	FL-30
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT AT ORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
-Nicholas A. Salick, Esq. (SBN 236583)	
Salick Family Law Group, APLC	
11111 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 1700	
Los Angeles, CA 90025	
TELEPHONE NO 310-492-4324 FAX NO (Optional)	FILED
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional) nas@salickfamilylaw.com	Superior Court of California
ATTORNEY FOR (Name) Petitioner, Reed Randoy	County of Los Angeles
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles	
street address 111 N. Hill St.	JUN 05 2015 AY
mailing address 111 N. Hill St.	Sherri R. Carter, executive Officer/Clash
city and zip code Los Angeles, CA 90012	By Michel &
BRANCH NAME Central District	Nicholas Young Deputy
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF:Reed Randoy	- County
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Marieke Randoy	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY.	
REQUEST FOR ORDER MODIFICATION X Temporary Emerger	ncv CASE NUMBER
☐ Child Custody ☐ Visitation Court Order	BD621137
Child Support Spousal Support Other (specify):	
Attorney Fees and Costs Please see #8	
i lease see πο	
1. TO (name): Respondent, Marieke Randoy	
2. A hearing on this Request for Order will be held as follows: If child custody or vis	sitation is an issue in this proceeding, Family
Code section 3170 requires mediation before or at the same time as the hearing ((see item 7.)
a. Date: 6-26-15 Time: 8 30 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	2 X Room: 519
b Address of court	
	•
3. Attachments to be served with this Request for Order:	
	eted Financial Statement (Simplified) (form
<u> </u>) and a blank Financial Statement (Simplified)
FL-150) and a blank Income and Expense d. X Points a	
	specify): Declarations of Petitioner,
	J. \$\$\\eP\A@\\A\\\P\Daine Dotts ACSIMILE SI6NATURE
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME) COURT ORDER	(SIGNATURE)
	•
YOU ARE ORDERED TO APPEAR IN COURT AT THE DATE AND TIME LIST	TED IN ITEM 2 TO GIVE ANY LEGAL
REASON WHY THE ORDERS REQUESTED SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED.	(H. H
5. 🛕 Time for 🛕 service 🕍 hearing is shortened. Service must be on c	or before (date): TONTHIN 1/1
	is proprietely of the
The parties are ordered to attend mandatory custody services as follows:	
	CITY PAYM PACE LEAY
You are ordered to comply with the Temporary Emergency Court Orders (form	RECEIPT : RECEIPT : PAINENT : CH
9. Other (specify): And	
- " () ()	<u></u> Λ92±Ω ····
Date: (1.5.1.5)	, E . 60
TAF	MARA HAMA OFFICER 88 4
To the person who received this Request for Order: If you wish to respond to	this Request for Order, you must file a
Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) and serve a copy of	on the other parties at least nine court days
before the hearing date unless the court has ordered a shorter period of time.	
Responsive Declaration to Request for Order (form FL-320) or any other decla	ration including an <i>Income and Expense</i>
Declaration (form FL-150) or Financial Statement (Simplified) (form FL-155).	4 FJ
	ÖÖÖÖ ⅓ Page 1 o
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Ludicial Council of California Author Dean's REQUEST FOR ORDER	Family Code, §§ 2045, 2107 62 6226, 6320–6325, 6380–6.4
Ft300 (Rev July 1, 2012)	6226 6320–6325 6380–63 Government Code § 208

To the period who received this Request for Order II you wish to respond to this Request for Order, you must like a Responding Contemption to Request for Order (form PL-920) and serve a copy on the other parties at least othe count days before the baseing data unless the count has ordered a shorter period of time. You do not have to pay a filing fee to like the Responding Contempt to Request for Order (form PL-920) or any other Contemption including an income and Expense Occasion (form PL-150) or Financial Statement (Giorgiffed) (form PL-155).

Anticed Course of Controls Parked Course of Controls Parked District of Controls

Come has

REQUEST FOR CADER

The state of the s

		FL-300
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Reed Randoy	CASE NUMBER	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT Marieke Randoy	BD621137	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:		
REQUEST FOR ORDER Respondent Other Parent/P	AND SUPPORTING DECLARATION Party requests the following orders:	``
makes decision	y to (name of person who c. Physical custod	om child will live)
Request for Child A Children's Holiday Additional Provisio Joint Legal Custod	d Visitation Application Attachment (form FL-311) Abduction Prevention Orders (form FL-312) Schedule Attachment (form FL-341(C)) Ons—Physical Custody Attachment (form FL-341(D)) dy Attachment (form FL-341(E)) は対数XX Declaration of Reed Rando	Эу
a. As requested in: (1) Attachment 2a (2)	☐ To be ordered pending the hearing ☐ Child Custody and Visitation Application Attachment Laration of Reed Randoy	nt (form FL-311)
have one.) The orders are from the following of (1) Criminal: County/state: Case No. (if known): (2) Family: County/state: Case No. (if known): 3. CHILD SUPPORT (An earnings assignment order mage) a. Child's name and age b. I request	 (3) Juvenile: County/state⁻ Case No. (if known): (4) Other: County/state: Case No. (if known): 	
d. Modify existing order (1) filed on (date): (2) ordering (specify):		
Notice: The court is required to order child support bas	sed on the income of both parents. It normally cor	ntinues until the

child is 18. You must supply the court with information about your finances by filing an *Income and Expense Declaration* (form FL-150) or a *Financial Statement (Simplified)* (form FL-155). Otherwise, the child support order will be based on information about your income that the court receives from other sources, including the other parent.

		<u></u>	FL-30
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF Reed Rando	oy .	CASE NUMBER	
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Marieke Ra	andoy	BD621137	
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:			
4. SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT (A	n eamings assignment order may	he issued)	
a. Amount requested (monthly): \$		Modify existing order	
b. Terminate existing order		(1) filed on (date):	
(1) filed on (date):		(2) ordering (specify):	
(2) ordering (specify):		(=, =:==::::: (=,==:::),:	
d. The Spousal or Partner Support	-	157) is attached (for modific	cation of spousal or
partner support after judgment of			
e. An Income and Expense Declaration	(form FL-150) must be attached		
 ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS are req declaration that addresses the factors co attached. A Supporting Declaration for A addresses the factors covered in that for 	overed in that form. An <i>Income an</i> attorney Fees and Costs Order Att	d Expense Declaration (for	m FL-150) must be
a. The petitioner respondent concealing, or in any way disposing a separate, except in the usual course. The applicant will be notified at least and an accounting of such will be. Both parties are restrained and a changing the beneficiaries of any held for the benefit of the parties. c. Neither party may incur any debt ordinary course of business or for	claimant is restrained for any property, real or personal, we of business or for the necessities east five business days before any emade to the court. Enjoined from cashing, borrowing and insurance or other coverage, incomo their minor children.	of life. y proposed extraordinary ex against, canceling, transferr luding life, health, automob	community, or expenditures, ring, disposing of, or ile, and disability,
PROPERTY CONTROL To be or a. The petitioner respondent property that we own or are buying	is given the exclusive tempora	ary use, possession, and co	ontrol of the following
b. The petitioner respondent due while the order is in effect:			encumbrances coming
<u>Debt</u>	Amount of payment	Pay to	

- 8. X OTHER RELIEF (specify):
 - 1. Order for the immediate return of Hunter Randoy to Petitioner's custody.
 - 2. Order for the immediate turnover to Petitioner of all travel documents issued to Hunter including but not limited to all passports, visas, identification cards, etc.

NOTE: To obtain domestic violence restraining orders, you must use the forms Request for Order (Domestic Violence Prevention) (form DV-100), Temporary Restraining Order (Domestic Violence) (form DV-110), and Notice of Court Hearing (Domestic Violence) (form DV-109).

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Reed Randoy
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Marieke Randoy
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:

BD621137

- 9 I request that time for service of the Request for Order and accompanying papers be shortened so that these documents may be served no less than (specify number):

 days before the time set for the hearing. I need to have this order shortening time because of the facts specified in item 10 or the attached declaration.
- 10. X FACTS IN SUPPORT of orders requested and change of circumstances for any modification are (specify):

 Contained in the attached declaration. (You may use Attached Declaration (form MC-031) for this purpose.

