possible Points: 5	
1.02.03	Question: Are both paper and electronic food safety related documents and records created, edited, stored and handled in a secure manner?	Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Both paper and electronic food safety documents and records are kept handled, stored in a secured manner.		
1.02.04	Question: Are records maintained in an organized and retrievable manner?	Possible Points: 3Points Scored: 3Score:Tot	tal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Records are kept in the main office, which remains locked in days off and under control in working days. Records are kept well organized by the responsible of the food safety program and were accessible during the inspection.		
1.02.05	Question: Are all records and test results that can have an impact on the food safety program verified by a qualified person independent of the individual(s) completing the records?	Possible Points: 5Points Scored: 5Score: Tot	tal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager, sign and verify all food safety related records and tests than can have impact on their food safety program. He is a trained person in GMPs; HACCP; PCQI Training, certificate issued by the IFSH/IFPTI/AFDO issued in 1/6/2017 No. 71113e9. Also is a certified PSA/AFDO in Produce Safety Rule.		
FSMS	Procedures and Corrective Actions		
1.03.01	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot	tal Compliance
1.03.01	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and	Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
1.03.01	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot	tal Compliance
1.03.01	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP.	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5	
	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot	
	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5	
1.03.02	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which copies are distributed to key personnel. Question: Is there a documented corrective action procedure that describes the basic requirements for handling all	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
1.03.02	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which copies are distributed to key personnel. Question: Is there a documented corrective action procedure that describes the basic requirements for handling all non-conformances affecting food safety? Auditor Comments: Yes. A Corrective Actions SOP (DE 1.03.03, v1 4/3/2022) consider issues, corrective action,	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot	tal Compliance
1.03.02	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which copies are distributed to key personnel. Question: Is there a documented corrective action procedure that describes the basic requirements for handling all non-conformances affecting food safety? Auditor Comments: Yes. A Corrective Actions SOP (DE 1.03.03, v1 4/3/2022) consider issues, corrective action,	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
1.03.02	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which copies are distributed to key personnel. Question: Is there a documented corrective action procedure that describes the basic requirements for handling all non-conformances affecting food safety? Auditor Comments: Yes. A Corrective Actions SOP (DE 1.03.03, v1 4/3/2022) consider issues, corrective action, and how to complete a corrective action report. Question: Is there an incident reporting system, also known as a Notice(s) of Unusual Occurrence and Corrective	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance
1.03.02	Question: Is there a written and standardized procedure for creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and their content? Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.03.01 How To Create an SOP explain how to create a Standard Operative Procedure including who will review the process and application of the SOP. Question: Are the written procedures available to relevant users and is a master copy maintained in a central file? Auditor Comments: Yes, relevant SOP's are kept by Garret Fargo, Food Safety Consultant/Manager from which copies are distributed to key personnel. Question: Is there a documented corrective action procedure that describes the basic requirements for handling all non-conformances affecting food safety? Auditor Comments: Yes. A Corrective Actions SOP (DE 1.03.03, v1 4/3/2022) consider issues, corrective action, and how to complete a corrective action report. Question: Is there an incident reporting system, also known as a Notice(s) of Unusual Occurrence and Corrective Actions Log (NUOCA) ?	Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Score: Tot Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5 Points Scored: 5	tal Compliance

1.04.01	Question: Is there a documented procedure for how internal audits are to be performed at the operations, including frequency and covering all processes impacting food safety and the related documents and records?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The company procedure (DOC DE 1.04.01 3rd Party & Self Audits SOP) describes their process for auditing facility operations, which starts with a complete self audit, using their internal audit checklist and/or the PrimusGFS current version. Frequency: Annual.		
1.04.02	Question: Are there written procedures for handling regulatory inspections?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.04.02 Guidelines for Handling Regulatory Inspections, details escorting personnel, sampling permission and pictures policies, during the inspection do's and dont's.		
1.04.03	Question: Are there records of regulatory inspections and/or contracted inspections, company responses and corrective actions, if any?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. This is their first-time audit.		
1.04.04	Question: Are there documented calibration and/or accuracy verification procedures for measuring and monitoring devices used in the operations that are related to the safety of the product?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No devices to calibrate by this grower. All applications are conducted by Chaparral Applicators (ground) and Custom Ag LLC (aerial), both evaluated in supplier's section.		
1.04.05	Question: Are calibration and/or accuracy verification records maintained and are they consistent with the requirements outlined in the SOP(s) for instruments and measuring devices requiring calibration?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. See 1.04.04.		
FSMS	Release of Items/Product		
1.05.01	Question: Is there a documented product release procedure available?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. There was a release procedure for product "not" on hold, called DE 1.05.03 Product Release Procedure. The procedure explains how product is only released from field when lot meets agreed standards (e.g. specifications/quality or food safety, in this case their PHI evaluation; Pre-Harvest inspection log.		
1.05.02	Question: Are there records of product releases kept on file?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
1.05.02	Auditor Comments: N/A. This is their first-time certification and harvestbis not occurred yet.		
1.05.03	Question: Is there a documented procedure for handling on hold and rejected items?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	-
1.05.03		Points Scored:	5
1.05.03	Question: Is there a documented procedure for handling on hold and rejected items? Auditor Comments: Yes. Hold and Reject SOP DE 1.05.01 was available to review, v1 4/23/2022. The procedure describe how to identify on hold or retained product and release procedures and responsible. Rejected product will	Points Scored: Score: Possible Points: Points Scored:	5 Total Compliance

1.05.05	Question: Is there a documented procedure for dealing with customer and buyer food safety complaints/feedback along with records and company responses, including corrective actions?	Possible Points: 10Points Scored:10Score:Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC DE 1.05.05 Buyers/Consumer Complaint Policy & Feedback and Form was available, their procedure establish and address the events and document all corrective actions. Customer complaint form in place. According to the auditee, no incidents occurred at the growing operations.	
