# STRAND **ASSOCIATES**<sup>®</sup>

Excellence in Engineering<sup>SM</sup>

OTCO Class III & IV Workshop and 60<sup>th</sup> Anniversary

July 25, 2024

#### Nutrient Removal Operations – A Compilation of Lessons Learned Over 30 Years of Practice

Jamie Mills, P.E., Strand Associates, Inc.®

OTCO's Class III & IV Workshop and 60<sup>th</sup> Anniversary



The content of this presentation is not to be downloaded, copied, used, or otherwise transmitted without the prior consent of Strand Associates, Inc.®

#### **Presentation Agenda Summarizes Nutrient Removal Experience**

- Summary of nutrient removal
- Nutrient removal fundamentals and drivers for success
- Conventional nutrient removal processes
- New and emerging technologies for nutrient removal
- Lessons learned
- Final thoughts



#### Phosphorus (P) is a Limiting Nutrient for Algae Growth and Therefore is Limited by NPDES Programs

- "Nutrient pollution is one of America's most widespread, costly and challenging environmental problems" – USEPA
- Research in Canada in the1960s and 1970s revealed P to be the limiting nutrient in freshwater
- Limits vary from state to state but principles discussed today apply broadly to phosphorus removal facilities



Source: IISD Experimental Lakes Area



# **Experience Includes More Than 30 Years of Nutrient Removal**

#### Great Lakes Dischargers

• Phosphorus (limit 1 mg/L since 1970s)

#### Wisconsin

- 1992 Implemented phosphorus limits ~ 1 mg/L
- 1994 1<sup>st</sup> BPR design (Strand)
- 2010 State significantly lowered P limits to <0.1 to 0.2 mg/L</li>

#### Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio

Currently implementing P limits ~ 0.5 to 1 mg/L

#### Iowa

2012 nutrient strategy ~ 10 mg/L TN and 1 mg/L P



#### Understanding Nutrient Removal Fundamentals are Key Drivers for Successful Treatment Performance

• Fundamental 1: Convert dissolved phosphorus into particulate phosphorus





#### **Fundamental 2: Remove Phosphorus-laden Solids from the Effluent Stream**





#### **Fundamental 3: Understand Composition of Your Phosphorus**





# **Fundamental 4: PAOs Require a Simple Food Source**

- Volatile fatty acid (VFA) sources might include:
  - Sewer fermentation
  - Fermenter
  - In-line fermentation
  - S2EBPR solids fermentation
  - Purchased substrate

#### What is Fermentation?

A metabolic process by which an organism converts BOD into an acid.





## **Fundamental 5: Operational Choices Impact BPR Success**

- BPR systems require a strategically located anaerobic zone and anaerobic conditions need to be maintained – that means:
  - $\circ$  No dissolved Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>)
  - No Nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub>)
  - No Nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub>)
- Oxygen introduction to the Anaerobic Zone needs to be monitored and controlled!



# Fundamental 5, Continued: Nitrate Control Impacts Anaerobic Zones and Soluble Substrate Quantities

#### **Nitrate Control Options**

- Limiting nitrification
  - Not an option if facility also has ammonia limit
- Controlling quantity of nitrate returned
  - RAS and Internal Recycle Control
- Denitrification
  - Process configurations limit options
  - Avoid use of best substrate





#### Fundamental 5, Continued: Managing Conditions to Favor Phosphorus Accumulating Organisms is Key to Successful BPR

• DNA analysis can help determine conditions that select for productive PAOs





# **Conventional Nutrient Removal Processes**

#### • Phosphorus removal:

- Chemical removal (chemical sludge)
- Biological removal (incorporated into the bugs)
- P is removed with the sludge

#### • Nitrogen removal:

- Biological process Nitrification and denitrification  $(NH_3 \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow NO_3 \rightarrow N_2)$
- Simultaneous nitrification/denitrification
- Shortcut nitrogen removal
- $\circ$  N<sub>2</sub> gas is discharged to the atmosphere



## **Typical Chemical P Removal Schematic**

- In use in the US since the 1970s or longer
- Provides reliable P removal





## **Conventional BNR Activated Sludge: Status in Industry**

- Developed in the ~1970s and used throughout the world
- Provides reliable P removal, nitrification, and denitrification in dedicated zones





#### **Conventional Biological Nutrient Removal Schematic**





#### **Conventional BNR Activated Sludge**

- Dedicated Anaerobic Zone to enhance BPR (BOD/VFA uptake and P release)
- Dedicated Anoxic Zone for denitrification
- Aerated Zone for BOD removal, P uptake, and nitrification
- Numerous configurations







## **Production of PAOs is First Objective in Effective BPR**

• Facilitate growth of PAOs



Source: Strand Associates, Inc.®



Source: Wastewater Engineering – Treatment and Resource Recovery - Metcalf and Eddy/AECOM

## **Two-Stage BOD Removal is the Essence of Traditional BPR**

• Phosphorus cycle involves release in anaerobic zone, "luxury" uptake in aerobic zone