 The attached declaration must not exceed 10 pages in length unless permission to file a longer declaration has been obtained from the court.)

Declaration of Reed Randoy Declaration of James Joseph Campbell Declaration of Elaine Dotts Memorandum of Points and Authorities

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: 6/4/2015

Reed Randoy

.

FACSIMILESIGNATERED APPLEANT



Requests for Accommodations

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the proceeding. Contact the clerk's office or go to www.courts.ca.gov/forms for Request for Accommodations by Persons With Disabilities and Response (form MC-410). (Civil Code, § 54.8)

FL-300 (Rev July 1, 2012)

Wartin Dean\
SSENTIAL FORMS***

•
1
I .

a management of the management	CYCAMOGOU
respondentestant Harlaka Randoy	BD621137
other parent/party:	

- 5. (X) I request that time for sendos of the Request for Order and accompanying papers be shortened to that there decuments may be served no tase than (assectly number):
 Comp better the time sot for the heading. I need to have this order charactery time because of the laces appointed in term 10 or the charactery declaration.
- 10. [2] PACTO IN SUPPORT OF Orders requested used change of decemberships for any modification are (specific).

 [X] Contained in the exacted decimation. (You may use Asserted Decimation from AIC-031) for this purpose.

The expensed decimation must not expect 10 pages in length calest partitions to his a target decimation has been obtained from the court.)

Declaration of Reed Randcy

Declaration of James Joseph Campbell

Declaration of Elaine Dotts

Memorandum of Points and Authorities

I decision under panelty of persony under the laws of the State of Colliberta that jour foregoing is give and connect

Date: 06/04/2015

Read Randoy

STYPE OR PRINT MAKE

regeres of eparties;



Requests for Accommodations

Assistive distanting systems, computer-assistant mediants controlled single interpretar convers are available if you ask at least fire days before the proceeding. Contact the class's office or go to province to accordance for Response for Apparent by Persons With Disabilities and Response (form MC-410) (Corli Code, § 54.6.)

Place than the state

REQUEST FOR ORDER

Page 4 of



:	

	FL-30
PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF: Reed Randoy	S.ASÉ NUMBER
RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT: Marieke Randoy	BD621137
OTHER PARENT/PARTY:	

			TEMPORARY EMERGENCY COURT ORDERS Attachment to Request for Order (FL-300)	
The c	ourt r	nakes	es the following orders, which are effective immediately and until the hearing:	
1.			ERTY RESTRAINT	
	a.		Petitioner Respondent Claimant is restrained from transferring, encumbering,	
			concealing, or in any way disposing of any property, real or personal, whether community, q	uasi-community, or
			separate, except in the usual course of business or for the necessities of life. The other party is to be notified of any proposed extraordinary expenditures, and an acceptance of the country of the c	counting of such is to
			be made to the court.	Journing of Such 15 to
	b.		Both parties are restrained and enjoined from cashing, borrowing against, canceling, transfe changing the beneficiaries of any insurance or other coverage, including life, health, automotheld for the benefit of the parties or their minor child or children.	
	C.		Neither party may incur any debts or liabilities for which the other may be held responsible, ordinary course of business or for the necessities of life.	other than in the
2	PF		ERTY CONTROL	
	а		Petitioner Respondent is given the exclusive temporary use, possession, and cont property that the parties own or are buying (specify):	rol of the following
	b.		Petitioner Respondent is ordered to make the following payments on liens and encu- while the order is in effect:	mbrances coming due
			Debt Amount of payment Pay to	0
3.	3 ∖ (a.		R CHILDREN Petitioner Respondent will have the temporary physical custody, care, and control the parties subject to the other party's rights of visitation as follows:	of the minor children of
	b		Petitioner Respondent must not remove the minor child or children of the parties	
	_		(1) ✓ from the State of California. (2) ☐ from the following counties (specify): U.S	
			(3) Tother (specify): Los Anyelis (t) who	
	C.		(3) Tother (specify): LOS ANGELS (VUL) Child abduction prevention orders are attached (see form FL-341(B)).	
	d.	(1)	Jurisdiction: This court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Use Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (part 3 of the California Family Code, commencing)	
		(2)	Notice and opportunity to be heard: The responding party was given notice and an opportunity	ity to be heard as
		(2)	provided by the laws of the State of California. Country of habitual residence: The country of habitual residence of the child or children is	
		(3)	the United States of America other (specify):	
		(4)	Penalties for violating this order: If you violate this order, you may be subject to civil o	or criminal penalties
_	_		or both.	\bigcirc
4 Y			R ORDERS (specify): () I mindight Netwo of MINE	
	- /	Add	Iditional orders are listed on Attachment 4. 10 U.S.A. INTO	
Date:	0	2.6	2) (2) NellAss of minoric Phicastered	
			OK WSVIE AD NO PARINCES PARACIO	
5. T I	he da	ite of	of the court hearing is (insert date when known): 6-26-15	ara Hall
			CLERK'S CERTIFICATE	77
		(SEAL)	I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on file	in my office.
			Date: Clerk, by	, Deputy
			TEMPODA DV EMEDOENOV COURT ORDERO	Page 1 of

FL-341(A

PETITIONER/PLAINTIFF Reed Randoy RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT. Marieke Randoy OTHER PARENT/PARTY

CASE NUMBER BD621137

SUPERV Attachment to Child Custody and Visi	/ISED VISITATIO tation (Parenting		ttachment (form F	:L-341)	
 Evidence has been presented in support of a request the with the child(ren) be supervised based upon allegations abduction of child(ren) physical abuse physical abuse domestic violence 		negle		Other Pare	ent/Party
Petitioner Respondent Other Parent these issues pending further investigation and hearing o		these allegation	s and the court res	serves the findin	gs on
 The court finds, under Family Code section 3100, that the Petitioner Respondent Other Parent supervised by the person(s) set forth in item 6 below per 	nt/Party must	t, until further ord	ler of the court, be		ct
THE COURT MAKES THE FOLLOWING ORDERS 3. CHILD(REN) TO BE SUPERVISED				,	
Child's NameBirth DateHUNTER RANDOY4/10/2			<u>Age</u> 3	<u>Sex</u> M	
			•		
4 TYPE a Supervised visitation b. Supervised	ervised exchange	only			
5. SUPERVISED VISITATION PROVIDERa. Professional (individual provider or supervised v	visitation center)	b. [■ Nonprofession	al	
6. AUTHORIZED PROVIDER Name Address				<u>Telephone</u>	
	-	·		-	-
Any other mutually agreed-upon third party as arran	nged.				-
7. DURATION AND FREQUENCY OF VISITS (see form FL	L-341 for specific	s of visitation):			
8. PAYMENT RESPONSIBILITY Petitioner:	% Respond	ent:	% Other Paren	t/Party:	%
9. Petitioner will contact professional provider or super Respondent will contact professional provider or sup Other Parent/party will contact professional provider	pervised visitatior	center no later	than (date):		
10. THE COURT FURTHER ORDERS					
, Determine					
Date:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JUDICIAL OFFICER		Page 1 of 1
Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California FL-341(A) [Rev January 1, 2015] Marun Dean's	SED VISITATIO	N ORDER			e, §§ 3100, 303 courts ca gov

DECLARATION OF REED RANDOY

I, REED RANDOY, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Petitioner in this matter and am over the age of eighteen (18) years. I have firsthand knowledge that the facts stated herein are true, except where I state "based on information and belief," in which case I believe those facts to be true. If called upon as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto pursuant to Sections 2009 and 2015.5 of the California *Code of Civil Procedure*; Rule 5.113 of California *Rules of Court*, *Reifler v. Superior Court*, 39 Cal.App.3d 479 (1974); and *In re Marriage of Stevenot*, 154 Cai.App.3d 105 (1984).
- I am submitting this declaration in support of my Ex Parte Request for Order Regarding Child Custody, Visitation, and Other Orders.