FSMS	Supplier Monitoring/Control	
1.06.01	Question: Is there a written procedure detailing how suppliers and service providers are evaluated, approved, and include the ongoing verification activities including monitoring? Note that supply chain preventive controls and supply-chain-applied controls are also mentioned in Module 7.	Possible Points:10Points Score:10Score:Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Current DOC DE v1 4/3/2022 Supplier Approval & Monitoring SOP contains, per supplier, process for the selection, evaluation and approval requirements. E.g. All suppliers were approved in 4/3/2022. All approved using their form Supplier Approval Record which contains: General characteristics; Status; Required Documents; Approved Supplier Comments Log.	
1.06.02	Question: Is there a list of approved suppliers and service providers including justification for use of any emergency (temporary) suppliers or providers?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
1.00.02	Auditor Comments: Yes. List of Approved Suppliers was available approved in 4/2/2022. E.g. TS&L (seeds); Keithly Williams and Dune Co. (fertilizers and PPPs); Chaparral Applicators and Custom Ag (applicators, ground and aerial, respectively); Jett Harvest (Farm Labor).	
1.06.03	Question: Are there current written food safety related specifications for all incoming products, ingredients, materials (including primary packaging), services provided on-site, and outsourced services?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Current DOC "Approval Supplier Policy" v1 4/3/2022; contains the specifications to all the facility suppliers and services providers for food safety related services and materials supplied to the organization. Majority of suppliers must be in accordance with labels, State and Federal laws, and be accompanied with letters of guarantee, GMP programs GAP programs and certifications when apply. All approved in 4/3/2022.	
1.06.04	Question: Does the organization have documented evidence to ensure that all incoming products, ingredients, materials, services provided on-site and outsourced service suppliers comply with the approval requirements and that all supplier verification activities (including monitoring) are being followed, as defined in the supplier approval procedure?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Letters of guarantee, and certifications accounted in their supplier's binder for the Chemical Suppliers (Keithly Williams and Dune Co.); Seeds Company (TS&L Seeds); Farm Labor Contractor (Jett Harvest) and Chemicals Applicator companies (Chaparral Applicators and Custom Ag).	
1.06.05	Question: Where food safety related testing is being performed by laboratory service providers, are these licensed and/or accredited laboratories (e.g., ISO 17025 or equivalent, national and local regulations, etc.)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The organization uses Primuslabs laboratory for their water testing and Sampling which is a certified laboratory under ILAC-MRA A2LA, showing a CERTIFICATE OF ACCREDITATION, certificate 3572.01, Certificate Valid through 3/31/2024, accompanied with the fields of testing.	
FSMS	Traceability and Recall	
1.07.01	Question: Is there is a document that indicates how the company product tracking system works, thereby enabling trace back and trace forward to occur in the event of a potential recall issue?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The organization uses the DOC DE021 Traceability System, which is composed by collected at receiving (date, product, grower, harvest date, etc.). All this information, once received by the shipper is transferred to a unique Pallet Tag number and enter to their data system of shipping (arrival date; supplier name; code and barcode on a day of the week color specific label).	

1.07.02	Question: Does the organization have a documented recall program including procedures, recall team roles and contact details, external contact listings, requirement for recall effectiveness checks, explanation of different recall classes and handling of recalled product?			15 15 Fotal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A complete document with their Recall Testing Procedures is described in manual. Types of recall (classes), definitions and instructions, responsible, contact listing (7 member alternates, updated in 1/21/2022), emergency numbers, etc., was contained in the document.			
1.07.03	Question: Is testing of recall procedures (including traceback) performed and documented at least every six months, and the company can demonstrate the ability to trace materials (one step forward, one step back) effectively?			10) Non-Compliance
	Auditor Comments: No. This is their first certification; however, no exercise was completed.			
	Auditee Comments: See attached corrective action plan		View	Files
		CA Accepted?		
	CB/Auditor Review Comments: CA Accepted. Documents uploaded complete the CA procedures. Harvest will start in June to complete mock.	Yes	Points Scored: 1	10 0 Total Compliance
		1		
FSMS	Food Defense			
1.08.01	Question: Is there a written food fraud vulnerability assessment (FFVA) and protection plan for all ty including all incoming and outgoing products?	pes of fraud,	Points Scored.	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Document Food Defense Assessment policy was on their GAP manual. assessment is conducted and recorded on their Daily Food Defense Assessment Checklist.	A daily		
1.08.02	Question: Is there a written food defense vulnerability assessment and food defense plan based on the risks associated with the operation?			5 Fotal Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Contained in their GAP manual. Emergency numbers posted in sensitive areas, also. Dated in 4/3/2022.			
1.08.03	Question: Are records associated with the food defense plan and its procedures being maintained, i monitoring, corrective action and verification records (where appropriate)?	ncluding	Possible Points 5 Points Scored: 5 Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Log book with signage are maintained in their main office for visitors and subcontractors. Training records for food defense and security maintained per each worker.			
