Clash of Minds What's Up Prof. #176

Commentary by: David D. Bell

The comment below is a chat comment that I made on 01/02/2024 in the WUP #176 chat window. Walter states at timestamp 43:56 that Jesus Christ came without the "propensity" to sin. This is totally false as that would invalidate Jesus Christ as the ultimate sin offering for all of mankind. My comment was immediately removed by the forum administrators. Here is a screen shot from the transcript:

43:51	consequences and imagine the sinless Christ
43:56	he who had no propensity towards sinh condescending to become a human being
44:05	taking him upon himself Humanity but without sin the Bible is
44:12	very clear he was without sin he was without the propensity to sin and yet he
44:20	was prepared to take the consequences of sin the sin of every IND individual that

I love the material professor Veith. Let us all keep in mind that Christ came with the propensity to sin; "It would have been an almost infinite humiliation for the Son of God to take man's nature, even when Adam stood in his innocence in Eden. But Jesus accepted humanity when the race had been weakened by four thousand years of sin. Like every child of Adam He accepted the results of the working of the great law of heredity. What these results were is shown in the history of His earthly ancestors. He came with such a heredity to share our sorrows and temptations, and to give us the example of a sinless life." *The Desire of Ages (1898)*, P49

I would ask Professor Veith to explain himself when he states, "...imagine the sinless Christ he who had no propensity towards sin condescending to become a human being taking him upon himself Humanity but without sin the Bible is very clear he was without sin he was without the propensity to sin and yet he was prepared to take the consequences of sin." (transcript timestamp 43:51 - 44:20). What EXACLY did you get this preposterous idea? I want an answer from the Bible Book and Verse.

DBell Page 1 of 1