BASIS FOR EX PARTE RELIEF

(hereinafter referred to as "Marieke") has abducted our son, Hunter (age 3) and taken him to Vancouver, British Columbia, without my knowledge or consent. Marieke was personally served with the Summons and Petition on Thursday, May 21, 2015. I will file the Proof of Personal Service of the Summons, Petition and other pleadings as soon as I receive it back from the process server. Marieke did email me and my attorney, Mr. Salick, on Tuesday, May 26, 2015 in which she refers to our divorce, admits she has personal knowledge and understands the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders on the back of the Summons, threatens to file a false police report and press charges against anyone who "is involved with your attempts to take my son from his home" and said that any attempts to "discredit" her to

the authorities will result in Hunter being placed in foster care immediately. A copy of her email is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT "A"** and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 4. Marieke texted me the following a few days ago while in Canada with Hunter:
- i. "You want to force me you [sic] move back to la you will need a court order."
- ii. "Reed I'm not a flight risk -/ coming home to vancouver doesn't mean you can't force me to move back to LA!!"
- iii. "You can get a court order // but you would have to show the judge your plan and explain how that plan is in hunters best interest."
- iv. "We are getting a divorce -/ I will have to comply with whatever the court orders in the end... If the court says I have to move back I will... But you can't force me to leave my home with no notice!!!"
 - v. "I'm not even allowed to move? I didn't need a travel letter!!"
- vi. "You don't have the right to force me to do anything. You need a court order."

A copy of the aforementioned text messages are collectively attached hereto as **EXHIBIT "B"** and incorporated herein by this reference.

5. Marieke has 3-passports (U.S.A., Canada, and Belgium), and has proven to be a flight risk. Marieke admits to abducting our son and going to Vancouver as evidenced in her texts attached as EXHIBIT "B" and seems to taunt me and the Court to make orders to compel her to return Hunter to Los Angeles. She rubbed in my face that she "didn't need a travel letter!!"

1

6. Marieke and Hunter are dual citizens which add to the urgency of this RFO. This RFO and Exhibits demonstrate that Marieke will do what she wants to do including taking our son out of the United States in spite of the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders. Thus I need sole legal and sole physical custody of our son, an order that Marieke turnover all of Hunter's travel documents to me forthwith to prevent her from taking Hunter out of the country, and an order that she only be permitted to have monitored visitation with Hunter. In the alternative I request the Court grant my request for an order shortening time for hearing and service of this RFO.

BACKGROUND

- 7. Marieke and I met online on April 11, 2010. We had a great relationship in the beginning, she was fun and full of energy and beautiful. We talked about getting married and starting a family right away. In fact that was one of the things we both agreed upon first, we weren't even going to be involved with each other if we didn't want a family.
- 8. At the time we met I lived in Venice and she lived in Hollywood. She was spending more and more time with me and was trying to convince me to cut my expenses (my rent was \$2,400.00 per month) and move into my houseboat in Redondo Beach to save money. I eventually agreed mostly due to her enthusiasm about living on a boat. I gave notice at my apartment and we moved in together. I also had 2-dogs that lived with us and the small boat was too cramped for us. A friend of mine had a 3-bedroom townhouse that she was renting out and so I moved us into her place.
- 9. Marieke wanted to start trying to get pregnant since she thought it would take her some time. We agreed that we would start to try and continue to start planning a wedding, so we could be married before any child arrived.

	•	I	
			•
	•		
	'		
•			
•			
		•	
			,
			,
			,

- 10. Marieke's mother, who suffered from severe mental illness, lived in Belgium and was going through some major issues at the time. Marieke traveled over there to try to help her mother by sorting out her finances and making sure the hospital was taking proper care of her. On her first visit, her mother told her that she was pregnant, but Marieke didn't know yet. Once she came home, Marieke miscarried and just thought she just experienced a very bad period. It was only later that we learned she had been pregnant. We kept trying to get pregnant and did for a second time soon thereafter.
- 11. Once we were pregnant, Marieke's 'nesting instinct' really turned on. She wanted me to sell everything I owned (i.e., my automobiles, houseboat, dogs, personal property) and find us a better place to live that was closer to her friends and her sister near Hollywood. She and a friend found home for us.
- 12. Marieke wanted to get rid of my 2-dogs whom I had owned for 6-years because they were pitbull/staff terrier mixes and didn't want them around a tiny baby and risk anything horrible happening. Her and her friend Tracey found them a nice home with a guy who had a large yard. I tried to appease Marieke as much as I could, but I didn't want to sell my truck and my boat which I owned prior to meeting her. I did have other vehicles that I sold. I had a 'dog truck' that I would use when I carted my dogs around and I also had a Mercedes that I gave to Marieke to drive. The Mercedes broke down while she was driving it though, so I paid for it to be fixed. While it was being fixed Marieke started complaining about her not having a car to drive and that she was "stuck in Redondo Beach." I would tell her to get outside and take a walk or go to the beach and relax, and that if she really needed to go somewhere while I was working, she could call a friend or take a bus until the car was fixed.

•				
		,		
			,	
	,			

- 13. As we got further and further along with the pregnancy, she went to get her tests done and the first ultrasound wasn't covered under her insurance. The bill was over \$2,000.00. She told me we had to get married or this pregnancy was going to cost me thousands. I have great insurance and I thought we had planned on getting married anyway, with a proper wedding, etc., so getting the legal marriage done ahead of time would be better for us in the long run. So we went to the Long Beach courthouse and were married by a legal marriage business on the next day I had off.
- 14. From there things got worse. Marieke continued to urge me to move us closer to Hollywood, to sell my things, to find us a smaller place, to sell my boat, to sell my truck, to buy her a car, etc. I gave notice to my friend and we moved closer to my work in a 1-bedroom apartment that was closer to her sister who lived in Beverly Hills and was going to help us with the baby once he was born. We also were planning on having a home birth, so we were trying to find a doula (also known as a birth companion and post-birth supporter) and preparing for that as well.
- 15. Once the time came, Marieke's labor was intense. She was in labor for 36-hours and once her water actually broke, we rushed to the hospital just in case anything was wrong.

 The baby wasn't coming out and the doula wasn't comfortable with how things were progressing. Hunter was born on April 10, 2012 by emergency C-section and was happy and healthy.
- 16. After a few days in the hospital, we came home and Marieke and I began fighting about everything, such as how to care for Hunter, what to do, having no savings, being totally unprepared, having no help, etc. The first day we came home she was yelling at me so much

	•		1		
					,
				•	
					•
					•
	,				
					•
			1		•
			•		
				•	
			[•		
			}		
			1		
					t
		•			
•					
	•		İ		

I closed the refrigerator door on my hand and broke it. We were back at the hospital that morning getting an X-ray on my hand (I had broken 2-fingers).

- 17. Needless to say, I couldn't work as I could not drive a production vehicle so I stayed home to help take care of Hunter and Marieke. I couldn't change diapers though at first and Marieke would yell at me for not helping. After 4-weeks of both of us fumbling through being new parents and listening to Marieke yell at me for not having savings and having to borrow money to pay our bills, I went back to work. I was soon able to repay my parents and pay our bills.
- 18. Marieke continued to complain and verbally abuse me about just about anything she could me working too much, not working enough, not having enough money, having too many things, keeping old junk, etc. It seemed like she was never in a good mood. One day when I was at work she called me frantic and had been in a car accident. She and Hunter were driving the Mercedes and were hit by a drunk driver who tried to flee the scene. The car was totaled out by the insurance company and we had to hire a personal injury attorney to sue the insurance company to pay for Marieke's rehab and to reimburse us for the fair market value of the Mercedes. With the proceeds I bought a Chevrolet Suburban truck from a friend of mine for Maireke to drive. She thought it was a safe vehicle, but complained about the amount of gas it used. Eventually I sold the truck and we bought Marieke a 2002 Toyota Prius which she still has today.
- 19. Marieke's mother had passed away in the mental hospital about a year before and she was dealing with sorting out her mother's estate her mother had about €120,000.00 in an account that she had saved over the 30-years she was in the hospital. However she never divorced from Marieke's father so there is presently a battle between Marieke and the

28

Belgium court system to get their money. The courts want to give it to her estranged father who left her mother 40-years prior with 3-kids and is now living in the Canary Islands off the coast of Africa, homeless and a puppeteer to tourists. All of this family drama added to Marieke's stress and all of which she would take out on me in various ways.