1.08.04	Question: Is there a current list of emergency contact phone numbers for management, law enforcement and appropriate regulatory agencies?		Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. List of emergency contact names and phone numbers are kept in main o of information of the cold storage. Dated in 4/3/2022.	ffice and boa	ard	
1.08.05	Question: Are visitors and contractors to the company operations required to adhere to food defense	e procedures		
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Signed at the logbook and auditor was required to read and sign of under organization policies and procedures for food safety and food security.	standing of t	he	
FARM	General			

Addier Comments Yes. Genet Fago is the responsible and he is a qualified individual, certified by PSAAPED0. 2.01.02 Quasifier: If the operation is growing under regime products, is there written documentation of current certification Possible Points: 0 Points Score:: 2.01.02 Quasifier: The operation is growing under regime products in growing operation. Possible Points: 0 Possible Points: 0 Score:: 2.01.03 Quasifier: Does the operation have a written food safety hygiene and health policy covering at least worker and ballor hygine and health, inferts and toolings, animal presence in growing and storage areas, local matter, and body fuides. Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 5 FARM Site 2.02.01 Quasifier: Comments: Yes. Not Employees, Valitors and Succontrators SOP and policy covers hygine and and body fuides. Possible Points: 5 Possible Points: 5 Possible Points: 5 Points Score:: Total Compliance Score:: 2.02.01 Quasifier: An growing areas adequately identified or could to explore yours with piented date, number of rows: soil type; water carear and distribution and location GPS. Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 15 Score::: Total Compliance Score::: 2.02.02 Quasifier: Heas a documented risk assessment boon conducted at locat annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 Possible Points: 15 Score::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	2.01.01	Question: Is there a designated person responsible for the operation's food safety program?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
Constitution Description of the operation is growing under organic principles, is there written documentation of current certification of points Score: NA 20102 Auditor Comments: NA. This is an conventional growing operation. NA 20103 Calculation: Does the operation has a written food sofely highers and holding points and softing areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, feed matter, infrast and toddies, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, feed matter, dropped product, blood and body huds. FARM Site 2.02.01 Constitution: Is there a map that accurately stores all species of the operation, including water sources and faulture. Possible Points: 5 2.02.02 Auditor Comments: Yes. Nage schoe crop and vertex source for and to an or conduct to enable trace back and trace forward in the over of a model? Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Constitution: a forward and the advected of condid to enable trace back and trace forward in the over of a model? Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Constitution: a forward and control or conducted at least annuality for the operation? Possible Points:		Auditor Comments: Yes. Garret Fargo is the responsible and he is a qualified individual, certified by PSA/AFDO.	
201.03 visitor higher and health, infants and lockders, animal presence in growing and shonger areas, field matter, information is depender portuct, blood and bolly fulds? Points Scoret: 15 201.03 Audior Comments Yes. DOC Employees, Visitors and Subcontractors SOP and policy coarts hyginer and health, infants and todders, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, field matter, information is and bolly fulds? Points Scoret: 15 202.01 Constitute: Is them a map that accurately phone all aspects of the operation, including water sources and future is soore: Total Compliance Possible Points: 5 202.02 Constitute: Is them a map that accurately phone all aspects of the operation, including water sources and future is soore: Total Compliance Possible Points: 5 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of an eccil? Possible Points: 15 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Score: Total Compliance 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Score: Total Compliance 202.02 Constitute: Area growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Points Scoret: 15 202.02 Constiton: Areagrowing areas adequately identified or coded to	2.01.02	by an accredited organic certification organization?	Points Scored: 0
201.03 visitor higher and health, infants and lockders, animal presence in growing and shonger areas, field matter, information is depender portuct, blood and bolly fulds? Points Scoret: 15 201.03 Audior Comments Yes. DOC Employees, Visitors and Subcontractors SOP and policy coarts hyginer and health, infants and todders, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, field matter, information is and bolly fulds? Points Scoret: 15 202.01 Constitute: Is them a map that accurately phone all aspects of the operation, including water sources and future is soore: Total Compliance Possible Points: 5 202.02 Constitute: Is them a map that accurately phone all aspects of the operation, including water sources and future is soore: Total Compliance Possible Points: 5 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of an eccil? Possible Points: 15 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Score: Total Compliance 202.02 Constitute: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Score: Total Compliance 202.02 Constitute: Area growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of possible Points: 15 Points Scoret: 15 202.02 Constiton: Areagrowing areas adequately identified or coded to			
health, inferts and todies, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, fecal matter, dropped product, blood FARM Site 2.02.01 Question: Is there a map that accurately shows all aspects of the operation, including water sources and futures used to dalive water used in the operation? Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.01 Question: A there a map that accurately shows all aspects of the operation, including water sources and futures source and distribution and location GPS. Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall? Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.02 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and instrummanger. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ox.Ph and Bio); injetemented? Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and instrummanger. F	2.01.03	visitor hygiene and health, infants and toddlers, animal presence in growing and storage areas, fecal matter,	Points Scored: 15
2.02.01 Question: Is there a map that accurately shows all aspects of the operation, including water sources and fixtures Possible Points: 5 2.02.01 Auditor Comments: Yes. Maps shows crop and variety along with planted date, number of rows; soil type; water source and distribution and location GPS. Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment was conducted in 44/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Crt,Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented. E. or oli water sources are tested (in case of suffice water) with a anal frequency or sufficience for the approximation of the approximation of the analysis for the implemented. E. or oli with acceptance criteria of * 120 MPN 100 nrt. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure. Possible Points: 10 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, nace co		health, infants and toddlers, animal presence in all growing and storage areas, fecal matter, dropped product, blood	
2.02.01 Question: Is there a map that accurately shows all aspects of the operation, including water sources and fixtures Possible Points: 5 2.02.01 Auditor Comments: Yes. Maps shows crop and variety along with planted date, number of rows; soil type; water source and distribution and location GPS. Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment was conducted in 44/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Crt,Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented. E. or oli water sources are tested (in case of suffice water) with a anal frequency or sufficience for the approximation of the approximation of the analysis for the implemented. E. or oli with acceptance criteria of * 120 MPN 100 nrt. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure. Possible Points: 10 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, nace co			
2.02.01 Question: Is there a map that accurately shows all aspects of the operation, including water sources and fixtures you deliver water used in the operation? Points Scored: 5 2.02.01 Auditor Comments: Yes. Maps shows crop and veriety along with planted date, number of rows; soil type; water sources and distribution and location GPS. Points Scored: 15 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recal? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted in 14/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch; Ph and Bio); likely to occur/seventy; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented, called Food Score: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actrecore of units on thi	FARM	Site	
source and distribution and location GPS. Possible Points: 15 2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall? Possible Points: 15 Auditor Comments: Yes. Ranch map with name and lot number was available to review, this information is enabled to their tracking program. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment was conducted in 4/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch;Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented; called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Imgation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 120 MPN/100 mi. Auditor Comments: Yes. Visitors log book, escort system, suspicious behavior and employee culture policy is applied. Facilities are assured (eg wells capped and check valves fitted; equipment in custody inside the property;	2.02.01		Points Scored: 5
2.02.02 Question: Are growing areas adequately identified or coded to enable trace back and trace forward in the event of a recall? Points Scored: 15 2.02.02 Auditor Comments: Yes. Ranch map with name and lot number was available to review, this information is enabled to their tracking program. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted in 4/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch; Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, in ave corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified (in case of strice water), with a annual frequency against gramer E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPN 100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.			
