



REAL-TIME ALERT:

Posted: Saturday, Dec. 14, 11:14 AM

It appears that researchers at some well-known institutions are using game theory-based rules, tactics, and strategies to procure human data and to develop cohorts for research purposes. Significantly, however, most of these institutions are deploying playbooks that are severely outdated. In one confirmed recent case, a research institution openly deployed a data procurement strategy that is banned. (<https://telepath.global/parallel>).

It is important to clarify that individuals and entities determined to be engaged in game play are *Opponents*. All other designations, titles, hierarchies, relationship structures, and titles are void. For example, an Employer determined to be using game-theory based tactics for cohort development and other research purposes, is prohibited from leveraging their position as an Employer to gain an unfair advantage over the Employee.

For instance, the Employer-Employee relationship does not grant an Employer an unrestricted right to conduct research upon an Employee without their consent. Nor may an Employer force or compel an Employee to engage in conduct or take an action that could jeopardize the Employee's standing or position within the broader game (sometimes referred to as the *Global Game*). Within a gaming environment, an Employer is an Opponent, equal to all other Opponents. On that basis, an Employer can be defeated.

Real Cases:

- Any Employer found to be engaged in non-consensual research of an Employee, and any research institution found to be using gaming strategies and tactics for cohort development purposes, is deemed to be High Risk. (See *High Risk protocol at the following page: <https://carousel.one/risk>*). Members may request the report underlying any High Risk determination by sending an email to support@telepath.global.
- In a case in which an Employer “chased” an Employee with an intent to “capture” the Employee for research purposes, there is discussion that the researchers as well as any senior-level administrator who authorized such research should be subject to criminal prosecution.