20. About the time Hunter turned 2-years old Marieke decided that she had to move to Canada to pursue acting and take Hunter with her. Because Marieke is a Canadian citizen, her argument was that she had better opportunities to be cast in the smaller roles of the shows that were shooting in Canada. Her friend Lucianna ("Luci") Carro had done that and was currently on the show 'Helix.' She would often help her run lines and Luci would tell Marieke she should go to Vancouver where all these shows were because she was sure that she would be successful. I reluctantly agreed after she-told me that if I didn't support her in doing this, I didn't love her or Hunter and didn't care about my family. Of course I did, so I went along with the 'plan'. We gave up our apartment in Los Angeles so I would be able to save even more money by living on my boat. I found us a nice place in Vancouver with all the amenities so Marieke could go to the gym or spa right in her own building without having to drive anywhere. I would fly up every 3- or 4- weeks to see them after I had worked a bunch of days to make sure I could afford it. Over the year, the fights worsened with Marieke demanding that I send her more money and accusing me of not caring about her or Hunter. In the last few months she refused me sexually and would tell me to get a hooker and that she didn't care who I slept with. The last trip, which was for Hunter's 3rd birthday, Marieke left as soon as I arrived - she literally walked out the door as I came in. Marieke left Hunter in my custody and so I took him to Seattle to visit with my mother and sister as they had planned a big 3rd birthday party for Hunter with all of my family. Marieke was invited but did not come.

	· !	
	1	

EVENTS LEADING UP TO THIS EX PARTE

- 21. Marieke has 3-passports (U.S.A., Canada, and Belgium), and has proven to be a flight risk. Marieke was in Los Angeles from April 25 through May 28, 2015 which is when I later learned she abducted Hunter and fled to Vancouver, which was 1-week after being served with my Summons and Petition. Before I filed for divorce I told Marieke that the whole Canada 'plan' wasn't working because she hadn't had any success booking any acting roles and that I really needed to be in Hunter's life every day. Marieke accused me of trying to control her and exclaimed that I couldn't dictate where and Hunter lived.
- 22. Marieke told me that she was going back to Canada to work as an actress and taking Hunter, and that there wasn't anything I could do about it. Marieke said she wanted a divorce in the next 10-days before she went back to Vancouver, so I filed for divorce and served her on May 21, 2015. When she was served she was ecstatic, literally laughing and jumping up and down. Her attitude changed when I advised her that the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders on the back of the Summons prevented her from taking Hunter out of California. Marieke was furious and threatened me, telling me she was going to divorce me and take all my paycheck, garnish my wages, take my pension and make me sell my boat and truck.
- 23. On Thursday, May 28, 2015, as explained in the attached Declarations of James Campbell and my mother, Elaine Dotts, Marieke took Hunter from my mom and James under the guise that she was taking Hunter to the park. When she finally told me she was back in Vancouver I couldn't believe it. I told her she directly violated the Summons and she again told me that I can't control her and there is nothing that I can do to stop her from what she wants to do with her career (please see EXHIBITS "A" and "B"). Marieke then told me to send

her \$2,300.00 every other week <u>and</u> to pay the rent. She has repeatedly threatened to report me to the IRS, file a restraining order against me and my friends, and call my work and family to tell them I was neglecting my family and to give her money.

- 24. I am requesting sole custody of our son, Hunter, because Marieke is unpredictable and has demonstrated she will do what she wants when she wants regardless of what the Summons says. She abducted Hunter and took him to Vancouver in violation of the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders. She has prevented me from seeing him and even talking to him on the phone.
- 25. I have been with Hunter just about every day since the time he was born until Marieke decided to be an actress and move to Vancouver. I wouldn't see him every day since my work schedule is so challenging, but I would spend quality time with him during my days off. Even when he was in Canada I tried to FaceTime or Skype him every day to say goodnight and tell him that I love him. Sometimes when Marieke and I were fighting, she wouldn't allow me to call Hunter or when I did, she would set the iPad in front of him with the TV on so he would be distracted.
- 26. Marieke seems to be mentally unstable. She has been treated for bi-polar disorder and depression, and I think she may be taking Wellbutrin but I don't know if she's being supervised by a physician. As mentioned above her mother died in a mental hospital and her sister has serious issues. That being said, Marieke seems to be a good mother to Hunter but I have no idea what she does when I'm not there. I do know that often he is still awake at 11:00 p.m. when she's texting me and I have to get up early to go to work. He loves to watch cartoons what kid doesn't? but I think she just may prop him in front of the TV and let it go while she goes on her hundreds of text tirades to me in the middle of the night and all

day. Marieke said she wants to homeschool Hunter but she doesn't have any training or credentials to do so. I do not believe it is in Hunter's best interest to be stuck in the house all day with his mother who may suffer from bi-polar disorder and depression.

- 27. Marieke has threated that she will replace me with another man <u>and that Hunter</u> will never know who I am.
- 28. I would like full custody of Hunter so I can raise him in a happy, healthy and stable environment. My family has offered to help me in any transitional situation. I have a new 2-bedroom apartment lined up and I have many friends and family members who have offered help with Hunter while I work to take him to and from daycare when I am at work. On my days off we will continue to do amazing father/son activities like boating, camping, beach, sports, music and just being together. I have missed our bonding time while Marieke was in Canada trying to find acting jobs. Now that we are divorcing Marieke needs to focus on securing dependable employment which will Hunter to see his mother whenever she likes but with supervision for the time being until she settles down. Neither Hunter nor I need another situation like this one happening again. Marieke has 3-passports (U.S.A., Canada, and Belgium), and has proven to be a flight risk.

CONCLUSION

Based on my pleadings I respectfully request this Court grant my requested relief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 4th, 2015 in Los Angeles, California.

Please see signature on FL-300 REED RANDOY, Petitioner

DECLARATION OF JAMES JOSEPH CAMPBELL

- I, JAMES JOSEPH CAMPBELL, declare as follows:
- 1. I am friends with Reed Randoy and through our friendship I know his wife, Marieke Randoy. I am the not a party to this action and am over the age of eighteen (18) years. I have firsthand knowledge that the facts stated herein are true, except where I state "based on information and belief," in which case I believe those facts to be true. If called upon as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto pursuant to Sections 2009 and 2015.5 of the California *Code of Civil Procedure*; Rule 5.113 of California *Rules of Court*; *Reifler v. Superior Court*, 39 Cal.App.3d 479 (1974); and *In re Marriage of Stevenot*, 154 Cai.App.3d 105 (1984).
- I am submitting this declaration in support of Reed Randoy's Ex Parte
 Application.
- 3. On Thursday, May 28, 2015 I was at my home with Reed's mother, Elaine Dotts, and Reed's son, Hunter. Reed's mother was in our guesthouse playing with Hunter in the living room. Reed was not present at my house at any time referenced in this Declaration.
- 4. There was a knock at the door. I went to answer it and it was Reed's wife, Marieke Randoy. I was a little surprised to see her at my home and she stated she just wanted to see Hunter for a little bit and she reiterated to me: "I just want to see Hunter." I responded that it was not a problem and I told her to wait outside and I went upstairs to the guesthouse and told Hunter that his mom was there to see him. I picked up Hunter and carried him downstairs into the backyard where I let him hang out with Marieke. I offered

Marieke something to drink (tea) which she accepted, and then I got something to drink for Hunter as well.

- 5. As soon as she came into the backyard she picked up Hunter and gave him a hug and a kiss. A few minutes later she asked me where Hunter got his haircut. I told her that I was not sure who cut Hunter's hair but she immediately snapped back that: "It was the bitch upstairs!" Marieke was referring to Reed's mother, Elaine Dotts. I immediately told Marieke that I was not going to put up with any sort of behavior like that at my house and that she was there for one reason to see Hunter and that she should play with Hunter. It should be noted that Marieke called Reed's mother a "bitch" in front of Hunter as he was standing right next to us playing in the courtyard.
- 6. Marieke then started talking about how Reed needs to let her go back to Canada and that Canada was her home and that if she did not get her way she was going to destroy Reed because she has nothing to lose. I then told her that they were getting a divorce and that she should just make the best of it and do what is best for Hunter. Despite my comment to her, Marieke kept badmouthing Reed and Reed's mother so I told her that if she did not stop she would have to leave, and that she should just focus on playing with Hunter. She finally stopped badmouthing Reed and his mother, and went into the backyard with Hunter and they started playing.