enabled to their tracking program. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03 Auditor Comments: Yes. A Ranch Risk Assessment was conducted in 4/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch,Ph and Bio); likely to occur/sevenity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food with a coeptance arteria of < 126 MPN'100 mi. Auditor Comments are a Low significance in a Low significance is of analysis for the implementation of this measure.	2.02.02		Points Scored: 15
2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Points Scored: 15 2.02.03 Auditor Comments: Yes. A Ranch Risk Assessment was conducted in 4/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch;Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 Auditor Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance control subject in acceptance of < 126 MPN/100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.			
2.02.03 Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation? Points Scored: 15 2.02.03 Auditor Comments: Yes. A Ranch Risk Assessment was conducted in 4/4/2022 by Garret Fargo, food safety consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch;Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 Auditor Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance control subject in acceptance of < 126 MPN/100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.			Describle Deixer 45
2.02.03a Consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch;Ph and Bio); likely to occur/severity; justification; measures. Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.03a Auditor Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Imgation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPNV100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure. Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance 2.02.04 Question: Are the necessary food defense controls implemented in the operation? Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance	2.02.03	Question: Has a documented risk assessment been conducted at least annually for the operation?	Points Scored: 15
2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Points Scored: 15 2.02.03a Auditor Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPN/100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.		consultant/manager. Following the growing process, potential food safety hazards are evaluated (Ch;Ph and Bio);	
2.02.03a Question: If any risk is identified, have corrective actions and/or preventative measures been documented and implemented? Points Scored: 15 2.02.03a Auditor Comments: Yes. For all potential risks, a food safety program is developed and implemented, called Food Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPN/100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.			Possible Points: 15
Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPN/100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of this measure.	2.02.03a		Points Scored: 15
2.02.04 Question: Are the necessary food defense controls implemented in the operation? Points Score: 10 Score: Total Compliance Auditor Comments: Yes. Visitors log book, escort system, suspicious behavior and employee culture policy is applied. Facilities are assured (e.g wells capped and check valves fitted; equipment in custody inside the property; Visit Compliance		Safety Manual. E.g. Irrigation Water with potential Biological contamination with Bacteria have a Low significance justifying that all water sources are tested (in case of surface water), with a annual frequency against generic E. coli with acceptance criteria of < 126 MPN100 ml. Auditor check Certificates of analysis for the implementation of	
2.02.04 Question: Are the necessary food defense controls implemented in the operation? Points Score: 10 Score: Total Compliance Auditor Comments: Yes. Visitors log book, escort system, suspicious behavior and employee culture policy is applied. Facilities are assured (e.g wells capped and check valves fitted; equipment in custody inside the property; Vertex			Possible Points: 10
applied. Facilities are assured (e.g. wells capped and check valves fitted; equipment in custody inside the property;	2.02.04	Question: Are the necessary food defense controls implemented in the operation?	Points Scored: 10
		applied. Facilities are assured (e.g wells capped and check valves fitted; equipment in custody inside the property;	

2.02.05	Question: Is the exterior area immediately outside the growing area, including roads, yards and parking areas, free of litter, weeds and standing water?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The yard appear clean and is used to workers parking. No weeds, garbage or litter and free of standing water.		
2.02.06	Question: Are control measures being implemented for the outside storage of equipment, pallets, tires, etc. (i.e. out of the mud, stacked to prevent pest harborage, away from the growing area)?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. Not storing pallets, tires or other items in this ranch.		
2.02.07	Question: Are garbage receptacles and dumpsters kept covered or closed?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. All trash cans and dumpsters appear observed in the yard covered and closed.		
2.02.08	Question: Where soil, substrates or fertilizer (e.g., compost) are stored or handled, are measures in place to ensure seepage and runoff is collected or diverted and does not reach growing areas, product, or any of the water sources? A ZERO POINT DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	-
	Auditor Comments: N/A. Not storing at this farm.		
2.02.09	Question: Where there are fill stations for fuel or pesticides, is it evident that the location and/or use is not a risk of contamination to the product, water sources, growing areas, equipment, packaging materials, etc.?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No fill stations at this farm.		
2.02.10	Question: Is the audited area free from animal presence and/or animal activity (wild or domestic)? If Total Compliance, go to 2.02.11.	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
2.02.10	Auditor Comments: TC. No evidence or presence observed during the walkthrough.		
2.02.10a	Question: Is the audited area free from any evidence of animal fecal matter? A ZERO POINT (NON-COMPLIANCE) DOWNSCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT.	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments:		
2.02.11	Question: Is the audited area free from any evidence of human fecal matter? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No evidence of fecal matter in the audited area.		
