

CHAT RESPONSES

5/12/26 ZOOM MEETING

Here is the chat comments from the 05/12/26 Zoom Meeting. I took out the general comments and non-topic specific posts. Most of the chat posts were comments rather than questions, but I felt that they should be addressed. I used initials to protect the privacy of the posters. My comments and additions are in red.

POSTS

CS : I disagree that it is easy to manipulate the nine people on SCOTUS.

AC: All except one of the Supreme Clowns are owned.

RESPONSE FROM GARY:

I agree that the appointment method of the Supreme Court (as established by the Constitution) removes the voting and election component and reduces the opportunity for corruption. That is why the Constitution sets it up that way. Where I feel the compromise comes is in the nomination process. Those that are nominated are not pure jurists that are concerned about interpretation of Constitutional law. They are highly politicalized individuals (both Republican and Democrat) and have to be approved by the ruling class in order to be considered for the job. They are appointed by someone that has been severely compromised and is the leader of the elitists in our current system. Most importantly, I think that it is an unwinnable argument that Supreme Court Justices do not have an elitist mentality of "I know what is good for you". Too many rulings have nothing to do with the Constitution or are willful misinterpretations of the Constitution to promote ideological agendas. So, yes, manipulating a Supreme Court judge while in office would be difficult (but not impossible) but it is not needed. They "drank the kool-aid" to get to the position. Therefore, the elitists have their person in the position to do what they want.

SSW : Each law subtracts from our freedoms. Only the Constitution guarantees them from which the corrupt politicians figure out how to dodge them using invalid laws which "the system" yet pretends are real because they're terrible.

RESPONSE FROM GARY:

Amen. The main point of the manuscript, however, is pointing out that we are not being governed by the Constitution. Politicians refer to it and pretend to follow it (somewhat), but for the most part, they have ripped it to shreds. When I say that we are not a Constitutional Republic, that means that we are not following the Constitution and that the main

principles of the Constitution have been abandoned. It is no longer the governing document. That is part of the lies of American Socialism. They cannot tell us that we are socialists, so they lie about being capitalists and a constitution-based government. It does not exist. That is why we see conservatives calling for a Constitutional Convention. They are very wrong and it is very dangerous to have one now, but they have valid arguments regarding a runaway government that is out of control. I personally agree with the Anti-Convention coalition. We need to restore the Constitution, not re-write it.

JM: He is not describing our county (*Kitsap*) party. 😊 . But I understand he is speaking in the larger sense of things.

RESPONSE FROM GARY:

That is 100% correct. We had attendees from all over. One was from Texas. Others were from other counties in Washington. I think we even had someone from back east. Taylor Johnatakis had made announcements on his podcast (Peasant's Perspective) and that brought in an audience from all over. While I had made an announcement at the Kitsap County Republican Party, that was not the entirety of the audience in attendance and I was speaking in general regarding party operations and comments. I want to clearly go on the record that I have no issues with any county leadership and none of my comments should be considered criticism of those leaders. Political Remodel is not about people. It is about systems, programs, and marketing. Current leaders are needed and desired with the new program. All of the party members and leaders just have to agree that the old system is not working and it is time to do something new. That is not a criticism of the leaders and their efforts. It is criticism of a system that is based on the socialist principles that we are trying to get rid of.

CS: From the King's perspective in the time of William Wallace, there were many many vicious Scottish leaders who were busily hacking each other to death in the name of freedom, as well as destroying their own clanships. The King wished to consolidate so that they would all stop the vicious warfare. He did that by bribing the various clan leaders with land. Yes atrocities happened however because we did not have the media system that we do today.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Agreed. That makes the analogy even more accurate. Conservatives are fighting among ourselves as we face more and more lost ground. Additionally, when all you want is power, then you are not too picky about who can get it for you. My point was that the generals did not just switch sides (of course, this is a movie and they got the true history all wrong, but it is the movie scene that I am referring to). They convinced him that they were on his side

and abandoned him when he needed them. That is what the RINOs and Swampers have done to us.

JM: What are you going to give them in return for them signing the contract?

CM : Replying to "What are you going t..."

The endorsements give them voter information from the state party for doorknocking, phone banking purposes etc. etc. It should mean something for them to get access to our constituents.

JM : The problem is voters don't hold their elected officials accountable. Take our 23rd LD reps as an example. They keep getting elected even though they vote for things the voters don't support.

CS: Possibly a lack of better candidates. We really have quality people who are afraid to step up to the plate and become a target.

CM: Replying to "The problem is voter..."