///

ااا ا

5 | 111

6 || ///

7 || .

| | | ///

7.

After about 45-minutes I told Marieke that I had things to do and that she could

park down the street. She stated that she did not have a car at to take him in her car. I asked her why she nied to drive to the park which was only few blocks away. Marieke insisted on driving Hunter to the park instead of walking. I gave Hunter's car seat to Marieke and Marieke loaded Hunter into her car. I asked Marieke if I would see her in a little while and she responded: "Yes." Marieke never returned to my house with Hunter.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 4, 2015 in Cos Angeles, California.

JAMES JOSEPH CAMPBELL, Declarant

In re Marriage of Randoy

LASC Case No

BD621137 Declaration of James Joseph Campbell

DECLARATION OF ELAINE DOTTS

- I, ELAINE DOTTS, declare as follows:
- 1. I am Reed Randoy's mother. I am the not a party to this action and am over the age of eighteen (18) years. I have firsthand knowledge that the facts stated herein are true, except where I state "based on information and belief," in which case I believe those facts to be true. If called upon as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto pursuant to Sections 2009 and 2015.5 of the California *Code of Civil Procedure*; Rule 5.113 of California *Rules of Court*; *Reifler v. Superior Court*, 39 Cal.App.3d 479 (1974); and *In re Marriage of Stevenot*, 154 Cai.App.3d 105 (1984).
- I am submitting this declaration in support of Reed Randoy's Ex Parte
 Application.
- 3. On Tuesday, May 26th I was golfing in Mesa, Arizona with friends when Reed called and asked if I could come to LAX to babysit Hunter for 2-days so he could work. Reed told me that he had asked Marieke to babysit Hunter but she refused, so he needed my help. I purchased a ticket from PHX to LAX and from LAX to TUS, where my husband, Wayne, would pick me up for the drive back to Roswell. We were staying in a timeshare from Friday, May 22nd to Friday, May 29th.
- 4. On May 26th Reed and Hunter picked me up from LAX at approximately 10:45 p.m.
- 5. The following morning on May 27th Reed left the house around 6:30 a.m. Hunter was still asleep. When Hunter woke up at about 8:30 a.m. he opened the door and saw me. He was surprised and said, "I want my daddy." I said, "So do I! Let's have breakfast." He was happy. He ate and then he played with his Tommy trains and watched

Curious George on Netflix for a little while. I changed his diaper and got him dressed and we walked to Washington Blvd. to have a little lunch. I also got him a haircut. I took him to get his hair cut because when he was playing with his Tommy trains I noticed that he had to keep his head at a bent angle in order to keep his hair out of his eyes. I had asked him if he would like a haircut, and he said yes.

- 6. When we passed a barbershop on our walk, there were photos in the window of various men's haircuts. I asked Hunter which one he would like for himself. He pointed to the one he liked. Then we found another shop that wasn't busy, and the very nice stylist cut his hair. Then we walked home. That evening Hunter and I shared dinner with Jim (James Joseph Campbell) and Anne and Oscar (the landlords of the above-the-garage place where we were staying). Around 8:00 p.m. I put Hunter to bed.
- 7. That day I started to receive numerous text messages from Marieke. I will try to take screenshots of her texts to me on my phone but in the meantime below are some of the messages she texted me recently:

You are such a horrible person I hate you and I always will
You are an evil bitch

You disgust me. You are a horrible mother and a horrible grandmother and horrible wife. Just horrible person. And I will see to it that you don't get away with keeping me from my son.

You have no right to do what you are doing. You are a pig. A selfish pig.

And you will not get away with this. And I will never forgive you For as long as you live.

You don't deserve me or Hunter in your life. You have shown your true colors today. The real you. The evil selfish bitch I always knew you were. You are not happy, so you don't want anyone else to be. And you are a cancer in my life. Toxic, sick, twisted and completely selfish. I will never let you near me or my son again because you are a sociopath and you have absolutely no respect for me as a mother, and human being, no respect for my rights or the law. You are too arrogant and selfish and cruel.

And a bold faced LIAR.

And you raised your son to be exactly like you.

Therefore you will be rooted out of my life for good.

But first I will let karma bite you in the ass. And I will just let you be you.

Go ahead - interfere.

Spend all your money on your grown son.

Enable him completely.

Pay for his apartment, his furniture, his taxes, his attorney, my attorney,

Yes.. You wanna get involved? Help him destroy his family?

Of course, afterall that's why you are here. And then when Reed has used

you completely and you just can't take it anymore... You can go home.

Rather than make a judgement on them, I will copy and mail them to you.

Suffice to say, they were threatening!

27

- 8. Thursday morning was about the same as Wednesday morning. When Hunter and I came back from our walk to lunch and the hair stylist to pay her cash, I put Hunter down for a nap on the couch with Curious George playing very quietly in the background. He was drifting off to sleep when Jim came upstairs to get him off the couch and carry him downstairs so Marieke could "see" him. I set his pants, shoes and a new diaper out on the porch so Marieke could get him dressed if she wanted. (Jim came up for the clothes at Marieke's request.) I stayed upstairs.
- 9. I called Reed and he told me that Jim would have to leave for work shortly so I went downstairs and sat on the bottom steps. I saw Jim carry a car seat out of his house. I asked him why and he responded that Marieke wanted to take Hunter to the park, and he exited the patio to put the car seat in a car she was driving. I asked Marieke why she needed a car seat to drive to the park as it was close enough to walk to. She did not acknowledge the question nor did she even look my way.
- 10. When Jim came back into the patio, she asked him to go up to the apartment to get Hunter's diaper bag. I said, "I can get it for you." I went upstairs and checked the bag to make sure it had an extra diaper and some snacks in it and brought it down to Marieke. When I handed it to her, I asked her when she was going to bring Hunter back, and she responded: "In a little while." She looked inside the diaper bag and said: "Oh look, Hunter, there is only one diaper in here. We'll have to go get more diapers." I told her that there were more diapers upstairs. She asked: "Could you get them for me?" I replied: "Well, if you are only taking Hunter to the park and plan to bring him back in a little while, you really don't need a lot of diapers, do you?" She looked surprised and said: "No." Marieke then took Hunter and left.

11. Even though Marieke did not take any extra clothes, food or diapers, I had a feeling that she was not planning to bring Hunter back that evening. Sure enough, she never returned with Hunter. I flew home the next morning as planned.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 4, 2015.

<u>Please see attached electronic signature</u> ELAINE DOTTS, Declarant were more diapers upstairs. She asked: "Could you get them for me?" I replied: "Well, if you are only taking Hunter to the park and plan to bring him back in a little while, you really don't need a lot of diapers, do you?" She looked surprised and said: "No." Marieke then took Hunter and left.

11. Even though Marieke did not take any extra clothes, food or diapers, I had a feeling that she was not planning to bring Hunter back that evening. Sure enough, she never returned with Hunter. I flew home the next morning as planned.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on June 4, 2015.

ELAINE DOTTS, Declarant

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1.

INTRODUCTION

Petitioner is filing this Ex Parte RFO because Respondent/mother intentionally and willfully violated the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders on the back of the Summons by abducting the parties' 3-year old son, Hunter, on May 28, 2015 and fleeing to Vancouver, B.C. What makes Respondent's conduct so offensive is that she had been personally served with the Summons on May 21, 2015 1-week before she abducted Hunter. Notwithstanding knowledge of the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders, Respondent schemed to abduct the parties' 3-year old son, Hunter, and flee to Vancouver, B.C., which is where they are today. The attached pleadings demonstrate that Respondent knew she was not allowed to travel to Canada but did it anyways.