			10
2.02.12	Question: Is the audited area free of evidence of infants and toddlers?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	10
2.02.12	Question: Is the audited area free of evidence of infants and toddlers? Auditor Comments: TC. No evidence of infants or children in the audited area.	Points Scored:	10
2.02.12 FARM		Points Scored:	10
	Auditor Comments: TC. No evidence of infants or children in the audited area.	Points Scored:	10 Total Compliance 0

2.03.02 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for any non-agricultural functions? If No, go to 2.03.03. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.02 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of non-agricultural functions observed. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.02a Cuestion: If the growing area been used previously for non-agricultural functions, have soil tests been conducted Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.02a Cuestion: If the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03 Cuestion: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry observed. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Cuestion: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Cuestion: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Cuestion: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a File or comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a File or the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters	Points: 0 Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 Points: 0
Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of non-agricultural functions observed. Possible Point Points Scored Score discored Score discore d	Points: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A
Question: If the growing area been used previously for non-agricultural functions, have soil tests been conducted showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants? Points Scored: Score: Auditor Comments: Auditor Comments: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected f	Points: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A
Question: If the growing area been used previously for non-agricultural functions, have soil tests been conducted showing soil was negative or within an appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants? Points Scored: Score: 2.03.02a Auditor Comments: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from t	Points: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A
2.03.03 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of animal husbandry observed. Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.03a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there	Points: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No
2.03.03 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of animal husbandry observed. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affecte	Points: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No
2.03.03 Question: Has the growing area(s) been used for animal husbandry or grazing land for animals in the last 12 months? If No, go to 2.03.04. Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of animal husbandry observed. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affecte	Points: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No
Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of animal husbandry observed. Possible Point Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Possible Point Auditor Comments: Auditor Comments: Possible Point Auditor Comments: Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Points Scored Possible Point Points Scored Score: Possible Point Possible Point Points Scored </td <td>Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No</td>	Points: 0 ored: 0 N/A Points: 0 ored: 0 No
2.03.03a Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Points Scored: Score: 2.03.03a Auditor Comments: Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a Score: Possible Point 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected land and product? Possible Point 2.03.04a Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point Possible Point	Points: 0 N/A Points: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0
Question: If the land was used previously for animal husbandry or grazing land for livestock, has a risk assessment been performed? Points Scored: Score: Auditor Comments: Auditor Comments: Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Possible Point Possible Point	Points: 0 N/A Points: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0
2.03.03a Auditor Comments: Possible Point 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Points Scored: Score: Possible Point 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Score: Possible Point 2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Score: Possible Point 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Scored: Score: Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Scored: Score:	Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0
2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: Auditor Comments: Possible Point Possible Point	Points: 0 ored: 0
2.03.04 Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point Points Scored: Score:	Points: 0 ored: 0
Question: Has flooding from uncontrolled causes occurred on the growing area(s) since the previous growth cycle? Points Scored: 2.03.04 Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. Points Scored: 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point Points Scored: Score:	Points: 0 ored: 0
2.03.04 If No, go to 2.04.01. Points Scored Score Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. Possible Point 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point Scored: Score:	No Points: 0 ored: 0
Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of flooding observed. Possible Point 2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Possible Point Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point	Points: 0 ored: 0
2.03.04a Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Auditor Comments: Possible Point Points Score: Possible Point	ored: 0
Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point	ored: 0
Question: If the growing area(s) and product was affected from the flood waters, is there documented evidence of a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Points Scored: Score: 2.03.04a Auditor Comments: Possible Point	ored: 0
2.03.04a risk assessment and that corrective measures were taken to affected land and product? Auditor Comments: Possible Point	
Auditor Comments: Possible Point	N/A
Possible Point	
Question: Have soil tests been conducted on the flooded area(s) showing the soil was negative or within an	
appropriate regulatory agency's approved limits for contaminants?	
2.03.04b Score:	N/A
Auditor Comments:	
Descible Deint	Dojinto: 0
Question: If septic or sewage systems adjacent to the growing area were affected by the flood waters, is there a documented inspection after flooding to ensure they are functioning properly and are not a source of Points Scored:	
contamination?	Jieu. U
2.03.04c Score.	N/A
Auditor Comments:	N/A
	N/A
FARM Adjacent Land Use	N/A
Possible Point	N/A
Question: Is the adjacent land to the growing area a possible source of contamination from intensive livestock	
production (e.g., feedlots, dairy operations, poultry houses, meat rendering operation)? If No, go to 2.04.02.	Points: 0
Auditor Comments: No. None of these operations found in the adjacent land. The adjacent land on this ranch has alfalfa field by West, South and East, and by North, Keystone Road and onion field, irrigation canals	Points: 0
	Points: 0 ored: 0
	Points: 0 ored: 0 No
Question: Where there is intensive livestock production on the adjacent land, have appropriate measures been Possible Point	Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0
Question: Where there is intensive livestock production on the adjacent land, have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate this possible contamination source onto the growing area (e.g., buffer areas, physical barriers, foundation fences, ditches, etc.)?	Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0 ored: 0
Question: Where there is intensive livestock production on the adjacent land, have appropriate measures been Possible Point	Points: 0 ored: 0 No Points: 0

2.04.02	Question: Is there evidence of domestic animals and/or wild animals (includes homes with hobby farms, and non- commercial livestock) in proximity to the growing operation? If No, go to 2.04.03.	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:No
	Auditor Comments: No. None of these operations found in the adjacent land. The adjacent land on this ranch has alfalfa field by West, South and East, and by North, Keystone Road and onion field, irrigation canals	
2.04.02a	Question: Where there are domestic and/or wild animals (includes homes with hobby farms, and non-commercial livestock) have physical measures been put in place to restrain the animals and their waste from entering the growing area (e.g., vegetative strips, windbreaks, physical barriers, berms, fences, diversion ditches)? Auditor Comments:	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:N/A
2.04.03	Question: Are untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or non-synthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land? If No, go to 2.04.04.	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:No
	Auditor Comments: No. None of this found in the adjacent land.	
