



The availability of hand-held devices allows you to objectively:

- measure the nutrient density of the fruit, veggies, or any liquid based food (incl coffee!)
- rates them as "poor", "average", "good" or "excellent" (70 yr old International Scale), as below:

BRIX or Nutrient Density Index (NDI) is a measure of all dissolved nutrients in food.

These include sucrose, fructose, vitamins, minerals, amino acids, proteins, and other solids.

High Readings = great soil quality, ripeness when picked, freshness since picked.

High Readings = Great taste (in almost all cases)

NDI Chart

	Poor	Aver.	Good	Excellent		Poor	Aver.	Good	Excellent
Fruits			Vegetables						
					,				
Ct		40	4.4	40	December				40

Strawberries	6	10	14	16	
Tomatoes	4	6	8	12	
Watermelon	8	12	14	16	

Peanuts	4	6	8	10
Potatoes, Irish	3	5	7	8
Potatoes, Red	3	5	7	8
	-			

and calculate and compare "Food Value for Money Indices" (FVMI)



Tomato A looks perfect, costs only \$3.00 / kg, but

- measures a nutrient density index (NDI = BRIX) of 3.0
- rates 'poor' on the International Ratings scale
- it is tasteless and nutritionless, due to being
 - · mass-produced in depleted, over-fertilized, nutritionless soils
 - sprayed with herbicides, pesticides, fungicides, etc.
 - picked too early to ripen on the way to the market
 - travelled many food miles, kept in cold storage, etc, so is not 'fresh'.

But it looks great, having been GM'd for shape, colour and long shelf life.

Tomato B:



Tomato B does not look perfect, and costs more @ \$4.00 kg (33% more than A), but

- measures a nutrient density of 12 (rated "excellent) and is nutritious, delicious, due to
 - free of chemicals, fertilisers, GM, etc.,
 - has natural fertilisers, such as composts, or worms (induced by worm juices or castings)
 - is fresh, being locally or home-grown, and
 - · picked at the right time of its natural ripeness curve,
 - · has a high sugar level (natural preservative), and
 - · is grown in well composted, soils, appropriate for tomatoes
- and is probably a heritage tomato, and not a "one-size / variety fits all" type

'Food Value for Money' indices (FVMI – patented methodology):

- Tomato A = 3.0/\$3.00 = 1 FVMI
- Tomato B = 12/\$4.00 = 3 FVMI

So, if Tomato B is <u>3 times</u> the FVMI of Tomato A, and <u>nearly 4 times</u> tastier and more nutritious than Tomato A,

which would you buy?