Ex parte relief is warranted so Petitioner can facilitate the return of Hunter to Los Angeles and to let Respondent know that Court orders must be followed, particularly when their intended effect is to safeguard a minor child's health, safety and well-being.

H.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. <u>Irreparable Harm Will Occur If Petitioner's Ex Parte Relief is Not Granted.</u>

In an ex parte application, the applicant must make an affirmative factual showing in a declaration containing competent testimony based on personal knowledge of irreparable harm, immediate danger, or any other statutory basis for granting relief ex parte. *California Rules of Court*, Rules 3.1202(c) and 5.151; LASC Local Rule 5.3.

Here, exigent circumstances exist to grant ex parte relief because Respondent/mother intentionally and with premeditation picked up Hunter from his father's

friend's house while Petitioner was at work on the representation that she wanted to take the parties' 3-year old son, Hunter, to the park (please see attached Declarations of James J. Campbell, and Elaine Dotts). Respondent never returned Hunter! Instead, she abducted Hunter and fled to Canada in spite of having been served with the Summons on May 21, 2015 and with full knowledge of the meaning of the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders. Petitioner has already been, and will continue to be, irreparably harmed due to Respondent's abduction of Hunter to Vancouver, B.C. Thus, Petitioner needs ex parte relief.

B. Immediate Risk of Move-Away Exists.

Family Code Section 3064(a) states:

The court shall refrain from making an order granting or modifying a custody order on an ex parte basis <u>unless there has been a showing of immediate</u> harm to the child or <u>immediate risk that the child will be removed from the State of California</u>. (Emphasis added)

Here, Respondent/mother has already abducted the parties' 3-year old son, Hunter, from the state of California. The supporting declarations and Exhibits show that Respondent/mother violated the Standard Family Law Restraining Orders willfully and with full knowledge of the prohibition against either party from taking the minor child out of the state of California absent written permission or Court order. Respondent's own texts demonstrate her intention to remain in Vancouver, B.C. with the minor child unless this Court issues an order.

Respondent has no intention of returning Hunter to Los Angeles voluntarily, which is evidenced in her attached text messages (please see EXHIBITS "A" and "B"). The following are excerpts from these EXHIBITS:

- 1. "You want to force me you [sic] move back to la you will need a court order."
- 2. "Reed I'm not a flight risk -/ coming home to vancouver doesn't mean you can't force me to move back to LA!!"
- 3. "You can get a court order // but you would have to show the judge your plan and explain how that plan is in hunters best interest."

- 4. "We are getting a divorce -/ I will have to comply with whatever the court orders in the end... If the court says I have to move back I will... But you can't force me to leave my home with no notice!!!"
- 5. "I'm not even allowed to move? I didn't need a travel letter!!"
- 6. "You don't have the right to force me to do anything. You need a court order."

Respondent seems to take pleasure in rubbing her abduction in Petitioner's face with comments like "I'm not even allowed to move? I didn't need a travel letter!!" Respondent obviously knew she was doing something wrong and illegal – she gloats about not needing a travel letter! This type of conduct is not in Hunter's best interest, and denying Petitioner's ex parte relief will surely empower Respondent to continue with her offensive and illegal conduct.

C. <u>It Is In Hunter's Best Interest for Respondent/Mother to Have Monitored or Supervised Visitation.</u>

Family Code Sections 3020 and 3100 state in pertinent part:

- 3020. (a) The Legislature finds and declares that it is the public policy of this state to assure that the health, safety, and welfare of children shall be the court's primary concern in determining the best interest of children when making any orders regarding the physical or legal custody or visitation of children. The Legislature further finds and declares that the perpetration of child abuse or domestic violence in a household where a child resides is detrimental to the child. (Emphasis added.)
- (b) The Legislature finds and declares that it is the public policy of this state to assure that children have frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents have separated or dissolved their marriage, or ended their relationship, and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities of child rearing in order to effect this policy, except where the contact would not be in the best interest of the child, as provided in Section 3011. (Emphasis added.)
- (c) Where the policies set forth in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section are in conflict, any court's order regarding physical or legal custody or visitation shall be made in a manner that ensures the health, safety, and welfare of the child and the safety of all family members.
- 3100. (a) In making an order pursuant to Chapter 4 (commencing with Section 3080), the court shall grant reasonable visitation rights to a parent **unless it is**

28

shown that the visitation would be detrimental to the best interest of the **child**. In the discretion of the court, reasonable visitation rights may be granted to any other person having an interest in the welfare of the child. (Emphasis added.)

Respondent has already demonstrated that she does not intend on nor want to ensure frequent and continuing contact between Hunter and his father/Petitioner. Respondent defied Hunter's best interest by unilaterally fleeing California and moving Hunter to Vancouver while explicitly taunting Petitioner that she has no intention of moving back UNLESS this Court makes such an order.

What is telling about Respondent's conduct is that <u>nowhere in her text messages</u> does she explain how her actions are in Hunter's best interest. Respondent is thinking about what is best for her, not Hunter, and certainly not Petitioner. Once served with the Summons Respondent was prohibited from taking Hunter out of California absent written permission or Court order; Respondent lacked both.

Family Code Section 3048 authorizes this Court to make specific orders when evidence exists of a risk of abduction. Section 3048 states in pertinent part:

- (b) (1) In cases in which the court becomes aware of facts which may indicate that there is a risk of abduction of a child, the court shall, either on its own motion or at the request of a party, determine whether measures are needed to prevent the abduction of the child by one parent. To make that determination, the court shall consider the risk of abduction of the child, obstacles to location, recovery, and return if the child is abducted, and potential harm to the child if he or she is abducted. To determine whether there is a risk of abduction, the court shall consider the following factors:
- (A) Whether a party has previously taken, enticed away, kept, withheld, or concealed a child in violation of the right of custody or of visitation of a person.
- (B) Whether a party has previously threatened to take, entice away, keep, withhold, or conceal a child in violation of the right of custody or of visitation of a person.
 - (C) Whether a party lacks strong ties to this state.
- (D) Whether a party has strong familial, emotional, or cultural ties to another state or country, including foreign citizenship. This factor shall be considered only if evidence exists in support of another factor specified in this section.
- (E) Whether a party has no financial reason to stay in this state, including whether the party is unemployed, is able to work anywhere, or is financially independent.

25

- (F) Whether a party has engaged in planning activities that would facilitate the removal of a child from the state, including quitting a job, selling his or her primary residence, terminating a lease, closing a bank account, liquidating other assets, hiding or destroying documents, applying for a passport, applying to obtain a birth certificate or school or medical records, or purchasing airplane or other travel tickets, with consideration given to whether a party is carrying out a safety plan to flee from domestic violence.
- (G) Whether a party has a history of a lack of parental cooperation or child abuse, or there is substantiated evidence that a party has perpetrated domestic violence.
 - (H) Whether a party has a criminal record.
- (2) If the court makes a finding that there is a need for preventative measures after considering the factors listed in paragraph (1), the court shall consider taking one or more of the following measures to prevent the abduction of the child:
 - (A) Ordering supervised visitation.
- (B) Requiring a parent to post a bond in an amount sufficient to serve as a financial deterrent to abduction, the proceeds of which may be used to offset the cost of recovery of the child in the event there is an abduction.
- (C) Restricting the right of the custodial or noncustodial parent to remove the child from the county, the state, or the country.
- (D) Restricting the right of the custodial parent to relocate with the child, unless the custodial parent provides advance notice to, and obtains the written agreement of, the noncustodial parent, or obtains the approval of the court, before relocating with the child.
 - (E) Requiring the surrender of passports and other travel documents.
- (F) Prohibiting a parent from applying for a new or replacement passport for the child.
- (G) Requiring a parent to notify a relevant foreign consulate or embassy of passport restrictions and to provide the court with proof of that notification.
- (H) Requiring a party to register a California order in another state as a prerequisite to allowing a child to travel to that state for visits, or to obtain an order from another country containing terms identical to the custody and visitation order issued in the United States (recognizing that these orders may be modified or enforced pursuant to the laws of the other country), as a prerequisite to allowing a child to travel to that county for visits.
- (I) Obtaining assurances that a party will return from foreign visits by requiring the traveling parent to provide the court or the other parent or guardian with any of the following:
 - (i) The travel itinerary of the child.
 - (ii) Copies of round trip airline tickets.
- (iii) A list of addresses and telephone numbers where the child can be reached at all times.
- (iv) An open airline ticket for the left-behind parent in case the child is not returned.