2.04.03a	Question: Where present, have physical measures been taken to secure untreated animal manure piles, compost, biosolids, or non-synthetic amendment stored and/or applied on adjacent land?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.04.03b	Question: If biosolids are stored and/or applied on adjacent land, has the adjacent landowner supplied paperwork confirming the biosolids meet prevailing guidelines, governmental, or local standards?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.04.04	Question: Is the growing area situated in a higher risk location where contamination could occur from nearby operations or functions (e.g., leach fields, runoff or potential flooding from sewers, toilet systems, industrial facilities, labor camps, etc.)? If No, go to 2.04.05.	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:No
	Auditor Comments: No. None of these risks were observed.	
2.04.04a	Question: Where the growing area is situated in a higher risk location, have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate risks related to nearby operations?	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:N/A
	Auditor Comments:	
2.04.05	Question: Are there any other potential risks in the adjacent land that could potentially lead to contamination of the growing area?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
	Auditor Comments: Yes. None observed during the walkthrough of the farm.	
2.04.05a	Question: Have appropriate measures been taken to mitigate risks related to nearby operations?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No potential risks observed.	
2.04.06	Question: Is there evidence of human fecal matter in the adjacent land to the audited area? If No, go to 2.05.01.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. No evidence of human fecal matter was observed in the adjacent land to this fields	
2.04.06a	Question: Where there is evidence of human fecal matter in the adjacent land, are there adequate controls in place to mitigate risk (e.g., access controls (barriers), distance from the growing area and equipment, crop type and maturity, land condition, etc.)?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments:	

FARM	Inspection		
2.05.01	Question: Is there documented evidence of the internal audits performed, detailing findings and corrective actions?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A self-audit was conducted in 4/2/2022 by Garret Fargo. No non conformances found. A PrimusGFS v3.2 checklist used and completed with comments in all applicable questions and explanation for those not applicable.		
2.05.02	Question: Are there chemical inventory logs for chemicals, including pesticides and fertilizers?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No Storage available.		
2.05.03	Question: Are all chemicals (pesticides, sanitizers, detergents, lubricants, etc.) stored securely, safely and are they labeled correctly?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No Storage available.		
2.05.04	Question: Are the crop, ingredients (including water), food contact packaging and food contact surfaces within accepted tolerances for spoilage and free from adulteration? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
2.00.04	Auditor Comments: TC. No potential spoilage observed during the walkthrough.		
FARM	Training		
2.06.01	Question: Is there a food safety hygiene training program covering new and existing workers and are there records of these training events?	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A document (DOC 1.01.04 Employee Training Calendar) in which explain and shows the scope of the SOP (see Module 1). Records if training are kept, e.g. in 4/1/2022 a Food Safety; Food Defense and Employee Personal Hygiene was conducted for all 6 growing employees.		
2.06.02	Question: Are there written and communicated procedures in place that require food handlers to report any cuts or grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA, auditors can check procedure/policy but not actual records).	Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	
2.06.02	grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA,	Points Scored:	10
2.06.02	grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA, auditors can check procedure/policy but not actual records).	Points Scored:	10 Total Compliance 3
	grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA, auditors can check procedure/policy but not actual records). Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC Illness and Bodily Fluids. Question: Are there worker food safety non-conformance records and associated corrective actions (including	Points Scored: Score: Possible Points: Points Scored:	10 Total Compliance 3 3
	grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA, auditors can check procedure/policy but not actual records). Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC Illness and Bodily Fluids. Question: Are there worker food safety non-conformance records and associated corrective actions (including retraining records)? Auditor Comments: Yes. Employee Disciplinary Action Form was in place. Details in the Employee Handbook,	Points Scored: Score: Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	10 Total Compliance 3 3
2.06.03	grazes and/or if they are suffering from any illnesses that might be a contamination risk to the products being produced, and return to work requirements? (In countries with health privacy/confidentiality laws, e.g. USA, auditors can check procedure/policy but not actual records). Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC Illness and Bodily Fluids. Question: Are there worker food safety non-conformance records and associated corrective actions (including retraining records)? Auditor Comments: Yes. Employee Disciplinary Action Form was in place. Details in the Employee Handbook, records are kept in Workers Notice log form	Points Scored: Score: Possible Points: Points Scored: Score:	10 Total Compliance

	Question: Are toilet facilities in a suitable location to prevent contamination to product, packaging, equipment, and growing areas?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15
2.07.01a	Auditor Comments: Yes. Toilets seen in the yard, away from product; packaging material; equipment; growing area and water source.	Score: Total Compliance
2.07.01b	Question: Are toilet facilities designed and maintained to prevent contamination (e.g., free from leaks and cracks)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: TC. No cracks or leaks were noted.	
2.07.01c	Question: Are toilet facilities constructed of materials that are easy to clean?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Toilets are made from plastic and non porous surfaces to facilitate cleaning.	
2.07.01d	Question: Are the toilet facility materials constructed of a light color allowing easy evaluation of cleaning performance?	Possible Points: 3 Points Scored: 3 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Toilets are made from plastic and light grey color observed.	
2.07.01e	Question: Are toilet facilities supplied with toilet paper and is the toilet paper maintained properly (e.g., toilet paper rolls are not stored on the floor or in the urinals)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Paper rolls observed in each cabin and placed at the holder. No evidence of paper rolls on floor on in urinals.	
2.07.01f	Question: Where used, is there a documented procedure for emptying the waste holding tanks in a hygienic manner and also in a way that prevents product, packaging, equipment, water systems and growing area contamination?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. DOC Cleaning and Sanitizing Toilets SOP.	
2.07.01g	Question: Are the toilet facilities and hand washing stations clean and are there records showing cleaning, servicing and stocking is occurring regularly?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Toilet appear clean and a sticker in the toilet cabin is placed to keep records of cleaning. Date and initials observed. Two times a week cleaning as seen on the sticker.	
2.07.02	Question: Is hand washing signage posted appropriately?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Visual signs (written and pictographic) are posted in hand washing stations and inside toilet cabins.	
