

PROCESS OPTIMIZATION AND GUIDANCE THROUGH MACHINE LEARNING Coagulation for Drinking Water and Industrial Source Water

Dave Rutowski, Claros Process Management – Great Lakes Matthew Gray PE, Claros Optimization Engineering Team

AGENDA

- Description of monitoring tools for coagulation
- How they are implemented
- What it looks like
 - Additional technologies available
 - Components of concern
 - Manganese
 - Arsenic
 - Iron
 - Corrosion control / Phosphate dosing
 - Biological monitoring (Legionella, etc.)



OUTCOMES

- Online monitoring for critical plant processes like coagulation Real time response to changes in water quality
- Systems that can see WQ changes or overfeeds in real time





COAGULATION Upgrade plant performance

COAGULATION BACKGROUND

- Coagulation is an essential process for the removal of suspended and colloidal material from raw water.
- The main difficulty is to determine the optimum coagulant dosage related to the influent of raw water. Excessive coagulant overdosing leads to increased treatment costs and public health concerns, while underdosing leads to a failure to meet the water quality targets and less efficient operation of the water treatment plan
- Process optimization and control is usually based on data from jar tests and simple flow-proportional dosing concepts
- no comprehensive or universally accepted mathematical description of the process has been developed so far
- In water treatment plants charge neutralization can be considered the predominant process, especially if the coagulant dose has been optimized.



SURFACE WATER IS A COAGULATION CHALLENGE



Anyone else treating that nice Lake Erie water today?!

What's the highest NTU from your source water?!

We can go from **1 NTU to 1500 NTU** in under an hour at times!

Tyler Johnson -South Elgin, Ontario





COAGULATION, FLOCCULATION, AND SEDIMENTATION

- The purpose of coagulation is to condition non-settleable solids and organics to clump together to form a floc
- The larger floc particles are then able to settle out
- Removal of suspended and colloidal substances from water is required for
 - Protecting Human Health
 - Regulatory Compliance
 - Aesthetics



COAGULATION



- Particles coming into a plant from surface water are generally negatively charged
- Those negative charges cause the particles to repel each other, in the same manner as the negative poles of 2 magnets push each apart





Al⁺³ Al⁺³ Al⁺³ Al⁺³ Al⁺³ Al⁺³ Al⁺³

COAGULATION

- Coagulation involves the destabilization of negatively charged colloidal particles by neutralizing charge with chemical coagulant
- Once the positively charged coagulant is added, it neutralizes the negative charges
- Then the particles can clump together to form a floc



COAGULATION GOALS

• Chemical addition during coagulation is required for small colloidal particles due to slow settling velocities

Particle Size (mm)	Particle Size (microns)	Order of Size	Time Required to Settle (sg = 2.65)	Time Required to Settle (sg = 1.2)		
10	10000	Gravel	0.4 sec	1.2 sec		
1	1000	Coarse Sand	3.0 sec	9 sec		
0.1	100	Fine Sand	34 sec	5 min		
0.01	10	Silt	56 min	8 hours		
0.001	1	Bacteria	4 days	32 days		
0.0001	0.1	Colloidal	1 year	9 years		
0.00001	0.01	Colloidal	> 50 years	> 50 years		
0.000001	0.001	Colloidal	> 50 years	>50 years		



COAGULATION MONITORING

Factors that affect coagulation

- pH
- Temperature
- Coagulant type
- Mixing speed
- Alkalinity
- Turbidity
- Organic content



COAGULATION MONITORING

- Proper coagulant dosing requires periodic jar jesting
- Online instruments
 like streaming current
 can monitor the
 coagulation process
- Streaming current analysis can reduce the frequency of jar testing, but will not take its place





COAGULATION MONITORING

- An east coast city recently budgeted over \$7 million for annual coagulant chemical costs
- That equates to about \$19K per day
- Unnecessary coagulant overdosing can be costly with little to no return on extra coagulant used
- Having a real time feed-forward and feedback response will help optimize coagulant dosing



CONTINUOUS MONITORING PARAMETERS

Raw water

- Turbidity
- pН
- Flow
- Organic content, UV254
- Streaming Current

Post sedimentation

- Turbidity
- pН
- Organic content, **UV254**

Coagulant dose



16

DATA SOURCES





COAGULATION(RTC – COAG)



The following benefits can be expected after implementation of the RTC-COAG system:

- Optimization of coagulation/ flocculation water treatment process
- Achieve savings on coagulant usage
- Improve solids and natural organic matter removal



COAGULATION CURVE





COAGULATION CURVES





RECAP



Coagulation relies on correct chemical dosing for a variety of parameters



Dosing coagulant based only on jar tests and seasonal adjustments may miss some raw water changes



Coagulant overdosing can be costly and provide little benefit



Coagulant underdosing results in diminished flocculation and sedimentation efficiency and shorter filter run times

Be Right"





WESTERN BERKS OPTIMIZATION CONCEPTS

AGENDA

- Data
 - Sources
 - Validation
- Western Berks Water Authority
 - Background and Treatment Process Overview
 - Goals of Optimization of Mn and Coagulation
 Process
 - Concept
 - Results



WHY IS DATA IMPORTANT?