(J) Including provisions in the custody order to facilitate use of the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act (Part 3 (commencing with Section 3400)) and the Hague Convention on the Civil Aspects of International Child Abduction (implemented pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Sec. 11601 et seq.), such as identifying California as the home state of the child or otherwise defining the basis for the California court's exercise of jurisdiction under Part 3 (commencing with Section 3400), identifying the United States as the country of habitual residence of the child pursuant to the Hague Convention, defining custody rights pursuant to the Hague Convention, obtaining the express agreement of the parents that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child, or that California or the United States is the most appropriate forum for addressing custody and visitation orders.

(K) Authorizing the assistance of law enforcement.

- (3) If the court imposes any or all of the conditions listed in paragraph (2), those conditions shall be specifically noted on the minute order of the court proceedings.
- (4) If the court determines there is a risk of abduction that is sufficient to warrant the application of one or more of the prevention measures authorized by this section, the court shall inform the parties of the telephone number and address of the Child Abduction Unit in the office of the district attorney in the county where the custody or visitation order is being entered.
- (c) The Judicial Council shall make the changes to its child custody order forms that are necessary for the implementation of subdivision (b). This subdivision shall become operative on July 1, 2003.
- (d) Nothing in this section affects the applicability of Section 278.7 of the Penal Code.

There are too many portions of *Family Code* Section 3048 to underline/emphasize because in this case Respondent's conduct hits almost every factor under (b)(1) above. To wit:

- Respondent has already taken Hunter to Canada and threatens to keep him there.
 Respondent has THREE passports U.S., Canada, and Belgium which increases her chances of fleeing the continent with Hunter.
- 2. Respondent is in possession of Hunter's U.S. and Canada passports so Respondent could travel anywhere with him absent the granting of Petitioner's ex parte relief.
- 3. Respondent lacks strong ties to California as evidenced by the attached EXHIBITS "A" and "B" in which she represents that Vancouver is her home, not California.

26

27

28

Respondent schemed to take Hunter out of California as evidenced in the Declarations of James J. Campbell and Elaine Dotts attached hereto.

Petitioner respectfully requests and urges this Court to make preventative orders prohibiting Respondent from taking Hunter out of California again, including but not limited to:

- 1. An order for supervised visitation for Respondent.
- 2. An order for the immediate turnover of all of Hunter's travel documents such as passports and identification cards.
- 3. An order prohibiting Respondent from applying for a new or replacement passport for Hunter.
- 4. An order requiring Respondent to post bond.
- 5. An order requiring Respondent to notify the relevant embassies and consulates of any restrictions.

Monitored/Supervised visitation is the only way to ensure that Respondent does not pull this stunt again. Respondent must be held accountable for her actions.

Petitioner is the parent who is more likely to ensure frequent and continuing contact of Hunter with Respondent. Respondent seems to lack any concern about how her conduct affects their impressionable 3-year old son.

III.

CONCLUSION

Based on these Points and Authorities and the attached Declaration, Petitioner requests that this Court grant the requested ex parte relief.

Respectfully submitted.

DATED: June 5, 2015

SALICK FAMILY LAW GROUP, APLC

Rv

NICHOLAS A. SALICK, ESQ.,

Attorney for Petitioner, REED RANDOY

_

Nicholas Salick

From:

Marieke Randoy <mariekevrandoy@icloud.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, May 26, 2015 3:46 AM Nicholas Salick; Reed Randoy

To: Subject:

Fwd: Child protective services

Hi Nick,

Please advise my soon to be ex husband so that he does not destroy his family.

Reed this will unfortunately cost you money because it takes time to read this.. But I think it's important that your attorney give you some good advice right now. And he needs a little more information from my side to help you. You need to be honest about the things you have been threatening me with the last 5 days. I do not want to call on my friends and borrow money to pay for a fancy attorney who will then petition the court to have you pay for my legal bills as part of a divorce settlement.

Please see someone about your anger before you continue. You will only destroy our family if you don't figure out some better tools to communicate and manage your anger.

We need to divorce amicably. That cannot happen if you do nothing but threaten, intimidate, harass, and try to coerce me into submission by puring me out on the street and taking our son.

Just like you cannot make any new crazy purchases, close accounts, hide money, withdraw large sums of money, you cannot STOP supporting us the way you have for the past 4 years.

You can take up your complaints with a judge but you cannot prevent me from being able to pay my rent and bills.

It says so right in the restraining order that you served me -- we both have the same obligations.

What you are doing is the equivalent of closing bank accounts and freezing assets and putting a lock on our front door, and wiping out our accounts.

Make no mistake Reed, what you are doing is against the law. I think you need to sit down with your attorney and have him advise you about how you are to conduct yourself so that this divorce doesn't get nasty and our son doesn't end up hurt.

Hunter and I live in Vancouver and you do not have my permission to take him from our home, and keep him outside of BC Canada.

Hunter is a dual citizen and we both agreed about moving to Canada. You have paid the rent for a year up there directly to our landlord. You have given me 5k a month for all my expenses up there including rent. Our standard of living is exactly the same as it was in LA.

You chose to buy a new boat and car and to spend 20k in 4 months just on boats and cars (3 of each now) and not visit your son last summer.

I have all the bank records I know where every penny went in the last 3 years. I know that you are fudging the paperwork to get away with not paying the right amount of taxes.

Your LLC won't protect you and it won't enable you to get away with not paying child support etc.

I don't need to subpoena your bank records. I have them. I can show a judge exactly where your money actually went.

I'm not asking for anything more than what you have been providing so that I can stay home and take care of our son. Paying a sitter 16-24 dollars an hour while I go to work somewhere makes no logical sense when you earn 750 a day, take home -- \$11250 a month and only from working 15 days a month!

In addition to the 100 percent responsibility for our son 24/7/365 since he was born and for the next 18 years, I am following through with the plan we both agreed to do so that I can build by acting career and become completely financially self supporting. Vancouver is the place for me to do that. There are only 10 casting directors up there and tons of series and TV shows. I need to book a bunch of small roles to bolster my resume and so I can return to California and put myself in tape for auditions in Vancouver once the casting directors know who I am and I've booked with them. I already booked a job in January.

I am obligated as your soon to be ex wife to do everything I can to ensure that I can support myself as soon as possible. I cannot raise Hunter and work a regular job while paying a sitter 16-24 an hour. The only work that makes sense is the work I am trained for which is acting. That is the work that will also pay me the kind of money that you are currently making per day you work. And that's just for starters. My profession has a union and a health plan and once I've earned a certain amount per year I will be able to get health benefits for myself and Hunter -- much like the ones you have as a Teamster.

This past year in Vancouver has been extremely stressful with all the arguments and threats that always lead to you threatening to take away Hunter and put me out on the street. The stress has taken a huge toll on me emotionally and had affected my health.

The never ending threats and controlling me by not allowing me access to our finances so I can pay our bills on time has made it nearly impossible to focus on my career. Being broke constantly -- having you close our joint account and destroy my credit by allowing all my medical bills to go to collections.. By you controlling my cash flow and literally making me beg for every dollar you transfer into my account.. I've come to my wits end.

I don't want to have to bounce one more check, pay One more late fee and INSUFFICIENT Funds fee.. And have my card declined at the grocery store --

A family of 3 that has a household income of 135k cash take home, should not have these financial problems. We should not be living from pay check to pay check and be three years behind paying taxes.. and have every bill go to collections..

I have tried everything to set you straight financially but you refuse to change anything about the way you manage the finances.

In the next few days I will be writing up agreements that I would like you to sign and look over with your attorney if you wish.. Once they have received their retainer since they won't do anything without the assurance that they will get paid.

One of the agreements has to do with visiting Hunter once a month and scheduling your work around that time with him..