If we can show them we will support them and have their backs, people may become brave.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Our current system is based on rewarding political candidates with power and benefits. Those that crave those things are the ones that want to participate. Good men and women are repulsed by the system and the corruption. Initially, we will get the same people that we always do. The only thing we will offer them is the endorsement. That endorsement will be worth 55% of votes. They want that and will accede to our demands to get it. I also do not feel that it will take a long time to get good people to start volunteering to be candidates. With proper marketing and messaging, I think that we can win over voters that previously would not consider us. There is 40% that is hardcore Democrat. There is 40% that is hardcore Republican. It is the 20% that is in play and they have no loyalties. They see Republicans less attractive for various reasons, but they will resonate with our new messaging. I did not have time to explain how this will work, but will in a future meeting or video.

CS: Capitalism and Socialism are economic systems. They are not methods of governance. Open to debate, IMHO

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Many present economists would agree with you. I classified Socialism and Capitalism as a combination of economic, political, and social systems because they are all interrelated. Socialism cannot exist without political (government) involvement and regulation. I don't see how you can separate them. I also purposely avoided the mechanics and theoretical part of describing socialism and capitalism because it is not about how the systems operate; it is about how they exist. I freely claim that my definitions are simplistic, but I feel that economists, politicians, and influencers purposely complicate the systems so that we think that we are still a capitalist society. For some, it gives them the freedom to point out the failures of their current socialist government and blame it on capitalism. Then they can say that we need to become socialists vice having to argue that we need to become MORE socialist if the truth were known. That is why part of the marketing is clearly letting everyone know that our current society is socialist and all the problems are because of that.

SSW : Is Gary in Kitsap? I need to think about how I integrate his scheme into the website thing i'm building which by the way you've seen no progress because i'm working on how to establish individual logins on the way towards adding permissions, and messaging, shared calendar, etc. Obviously i can't allow any random person to muck with things.

JR: Yes Gary is located in Kitsap County.

CS: Socialism (Collectivism, Communism etc) cannot work without cohesion and a totalitarian government, or variation thereof. I.e. taking away your resources and taxes is theft by any other name.

AC: Truth in government has been absent for well over a hundred years

MS : Constitutional Capitalism (avoids partisan label that shuts down many voters).

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Socialism actually functions very well without the need of cohesion or overt oppression. In the manuscript, I point out that there are 3 versions of socialism and only one of them practices an oppressive and coercive policy (Oppressive Socialism/Communism). The other two provide an illusion of citizen consent and democratic representation. The most common version of Socialism (that I refer to as Popular Socialism) is practiced in Europe, Asia, and Latin America. Most of those countries have a government that is not overtly oppressive or totalitarian. Yet, they are very much Socialist and control through manipulation, deceit, and (when necessary) oppression.

I agree with changing the name to Constitutional Capitalism. I like it better and it will change in the manuscript and future presentations. Thanks for the suggestion.

AC: Society in every state is a blessing, but Government, even in its best state, is but a necessary evil; in its worst state an intolerable one

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Spot on. Cleon Skousen's books on America were very instrumental in helping me with the concepts of Political Remodel. His insights into the thought process of the creation of the Constitution and the United States were brilliant. Libertarians and Anarchists use this truth to justify not having any government or just an ineffective and weak government. The Founding Fathers did actually consider Anarchy/Libertarian ideas, but rejected them. They looked at government, not so much as evil (although they readily admitted that all existing governments had been evil) but that it was like a fire. Properly controlled and contained, it was beneficial. Only when allowed to spread uncontrolled was it deadly and evil.

CD: Bottom Line. How do we get more PCOs involved to implement the communication of our Conservative principles and policies. That is the hard part, getting more people involved to sell our Conservatism and hold our elected representatives accountable.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

I agree. It is the first thing that must be accomplished after the decision is made to use the Political Remodel system. The same messaging that will be used to promote the party will also be used to recruit PCOs, volunteers, and donors. In the beginning, we may have to create a "Psuedo-PCO", in that a volunteer that does not live in the precinct will still provide communication and interaction with the voters in that precinct, but they will be limited. One of the main tasks will be to get at least one person in the precinct to volunteer as a PCO. When properly developed, the PCO is a very easy and respected position. It does not take a lot of time, it has minimal exposure, and it does not require significant training or experience. The current system makes the PCO position not very attainable nor attractive, so it is much harder to recruit people to it. Under our program, it will be seen as a very respectable and honored position.

JM: You need the legislature AND the governor. It's called a trifecta.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

The current model does require a governor to be effective. However, the federal and state constitutions all have provisions that ensure that the main power resides within the legislature. Our current legislative bodies have improperly delegated their powers away to the Executive and should have never done that. As such, the Executive has more power

than they were assigned or deserve. If you have a 3/4 majority in the legislature, you have a veto-proof body. If they restrict the Executive to only executing the laws that they pass and providing very thorough instructions in those laws, then the Executive is not that influential to the citizens. We can have a supermajority legislature and a Democrat governor and will be able to implement all of our programs. The biggest “stick” that the legislature has is the control of the money. When you want to play hardball politics, money is the trump card (no pun intended). If we get a runaway Governor, they simply start closing the purse strings and can establish control. Additionally, they can put programs and policies under their jurisdiction and exempt the Executive from control. For instance, DOGE should be a legislative body, not an Executive one. It needs to be independent from the Executive in what it can investigate and review. You cannot have the wolf determining how to manage the henhouse.