DATA SOURCE

On-Line Sensors & meters Laboratory Historians (Database, Excel, SCADA, HACH WIMS)



HAC

Be Right"

WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY OVERVIEW



Blue Marsh Lake

- Produces 3.5 to 4.5 MGD of high quality water for 9 municipalities around Reading PA Area.
- The WBWA draws its water from an intake along the Tulpehocken Creek downstream of the Blue Marsh Dam.
- Will have the ability to draw water directly from the Reservoir in the near future.



WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY OVERVIEW

Existing treatment process prior to filtration......



Manganese Process Control

Temp

- Total Mn is a daily grab sample
- Permanganate is manual adjusted based on Lab results Coagulation Process Control
- Manual Jar Tests vary based on water quality
- PACL is set by jar testing an adjusted by Streaming Current feedback control



WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY OVERVIEW

How could the existing Coagulation process control be improved......

Coagulation Process Control

- Manual Jar Tests vary based on water quality
- PACL is set by jar testing an adjusted by Streaming Current feedback control
 - Use historical instrumentation, operational, and laboratory data to build a feedforward model to predict the optimal PACL Dosage



WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY COAGULATION PROCESS CONTROL



- Addition of UV254 Sensor after DAF
- Incorporate all Raw data points in algorithm



WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY COAGULATION PROCESS CONTROL



Process Control Summary

Temp

- Streaming Current or DAF Turbidity will be used as Feedback
- Influent sensor measurements, and Lab Data will be used as a Feed Forward Model
 - Ratio Control
 - Single Variable Regression
 - Multiple Variable Regression





DATA COLLECTION FOR FEED FORWARD MODEL

DATA COLLECTION – SOURCES

Data from: January 1, 2018 – July 30, 2019 (>200,000 Data Points) Online Sensor : 1 hour intervals Laboratory : 1 day intervals Collected from Output Report from WIMS

	Raw_Turb	Raw_pH	Raw_Cond	Raw_Temp	Flow	PACL_Dose	Pfilt_pH	Pfilt_Turb	Raw_Alk	Raw_TH	Raw_TMn	Eff_TMn	Eff_Alk	Eff_TH
count	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000	13128.000000
mean	13.971130	7.862095	342.794251	10.424287	2334.323140	44.094759	7.446748	0.388629	126.179631	171.764279	0.082386	0.032642	119.080782	168.210452
std	10.015116	0.469533	40.021375	6.760251	184.528473	18.049994	0.201452	0.151020	9.657321	19.437813	0.021652	0.006079	10.248892	19.192033
min	3.473362	6.920001	244.710704	0.000000	1802.944912	0.000012	6.960919	0.163016	104.583333	136.000000	0.040506	0.009048	94.000000	135.607143
25%	8.238257	7.639926	314.876273	3.963647	2204.683353	30.000000	7.309732	0.269280	119.149489	156.187539	0.065238	0.030000	111.760695	153.747619
50%	10.906446	7.849878	340.905583	10.344263	2334.691480	40.000000	7.429535	0.377448	126.000000	168.216780	0.078661	0.030000	119.185958	165.523896
75%	15.985808	8.040000	364.137573	16.951030	2405.831587	54.963435	7.590000	0.466025	131.040931	184.000000	0.100000	0.037202	124.883185	177.523810
max	99.257054	9.414332	477.746375	22.137974	3203.894149	139.997547	8.213072	1.557340	157.785905	227.940476	0.140000	0.050000	149.685417	224.190476



DATA VALIDATION

Data Filters

- Hampel Filter to remove Outliers
- Low Pass Filter to average out smaller noise





FEED FORWARD COAGULATION MODEL CONCEPTS

FEED FORWARD COAGULATION MODEL CONCEPTS

Dosage based on Ratio Control

- Raw turbidity verses actual dosage of PACL
- Raw UV Transmittance verses actual dosage of PACL

Machine Learning - Regression

- Single variable regression using raw UV Transmittance
- Multi-variable regression using all raw online and laboratory measurements



MACHINE LEARNING ?



"Machine learning is a method of data analysis that automates analytical model building. It is a branch of artificial intelligence based on the idea that systems can learn from data, identify patterns and make decisions with minimal human intervention."