2.07.03	Question: Are hand washing stations adequate in number and appropriately located for worker access and monitoring usage? A ZERO POINT (NON-COMPLIANCE) DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The farm has 6 workers and the organization have a set with two cabins and a Hand Washing station with 4 faucets. Capacity for 40 workers.	
2.07.03a	Question: Are the hand wash stations designed and maintained properly (e.g., ability to capture or control rinse water to prevent contamination onto product, packaging, and growing area, free of clogged drains, etc.)?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Hand washing stations appear clean and well maintained, with no leaking from the system to capture the rinse water from hand washing and have a tank to capture it. No clogged system.	

2.07.03b	Question: Are hand wash stations clearly visible (e.g., situated outside the toilet facility) and easily accessible to workers?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Hand washing stations are located outside the toilet cabin, clearly visible and accessible to workers.	
2.07.03c	Question: Are hand wash stations adequately stocked with unscented soap and paper towels?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Unscented soap observed in the soap dispenser (soap type Sky Environmental).	
2.07.04	Question: Are total coliforms (TC) and generic E. coli tests conducted on the water used for hand washing at the required and/or expected frequency?	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Monthly testing is conducted for E. coli and Total coliforms. Last conducted in 3/28/2022 with < 1 MPN/100 ml for E. coli and 1 MPN/100 ml for Total coliforms.	
2.07.04a	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols, which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Producer GAP's Water SOP, that include the sampling protocol.	
2.07.04b	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Producer GAP's Water SOP, that include the procedures to make corrective actions for unsuitable or abnormal water test results.	
		1
2.07.04c	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0Points Scored:0Score:N/A
2.07.04c		Points Scored: 0
2.07.04c 2.07.05	performed?	Points Scored: 0
	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom,	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
2.07.05	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the procedure. Question: Are workers who are working directly or indirectly with food, free from signs of boils, sores, open	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10
2.07.05	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the procedure. Question: Are workers who are working directly or indirectly with food, free from signs of boils, sores, open wounds and are not exhibiting signs of foodborne illness?	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10
2.07.05	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the procedure. Question: Are workers who are working directly or indirectly with food, free from signs of boils, sores, open wounds and are not exhibiting signs of foodborne illness? Auditor Comments: Yes. None observed with these characteristics.	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Score: Total Compliance Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
2.07.05	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the procedure. Question: Are workers who are working directly or indirectly with food, free from signs of boils, sores, open wounds and are not exhibiting signs of foodborne illness? Auditor Comments: Yes. None observed with these characteristics. Question: Is jewelry confined to a plain wedding band and watches, studs, false eyelashes, etc., are not worn?	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Score: Total Compliance Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
2.07.05 2.07.06 2.07.07	performed? Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results. Question: Are workers washing and sanitizing their hands before starting work each day, after using the restroom, after breaks, before putting on gloves and whenever hands may be contaminated? Auditor Comments: Yes. One irrigator asked to wash hands to review correct procedures. Both follow properly the procedure. Question: Are workers who are working directly or indirectly with food, free from signs of boils, sores, open wounds and are not exhibiting signs of foodborne illness? Auditor Comments: Yes. None observed with these characteristics. Question: Is jewelry confined to a plain wedding band and watches, studs, false eyelashes, etc., are not worn? Auditor Comments: Yes. None observed wearing jewelry. Question: Are worker personal items being stored appropriately (i.e. not in the growing area(s) or material storage	Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Possible Points: 10 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance

2.07.09	Question: Is smoking, eating, chewing and drinking confined to designated areas, and spitting is prohibited in all areas?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Worker observed during the walkthrough was not eating, smoking, chewing gum or spitting in prohibited areas.	
2.07.10	Question: Is fresh potable drinking water readily accessible to workers?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Cool cans observed in the irrigator vehicle.	
2.07.10a	Question: Are single use cups provided (unless a drinking fountain is used) and made available near the drinking water?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Single paper cups observed in the dispenser.	
2.07.11	Question: Are first aid kits adequately stocked and readily available?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A first aid kit was present in the field at the time of the audit. No out of date items.	
2.07.12	Question: Are there adequate trash cans placed in suitable locations?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Trash cans observed in the yard and in the toilet, fitted with plastic bags.	
2.07.13	Question: Are any potential foreign material issues (e.g., metal, glass, plastic) controlled?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
2.07.15		
2.07.15	Auditor Comments: TC. None observed.	
FARM	Auditor Comments: TC. None observed. Inorganic Fertilizers	
		Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes
FARM	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0
FARM	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0
FARM 2.08.06	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15
FARM 2.08.06	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT. Auditor Comments: Yes. Only fertilizers authorized in this commodity and under county, state and federal	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
FARM 2.08.06	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT. Auditor Comments: Yes. Only fertilizers authorized in this commodity and under county, state and federal	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15
FARM 2.08.06 2.08.06a	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT. Auditor Comments: Yes. Only fertilizers authorized in this commodity and under county, state and federal regulations.	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15
FARM 2.08.06 2.08.06a	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT. Auditor Comments: Yes. Only fertilizers authorized in this commodity and under county, state and federal regulations. Question: Are there fertilizer use records available for each growing area, including application records? Auditor Comments: Yes. Grower uses the form Fertilizer Application Record Sheet, which contains: Date, crop; grower ID; time; block; acres; rate/acre; product; name; total; operator. E.g. In 4/6/2022, Phosgard (00-28-25) was	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15
FARM 2.08.06 2.08.06a 2.08.06b	Inorganic Fertilizers Question: Are inorganic fertilizers used as an input (e.g., ammonium nitrate, ammonium sulfate, chemically synthesized urea, etc.)? Information gathering question. Auditor Comments: Yes. Synthetic fertilizers are used. Question: Is fertilizer being used where the country regulations/guidelines ban the use of such materials (e.g., Californian Leafy Green Commodity Specific Guidelines)? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT. Auditor Comments: Yes. Only fertilizers authorized in this commodity and under county, state and federal regulations. Question: Are there fertilizer use records available for each growing area, including application records? Auditor Comments: Yes. Grower uses the form Fertilizer Application Record Sheet, which contains: Date, crop; grower ID; time; block; acres; rate/acre; product; name; total; operator. E.g. In 4/6/2022, Phosgard (00-28-25) was applied in this ranch in all blocks, 80 acres. Rate: 32 fl. oz./acre by ground completed by Chaparral Applicators. Question: Are there Certificate(s) of Analysis (CoA), specifications, product label or other documents available for	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: Yes Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance Possible Points: 10 Possible Points: 10

FARM	Open Flowing Surface Water	
2.09.04a	Question: Are generic E. coli tests conducted on the water (taken from the closest practical point of use) at the required and/or expected frequency? A ZERO POINT (NONCOMPLIANCE) DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Monthly frequency testing conducted for E. coli and Total coliforms. Last conducted in 3/29/2022 with < 1 MPN/100 ml for E. coli and 1 MPN/100 ml for Total coliforms. Issued by Primuslabs laboratory.	