Another will be about rules for hunters safety, like no drinking alcohol when you are caring for him. EVER. Doesn't matter is your buddy Jim is driving. You do not drink. Nor do you smoke while you are caring for him.. At all. And no pocket knives, and no junk in the truck that would kill our son if you should have to slam on the brakes for any reason..

He must be bathed daily and you must wash his and your hands throughout the day and use sanitizer and obviously you must wash and sanitize your hands after diaper changes to avoid the ROTAVIRUS infections our son has been plagued with while in your care.

If you decide to go forward with these attorneys I will be calling one myself and this will get hella expensive. Cost you far more in the end than what you are fighting me over right now.

Let me know how you wish to proceed. I want this to be as inexpensive and amicable as possible and for us to have a relationship where we can remain cooperative and respectful so we can raise Hunter without him having to endure any more stress and unhappiness.

Marieke

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marieke Randoy < mariekevrandoy@icloud.com >

Date: May 26, 2015 at 2:15:59 AM PDT

To: Reed Randoy < reedrandoy@me.com >, Reed Randoy < reedrandoy@yahoo.com >

Subject: Child protective services

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Marieke Randoy < mariekevrandoy@icloud.com>

Date: May 26, 2015 at 2:08:39 AM PDT

To: "reed@cowboypictures.biz" < reed@cowboypictures.biz>

Subject: Re: 30 day Notice

So you have an attorney cc:d on this letter?

You have an attorney.

Good to know. You didn't want to involve attorneys.

Hmmm.

Is this your way of telling me I need to lawyer up?

You are using an attorney to intimidate me and our landlord. You are trying to put me out on the street.

I will bring this to the attention of a judge if necessary. Don't make it necessary.

Who works for this law firm? Who is your attorney?

If this person is a friend of yours and not an actual attorney you are paying, I will be sure to include them in my complaint against you for harassment and child abduction if necessary.

If anyone is involved with your attempts to take my son from his home, and allows you to use their name or if they do anything else to assist you in any attempt to take Hunter away from me, and harass me I will be sure to include them as accessories in the police report and should I be put in a position where I must press charges.

If you try to enlist the help of anyone in your plan and you at any time fail to disclose where Hunter is, I will go to the police and that person or people will be charged.

I'm giving you fair warning Reed. Show this email to whoever is trying to help you take Hunter away from me and take him from his home.

Right now you have broken so many laws. I could have you arrested, however, since you are completely irrational and you don't have the tools necessary to communicate properly, I'm trying to tread carefully and approach you and this whole situation with empathy.

I know that the only emotion you have when faced with something that doesn't go your way, is RAGE.

And you cannot think clearly when you are enraged. You make bad choices and bad decisions that will only make an already bad situation so much worse.

Reed. If we do not start communicating properly we risk creating a situation where you will fly into a rage and things will escalate to the point where authorities get involved.

If that were to happen you would create a situation where the police would decide that things are too volatile and unsafe for Hunter and they would bring in child protective services and put our son in foster care.

The person you are getting advice from doesn't know anything about your temper and what you are capable of saying and doing when you are in a blind rage.

Any attempts to discredit me to the authorities in order to "win".. Will put Hunter in foster care. Immediately.

You are unstable - the things you say are inflammatory and since Hunter is just a tiny child they won't take any chances.

We will have to go to court to get him back.

Reed. Right now I am afraid of you. I'm afraid of what you are trying to do to me and Hunter. I'm afraid for my safety. I do not feel safe alone with you. I haven't for a while now, but now that you have lied about not having an attorney, and spent the last 5 days intimidating me, threatening me, and now with this letter to Daniel, I cannot trust your word.

Only your actions can inform me whether or not we can work this out amicably.

I'm trying to remain focused on the big picture and on the positive end result I know we both want.

Please take some time today to think about this.

Go to a psychiatrist who deals with rage. Please. Before things get completely out of control and Hunter lands in foster care.

I would never forgive you if you made that happen.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 25, 2015, at 8:33 AM, Reed Randoy < reedrandoy@yahoo.com > wrote:

Daniel,

I'm sure you are aware that I am the one paying the lease and I am the one on the lease. Marie lived there with me and I traveled back and forth to la to work. So she can't resign a lease for she signed my name! You have legal notice required, however, and will be able on show the profitime.

If Marieke chooses to stay in Canada and not move back to LA with her son, she'll be paying That's something you are welcome to take up with her.

Thank you.

Reed

From: Chan, Daniel < <u>DChan@wm.com</u>>;

To: Reed Randoy < reedrandoy@yahoo.com >;

Cc: Nicholas "Nick" Salick < nas@gillespiesalick.com>;

Subject: RE: 30 day Notice

Sent: Mon, May 25, 2015 3:23:59 PM

Reed.

I just spoken with Marika a few weeks ago and she signed another year lease so I am confused by the need to speak with her either way because if she is moving out end of June, then I need to arrange with show potential tenants the condo to rent out.

Messages (5) Marieke

Details

Monday 3:41 PM

Please pay the rent today. Until you have a suitable living situation you can't expect me and Hunter to be in limbo.
Our car is here, our apartment, our nice warm beds, our clothes and hunters toys.. You can't expect me to stay in a women's shelter and wait until a court date..
What about our belongings?
What about our apartment?

Reed the fact you tried to put me out on the street so I would have to stay in a shelter to be with my son...

What were you thinking? Were you thinking about hunters best interest? A homeless shelter with his



●●●○○T-Mobile LTE 10:19 AM ✓ 100% ■■ Personal Hotspot: 1 Connection

Details

C'mon. There is no court in the world that would agree with your logic.
You want to force me you move back to la you will need a court order.

And in Order to get one you will need to figure out those numbers -- 50/50 custody with the round the clock nannies taking 65 percent of your income on the weeks you have him? Just so you see him two weekends a month - 5 days total?

Plus alimony and child support for me.

Two apartments a three bedroom and a two bedroom // you need a bedroom for a night nanny.

●●●○○ T-Mobile LTE 10:19 AM ✓ 100% 🔤 Personal Hotspot: 1 Connection

Messages (5) Marieke

Details

Reed run those numbers for me..
Give me your plan for 50/50 custody in LA.

l assumed you had frends

You need to have this plan for the judge..
And ideally our lawyers will help us come up with a solution we agree upon before we go to court.
You will need to come up with this plan.

I have a plan, but it's the opposite of yours. So somehow we have to take your plan and mine and figure out a compromise.

Anchier sines inings called:

✓ Messages (5) Marieke

Details

You expect me to couch surf or live in hotels?

Reed I'm not a flight risk -/
coming home to vancouver
doesn't mean you can't force
me to move back to LA!!

Well if you were to agree I could have gotten you an apartment ico

You can get a court order //
but you would have to show
the judge your plan and
explain how that plan is in
hunters best interest.

Reed explain your numbers and the La apartment // explain your plan-/
This move wouldn't have to happen overnight.

●●●◎T-Mobile_LTE 10:19 AM Personal Hotspot: 1 Connection

100%

✓ Messages (5) Marieke

Details

And you forged a travel letter

We are getting a divorce -/ I will have to comply with whatever the court orders in the end.

If the court says I have to move back I will.

But you can't force me to leave my home with no notice!!!

But you can't force me to leave my home with no notice!!!

I'm not even allowed to move?

I didn't need a travel letter!!

The canadian government knows that hunter and I reside in Canada and that we are dual citizens.

Messages (5) Marieke

Details

I was in LA for 5 weeks couch surfing. You think my friends should let me stay for months? My friends aren't responsible for a Hunter and I. And asking them to put us up would only be enabling you to take the law into your own hands.

You don't have the right to force me to do anything.

You need a court order. And in order to get a court order you will need to present a very good argument and show the court your new home, hunters new room, bring in the three nannies you have hired.

You are trying to turn Hunters life completely upside down-/ so you better be able to show the court that you have it all

Messages (5) Marieke

Details

so you better be able to show the court that you have it all figured out and that it's in Hunters best interest.

Today 9:56 AM

So how am I supposed to fly to California with hunter when you have closed our joint account?

How am I supposed to show up with 24 hours notice with no money?

Again. Abusive. Extreme. You have no home for hunter. You have never cared for him. You are just doing this to intimidate and harass me.

