



Erin L <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Sophie/Gummy

9 messages

Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Mon, Apr 27, 2015 at 6:52 PM

To: Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Cc: "steven.c.landes@gmail.com" <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>

Hi Erin,

I would like some grand-parenting time with Sophie, therefore I am happy to pick her up from school tomorrow (4/28) or Wednesday (4/29) I take it that you are still attending class on Tuesday evenings and I would love to spend some time with Sophie. I will bathe her, provide dinner and help her with homework. She will be ready for bed by the time you pick her up. Since I don't have any of her clothes, please send some extra shorts as I have plenty of t-shirts.

Please send me a text when you arrive at the house. I will stay in the doorway and watch her go to your car.

Please let me know by 2:30pm tomorrow (4/28) which out of these two days that I will be able to pick her up. If neither of these dates are convenient, please let me know an alternative date(s). In addition, if you recall, Wednesday you have another commitment and per my calendar, this was the scheduled day to pick her up.

I can get her both days if needed and please let me know as soon as possible.

Thanks

Mum

Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Mon, Apr 27, 2015 at 9:16 PM

To: Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Celia,

Are you, or are you not in agreement with the following conditions, all of which serve to protect Sophie:

1) If you agree to follow pertinent points in my court order, as evidenced by her language and conversation upon return;

i. Conduct in Presence of the Child –

i-1. Neither parent shall expose the child to any incidents of domestic violence or extreme or hostile conflict or language. Among other things, this means that the parents shall neither argue with one another in the presence of the child or allow a third party to do so.

i-2. Neither parent shall expose the child to derogatory comments about the other parent or the relatives or friends of the other parent. This includes any statement about the other parent, his relatives, or his friends that causes the child to become anxious, upset, or frightened, such as telling the child that the other parent is trying to take the child away, the other parent does not love the child, the other parent does not want to spend time with the child, or the other parent is acting

improperly or illegally in any respect.

i-3. The parents shall not discuss legal decision-making authority, parenting time, or child support issues in the presence of or with the child. This includes asking the child about where or with whom the child wants to live.

i-4. The parents shall make certain that the child is not exposed to any discussion about legal proceedings. If the subject of legal proceedings comes up in the child's presence, the parents are to assure the child that they are working together with the help of others to do what is best for the child.

i-5. The parents shall not question the child about the personal life of the other parent. The parents shall not express to the child how angry they are at the other parent, how they doubt the trustworthiness of the other parent, or how hurt or frustrated they are by the actions of the other parent.

i-6. Each parent is prohibited from using or permitting others to use the child to convey oral or written messages between households. Unless another order is in effect, such as an order of protection, communications should take place directly between the parents, and the child should be protected from involvement in any child-related issues, such as changes in the parenting time schedule.

i-7. Any parent who completes a contact information form that may be required by a school, child care facility, medical provider, club or other activity sponsor, or anyone else who provides a service or opportunity of any kind for the child is responsible for making certain that the other parent's contact information is included on the form, and if that information is not immediately available at the time the form is completed, that parent is responsible for obtaining that information and adding it to the form promptly.

i-8. During exchanges, the parents shall be polite and respectful to each other; in other words, they are required to treat one another in the same way and with the same respect that they wish to be treated. The parents are not to use the exchanges of the child or other occasions when the child is present to make requests of one another or attempt to engage in negotiations of any sort.

i-9. Each parent shall encourage love and respect between the child and the other parent. Neither parent shall do or say anything that might tend to alienate the affection of the child for the other parent, nor shall either parent allow any third person to do so.

i-10. Neither parent shall permit the child to be subjected to corporal punishment of any kind including, but not limited to hitting or striking with an instrument, and/or hitting or striking with a closed fist or open hand. Neither parent shall permit the child to be punished by use of anything that could cause injury, bruising, or significant pain.

2) agree to not initiate conversations about martial arts, and quickly divert any conversation about it;

3) agree to no demonstrate any martial arts, or any other physical demonstrations that could be construed as violent in nature in her presence;

4) agree to not discuss the situation at hand, unless she brings it up, in which case, you are to admit that you acted in a way that was inappropriate, and that ended up with her mother being injured. You are allowed to add that you are very sorry that it has happened, sorry that you hurt her mommy, etc... and that it is ok that mommy doesn't want to involve you in her life anymore. I am not asking you to agree to these things, as in admit to them in a legal manner, however, that a response is created in a way that will teach her the importance of admitting guilt, demonstrating remorse, accepting consequences may be in-acted, and then a move forward. Additionally, this will protect her from being put in the middle, and she will not feel forced to chose between us, plus, you can save face because this is a rather positive spin on the situation. It protects her positive feelings for all involved, and will demonstrate how we earn respect.

If you are in agreement with all 4 conditions, then I will allow for unsupervised visitation on this Tuesday, as long as it seems to serve in her best interest. If she continues negative comments towards me, brings up new ones, shows evidence of MA instruction, etc... I will first ask you about the situation, and then determine if court supervision is necessary.

I also request that you begin taking an anger management class. I will not require it as a condition, but request it as a good faith effort.

I have met with USA Martial Arts and revoked permission for her to participate. I do not want her near the studio. My opinions have changed, and I firmly believe that violence leads to violence, no matter how good the intent.

For Tuesday nights, I will pick her up upon conclusion of my class, of which the last is tomorrow.

I feel my proposal is fair, because I am not asking anything of you other than what I am asked of in my court decree, and for my crafted response which I feel will be of the most benefit to her overall, and hopefully lead away from the topic all together for her. Finally, I feel it is fair as there is a trend away from "grandparent's rights" as they interfere with parent's rights.