# Fermentation Began to be Used for VFA Production About 10 Years Ago (Our Experience)

- Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) are needed to drive bio-P removal
- VFA sources:
  - Sludge fermenter (typically primary sludge)
  - Carry higher primary sludge blankets
  - Recycle primary sludge (activate your primaries)



Source: Westech



# Recent Innovation in BNR Activated Sludge: S2EBPR (RAS Fermentation)

Uses fermentation to produce VFAs from RAS

When to Use: Influent carbon used Low influent BOD or low rbCOD / VFAs for denitrification Anoxic P uptake • High peak flows (sidestream fermentation) NO<sub>3</sub> Recycle Clarifier Influent Anoxic **Aerobic** Effluent Deeply 10-25% **Return Sludge** Anaerobic (SRT 1-2d) Deep Anaerobic Conditions (ORP <- Aerobic P uptake 300mV) **BOD** removal/nitrification Fermentation of RAS P Release/PHA Formation Death of GAOs 



# **Biological Nutrient Removal Requires Additional Monitoring and Controls Depending on Limits**





## **ORP Probe use with PAO Control and Monitoring in Anaerobic Zone**

#### Controls and Monitoring of PAOs



Oxidation reduction potential values and corresponding biochemical reactions



# New and Emerging Technologies for Nutrient Removal Within the Past 5 years (+/-)

- Low D.O. BNR to drive simultaneous nitrification-denitrification (SNDN)
- Sludge densification processes (to improve settling)
- Aerobic granular sludge processes

#### Others:

- Chemical polishing with filtration (for very low effluent P limits)
- Membrane aerated biofilm reactors (MABR)
- Sidestream and mainstream Anammox processes
- Sidestream phosphorus recovery or sequestration



## **BPR + Simultaneous Nitrification and Denitrification Activated Sludge**

- Dedicated anaerobic zone for enhancing bio P
- Aerated zone operated at Low DO (0.2 to 0.7 mg/L)
- Nitrification and denitrification occurs in one reactor simultaneously
- Requires more monitoring/instrumentation
- Control aeration using ammonia or DO, or both





#### **BPR + SNDN Activated Sludge: Status in Industry**

- Many plants perform SNDN to some extent (e.g., oxidation ditches)
- Very few conventional plants operate entire system at low DO (<0.7 mg/L)
- Area of significant ongoing research and application
- Design for conventional but provide ability to operate at low DO







Source: City of Chico, California



## Sludge Densification Often Coupled with SNDN Activated Sludge

- Low DO operations can lead to poorer settling sludge
- Hydrocyclones have been used to improve SVI
- Metabolic selectors can also drive densification



Source: World Water Works, Inc.



Source: World Water Works, Inc.



# **BPR + SNDN Activated Sludge Process: Pros/Cons**

## Pros

- Lower energy requirements than conventional BNR (~25-35%)
- Less equipment than conventional BNR (recycle pumps, mixers)

# Cons

- Emerging process
- Greater potential for poor settling sludge
- Might not be viable with very low ammonia limits (< 1 mg/L?)</li>



#### Aerobic Granular Sludge: Background

• AquaNereda<sup>®</sup> Upflow SBR by Aqua-Aerobic Systems



Source: Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc.



Source: Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc.



#### **Aerobic Granular Sludge**

Sludge volume index (SVI) comparison with conventional activated sludge
5-minute SVI is similar to 30-minute SVI at higher MLSS concentration





Source: Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc.



Source: Aqua-Aerobic Systems, Inc.

Source: Aqua-Aerobic Systems



#### Aerobic Granular Sludge (AGS): Status in Industry

- Research and development in the Netherlands in 1990s through 2000s
- In 2016, Aqua-Aerobics became the exclusive licensee in the US
- 55 full-scale operating Nereda plants in the world (approximately within the last 10 years)
- 6 operating plants in US, approx. 20+ plants in construction or design







## **AGS: Pros/Cons**

# Pros

- Process guarantee provided by equipment manufacturer
- Case studies demonstrate nutrient removal and nitrification
- Less energy than conventional BNR
- Fewer processes (no clarifiers, RAS pumping)

## Cons

- Emerging technology in US with only a few operating facilities
- More instrumentation
- Possibly more complex to operate, especially at smaller plants and during wet weather events



#### Lessons Learned – The Right Kind of Food is Critical

- If adequate food is not available, consider:
  - In-line fermentation
  - Sludge fermentation
  - S2EBPR
  - Adding carbon (methanol, industrial wastes)





# **Cycling Mixers Stimulate Anaerobic Activities (In-Line Fermentation)**





#### Lessons Learned – Design Flexibility is Important





#### **Unintended Consequences of Sludge Fermentation to Drive BNR**





#### **Final Thoughts**

- Influent characterization is critical to determine how well a BNR system might operate
- Nutrient removal systems require more operator attention
- Real-time monitoring is essential for very low limits and for many innovative approaches
- Give yourself as much flexibility as possible
- BNR systems continue to evolve





Thank you! Jamie Mills, P.E., Strand Associates, Inc.® Jamie.Mills@strand.com 614-863-0460



# STRAND **ASSOCIATES**<sup>®</sup>

Excellence in Engineering<sup>SM</sup>