JM: Yippee! That means you are going to help recruit and train PCOs!

AC: Things re so bad it will take time and persistence

CS: Replying to "It sounds like we've..."

The concept of "teams" or "village elder" was incorporated in the original PCO Handbooks, but I think it got edited out as "something we don't use"

CD: : We need to activate the PCOs get more of them involved much more than they have in the past.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

The overall change in society will take time. We can win elections almost right away, but incorporating actual conservative changes will take a lot longer. That is one of the issues with conservatives. We want change “ROGHT NOW” and when a conservative gets elected, they break out the chainsaw and start hacking. I insist that there will be no compromise on principles, however, we will need to compromise on time. We need to be patient. In the manuscript I talk about how the Democrats are so good at this. They know that it takes 20-30 years for large populations to make changes. They introduce their programs, make little changes over the years, and then in 20 years the policy is fully developed. We come in and make sweeping changes in 2 months and wonder why the people vote us out. Especially in the very beginning, we need to be patient with our elected officials and allow them to make cuts and changes at a pace that society can accept them. We have 100 years of wall building. We need to remove it brick-by-brick and that will take time. Having said that, however, we should see some immediate and impactful actions taken right awsay. Any

fraud, non-major impact programs, and partial cuts of major programs can be enacted quickly. But totally eliminating programs and systems will take longer. For Kitsap County, I will offer my time and abilities to recruit PCOs if Political Remodel is implemented. It will not be as hard as it is currently. For other counties, I will show your leaders how to put a recruiting program in place.

JM: We're not going to get rid of mail-in voting until we get a trifecta. Let's focus on a goal we can accomplish like taking over the county commission. That's the point of Gary's plan.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Yes. Exactly. We can live with mail-in voting if we have a good Auditor and a robust elections division. We will still have fraud due to mail-in ballots, but with proper safeguards and updates of the system, it can be reduced down to 1% or less. Generally, that should not be enough to change an election. Election vulnerability is a Democrat design, not a mistake. That is where you get different results from actual votes. If we focus on county elections and make sure that our county auditors, sheriff, police forces, and commissioners are conservatives, we can be very confident about the integrity of our elections.

I agree--we need to activate more PCOs.

JM: It's worse than that--Americans vote with their emotions.

CD: We need to break thru the Apathy of the PCOs and the public. To get them involved.

LB: People vote base on empathy and are told criminals got a raw deal and the victim really was not hurt or probably deserved what happened to them.

RESPONSE FROM GARY

Americans do vote with emotions and vote with bad/low information. They can vote for the wrong reasons. There are also many "Letter Voters" that just see a "D" or "R" and vote on that alone. We just need to accept that "it is what it is". It is not even a new phenomenon. It was just as true in 1826 as it was in 2026. In fact, I would argue that the 1826 voter was less informed and less knowledgeable than the 2026 voter. Political Remodel is the combination of strategy, politics, and human behavior - the same human behavior that our Founding Fathers understood when creating our country. The socialist side of conservatism is the emotional need of conservatives to convince Americans to think the same way they do. We find it frustrating and intolerable that they should think differently and illogically. While that is emotionally and ego affirming, it is unrealistic. Political Remodel accepts who voters are and we are not going to try to get them to fundamentally change their thinking.

We don't have to. They can still vote on emotions, they can still vote with low information, they can still be Letter Voters, and they can vote without really knowing the candidate. We will resonate with them about the important things that they can relate to. We will resonate with them on an emotional level. We will resonate with them on informational level. We will turn them into "R" letter voters and not care that they only voted because they saw the "R" and nothing else. We will have gay people voting for us. We will have dope smoking hippies voting for us. We will have poor people voting for us. We will have minorities voting for us. We will have bleeding hearts liberals voting for us. Most importantly, we will have most of the under 30 adults voting for us. We won't care that they are who they are and what they think. We will build a better government for all of them. This is why the messaging is so important, and the structure of the party reflects the messaging. We don't need them to accept conservatism (although that will come in time), we just need them to accept it. In another Zoom or video, I will cover the details on how this will work.

CS: DOGE or reasonable facsimile thereof is very necessary. Start with asking where the taxes on our gasoline is going, and an accounting of those funds. Where DOES that \$\$\$ go? Investigate all the NGOs. etc.

RESPONSE FROM GARY:

YES, YES, and HELL YES!. One of the advantages of working at the county level is that we will be able to institute fiscal accountability and transparency in government. No more long waits and endless delays for FOIA requests. In fact, most people will not need one because everything that can be published, will. DOGE will be instituted at the county level and every organization (government and NGO) will submit to a DOGE inspection when requested. Accountability Committees will bring more eyes to review programs and services.