If you agree to accept my proposal, you agree to all of it, and may pick her up from school tomorrow.

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Make it a *great* day!!

Erin Landry
6th Grade
Glenn L. Downs Elementary
(623) 691-4200 x2201

Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Mon, Apr 27, 2015 at 9:34 PM

To: Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Cc: "steven.c.landes@gmail.com" <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>, "wtrush@rushfamilylaw.com" <wtrush@rushfamilylaw.com>, Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Hello Erin,

I have compared your "Terms and Conditions" to the divorce decree between you and Ari. First, I would like to point out that I am not your ex-husband, but I am Sophie's grandmother. Second, there is no court order between you and me. What you have written is merely a copy (parts) from your divorce with Ari and is not pertinent to my spending time with Sophie.

All I am asking is to spend time with my granddaughter Sophie. That's all.

Thanks
Mum

Date: Mon, 27 Apr 2015 21:16:08 -0700

Subject: Re: Sophie/Gummy

From: erin.landry07@gmail.com

To: seaangel3@msn.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 28, 2015 at 8:18 AM

To: Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Cc: "wtrush@rushfamilylaw.com" <wtrush@rushfamilylaw.com>, Steven Landes <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>

Part of what you say is true, you are not my ex husband, and the language refers to him; however, it is also typical legal-ese put in all or most divorce decrees.

These are good reminders for how one should act in front of Sophie and speak to Sophie.

It is no secret you are not a fan of Ari, and probably not my biggest fan right now either, and I want to protect Sophie from all of the court/legal stuff as much as possible, and make sure that she is not exposed to any negativity about either of her parents.

I would like to remind you that there are some serious situations at hand, and as much as you want to spend time with Sophie, she has already witnessed the threats, and possibly the action itself, if she was close to the window, and while our relationship is over, I would like to encourage a relationship between you and Sophie, because, as the Judge said, you did not physically assault Sophie, nor threaten action against her. I want to keep relations as healthy as possible, and I feel that following the language laid out in our decree is good practice to ensure a happy kiddo.

Finally, I would like to remind you that the trend is going away from grandparents rights, even in Arizona, and there are plenty of court cases where grandparents rights have been shut down because, per the rulings, they are unconstitutional and interfere with parents' rights.

Is there any part of our decree you are specifically adverse to? I strive to follow this set of the decree, regardless of it being a court order, even when I discuss you with her, because again, I am cognizant that the issues are between the two of us, and Ari and I, not the two of you, and not Ari and her.

[Quoted text hidden]

Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Tue, Apr 28, 2015 at 6:54 PM

To: Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Cc: Steven Landes <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>, Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Hello Erin,

First of all, the last time I checked, you have a Bachelor's degree in Art History from the University of Arizona, not a JURIS Doctorate. Second, I have been patient in my requests to spend time with Sophie, however you are forcing me into taking the next step, which would be filing for Grandparents Rights/Visitation in the Superior Court of Arizona. This is not my choice of venue and it would be in all of our best interests for you to stop and reconsider your actions before you continue on this destructive path. This is about Sophie, not about Erin. What is best for Sophie, not Erin? All I want to do is spend time with my granddaughter without your ridiculous terms and conditions. I have never hurt, insulted or taken advantage of my granddaughter, for she is very precious to me. Keep in mind that my pursuit of Grandparents Rights/Visitation will not only apply to Sophie but to any future children that you may have.

I am prepared to move forward and file this request in the Superior Court. Be prepared that both you and Ari will be in court. There is enough documented information from the past 6-7 years, which will be presented, in court.

You say that I don't like Ari, which is not relevant as I am requesting from you to see Sophie. Again, this has nothing to do with Ari. In addition, there is plenty of documented information in which you have vented your anger, insults and frustrations towards him in the presence of Sophie. Is this really an avenue that you wish to open and pursue? I want you to think about it. I am tired of playing your games.

As you stated, we no longer have a "relationship" and this is your choice, not mine. Clean up your act, grow up and not blame everyone else for your self-creating problems. Regardless, I am your mother no matter how much you may hate me. Again I am requesting nicely to see Sophie without your ridiculous terms and conditions.

Thanks,

Mum

As an FYI, within the last several weeks, I have spoken several times with both Meghan and Ari and have had no problems.

Date: Tue, 28 Apr 2015 08:18:16 -0700

Subject: Re: Sophie/Gummy

From: erin.landry07@gmail.com

To: seaangel3@msn.com

CC: wtrush@rushfamilylaw.com; steven.c.landes@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Steven Landes <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 28, 2015 at 7:02 PM

To: Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Cc: Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Hi ladies:

Thanks for keeping me in the communication loop. I'm sure we can all appreciate the value of doing so. However, I'm also confident we can also all appreciate how important it is to focus just on Sophie and not on any future hypotheticals.

Respectfully,
Steven

[Quoted text hidden]

Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Thu, Sep 17, 2015 at 6:31 AM

To: Michelle Cabanillas <michelle.cabanillas@phoenix.gov>

[Quoted text hidden]

--

Best,

Erin Landry

*Nothing is impossible;
even the word itself says,
"I'm Possible."*

Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Tue, Jan 26, 2016 at 2:11 PM

To: delano <delano@weeslawfirm.com>, Wees Law <James@weeslawfirm.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Steven Landes** <steven.c.landes@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 28, 2015 at 7:02 PM

Subject: RE: Sophie/Gummy

To: Celia L <seaangel3@msn.com>

Cc: Erin Landry <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

Erin L <erin.landry07@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 27, 2017 at 9:25 PM

To: Kelly Martin <kelly@weeslawfirm.com>

[Quoted text hidden]