2.09.04b	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering proper sampling protocols which include where samples should be taken and how samples should be identified?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Producer GAP's Water SOP, that include the sampling protocol.	
2.09.04c	Question: Do written procedures (SOPs) exist covering corrective measures for unsuitable or abnormal water testing results?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Producer GAP's Water SOP, that include the procedures to make corrective actions for unsuitable or abnormal water test results.	
2.09.04d	Question: If unsuitable or abnormal results have been detected, have documented corrective measures been performed?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No unsuitable results observed in all reviewed Certificates of analysis.	
2.09.04e	Question: Where anti-microbial water treatments (e.g. chlorination, U.V., ozone, etc.) are used, are there records of the monitoring frequencies, results and where necessary the corrective actions?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A. No treatments on water.	
2.09.04f	Question: Are records kept for periodic visual inspection of the water source and available for review?	Possible Points: 5 Points Scored: 5 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Monthly visual inspection conducted in water source. Form Water Source Inspection Checklist. Last in 4/1/2022.	
2.09.07	Question: Is dryland farming used in the growing operation	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: No
	Auditor Comments: No. Not used.	
2.09.08	Question: Are there backflow prevention devices on all main lines, including where chemical, fertilizer and pesticide applications are made?	Possible Points: 10 Points Scored: 10 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. A check valve noted in the irrigation system.	
2.09.09	Question: If the operation stores water (tank, cistern, container), is the storage container well maintained?	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0 Score: N/A
	Auditor Comments: N/A. Not storing water.	
FARM	Pesticide Usage	

2.10.01	Question: Are there up-to-date records of all pesticides applied during the growth cycle? A ZERO POINT (NON-COMPLIANCE) DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THIS AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Records are kept in their Chemical Application Record Sheet. Auditor review applications from the present cycle. Product Use Reports mandatory sent to County and State are available along with PCA Recommendations. Auditor review this cycle PURs and Recommendations.	
2.10.02	Question: Are all pesticides applied during the growth cycle authorized/registered by the authority/government of the country of production? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Grower uses only EPA registered plant protection products, as seen in records of application and follow manufacturer label, county, state and federal regulations.	
2.10.03	Question: Are all pesticides used during the growth cycle applied as recommended/directed in the label? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Grower uses only EPA registered plant protection products, as seen in records of application and follow manufacturer label, county, state and federal regulations. E.g. Oberon 2SC Insecticide-Miticide, EPA No. 264-719.	
		Dessible Deinte: 45
2.10.04	Question: Where harvesting is restricted by pre-harvest intervals, are required pre-harvest intervals on product labels, national (e.g., EPA) registration and any federal, state or local regulations and guidelines being adhered to? ANY DOWN SCORE IN THIS QUESTION RESULTS IN AN AUTOMATIC FAILURE OF THE AUDIT.	Possible Points: 15 Points Scored: 15 Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. Grower use only EPA registered plant protection products, as seen in records of application and follow manufacturer label; county, state and federal regulations. E.g. in 4/5/2022; all field, 40acres, applied with Oberon 2 SC Insecticide/Miticide, with 12 hr. REI and 7day PHI and harvest start until 5/27/2022.	
		Possible Points: 0
2.10.05	Question: Where products are destined for export, is there information for pesticide Maximum Residue Limits	Points Scored: 0
	(MRLs) compliance considering country of destination, target crop(s), and active ingredients applied?	Score: N/A
2.10.05	Auditor Comments: N/A. Grower intended market is USA.	
		Possible Points: 0
	Question: Where products are destined for export, is there evidence that Maximum Residue Limits (MRLs) of the	Points Scored: 0
2 40 00	intended markets are?	Score: N/A
2.10.06	Auditor Comments: N/A. Grower intended market is USA.	
		Possible Points: 15
	Question: Is there a documented procedure for the pesticide applications, considering mixing and loading,	Points Scored: 15
2.10.07	applying, and equipment cleaning?	Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. GAPs Chemical Application, Handling and Cleaning SOP 30.	
		Possible Points: 15
	Question: Is there documentation that shows the individual(s) making decisions for pesticide applications is	Points Scored: 15
2.10.08	competent?	Score: Total Compliance
	Auditor Comments: Yes. The grower uses the service of Robert E. Varni and shows a certificate issued by the California DPR license No. No. 75656, valid through 12/31/2022.	
		Dessible Deinte: 0
	Question: Is there documentation that shows that individuals who handle pesticide materials are trained and are	Possible Points: 0 Points Scored: 0
0.40.00	under the supervision of a trained person?	Score: N/A
2.10.09	Auditor Comments: N/A. No employees from this producer apply chemicals.	