January 7, 2006

Judge Wayne Cagle Housing Court Municipal Court 1101 Locust Kansas City, Mo. 64106

Dear Judge Cagle:

I was in your courtroom yesterday, January 6, 2006 waiting for Michael Johnson's case to be called. It was a strange day as the appointed attorney was very busy and we had to move from session to session. During a recess period when you were off the bench, a gentleman by the name of Lowell Gard started a conversation with a gentleman sitting next to me, Denny Hardin. It appeared to me that Mr. Gard wanted to get into a confrontation with Mr. Hardin as he started the conversation by saying "I wish I was as smart as you." Mr. Hardin replied "if you read the constitution maybe you would be." Then Mr. Gard came back with something like did you learn all of this while you were in prison. Mr. Hardin said no he learned it after. Then Mr. Gard asked him how much time he served. Mr. Hardin replied 120 days. Mr. Gard then proceeded to ask Mr. Hardin what he was serving the time for and Mr. Hardin replied for marijuana charges. Then Mr. Gard said not for sleeping with you sister. Mr. Hardin just looked at him in shock and said "what?"

At this point I spoke up and said "is this what you people always do just make up some lie?

Then Mr. Gard and Mr. Hardin started back with their conversation and something that Mr. Gard said set me off. I stood up and looked at him and asked "do you believe that the constitutions are important and are the highest law of the land?" and before Mr. Gard could answer, the woman that sits up at your desk and helps you yelled out "NO", which really got my attention. Then I looked back at Mr. Gard and he said "no". At this point I asked Mr. Gard if he had taken an oath of office to which he replied that he had. I asked him what he took that oath to and he didn't remember as it was a long time ago. Then the lady sitting at the desk interjected that she didn't take an oath. I asked her if she received a check from the government and she said "no". I asked her if she worked for free. I don't know what she said as I turned my attention back to Mr. Gard. I then asked him if he had served in the military and he said "yes'. I asked him if he had taken an oath then and he said yes. Again I asked him if he knew what that oath was to but got no real response. I then asked him if he went to church and he answered "no". I then said are you an atheist too? He mumbled something but I wasn't sure what. I was under the impression that the oaths ended with "so help me God"?

At this point I was really upset. After all, without these contracts, the Constitution of the united States of America and the Constitutions of the States, there would be no government as we know it. These contracts of the people giving authority to those we hire is the only authority they have to be in the positions we put them in. If the constitutions are not important and do not represent the highest law then the robe you wear is just a dress and the courtroom is just another room in another building on another piece of ground. The only thing that transforms them is those contracts. I told Mr. Gard that I come from a family who supports our country, our constitution and our military.

I directed some negative remarks to Mr. Gard as I believe any man who would be in his position and say that the constitutions are not important is despicable and that I believe that is treason.

My grandfather fought in the World War I and my father, friends and uncle fought in World War II. My uncle rode in the parade in NY with General Eisenhower. When General Eisenhower became President, my Uncle served on his Joint Chiefs of Staff.

I take my Constitutions very serious.

I noticed when you start your sessions, you make the statement that the people have a couple of rights one of which is the right to have an attorney to represent them. This is not correct as the Constitution says they have the right to counsel. May I remind you that for many years certified BAR attorneys were not allowed to perform in this country.

Something else I would like to express while I'm writing this letter. The people have all the rights. Our constitutions are set up to protect us from government interference into our lives. Our constitutions are set up to let government know what their boundaries are and some areas of the contract are very detailed about the areas that government must avoid completely. For many years there are those who have been attempting to overthrow our government from the inside and there has been an attempt to convince the people that they get their rights from the constitutions and this may be so in the form of civil rights given to the created citizenry but not for the people.

The main reason for this letter, Judge Cagle, is to ask whether you share the views of the other members of your court as to the idea that the Constitutions are not important and do not represent the highest law.

If you share this view, you do not need to respond to this letter and a non-response would be an agreement. However, if you do not share this idea, I expect a reply back from you within 10 days.