Steps Involved In Machine Learning :

There are 5 basic steps used to perform a machine learning task:

- 1.Data Gathering
- 2.Data Cleaning and Preparation
- 3. Training a model.
- 4. Evaluating the model
- 5. Improving the performance.



REGRESSIONS – SIMPLE MACHINE LEARNING



2/3 of the data is used to calculate Equation Coeff. (A,B.....)1/3 of the data is to verify the Data



COAGULATION CONTROL BASED ON RATIO





FEED FORWARD COAGULATION MODEL CONCEPTS

Dosage based on Ratio Control

- Raw turbidity verses actual dosage of PACL
 - Median Ratio of 3.6 PACL Dose (mg/l) per Raw Turbidity (NTU)
 - The Ratio span of 1.4 to 7.2 (5th to 95th Percentile)
 - Use the median ratio to predict PACI Dosage
 - Poor results
 - R² of -2.6
 - With error range of -31 to 56mg/l (Actual Avg Dose of 44 mg/l)
- Raw UV Transmittance verses actual dosage of PACL
 - Median Ratio of 2.6 PACL Dose (mg/l) per UV Transmittance
 - The Ratio span of 1.7 to 3.7
 - Use the median ratio to predict PACI Dosage
 - OK results
 - R² of 0.6
 - With error range of -15 to 17mg/l (Actual Avg Dose of 44 mg/l

HACH

FEED FORWARD COAGULATION MODEL CONCEPTS

Dosage based on Regressions

- Single Variable Polynomial Regression (Raw UV Transmittance)
- Multiple Variable Polynomial Regression Median

Laboratory

- Raw Total Hardness
- Raw Alkalinity

Online Sensors

- Raw UVT
- Raw Turbidity
- Raw pH
- Raw Conductivity
- Flow
- Temperature



PREDICTED VERSES ACTUAL PACL DOSE

ı/ɓш







Be Right^{**}

PREDICTED VERSES ACTUAL PACL DOSE





PREDICTED VERSES ACTUAL PACL DOSE - ERROR





MV Reg UVT Reg UVT ratio

FEED FORWARD COAGULATION MODEL CONCEPTS

- Single Variable Polynomial Regression (Raw UV Transmittance) Use the model to predict PACI Dosage
 - Good results
 - R² of 0.70
 - With error range of -15 to 13mg/l (Actual Avg Dose of 44 mg/l)
- Multiple Variable Polynomial Regression Median
 Use the model to predict PACI Dosage
 - Great results
 - R² of 0.95
 - With error range of -6.2 to 6.2mg/l (Actual Avg Dose of 44 mg/l)

Can the Regression be use to predict Pre Filter Turbidity?



MULTI – VARIABLE REGRESSION RESULTS TO PREDICT PRE FILTER TURBIDITY









COAGULATION DOSE OPTIMIZATION



Calc. PACL Dose

HAC

Be Right"



MN AND COAGULATION IMPLEMENTATION

NETWORK OVERVIEW





CONTROLLER FRAMEWORK OVERVIEW





USER INTERFACE OVERVIEW



WESTERN BERKS WATER AUTHORITY



NEXT STEPS

- Installation and Startup Spring/Summer 2020 Future
 - Validation of the Feed forward models
 - Tune MnO4 Control
 - Confirmation of the intended goals have been achieved
 - Use of real time measurements to adjust Permanganate dosage
 - Use of historical instrumentation, operational, and laboratory data to build a feedforward model to predict the optimal PACL Dosage





QUESTIONS

Skip HACH introduction |c|

DATA COLLECTION – SOURCES

Laboratory & Operations

- Raw & Prefilter Total Hardness
- Raw & Prefilter Total Alkalinity
- PACL Dosage
- Historical Total Mn
- Historical Sensor Data

15 Total Sources of Data

- Raw Water 7
- Post Treatment 7
- Operation 1

Online Sensors

- Raw & Prefilter UV254
- Raw & Prefilter Turbidity
- Raw & Post Mn
- Raw & Prefilter pH
- Streaming Current
- Raw Conductivity



UV % REGRESSION



Be Right[™]

l/6m

MULTI – VARIABLE REGRESSION RESULTS

l/6m





RAW TURBIDITY AND UV TRANSMITTANCE PACL DOSAGE RATIO



Dose



MULTI – VARIABLE REGRESSION RESULTS TO PREDICT PRE FILTER TURBIDITY





Actual vs Predicted



USER INTERFACE OVERVIEW





SUMMARY

- Managnese
 - Equipment Install
 - Integration with existing Scada
- Coagulation
 - Tuning of the feedback loops
 - Validation of the Feed forward models
 - Confirmation of the intended goals have been achieved
 - Use of real time measurements to adjust Permanganate dosage
 - Use historical instrumentation, operational, and laboratory data to build a feedforward model to predict the optimal PACL Dosage

